## ON A. LAX'S CONDITION OF HYPERBOLICITY

## M. MÜNSTER

ABSTRACT. We give an elementary proof of A. Lax's condition of hyperbolicity for polynomials with constant coefficients (see [3]). Our method is independent of Puiseux series. In a second paper, we shall show how this method can be adapted to obtain other criteria of hyperbolicity, including Svensson's criterion (see [4]).

DEFINITION. Let  $P_k$   $(k = 0, \dots, m)$  be a polynomial in *n* variables, homogeneous of degree k and with constant coefficients.

The polynomial  $P = \sum_{k=0}^{m} P_{m-k}$  is said to be hyperbolic with respect to  $N \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  if  $P_m(N) \neq 0$  and if there exists a constant c such that

$$\frac{P(ix + \tau N) = 0}{x \in R^n, \tau \in C} \} \Rightarrow |\mathcal{R}\tau| \leq c.$$

THEOREM (A. LAX [3]). Let P be hyperbolic with respect to N. If, for  $x_0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\tau_0$  is a root of  $P_m(ix_0 + \tau N)$  with multiplicity  $\alpha_0 \in [2, m]$ , then,  $\tau_0$  is a root of  $P_{m-k}(ix_0 + \tau N)$  with multiplicity  $\geq \alpha_0 - k$ , for  $k = 0, \dots, \alpha_0 - 1$ .

Conversely, if n = 2, if P satisfies the quoted condition and if  $P_m$  is hyperbolic with respect to N, then P is also hyperbolic with respect to N.

**PROOF.** (a) Necessity. If P is hyperbolic with respect to N, we have, for any  $\alpha$  and t > 0,

$$\alpha^m P \left[ \frac{itx_0 + (\tau + t\tau_0)N}{\alpha} \right] \equiv$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha^k \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \frac{\tau^j}{j!} t^{m-k-j} \{ D^j_\tau [P_{m-k}(ix_0+\tau N)] \}_{\tau=\tau_0} = 0 \Longrightarrow |\mathcal{R}\tau| \le c\alpha,$$

since  $\Re \tau_0 = 0$  (see [2] p. 90).

We shall prove the necessity by induction. For k = 0, it is true by hypothesis. Let us suppose the condition is true for  $k < k_0$   $(1 \le k_0 \le \alpha_0 - 1)$  and show that  $P_{m-k_0}(ix_0 + \tau_0 N) = 0$ .

By the inductive hypothesis we have

Received by the editors on July 1, 1976.

Copyright © 1978 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

$$\left( \sum_{k=0}^{k_0-1} \sum_{j=\alpha_0-k}^{m-k} + \sum_{k=k_0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \right) \alpha^k \frac{\tau^j}{j!} t^{m-k-j}$$

$$\left\{ D_{\tau}^j \left[ P_{m-k}(ix_0 + \tau N) \right] \right\}_{\tau=\tau_0} = 0 \Longrightarrow |\mathcal{R}\tau| \le c\alpha .$$

Choose  $\alpha$  so that the coefficient of  $P_{m-k_0}$   $(ix_0 + \tau_0 N)$  is equal to that of

$$\frac{\tau^{\alpha_0}}{\alpha_0!} \{ D_{\tau}^{\alpha_0} \left[ P_m(ix_0 + \tau N) \right] \}_{\tau = \tau_0},$$

that is

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{t^{(\alpha_0 - k_0)/k_0}}$$

After division of the equation by  $t^{m-\alpha_0}$ , the implication becomes

$$\left( \sum_{k=0}^{k_0-1} \sum_{j=\alpha_0-k}^{m-k} + \sum_{k=k_0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \right) \frac{\tau^j}{j!} t^{\alpha_0-j-k(\alpha_0/k_0)} \\ \left\{ D_{\tau}^{\ j} [P_{m-k}(ix_0+\tau N)] \right\}_{\tau=\tau_0} = 0 \Longrightarrow |\mathcal{R}\tau| \leq c/t^{(\alpha_0-k_0)/k_0}.$$

In this equation, each power of t is  $\leq 0$ ; it is = 0 only for  $(k, j) = (0, \alpha_0)$  and  $(k_0, 0)$ .

Letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we get, by Hurwitz' theorem (see, for instance, [5] p. 119),

$$\frac{\tau^{\omega_0}}{\alpha_0!} \left\{ D_{\tau^{\alpha_0}} [P_m(ix_0 + \tau N)] \right\}_{\tau = \tau_0} + P_{m-k_0}(ix_0 + \tau_0 N) = 0$$
$$\implies \mathcal{R}\tau = 0.$$

If  $\alpha_0$  is > 2, this trivially implies  $P_{m-k_0}$   $(ix_0 + \tau_0 N) = 0$ . If  $\alpha_0 = 2$  (thus  $k_0 = 1$ ), it reads as follows:

$$\frac{\tau^2}{2} \{ D_{\tau}^2 [P_m(ix_0 + \tau N)] \}_{\tau = \tau_0} + P_{m-1}(ix_0 + \tau_0 N) = 0 \Longrightarrow \mathcal{R}\tau = 0,$$

so that

$$\frac{P_{m-1}(ix_0 + \tau_0 N)}{\{D_{\tau}^2 [P_m(ix_0 + \tau N)]\}_{\tau = \tau_0}} \ge 0.$$

This inequality is valid whenever  $\tau_0$  is a double root of  $P_m(ix_0 + \tau N)$ ; so it remains true if we replace  $x_0$  by  $-x_0$  and  $\tau_0$  by  $-\tau_0$ . But then, the quotient is multiplied by -1 and  $P_{m-1}(ix_0 + \tau_0 N) = 0$ .

To show that each derivative of order  $\leq \alpha_0 - k_0 - 1$  of  $P_{m-k_0}(ix_0)$  .

 $+\tau N$ ) is null at  $\tau = \tau_0$ , it remains to use the well-known fact that each derivative of P is hyperbolic with respect to N.

To be complete, let us recall the proof of this last fact: for x fixed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , if  $\tau_k$   $(k = 1, \dots, p)$  denote the different roots of  $P(ix + \tau N)$ and  $\alpha_k$  their multiplicity, we have

$$\mathscr{R}\left[\frac{D_{\tau}P(ix+N)}{P(ix+N)}\right] = \mathscr{R}\sum_{k=1}^{p}\frac{\alpha_{k}}{\tau-\tau_{k}} = \sum_{k=1}^{p}\alpha_{k}\frac{\mathscr{R}(\tau-\tau_{k})}{|\tau-\tau_{k}|^{2}}$$

and this expression is > 0 (< 0) for  $\Re \tau > c$  (< -c) if  $|\Re \tau_k| \leq c$ .

(b) Sufficiency. Suppose now, for n = 2, that P satisfies the quoted conditions. We shall prove the existence of a constant K such that

$$|\mathcal{R}\tau| \ge 1 \implies \left| \frac{P_{m-k}(ix+\tau N)}{P_m(ix+\tau N)} \right| \le K (k=1,\cdots,m).$$

This implies, by the properties of homogeneity of  $P_{m-k}$  and  $P_m$ ,

$$\left| \frac{P_{m-k}(ix+\tau N)}{P_m(ix+\tau N)} \right| \leq \frac{K}{|\mathcal{R}\tau|^k} \text{ for } \mathcal{R}\tau \neq 0,$$

so that

$$|P(ix + \tau N)| \ge \frac{1}{2} |P_m(ix + \tau N)| \neq 0$$

for  $|\mathcal{R}\tau|$  large enough.

Fix arbitrarily a point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  linearly independent of N. If

$$x = \lambda x_0 + \mu N \ (\lambda, \mu \in R),$$

we have, for  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,

$$\frac{P_{m-k}(ix+\tau N)}{P_m(ix+\tau N)} = \frac{1}{\lambda^k} \frac{P_{m-k}(ix_0+(\tau'\lambda)N)}{P_m(ix_0+(\tau'\lambda)N)},$$

with  $\mathcal{R}\tau' = \mathcal{R}\tau$ .

If

$$P_m(ix_0 + \tau N) \equiv P_m(N) \prod_{j=1}^p (\tau - \tau_j)^{\alpha_j},$$

then,

$$P_{m-k}(ix_0 + \tau N) = \prod_{j=1}^{p} (\tau - \tau_j)^{(\alpha_j - k)_+} Q_k(\tau),$$

where  $Q_k$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq m - k - \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\alpha_j - k)_+$ . There-

M. MÜNSTER

fore,

$$\frac{P_{m-k}(ix+\tau N)}{P_m(ix+\tau N)} = \frac{1}{\lambda^k} \frac{Q_k\left(\frac{\tau'}{\lambda}\right)}{P_m(N)\prod_{j=1}^p \left(\frac{\tau'}{\lambda} - \tau_j\right)^{\inf(\alpha_j,k)}}$$

Let us first examine the case  $|\lambda| \ge 1$ . There exist constants  $C_{j,\beta}^{(k)}$  such that

$$\frac{Q_k(z)}{P_m(N)\prod_{j=1}^p (z-\tau_j)^{\inf(\alpha_j,k)}} \\
= \sum_{j,\beta} \frac{C_{j,\beta}^{(k)}}{(z-\tau_j)^{\beta}}, \ 1 \leq \beta \leq \inf(\alpha_j,k)$$

Therefore, there exists a constant  $K_1$  such that

$$\left|\frac{P_{m-k}(ix+\tau N)}{P_m(ix+\tau N)}\right| = \left|\frac{1}{\lambda^k}\sum_{j,\beta}\frac{C_{j\beta}^{(k)}}{\left(\frac{\tau'}{\lambda}-\tau_j\right)^{\beta}}\right| \leq K_{1,j}$$

for  $|\mathcal{R}\tau'| = |\mathcal{R}\tau| \ge 1$ , because  $\mathcal{R}\tau_j = 0$  and  $\beta \le k$ .

The case  $0 < |\lambda| \leq 1$  may be treated in the same way, starting from the decomposition

$$\frac{z^k Q_k(z)}{P_m(N) \prod_{j=1}^p (z-\tau_j)^{\inf(\alpha_j,k)}} = C^* + \sum_{j,\beta} \frac{C_{j\beta}^{(k)^*}}{(z-\tau_j)^{\beta}}$$

The inequality is then proved for  $\lambda \neq 0$ . For  $\lambda = 0$ , it is obvious and the proof is complete.

## References

1. L. Gårding, Linear hyperbolic partial differential equations with constant coefficients, Acta. Math. 85 (1950), 1-62.

2. L. Hörmander, Linear partial differential operators, Springer, Berlin, 1963.

3. A. Lax, On Cauchy's problems for partial differential equations with multiple characteristics, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 9 (1956), 135-169.

4. S. L. Svensson, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the hyperbolicity of polynomials with hyperbolic principal part, Arkiv för Mat. 8 (1969), 145–162.

5. E. C. Titchmarsh, The theory of functions, Oxford University Press, 1939.

Université de Liège, Liège, Belgium

446