POLYNOMIAL CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTIONS FOR GF(p) AND IRREGULAR PRIMES

L. CARLITZ

1. Let P(x) be a polynomial with coefficients in F = GF(p), where p is an odd prime, that takes on only the values 0 and 1. It will be convenient to assume that P(0) = 0. Note that if P(x) is a 0-1 polynomial then P(cx) is also a 0-1 polynomial for all $c \in F$, $c \neq 0$. Let

$$(1.1) U = \{u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_k\}$$

denote the subset of $F - \{0\}$ such that

(1.2)
$$P(u_i) = 1$$
 $(i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$

By the Lagrange interpolation formula, the unique 0-1 polynomial of degree < p and satisfying (1.2) is given by

(1.3)
$$P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \{1 - (x - u_i)^{p-1}\}.$$

Since

$$(x - y)^{p-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} x^j y^{p-j-1},$$

we have

(1.4)
$$(x - u_i)^{p-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} x^j u_i^{p-j-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} x^j u_i^{-j}.$$

Thus (1.3) becomes

(1.5)
$$P(x) = -\sum_{j=1}^{p-2} x^j \sum_{i=1}^k u_i^{-j} - kx^{p-1}.$$

An alternate representation for P(x) is the following. Put

(1.6)
$$\phi(x) = \prod_{u \in U} (x - u), \ \psi(x) = \frac{x^{p-1} - 1}{\phi(x)}.$$

Since

Received by the editors on September 24, 1976.

Copyright © 1978 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

L. CARLITZ

$$\frac{\Phi'(x)}{\Phi(x)} = \sum_{u \in U} (x - u)^{-1},$$

it follows from (1.3) that

$$P(x) = -\sum_{u \in U} \frac{x^{p} - x}{x - u} = -\frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)} (x^{p} - x).$$

Hence

(1.7)
$$P(x) = -x\phi'(x)\psi(x).$$

-

For example, if U consists of the non-zero squares of F then

$$\phi(x) = x^{(1/2)(p-1)} - 1, \ \psi(x) = x^{(1/2)(p-1)} + 1$$

and it follows that

$$P(\mathbf{x}) = -(1/2)(p-1) \, \mathbf{x}^{(1/2)(p-1)}(\mathbf{x}^{(1/2)(p-1)}+1)$$
$$= (1/2)(\mathbf{x}^{p-1} + \mathbf{x}^{(1/2)(p-1)}).$$

This result is easily generalized. Let p = rs + 1 and let U denote the set of non-zero s-th powers of F. Then

$$\phi(x) = x^r - 1, \ \psi(x) = \frac{x^{p-1} - 1}{x^r - 1}$$

and we get

(1.9)
$$P(x) = -r(x^{rs} + x^{r(s-1)} + \cdots + x^{r}).$$

Returning to (1.5), we can rewrite it in the form

(1.10)
$$P(x) = a_1 x^{r_1} + a_2 x^{r_2} + \cdots + a_m x^{r_m} - k x^{p-1}$$

where $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_m < p-1$ and none of the coefficients a_i vanishes. Thus the question arises of what exponent patterns (r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_m) can occur. In (1.9) the exponents (including p-1) form an arithmetic progression.

As another example in which (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m) alone form an arithmetic progression we cite U = 1, 2, 3 with p = 7. It can be verified that in this case

(1.11)
$$P(x) = -3x^6 + x^5 - x^3 - 3x.$$

2. We shall now examine the more general case

$$(2.1) U = \{1, 2, \cdots, (1/2)(p-1)\}$$

584

in some detail. By (1.5) we now have

$$P(x) = -\sum_{j=1}^{p-2} x^j \sum_{a=1}^{(1/2)(p-1)} a^{p-1-j} - (1/2)(p-1)x^{p-1}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} a^r = 0 \qquad (1 \le r$$

it follows that

(2.2)
$$\sum_{a=1}^{(1/2)(p-1)} a^{2r} = 0 \qquad (1 \le r < p-1),$$

To evaluate the corresponding sum with an odd exponent, we make use of the formula [2, Ch. 2]

$$\sum_{a=1}^{(1/2)(p-1)} a^{2r+1} = \frac{B_{2r+2}(1/2(p+1)) - B_{2r+2}}{2r+2}$$

where $B_n(x)$ is the Bernoulli polynomial of degree *n* and B_n is the *n*-th Bernoulli number. For $2r + 2 \leq p - 1$, it follows from the Staudt-Clausen theorem that

$$\begin{split} B_{2r+2}(1/2(p+1)) &- B_{2r+2} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{2r+1} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2r+2 \\ j \end{array} \right) B_j(1/2(p+1))^{2r-j+2} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{2r+1} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2r+2 \\ j \end{array} \right) B_j(1/2)^{2r-j+2} \\ &= B_{2r+2}(1/2) - B_{2r+2}. \end{split}$$

Since [2, p. 22] $B_n(1/2) = (2^{1-n} - 1)B_n$, it follows that

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{a=1}^{(1/2)(p-1)} a^{2r+1} = \frac{2^{-2r-2}}{r+1} (1 - 2^{2r+2}) B_{2r+2} \quad (2r+2 \leq p-1).$$

Therefore by (2.2) and (2.3),

$$(2.4) \quad P(\mathbf{x}) = -\sum_{r=1}^{(1/2)(p-1)} \frac{2^{-2r}}{r} (1-2^{2r})B_{2r}\mathbf{x}^{p-2r} - (1/2)(p-1)\mathbf{x}^{p-1}.$$

,

L. CARLITZ

Recall that a prime p is regular [3, p. 82] if it divides none of the Bernoulli numbers B_2 , B_4 , \cdots , B_{p-3} . Moreover it is known that there are infinitely many irregular primes. Those less than 100 are p = 37, 59, 67.

If p is irregular, at least one of the coefficients on the right of (2.4) vanishes. If p is regular it is still possible that $2^{2r} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Hence if we assume that p is regular and that 2 is a primitive root $(\mod p^2)$, it follows that none of the coefficients in (2.4) vanishes. If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and 2 belongs to the exponent (p - 1)/2, it is still true that none of the coefficients in (2.4) vanishes. For example, for p = 7, (1.11) illustrates this situation.

More generally let the smallest *even* exponent to which 2 belongs $(\mod p)$ be 2t and put p = 2st + 1. Then, for p regular, the vanishing coefficients in (2.4) are those corresponding to the exponents p - 2rs $(r = 1, 2, \dots, t)$.

3. Results of an analogous nature also hold in the following situation. Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and take

$$(3.1) U = \{1, 2, \cdots, \frac{1}{4}(p-1), -1, -2, \cdots, -\frac{1}{4}(p-1)\}.$$

Then as above

$$P(\mathbf{x}) = -\sum_{j=1}^{p-2} x^j \sum_{a=1}^{(1/4)(p-1)} (a^{p-1-j} + (-a)^{p-1-j}) - \frac{1}{2} (p-1) x^{p-1} \\ = -2 \sum_{j=1}^{(1/2)(p-3)} x^{2j} \sum_{a=1}^{(1/4)(p-1)} a^{p-1-2j} - \frac{1}{2} (p-1) x^{p-1}.$$

Now, for $r \ge 1$,

$$\sum_{a=1}^{1/4(p-1)} a^{2r} = \frac{B_{2r+1}((p+3)/4) - B_{2r+1}(1)}{2r+1}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2r+1} B_{2r+1}(1/4(p+3))$$
$$= \frac{1}{2r+1} B_{2r+1}(3/4).$$

Since [2, p. 21 and p. 29]

$$B_{2r+1}(3/4) = -B_{2r+1}(1/4) = (2r+1)4^{-2r-1}E_{2r},$$

where E_{2r} is an Euler number, it follows that

(3.2)

$$P(x) = -2 \sum_{r=1}^{(1/2)(p-3)} 4^{-2r-1} E_{2r} x^{p-2r-1} - (1/2)(p-1) x^{p-1}.$$

Corresponding to the definition of regular primes above we may define a prime p as regular with respect to the Euler numbers if none of the numbers E_2 , E_4 , \cdots , E_{p-3} is divisible by p. It is proved in [1] that the number of primes irregular with respect to the Euler numbers is infinite.

Hence if p is regular with respect to the Euler numbers it follows that none of the coefficients in (3.2) vanishes. For example, 5 is regular in this sense (as well as the previous sense) and we have from (1.3)

$$P(x) = (1 - (x - 1)^4) + (1 - (x + 1)^4) = -2x^2 - 2x^4,$$

in agreement with (3.2).

References

1. L. Carlitz, Note on irregular primes, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 5 (1954), 329-331.

2. N. E. Nörlund, Vorlesungen über Differenzenrechnung, Springer, Berlin, 1924.

3. H. S. Vandiver and G. E. Wahlin, *Algebraic Numbers* II, Bulletin of the National Research Council 62, 1928.

DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA 27706