## STABLE HOMOTOPY AND ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH NONLINEAR BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

JEAN MAWHIN

1. Introduction. This paper is a continuation of [1] where coincidence degree arguments have been used to give fairly general existence theorems for nonlinear boundary value problems relative to ordinary differential equations. In contrast with [1] where the case of nonlinear perturbations of Fredholm mappings of index zero has been treated, we consider here the case where this index is positive.

Following Nirenberg [5] we use stable homotopy arguments to get a continuation theorem which was announced in [4] and is given here with complete proof for reader's convenience (Section 2). This continuation result leads in Section 3 to a fairly general existence theorem for boundary value problems. An interesting specialization and an example are given in Section 4.
2. A continuation theorem for some nonlinear perturbations of Fredholm mappings with non-negative index. Let $X$ and $Z$ be real normed spaces and $L: \operatorname{dom} L \subset X \rightarrow Z$ a linear mapping such that $\operatorname{Im} L$ is closed and

$$
q=\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} L \leqq \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} L=p
$$

We shall call $L$ a Fredholm mapping of index $p-q$. Let $R>0$ and $N: \bar{B}(R) \subset X \rightarrow Z$ be L-compact on the closed ball $\bar{B}(R)$ of center 0 and radius $R$. That means [4] that if $P: X \rightarrow X$ and $Q: Z \rightarrow Z$ denote continuous projectors such that the sequence

$$
X \xrightarrow{P} \operatorname{dom} L \xrightarrow{L} Z \xrightarrow{Q} Z
$$

is exact, and if

$$
K_{P, Q}=(L \mid \operatorname{dom} L \cap \operatorname{ker} P)^{-1}(I-Q)
$$

then $Q N$ is continuous on $\bar{B}(R), Q N(\bar{B}(R))$ is bounded and $K_{P, Q} N: \bar{B}(R)$ $\rightarrow X$ is compact. It is known [4] that those conditions are independent of the choice of $P$ and $Q$. If now

$$
\Gamma: R^{p} \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} L, \Gamma^{\prime}: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow R^{q}
$$

are isomorphisms, we shall define the mapping $\nu$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(u)=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime} Q N \Gamma(R u)}{\left|\Gamma^{\prime} Q N \Gamma(R u)\right|} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all points $u$ where $Q N \Gamma(R u) \neq 0$. We shall denote by $S^{r}$ the unit sphere in $R^{r+1}$.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that the following conditions hold.

1. For each $\lambda \in] 0,1[$ and each $x \in \operatorname{dom} L \cap \partial B(R)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
L x \neq \lambda N x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. For each $x \in \operatorname{ker} L \cap \partial B(R), N x \notin \operatorname{Im} L$, i.e., $Q N x \neq 0$.
3. The mapping $\nu: S^{p-1} \rightarrow S^{q-1}$ defined by (2.1) has nontrivial stable homotopy.

Then the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L x=N x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at least one solution $x \in \operatorname{dom} L \cap \bar{B}(R)$.
Proof. If there exists $x \in \operatorname{dom} L \cap \partial B(R)$ such that (2.3) holds, the theorem is proved. Hence one can assume that (2.2) holds for all $\lambda \in] 0,1]$. As shown in [3], for all linear one-to-one $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow$ ker $L$ and all $\lambda \in] 0,1],(2.2)$ is equivalent to

$$
x=M(x, \lambda)
$$

with

$$
M(x, \lambda)=P x+\left(J Q+\lambda K_{P, Q}\right) N x
$$

and

$$
x=M(x, 0)
$$

is equivalent to

$$
x \in \operatorname{ker} L, Q N x=0
$$

Therefore, by conditions 1 and 2,

$$
x \neq M(x, \lambda)
$$

for any $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and $x \in \partial B(R)$. Also, $M: \bar{B}(R) \times[0,1] \rightarrow X$ is clearly compact and hence its restriction to $\partial B(R) \times[0,1]$ is a permissible deformation in the sense of Nirenberg ([6], p. 128). Consequently $I-M(\cdot, \lambda)$ will have a zero in $B(R)$ for any $\lambda \in[0,1]$ if the restriction of $I-M(\cdot, 0)$ to $\partial B(R)$ is essential, i.e., if any extension of this map to $\bar{B}(R)$ in the class of compact perturbations of the identity has a zero [2,6]. But the mapping $F: \partial B(R) \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$
I-M(\cdot, 0)=I-P-J Q N
$$

restricted to $\partial B(R)$ is clearly homotopic to the mapping $F_{0}: \partial B(R)$ $\rightarrow X$ defined by

$$
F_{0}=I-P-J Q N P
$$

which is of the type considered in Proposition 4.1.1 of [6] with

$$
X_{0}=\operatorname{ker} P, W=\operatorname{ker} L, \quad \Phi=-J Q N .
$$

Therefore, using this Proposition, $F_{0}$, and hence $F$, will be essential if and only if the map $\nu$ defined in (2.1) has nontrivial stable homotopy (see [6], p. 29, for a definition). The result then follows using assumption 3.

Remark. When $p=q$, assumption 3 is equivalent to requiring that the degree of $\nu$ is nonzero, i.e., that the Brouwer degree

$$
d_{B}[J Q N \mid \operatorname{ker} L, B(R), 0]
$$

is nonzero.
3. A continuation theorem for ordinary differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. Let $I=[0,1]$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f: I \times R^{n} \times \cdots \times R^{n} & \rightarrow R^{n} \\
\left(t, x^{1}, x^{2}, \cdots, x^{m}\right) & \mapsto f\left(t, x^{1}, x^{2}, \cdots, x^{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

be continuous. Let $X$ be the (Banach) space $C^{m-1}\left(I, R^{n}\right)$ of mappings $x: I \rightarrow R^{n}$ which are continuously differentiable up to the order $m-1$, with the norm (we use the Euclidian norm in $R^{n}$ )

$$
|x|=\max \left\{\max _{t \in I}|x(t)|, \cdots, \max _{t \in I}\left|x^{(m-1)}(t)\right|\right\},
$$

and let $g: X \rightarrow R^{q}$ be continuous and such that it takes bounded sets into bounded sets. We shall be interested in the nonlinear boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{(m)} & =f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \cdots, x^{(m-1)}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
g(x) & =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

If we denote by $Z$ the (Banach) space

$$
\mathrm{Z}=C\left(I, R^{n}\right) \times R^{q}
$$

with $C\left(I, R^{n}\right)$ the (Banach) space of continuous mappings $x: I \rightarrow R^{n}$ with the usual supremum norm $|\cdot|_{0}$, and if we denote by $\operatorname{dom} L$ the subspace of $X$ of $m$-times continuously differentiable mappings $x: I$ $\rightarrow R^{n}$, it is clear that (3.1) is equivalent to the operator equation in dom $L$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L x=N x \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

when we define $L$ and $N$ respectively by

$$
\begin{align*}
& L: \operatorname{dom} L \subset X \rightarrow Z, x \mapsto\left(x^{(m)}, 0\right) \\
& N: X \rightarrow Z, x \mapsto\left(f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \cdots, x^{(m-1)}\right), g(x)\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Now it is easy to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ker} L= & \left\{x \in X: x(t)=a_{0}+(t / 1!) a_{1}+\left(t^{2} / 2!\right) a_{2}\right. \\
& +\cdots+\left(t^{m-1} /(m-1)!\right) a_{m-1}, a_{0} \in R^{n}, \cdots, a_{m-1} \in 1
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} L=m n
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Im} L=C\left(I, R^{n}\right) \times\{0\}
$$

Thus $\operatorname{Im} L$ is closed and

$$
\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} L=q
$$

Therefore $L$ is a Fredholm mapping of index mn $-q$ and also, Arzela-Ascoli's theorem, $L$ has compact right inverses. Thus $N$ is compact on bounded sets of $X$. We shall denote by $\Gamma: R^{m n} \rightarrow$ ke the isomorphism

$$
\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m-1}\right) \mapsto \xi\left(\cdot ; a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m-1}\right)
$$

where

$$
\xi\left(t ; a_{0}, \cdots, a_{m-1}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(t^{j} j!\right) a_{j} \quad(t \in R)
$$

and we shall define the mapping $\gamma$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(u)=\frac{g \Gamma(R u)}{|g \Gamma(R u)|} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all points where $g \Gamma(R u) \neq 0$.
We then have the following continuation theorem.
Theorem 3.1. Assume that the following conditions hold.
a. There exist $M>0$ such that, for all $\left(t, x^{1}, \cdots, x^{m}\right) \in I \times R^{n}$ $\cdots \times R^{n}$, one has

$$
\left|f\left(t, x^{1}, \cdots, x^{m}\right)\right| \leqq M
$$

b. There exists $R>0$ such that, for all $x \in C^{m}\left(I, R^{n}\right)$ for which

$$
g(x)=0
$$

and

$$
\left|x^{(m)}\right|_{0} \leqq M
$$

one has

$$
|x| \neq R
$$

c. The mapping $\gamma: S^{m n-1} \rightarrow S^{a-1}$ defined by (3.4) has nontrivial stable homotopy.

Then the boundary value problem (3.1) has at least one solution $x$ such that $|x| \leqq R$.

Proof. We shall apply theorem 2.1 to the equivalent problem (3.2) with $L$ and $N$ defined in (3.3). Equation

$$
L x=\lambda N x
$$

for $\lambda \in[0,1]$ is clearly equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{(m)} & =\lambda f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \cdots, x^{(m-1)}\right) \\
0 & =g(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence, by conditions (a) and (b), assumption (1) of Theorem 2.1 is verified. Now

$$
Q N x=(0, g(x))
$$

and hence by (b) applied to the elements of ker $L$, condition (2) of Theorem 2.1 holds. Now assumption (c) clearly corresponds to condition (3) of Theorem 2.1 and the proof is complete.
4. A class of nonlinear two point boundary value problems. Let $h: R^{m n} \rightarrow R^{q}$ be continuous and let $\alpha_{i j}(i=0,1, \cdots, m-1 ; j=1, \cdots, n)$ denote 0 or 1 . We shall consider in this section the special case of (3.1) where

$$
\begin{gathered}
g(x)=h\left(x_{1}\left(\alpha_{01}\right), x_{1}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{11}\right), \cdots, x_{1}^{(m-1)}\left(\alpha_{m-1,1}\right), x_{2}\left(\alpha_{02}\right)\right. \\
\left.\cdots, x_{n}^{(m-1)}\left(\alpha_{m-1, n}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 4.1. Assume that conditions (a) of Theorem 3.1 as well as the following assumptions hold.
$b^{\prime}$. There exists $S>0$ such that each solution $b$ of

$$
h(b)=0
$$

is such that

$$
|b|<S
$$

$c^{\prime}$. The mapping $\gamma$ defined by (3.4) with g given by (4.1) and

$$
R=n^{1 / 2}(m S+M)
$$

has nontrivial stable homotopy.
Then problem (3.1) has at least one solution.
Proof. We shall apply theorem 3.1. Let $x \in C^{m}\left(I, R^{n}\right)$ be such that

$$
h\left(x_{1}\left(\alpha_{01}\right), \cdots, x_{n}{ }^{(m-1)}\left(\alpha_{m-1, n}\right)\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\left|x^{(m)}\right|_{0} \leqq M
$$

Then, by assumption $\left(b^{\prime}\right)$, necessarily,

$$
\left|x_{k}{ }^{(j)}\left(\alpha_{j k}\right)\right|<S \quad(j=0,1, \cdots, m-1 ; k=1, \cdots, n)
$$

and hence, using the relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{k}^{(j-1)}(t)=x_{k}^{(j-1)}\left(\alpha_{j-1, k}\right)+\int_{\alpha_{j-1, k}}^{t} x_{k}^{(j)}(s) d s, \\
(j=1,2, \cdots, m ; k=1, \cdots, n),
\end{gathered}
$$

one gets successively

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|x_{k}^{(m-1)}\right|_{0}<S+M \\
& \left|x_{k}^{(m-2)}\right|_{0}<S+S+M
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left|x_{k}\right|_{0}<m S+M
$$

and hence

$$
|x|<n^{1 / 2}(m S+M)
$$

Putting $R=n^{1 / 2}(m S+M)$ achieves the proof.
As an example let us consider the case where $m=n=2, q=3$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
h=h\left(x(0), x^{\prime}(1)\right)=\left(x_{1}^{2}(0)+x_{1}^{\prime 2}(1)-x_{2}^{2}(0)-x_{2}^{\prime 2}(1)-c_{1}\right. \\
\left.2\left(x_{1}(0) x_{2}(0)+x_{1}^{\prime}(1) x_{2}^{\prime}(1)\right)-c_{2}, 2\left(x_{1}{ }^{\prime}(1) x_{2}(0)-x_{1}(0) x_{2}{ }^{\prime}(1)\right)-c_{3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

with $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ real constants. If we write

$$
w=x_{1}(0)+i x_{1}{ }^{\prime}(1), v=x_{2}(0)+i x_{2}{ }^{\prime}(1),
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(x(0), x^{\prime}(1)\right)=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
|w|^{2}-|v|^{2}-c_{1} & =0 \\
2 \operatorname{Re} w \bar{v}-c_{2} & =0 \\
2 \operatorname{Im} w \bar{v}-c_{3} & =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence each solution ( $x(0), x^{\prime}(1)$ ) of (4.2) is necessarily such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|w|^{2}-|v|^{2}-c_{1} & =0 \\
|w|^{2}|v|^{2} & =4^{-1}\left(c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore such that

$$
|v|^{4} \leqq\left|c_{1}\right||v|^{2}+4^{-1}\left(c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}\right),
$$

which implies that

$$
|v|^{2}<d_{1}{ }^{2}
$$

with ${d_{1}}^{2}$ any number strictly greater than the positive root of the equation

$$
z^{2}-\left|c_{1}\right| z-4^{-1}\left(c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}\right)=0 .
$$

Consequently,

$$
|w|^{2}<\left|c_{1}\right|+\left|d_{1}\right|^{2}=d_{2}^{2}
$$

and condition $\left(b^{\prime}\right)$ of Theorem 4.1 holds with

$$
S=\left(d_{1}{ }^{2}+d_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and it still holds if $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ are replaced by $\lambda c_{1}, \lambda c_{2}, \lambda c_{3}$ for any $\lambda \in[0,1]$. Now, if $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right) \in S^{3}$, i.e., if

$$
u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}+u_{3}^{2}+u_{4}^{2}=1
$$

then, if we define $\Gamma$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(a, b, c, d) & =(a, c)+t(b, d), \\
\gamma(u) & =|g \Gamma(R u)|^{-1} g \Gamma(R u)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
g \Gamma(R u)=\left(R^{2}\left(u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}-u_{3}^{2}-u_{4}^{2}\right)-c_{1}, 2 R^{2}\left(u_{1} u_{3}+u_{2} u_{4}\right)-c_{2}\right. \\
\left.2 R^{2}\left(u_{3} u_{2}-u_{1} u_{4}\right)-c_{3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

If

$$
R>\left(d_{1}^{2}+d_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

and if

$$
\begin{gathered}
G(u, \lambda)=\left(R^{2}\left(u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}-u_{3}^{2}-u_{4}^{2}\right)-\lambda c_{1}, 2 R^{2}\left(u_{1} u_{3}+u_{2} u_{4}\right)-\lambda c_{2}\right. \\
\left.2 R^{2}\left(u_{3} u_{2}-u_{1} u_{4}\right)-\lambda c_{3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(u, 1)=g \Gamma(R u) \\
& G(u, \lambda) \neq 0 \quad \text { for any } \quad u \in S^{3} \text { and } \lambda \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $|g \Gamma(R u)|^{-1} g \Gamma(R u)$ is homotopic to the Hopf map $j: S^{3} \rightarrow S^{2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
j:\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right) \mapsto\left(u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}-u_{3}^{2}-u_{4}^{2}, 2\left(u_{1} u_{3}+u_{2} u_{4}\right)\right. \\
\left.2\left(u_{3} u_{2}-u_{1} u_{4}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

But the suspensions $\sum^{k} j$ of the Hopf map $(k=1,2, \cdots)$ are the generators of the homotopy groups $\pi_{3+k}\left(S^{2+k}\right)$ which are cyclic of order two and hence $j$ has nontrivial stable homotopy. The existence result for the example then follows from Theorem 4.1.
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