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ABSTRACT. Numerical approximation schemes of quadrature type are investigated for integral equations of the form

$$
x(s)-\int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa(s-t) x(t) d t=y(s), \quad 0 \leq s<\infty
$$

The principal hypotheses are that $\kappa$ is integrable, bounded, and uniformly continuous on $R$, and that $x$ and $y$ are bounded and continuous or, alternatively, bounded and uniformly continuous, on $R^{+}$. The convergence of numerical integration approximations is established, along with error bounds in some cases. The analysis involves the collectively compact operator approximation theory and a variant of that theory in which the role of compact sets is played by bounded uniformly equicontinuous sets of functions on $R^{+}$.

1. Introduction. Consider a Wiener-Hopf integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(s)-\int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa(s-t) x(t) d t=y(s), \quad 0 \leq s<\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ and $y$ are bounded and continuous on $[0, \infty)$, and $\kappa$ is bounded, uniformly continuous, and integrable on $(-\infty, \infty)$. For example, $\kappa(u)=e^{-|u|}$ or $\kappa(u)=1 /\left(1+u^{2}\right)$.
Finite-section approximations for (1.1) are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\beta}(s)-\int_{0}^{\beta} \kappa(s-t) x_{\beta}(t) d t=y(s), \quad 0 \leq s<\infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\beta \geq 0$. Numerical integration yields discrete approximations $x_{\beta n}$ for $x_{\beta}$ and hence for $x$. As an illustration, the rectangular quadrature rule gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\beta n}(s)-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\beta n} \kappa\left(s-\frac{i}{n}\right) x_{\beta n}\left(\frac{i}{n}\right)=y(s), \quad 0 \leq s<\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\beta, n=1,2, \ldots$, which reduces to a finite linear system for $x_{\beta n}\left(\frac{i}{n}\right), i=1, \ldots, \beta n$. This is an example of the Nyström method. The rectangular quadrature rule usually would not be recommended. More general quadrature formulas are introduced in $\S 2$. They include the familiar repeated rules.
This paper continues an investigation begun in [3] and carried forward in [4]. In [3], we compared solutions of integral equations such as (1.1) and (1.2) with a more general class of kernels $k(s, t)$. In [4], we studied equations analogous to (1.1) - (1.3) with $\kappa(s-t)$ replaced by the kernel $k(s, t)$ of a compact operator.

The following notation is adopted. Let $Z^{+}=\{1,2, \ldots\}, R=$ $(-\infty, \infty)$ and $R^{+}=[0, \infty)$. Let $X^{+}$be the Banach space of bounded, continuous, real or complex functions $f$ on $R^{+}$with $\|f\|=\sup |f(t)|$. Thus, convergence in norm is uniform convergence. Let $\mathcal{B}\left(X^{+}\right)$denote the space of bounded linear operators on $X^{+}$.

Equations (1.1) - (1.3) are expressed in operator forms on $X^{+}$by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-K) x=y \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I-K_{\beta}\right) x_{\beta}=y \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operators $K, K_{\beta}, K_{\beta n}$ are defined for $f \in X^{+}$by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa(s-t) f(t) d t \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\beta} f(s)=\int_{0}^{\beta} \kappa(s-t) f(t) d t \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\beta n} f(s)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\beta n} \kappa\left(s-\frac{i}{n}\right) f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (1.6) is a special case. The general formula for $K_{\beta n}$ is given in $\S 3$. The hypotheses on $\kappa$ and the quadrature formula ensure that $K, K_{\beta}, K_{\beta n} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X^{+}\right)$.

In [3] we showed that solutions of $(I-K) x=y$ and $\left(I-K_{\beta}\right) x_{\beta}=y$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\beta}(s) \rightarrow x(s) \text { as } \beta \rightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly on finite intervals. } \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This extended earlier work of Atkinson [5]. The literature on error bounds associated with (1.7) is meager. Estimates for $\left|x_{\beta}(s)-x(s)\right|$ in particular cases have been obtained by Atkinson [5], by de Hoog and Sloan [7], and by Anselone and Baker [2]. Silbermann [8] obtained related results by Banach algebra methods.
Here, our main purpose is to compare solutions of $\left(I-K_{\beta}\right) x_{\beta}=y$ and $\left(I-K_{\beta n}\right) x_{\beta n}=y$. We first consider $\beta$ to be fixed. It will be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{\beta n}-x_{\beta}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall \beta \in R^{+} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with error bounds. These results are derived by means of the collectively compact operator approximation theory in [1]. In view of (1.7) and (1.8), $x(s)$ is the iterated limit of $x_{\beta n}(s)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ in that order, with error bounds in some cases.
We shall obtain stronger results in the closed subspace $X_{u}^{+}$of $X^{+}$ which consists of the bounded, uniformly continuous functions on $R^{+}$. In this setting,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{\beta n}-x_{\beta}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly for } \beta \in R^{+} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with error bounds that are uniform in $\beta$. The proof is based on a variant of the collectively compact theory in which the role of relatively compact sets is played by bounded, uniformly equicontinuous sets in $X_{u}^{+}$. Such sets are not relatively compact in general. By (1.7) and (1.9), $x(s)$ is the double limit of $x_{\beta n}(s)$ as $\beta$ and $n$ increase independently. The convergence is uniform on finite intervals. Several numerical examples in the paper by Atkinson [5] are covered by our analysis. They illustrate the uniform convergence in (1.9).

The stronger results in $X_{u}^{+}$apply to most of the cases that are likely to arise in practice. The restriction to $X_{u}^{+}$merely excludes non-uniformly
continuous functions such as $y(s)=\sin s^{2}$. The analysis in $X_{u}^{+}$requires somewhat stricter conditions on $\kappa$ and the quadrature formula which, however, are satisfied in typical examples.
The extension of the convergence results in $X^{+}$and $X_{u}^{+}$to compact perturbations of Winer-Hopf operators will be pursued in a separate investigation. Recently, Chandler and Graham [6] obtained convergence results for the numerical solution of Wiener-Hopf equations, as well as for compact perturbations, in a quite different setting. They assume that the functions $x$ and $y$ in (1.1) decay exponentially and that $\kappa$ is infinitely differentiable.
2. The quadrature formula. The quadrature formula is defined first on $R^{+}$and then restricted to finite intervals. On $R^{+}$the quadrature rule has the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right) \approx \int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) d t, \quad n \in Z^{+} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq t_{n l}<t_{n 2}<\cdots, \quad \omega_{n i}>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have in mind primarily the standard repeated rules obtained by translating a convergent rule from $[0,1]$ to the successive unit intervals. Examples include the trapezoidal rule and Simpson's rule with step length $1 / n$, and the n-point Gauss rule. More general composite rules provide other examples.
Restrictions of the quadrature formula to finite intervals $[0, \beta]$ with $\beta>0$ are expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{0}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right) \approx \int_{0}^{\beta} f(t) d t, \quad n \in Z^{+} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over the terms with $0 \leq t_{n i} \leq \beta$. The star in (2.3) means that, if $t_{n i}=\beta$ for some $t_{n i}$ and $\beta$, then the corresponding weight $\omega_{n i}$ may have to be multiplied by an appropriate factor to recover the correct repeated or composite rule on $[0, \beta]$. The factor is $1 / 2$ for the trapezoidal rule. For convenience, the sum in (2.3) is defined to be zero for $\beta=0$.

For the analysis in $X^{+}$we shall assume that the quadrature formula has the basic convergence property
$\mathbf{Q} \sum_{0}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right) \rightarrow \int_{0}^{\beta} f(t) d t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall f \in C[0, \beta], \quad \forall \beta \in R^{+}$.
The convergence is uniform for $f$ in any bounded, equicontinuous set. This follows from the general proposition that pointwise convergence of bounded linear operators is uniform on compact sets.
A consequence of $\omega_{n i}>0$ is that, if the convergence in $Q$ holds for all $\beta \in Z^{+}$, then it holds for all $\beta \in R^{+}$. The main ideas of a proof are as follows. Let $f \in C[0, \beta]$ and $\beta \in R^{+}$. It suffices to consider $f \geq 0$ with $\|f\|=1$. Let $\gamma \in Z^{+}$and $\gamma>\beta$. Extend $f$ to $[0, \gamma]$ by defining $f(t)=0$ for $\beta<t \leq \gamma$. Approximate $f$ by functions $f_{\varepsilon}, f^{\varepsilon} \in C[0, \gamma]$ such that

$$
f_{\varepsilon} \leq f \leq f^{\varepsilon}, \quad \int_{0}^{\gamma}\left[f^{\varepsilon}(t)-f_{\varepsilon}(t)\right] d t<\varepsilon
$$

To be more specific, let $f_{\varepsilon}$ and $f^{\varepsilon}$ equal $f$ except in one-sided neighborhoods of $t=\beta$, where $f_{\varepsilon}$ and $f^{\varepsilon}$ are linear with slope $-1 / \varepsilon$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{\gamma} f_{\varepsilon}(t) d t \leq \int_{0}^{\beta} f(t) d t \leq \int_{0}^{\gamma} f^{\varepsilon}(t) d t \\
\sum_{0}^{\gamma} * \omega_{n i} f_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{n i}\right) \leq \sum_{0}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right) \leq \sum_{0}^{\gamma} * \omega_{n i} f^{\varepsilon}\left(t_{n i}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Apply $Q$ to $f_{\varepsilon}$ and $f^{\varepsilon}$ on $[0, \gamma]$ to complete the proof. A similar argument shows that $Q$ extends to all bounded Riemann integrable functions (see [1], Ch. 2).

In view of the foregoing discussion, the standard repeated rules satisfy $Q$. Although not recommended for our purposes, the n-point GaussLaguerre formula also satisfies $Q$.

For $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta<\infty$ define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right)=\sum_{0}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right)-\sum_{0}^{\alpha} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quadrature formula is additive in the sense that

$$
\sum_{\alpha}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right)+\sum_{\beta}^{\gamma} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right)=\sum_{\alpha}^{\gamma} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right)
$$

It follows from (2.4) and $Q$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right) \rightarrow \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(t) d t \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall f \in C[\alpha, \beta] \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convergence is uniform for $f$ in any bounded, equicontinuous set. Let $f \equiv 1$ in (2.5) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\alpha}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i} \rightarrow \beta-\alpha \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty  \tag{2.6}\\
& m_{\alpha \beta}=\sup _{n \in Z^{+}} \sum_{\alpha}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i}<\infty \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The analysis in $X_{u}^{+}$will require a stronger convergence condition than $Q$ on the quadrature formula which, however, is satisfied by the standard repeated rules. This convergence property will involve bounded, uniformly equicontinuous sets in $X_{u}^{+}$. Although such a set $S$ is not relatively compact, its restrictions $S_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ to closed intervals $[\alpha, \beta]$ are relatively compact in a certain uniform sense. To explain this, fix $\gamma \in R^{+}$and vary $\alpha, \beta \in R^{+}$with $\beta-\alpha=\gamma$. Translate all the restrictions $S_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ to $[0, \gamma]$. This yields a subset of $C[0, \gamma]$ which is bounded and equicontinuous, hence relatively compact.

We shall assume that the quadrature formula on $X_{u}^{+}$has the "translationally invariant" convergence property

$$
\sum_{\alpha}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right) \rightarrow \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(t) d t \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall f \in X_{u}^{+}
$$

$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}} \quad$ uniformly for $0 \leq \beta-\alpha \leq \gamma$ with any fixed $\gamma \in R^{+}$, and uniformly for $f$ in any bounded, uniformly equicontinuous set in $X_{u}^{+}$.

An adaptation of the argument for $Q$ shows that if the corivergence in $Q_{u}$ holds for $\alpha, \beta \in Z^{+}$then it holds for all $\alpha, \beta \in R^{+}$(see also [1, Ch. 2)]. It follows that $Q_{u}$ has the simpler equivalent form:

$$
\sum_{\beta-1}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right) \rightarrow \int_{\beta-1}^{\beta} f(t) d t \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall f \in X_{u}^{+}
$$

uniformly for $\beta \in Z^{+}$, and uniformly for $f$ in any bounded, uniformly equicontinuous set in $X_{u}^{+}$.

It follows easily that $Q_{u}$ is satisfied by the standard repeated rules.
Let $f \equiv 1$ in $Q_{u}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\alpha}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i} \rightarrow \beta-\alpha \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly for }  \tag{2.8}\\
& \quad 0 \leq \beta-\alpha \leq \gamma \text { with any fixed } \gamma \in R^{+}
\end{align*}
$$

There exists $n_{0} \in Z^{+}$such that the sums in (2.8) are bounded uniformly for $0 \leq \beta-\alpha \leq \gamma$ and $n \geq n_{0}$. To avoid making unimportant exceptions for small values of $n$, modify the quadrature formula for $n<n_{0}$ if necessary so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\gamma}=\sup _{\substack{0 \leq \beta-\alpha \leq \gamma \\ n \in Z^{+}}} \sum_{\alpha}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i}<\infty \quad \forall \gamma \in R^{+} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is satisfied by the standard repeated rules. For reference purposes, we subsume (2.9) in $Q_{u}$.

For repeated rules that are exact for constant functions on the successive unit intervals,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=\sum_{\beta-1}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i}=1 \quad \forall \beta \in R^{+} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Whenever the infinite sum in (2.1) exists, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha}^{\infty} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right)=\sum_{0}^{\infty} \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right)-\sum_{0}^{\alpha} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma gives estimates for quadrature sums that will be used later.

Lemma 2.1. Assume $Q_{u}$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in Z^{+}$and $\alpha<\beta$. Then
a) $\sum_{\alpha}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right) \leq m_{1} \int_{\alpha+1}^{\beta+1} f(t) d t$ for $f \geq 0, f$ nondecreasing on $[\alpha, \beta+1]$,
b) $\sum_{\alpha}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right) \leq m_{1} \int_{\alpha-1}^{\beta-1} f(t) d t$ for $f \geq 0, f$ nonincreasing on $[\alpha-1, \beta]$,
c) $\sum_{\alpha}^{\infty} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right) \leq m_{1} \int_{\alpha-1}^{\infty} f(t) d t$ for $f \geq 0, f$ nonincreasing, $f$ integrable on $[\alpha-1, \infty)$.

Proof. For $f \geq 0$ and $f$ nondecreasing,

$$
\sum_{j-1}^{j} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right) \leq m_{1} f(j) \leq m_{1} \int_{j}^{j+1} f(t) d t
$$

Sum on $j$ to obtain (a). For $f \geq 0$ and $f$ nonincreasing,

$$
\sum_{j}^{j+1} * \omega_{n i} f\left(t_{n i}\right) \leq m_{1} f(j) \leq m_{1} \int_{j-1}^{j} f(t) d t
$$

Sum on $j$ to obtain (b), which implies (c).
3. Convergence results in $X^{+}$. The operators $K, K_{\beta}, K_{\beta n}$ are defined on $X^{+}$by

$$
\begin{gather*}
K f(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa(s-t) f(t) d t  \tag{3.1}\\
K_{\beta} f(s)=\int_{0}^{\beta} \kappa(s-t) f(t) d t  \tag{3.2}\\
K_{\beta n} f(s)=\sum_{0}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i} \kappa\left(s-t_{n i}\right) f\left(t_{n i}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $\beta \in R^{+}$and $n \in Z^{+}$. We assume that the quadrature formula in (3.3) has the basic convergence property $Q$. The conditions on $\kappa$ are WH1 $\kappa \in L^{1}(R)$,
WH2 $\kappa$ bounded, uniformly continuous on R ,
WH3 $\kappa(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow \pm \infty$.
The following functions $\kappa$ satisfy WH1-3.
EXAMPLE 3.1. $\kappa(u)=e^{-|u|}$.
EXAMPLE 3.2. $\kappa(u)=\frac{1}{1+u^{2}}$.
EXAMPLE 3.3. $\kappa(u)=\sin u /\left(1+u^{2}\right)$.
The conditions in WH1-3 are not independent. Thus,

$$
\mathrm{WH} 1, \mathrm{WH} 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{WH} 3, \quad \mathrm{WH} 1, \mathrm{WH} 3, \kappa \text { continuous } \Rightarrow \mathrm{WH} 2 .
$$

For ease of reference, we have included in the hypotheses on $\kappa$ all of the basic properties that will be needed.
It follows from WH1 that

A

$$
\sup _{s \in R^{+}} \int_{0}^{\infty}|\kappa(s-t)| d t=\|k\|_{1}<\infty
$$

B $\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\kappa\left(s^{\prime}-t\right)-\kappa(s-t)\right| d t \rightarrow 0$ as $s^{\prime} \rightarrow s$, uniformly for $s \in R^{+}$,
which imply that $K, K_{\beta} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X^{+}\right)$. From WH2, $K_{\beta n} \in B\left(X^{+}\right)$.
The operator norms are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|K\|=\sup _{s \in R^{+}} \int_{0}^{\infty}|\kappa(s-t)| d t=\|\kappa\|_{1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|K_{\beta}\right\|=\sup _{s \in R^{+}} \int_{0}^{\beta}|\kappa(s-t)| d t \leq\|\kappa\|_{1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|K_{\beta n}\right\|=\sup _{s \in R^{+}} \sum_{0}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i}\left|\kappa\left(s-t_{n i}\right)\right| \leq m_{0 \beta}\|\kappa\|_{\infty} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{0 \beta}$ is defined in (2.7) with $\alpha=0$. The operators $K_{\beta}$ are bounded uniformly. For each fixed $\beta$, the operators $K_{\beta n}, n \in Z^{+}$, are bounded uniformly.

For the time being, we focus our attention on $K$ and $K_{\beta}$. Assume that $\kappa$ satisfies WH1. By (3.4), $K=O$ if and only if $\kappa=0$ a.e. The following discussion is adapted from [3], where more general operators are considered and further details are available. See also [5].
It is not true in general that $\left\|K_{\beta} f-K f\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. For example, let $\kappa \geq 0$ and $f \equiv 1$. Then, for all $\beta \in R^{+},\left\|K_{\beta} f-K f\right\|=$ $\|\kappa\|_{1} \neq 0$ if $K \neq 0$. In the study of $K$ and $K_{\beta}$, the role ordinarily played by norm convergence in $X^{+}$will be taken by uniform convergence on finite intervals. Let

$$
\|f\|_{[0, \alpha]}=\max _{t \in[0, \alpha]}|f(t)|, \quad f \in X^{+}, \alpha \in R^{+}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\beta}(t) \rightarrow f(t) \text { as } \beta \rightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly on finite intervals, } \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left\|f_{\beta}-f\right\|_{[0, \alpha]} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \beta \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall \alpha \in R^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.1) and (3.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
K f(s)-K_{\beta} f(s) & =\int_{\beta}^{\infty} \kappa(s-t) f(t) d t \\
\left|K_{\beta} f(s)-K f(s)\right| & \leq\|f\| \int_{\beta}^{\infty}|\kappa(s-t)| d t=\|f\| \int_{-\infty}^{s-\beta}|\kappa(u)| d u \\
\left\|K_{\beta} f-K f\right\|_{[0, \alpha]} & \leq\|f\| \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha-\beta}|\kappa(u)| d u
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,
$K_{\beta} f \rightarrow K f$ as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly on finite intervals, $\forall f \in X^{+}$.

Next, consider $K f_{\beta}-K f$. We find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
K f_{\beta}(s)-K f(s)= & \left(\int_{0}^{\alpha}+\int_{\alpha}^{\infty}\right) \kappa(s-t)\left[f_{\beta}(t)-f(t)\right] d t \\
\left\|K f_{\beta}-K f\right\|_{[0, \gamma]} \leq & \|\kappa\|_{1}\left\|f_{\beta}-f\right\|_{[0, \alpha]} \\
& +\left(\left\|f_{\beta}\right\|+\|f\|\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma-\alpha}|\kappa(u)| d u
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence
$\left\{f_{\beta}\right\}$ bounded, $f_{\beta} \rightarrow f$ uniformly on finite intervals
$\Rightarrow K f_{\beta} \rightarrow K f$ uniformly on finite intervals .

Similarly, for $K_{\beta} f_{\beta}-K f$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\beta} f_{\beta}(s)-K f(s)= & \int_{0}^{\alpha} \kappa(s-t)\left[f_{\beta}(t)-f(t)\right] d t \\
& +\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \kappa(s-t) f_{\beta}(t) d t-\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \kappa(s-t) f(t) d t \\
\left\|K_{\beta} f_{\beta}-K f\right\|_{[0, \gamma]} \leq & \|\kappa\|_{1}\left\|f_{\beta}-f\right\|_{[0, \alpha]} \\
& +\left(\left\|f_{\beta}\right\|+\|f\|\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma-\alpha}|\kappa(u)| d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{f_{\beta}\right\} \text { bounded, } f_{\beta} \rightarrow f \text { uniformly on finite intervals }  \tag{3.9}\\
& \Rightarrow K_{\beta} f_{\beta} \rightarrow K f \text { uniformly on finite intervals. }
\end{align*}
$$

The Wiener-Hopf operator $K$ is not compact unless $K=O$. However, as we shall see, the operators $K_{\beta}$ are compact, i.e., for each $\beta \in$ $R^{+},\left\{K_{\beta} f:\|f\| \leq 1\right\}$ is relatively compact. Although $K$ and $K_{\beta}$ differ in this respect, they share the following related property which serves some of the same purposes. From $A$ and $B$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{K f:\|f\| \leq 1\} \text { is bounded, uniformly equicontinuous, } \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left\{K_{\beta} f:\|f\| \leq 1, \beta \in R^{+}\right\}$is bounded,
uniformly equicontinuous.

Repeated use of the Arzelà-Ascoli lemma on the successive intervals $[0, n], n \in Z^{+}$, followed by a diagonal argument, yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{f_{\beta}\right\} \text { bounded } \Rightarrow \exists\left\{\beta_{i}\right\} \text { and } \exists g \in X^{+} \text {such that }  \tag{3.12}\\
& K f_{\beta_{i}} \rightarrow g \text { as } \beta_{i} \rightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly on finite intervals, }
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{f_{\beta}\right\} \text { bounded } \Rightarrow \exists\left\{\beta_{i}\right\} \text { and } \exists g \in X^{+} \text {such that }  \tag{3.13}\\
& K_{\beta_{i}} f_{\beta_{i}} \rightarrow g \text { as } \beta_{i} \rightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly on finite intervals, }
\end{align*}
$$

Now consider the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-K) x=y, \quad\left(I-K_{\beta}\right) x_{\beta}=y \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 3.1. Assume WH1 and $(I-K)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X^{+}\right)$. Then there exists $\beta_{0} \in R^{+}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I-K_{\beta}\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X^{+}\right), \text {bounded uniformly for } \beta \geq \beta_{0} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\beta}(s) \rightarrow x(s) \text { as } \beta \rightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly on finite intervals. } \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. See [3, Theorem 10.2], or [7, Theorem 5.2].
In [3], (3.15) is proved by contradiction, and (3.16) comes from (3.13) and (3.9). The arguments do not yield error bounds. The analysis in [7], based on Fourier transforms, yields theoretical bounds for $\left|x_{\beta}(s)-x(s)\right|$ which show how the error varies with $s$. For the special case with $\|K\|<1$, computable bounds for $\left|x_{\beta}(s)-x(s)\right|$ are derived in [2] and in [5]. See also Silbermann [8].

Next, we relate the operators $K_{\beta}$ and $K_{\beta n}$.

THEOREM 3.2. Assume WH1-3 and $Q$. Then, for any fixed $\beta \in R^{+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\beta} \text { is compact, } \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{K_{\beta n}: n \in Z^{+}\right\} \text {is collectively compact } \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|K_{\beta n} f-K_{\beta} f\right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall f \in X^{+} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix $\beta \in R^{+}$. By (3.2),

$$
\left|K_{\beta} f(s)\right| \leq\|f\| \int_{0}^{\beta}|\kappa(s-t)| d t=\|f\| \int_{s-\beta}^{s}|\kappa(u)| d u
$$

Hence WH1 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\beta} f(s) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly for }\|f\| \leq 1 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.11) and (3.20),
$\left\{K_{\beta} f:\|f\| \leq 1\right\}$ is bounded, uniformly equicontinuous, and equiconvergent to zero at infinity.

Any such set is relatively compact in $X^{+}$. Therefore,

$$
\left\{K_{\beta} f:\|f\| \leq 1\right\} \text { is relatively compact, }
$$

which means that $K_{\beta}$ is a compact operator. This is a consequence of WH1 alone. For further details, see Atkinson [5].
From (3.3) and (2.7),

$$
\left|K_{\beta n} f\left(s^{\prime}\right)-K_{\beta n} f(s)\right| \leq m_{0 \beta}\|f\| \sup _{t \in R^{+}}\left|\kappa\left(s^{\prime}-t\right)-\kappa(s-t)\right|
$$

Hence, by WH2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{K_{\beta n} f:\|f\| \leq 1, n \in Z^{+}\right\} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded, uniformly equicontinuous.

Also from (3.3) and (2.7),

$$
\left|K_{\beta n} f(s)\right| \leq m_{0 \beta}\|f\| \max _{s-\beta \leq u \leq s}|\kappa(u)|
$$

Hence, by WH3,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{K_{\beta n} f:\|f\| \leq 1, n \in Z^{+}\right\}  \tag{3.23}\\
& \text {is equiconvergent to zero at infinity. }
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.22) and (3.23),

$$
\left\{K_{\beta n} f:\|f\| \leq 1, n \in Z^{+}\right\} \text {is relatively compact, }
$$

which means that $\left\{K_{\beta n}: n \in Z^{+}\right\}$is collectively compact.
It remains to prove (3.19). Fix $f \in X^{+}$. Let

$$
g_{s}(t)=\kappa(s-t) f(t)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\beta} f(s) & =\int_{0}^{\beta} g_{s}(t) d t \\
K_{\beta n} f(s) & =\sum_{0}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i} g_{s}\left(t_{n i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By WH2, $\left\{g_{s}: s \in R^{+}\right\}$is a bounded, equicontinuous set in $X^{+}$. Hence, the basic convergence property $Q$ implies that

$$
K_{\beta n} f(s) \rightarrow K_{\beta} f(s) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly for } s \in R^{+}
$$

which is equivalent to (3.19).
A generalization of Theorem 3.2, for operators with kernels $k(s, t)$, is proved by different means in [4], Theorems 2.3 and 4.4.

COROLLARY 3.3. Assume WH1-3 and $Q$. Then, for any fixed $\beta \in R^{+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(K_{\beta n}-K_{\beta}\right) K_{\beta}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(K_{\beta n}-K_{\beta}\right) K_{\beta_{n}}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since pointwise convergence of bounded linear operators, as in (3.19), is always uniform on compact sets, (3.17)-(3.19) imply (3.24) and (3.25).
With this preparation, we are ready to compare solutions of the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I-K_{\beta}\right) x_{\beta}=y, \quad\left(I-K_{\beta n}\right) x_{\beta n}=y \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 3.4. Assume WH1-3 and $Q$. For some fixed $\beta \in R^{+}$, assume $\left(I-K_{\beta n}\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X^{+}\right)$. Then there exists $n_{0}(\beta)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I-K_{\beta} n\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X^{+}\right), \text {bounded uniformly for } n \geq n_{0}(\beta) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{\beta n}-x_{\beta}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

More specifically,
(3.29) $\quad \triangle_{\beta n}=\left\|\left(I-K_{\beta}\right)^{-1}\right\|\left\|\left(K_{\beta n}-K_{\beta}\right) K_{\beta n}\right\|<1 \quad \forall n \geq n_{0}(\beta)$,
which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(I-K_{\beta n}\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{1+\left\|\left(I-K_{\beta}\right)^{-1}\right\|\left\|K_{\beta n}\right\|}{1-\triangle_{\beta n}} \quad \forall n \geq n_{0}(\beta) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(3.31) $\left\|x_{\beta n}-x_{\beta}\right\| \leq\left\|\left(I-K_{\beta n}\right)^{-1}\right\|\left\|K_{\beta n} x_{\beta}-K_{\beta} x_{\beta}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. These results are consequences of the collectively compact operator approximation theory in [1], §1.8.

Other bounds for $\left\|x_{\beta n}-x_{\beta}\right\|$ are available from [1]. A companion theorem reverses the roles of $K_{\beta}$ and $K_{\beta n}$.

Theorems 3.1 and 3.4 enable us to relate solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-K) x=y, \quad\left(I-K_{\beta n}\right) x_{\beta n}=y \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.16) and (3.28), $x(s)$ is the iterated limit of $x_{\beta n}(s)$ as first $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. By the triangle inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{\beta n}(s)-x(s)\right| \leq\left\|x_{\beta n}-x_{\beta}\right\|+\left|x_{\beta}(s)-x(s)\right| \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In some cases, there are error bounds for $\left|x_{\beta}(s)-x(s)\right|$ and hence for $\left|x_{\beta n}(s)-x(s)\right|$.
4. The restriction from $\mathbf{x}^{+}$to $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{u}}^{+}$. We shall compare solutions of the equations (1.1)-(1.3) in $X_{u}^{+}$. But first, we make some general observations on the effect of the restriction of the setting from $X^{+}$to the closed subspace $X_{u}^{+}$.

It follows from WH1-3, with the aid of $A$ and $B$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K, K_{\beta}, K_{\beta n} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X^{+}\right), \quad K, K_{\beta}, K_{\beta n}: X^{+} \rightarrow X_{u}^{+} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the operator $K$. Similar conclusions will hold for $K_{\beta}$ and $K_{\beta n}$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-K) x=y, \quad x, y \in X^{+} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.1) and (4.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in X_{u}^{+} \Leftrightarrow y \in X_{u}^{+} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Temporarily, let $I_{u}$ and $K_{u}$ denote the restrictions of $I$ and $K$ to $X_{u}^{+}$. From (4.1), or from (4.2) and (4.3) with $y=0$,

$$
x \in X^{+},(I-K) x=0 \Leftrightarrow x \in X_{u}, \quad\left(I_{u}-K_{u}\right) x=0
$$

It follows that
(4.4) $\quad I-K$ is one-to-one on $X^{+} \Leftrightarrow I_{u}-K_{u}$ is one-to-one on $X_{u}^{+}$.

Theorem 4.1. Assume WH1. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-K)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X^{+}\right) \Leftrightarrow\left(I_{u}-K_{u}\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X_{u}^{+}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{u}-K_{u}\right)^{-1} y=(I-K)^{-1} y \quad \forall y \in X_{u}^{+} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, assume $(I-K)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X^{+}\right)$. By (4.4), $I_{u}-K_{u}$ is one-on-one on $X_{u}^{+}$. To show that $\left(I_{u}-K_{u}\right) X_{u}^{+}=X_{u}^{+}$, choose any $y \in X_{u}^{+}$. Let $x=(I-K)^{-1} y$. By (4.3), $x \in X_{u}^{+}$. Hence,

$$
y=(I-K) x=\left(I_{u}-K_{u}\right) x \in\left(I_{u}-K_{u}\right) X_{u}^{+}, \quad\left(I_{u}-K_{u}\right) X_{u}^{+}=X_{u}^{+}
$$

Both (4.6) and $\left(I_{u}-K_{u}\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X_{u}^{+}\right)$follow.
It remains to prove the reverse implication in (4.5). Assume ( $I_{u}-$ $\left.K_{u}\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X_{u}^{+}\right)$. By (4.4), $I-K$ is one-to-one on $X^{+}$. To show that $(I-K) X^{+}=X^{+}$, choose any $y \in X^{+}$. For $\beta \in R^{+}$, define $y_{\beta}(t)=y(t)$ on $[0, \beta]$ and $y_{\beta}(t)=y(\beta)$ on $[\beta, \infty)$. Then $y_{\beta} \in X_{u}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\beta}(t) \rightarrow y(t) \text { as } \beta \rightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly on finite intervals, } \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left\{y_{\beta}\right\}$ is bounded. Let $x_{\beta}=\left(I_{u}-K_{u}\right)^{-1} y_{\beta}$. Then $x_{\beta} \in X_{u}^{+},\left\{x_{\beta}\right\}$ is bounded, and $(I-K) x_{\beta}=\left(I_{u}-K_{u}\right) x_{\beta}=y_{\beta}$. Now, $x_{\beta}=K x_{\beta}+y_{\beta}$. From (3.12) and (4.7), there exist $\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}$ and $x \in X^{+}$such that

$$
x_{\beta_{i}} \rightarrow x \text { as } \beta_{i} \rightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly on finite intervals. }
$$

By (3.8),

$$
y_{\beta_{i}}=x_{\beta_{i}}-K x_{\beta_{i}} \rightarrow x-K x, \text { uniformly on finite intervals. }
$$

In view of (4.7), $x-K x=y$. Therefore, $y=(I-K) x \in(I-K) X^{+}$ and $(I-K) X^{+}=X^{+}$. Since $X^{+}$is complete, $(I-K)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X^{+}\right)$.

The analogues of Theorem 4.1 with $K$ replaced by $K_{\beta}$ and by $K_{\beta n}$ are easier to prove because $K_{\beta}$ and $K_{\beta n}$ are compact and, hence, satisfy the Fredholm alternative:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I-K_{\beta} \text { one-to-one on } X^{+} \Leftrightarrow\left(I-K_{\beta}\right) X^{+}=X^{+} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I-K_{\beta n} \text { one-to-one on } X^{+} \Leftrightarrow\left(I-K_{\beta n}\right) X^{+}=X^{+} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude from (4.1)-(4.6) and their counterparts for $K_{\beta}$ and $K_{\beta n}$ that the effect of the restriction from $X^{+}$to $X_{u}^{+}$is merely to exclude nonuniformly continuous functions $y(s)$, such as $y(s)=\sin s^{2}$. Thus, most cases of interest should still be covered.
5. Convergence results in $X_{u}^{+}$. Restrict the setting to the space $X_{u}^{+}$of bounded, uniformly continuous functions on $R^{+}$. As in $\S 3$, the operators $K, K_{\beta}, K_{\beta n}$ are expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa(s-t) f(t) d t \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\beta} f(s)=\int_{0}^{\beta} \kappa(s-t) f(t) d t \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\beta n} f(s)=\sum_{0}^{\beta} * \omega_{n i} \kappa\left(s-t_{n i}\right) f\left(t_{n i}\right) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that the quadrature formula has the translationally invariant convergence property $Q_{u}$. The hypotheses on $\kappa$ are

WH1 $\kappa \in L^{1}(R)$,
WH2 $\kappa$ bounded, uniformly continuous on $R$,
WH3 $\kappa(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow \pm \infty$,
WH4 $\kappa \geq 0, \kappa$ nonincreasing on $R^{-} ; \kappa$ nondecreasing on $R^{-}$; or $|\kappa(u)| \leq \lambda(u)$ for some $\lambda \in L^{1}(R)$ with $\lambda$ nonincreasing on $R^{+}, \lambda$ nondecreasing on $R^{-}$.
These conditions on $\kappa$ are not independent. The new condition WH4 is satisfied for typical functions $\kappa$, such as those in Examples 3.1-3.3. Although WH1-3 do not imply WH4, only rather unusual functions $\kappa$ satisfy WH1-3 but not WH4.
The present circumstances are narrower in three respects. The setting has been restricted from $X^{+}$to $X_{u}^{+}$. The function $\kappa$ satisfies the
additional condition WH4. The quadrature formula has the stronger convergence property $Q_{u}$. These limitations are not severe. Most of the examples likely to be met in practice should satisfy them.

By (4.1), $K, K_{\beta}, K_{\beta n} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X_{u}^{+}\right)$. The operator norms are still given by (3.4)-(3.6).

It follows from WH1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|s-t| \geq r}|\kappa(s-t)| d t=\int_{|u| \geq r}|\kappa(u)| d u \rightarrow 0 \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A discrete analogue of (5.4) is given by

Lemma 5.1. Assume WH1-4 and $Q_{u}$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{\left|s-t_{n i}\right| \geq r} * \omega_{n i}\left|\kappa\left(s-t_{n i}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty  \tag{5.5}\\
\text { uniformly for } s \in R^{+} \text {and } n \in Z^{+}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. We give the proof for the second form of WH4. Let $r \in Z^{+}$ and $r \geq 2$. Let $s \in R^{+}$and $p \leq s<p+1$, with $p$ an integer. In (5.5),

$$
\sum_{\left|s-t_{n i}\right| \geq r} * \omega_{n i}\left|\kappa\left(s-t_{n i}\right)\right|=\sum_{s+r}^{\infty} * \omega_{n i}\left|\kappa\left(s-t_{n i}\right)\right|+\sum_{0}^{s-r} * \omega_{n i}\left|\kappa\left(s-t_{n i}\right)\right|
$$

where the last sum is zero if $s \leq r$. By Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{s+r}^{\infty} * \omega_{n i}\left|\kappa\left(s-t_{n i}\right)\right| & \leq \sum_{p+r}^{\infty} * \omega_{n i} \lambda\left(s-t_{n i}\right) \\
& \leq m_{1} \int_{p+r-1}^{\infty} \lambda(s-t) d t \leq m_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{2-r} \lambda(u) d u
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for $s \geq r$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{0}^{s-r} * \omega_{n i}\left|\kappa\left(s-t_{n i}\right)\right| & \leq \sum_{0}^{p-r+1} * \omega_{n i} \lambda\left(s-t_{n i}\right) \\
& \leq m_{1} \int_{1}^{p-r+2} \lambda(s-t) d t \leq m_{1} \int_{r-2}^{\infty} \lambda(u) d u
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\sum_{\left|s-t_{n i}\right| \geq r} * \omega_{n i}\left|\kappa\left(s-t_{n i}\right)\right| \leq m_{1} \int_{|u| \geq r-2} \lambda(u) d u \rightarrow 0 \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty
$$

and (5.5) follows.
All of the ensuing results remain valid if WH4 is replaced by (5.5).
The next lemma gives discrete analogues of the properties $A$ and $B$ in §3.

Lemma 5.2. Assume WH1-4 and $Q_{u}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{A}^{\prime} \sup _{\substack{s \in R^{+} \\
n \in Z^{+}}} \sum_{0}^{\infty} \omega_{n i}\left|\kappa\left(s-t_{n i}\right)\right|<\infty, \\
& \mathbf{B}^{\prime} \quad \sum_{0}^{\infty} \omega_{n i}\left|\kappa\left(s^{\prime}-t_{n i}\right)-\kappa\left(s-t_{n i}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } s^{\prime} \rightarrow s, \\
& \text { uniformly for } s \in R^{+} \text {and } n \in Z^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The proofs are elementary, but tedious. Merely break the summations into two parts, with $\left|s-t_{n i}\right| \leq r$ and $\left|s-t_{n i}\right| \geq r$. Apply $Q_{u},(2.9)$ and (5.5). For the proof of $B^{\prime}$ it is convenient to restrict $s^{\prime}$ to $\left|s^{\prime}-s\right|<1$.

By (3.5), the operators $K_{\beta}$ are bounded uniformly for $\beta \in R^{+}$. By (3.6) and $A^{\prime}$, the operators $K_{\beta n}$ are bounded uniformly for $\beta \in R^{+}$ and $n \in Z^{+}$.
Now we come to the principal results. The following theorem relates the operators $K_{\beta}$ and $K_{\beta n}$, uniformly with respect to $\beta \in R^{+}$.

TheOrem 5.3. Assume WH1-4 and $Q_{u}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{K_{\beta} f:\|f\| \leq 1, \beta \in R^{+}\right\} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded, uniformly equicontinuous,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{K_{\beta n} f:\|f\| \leq 1, \beta \in R^{+}, n \in Z^{+}\right\} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded, uniformly equicontinuous,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|K_{\beta n} f-K_{\beta} f\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall f \in X_{u}^{+} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$ uniformly for $\beta \in R^{+}$, and uniformly for $f$ in any bounded, uniformly equicontinuous set.

Proof. Since the operators $K_{\beta}$ and $K_{\beta n}$ are bounded uniformly, the sets in (5.6) and (5.7) are bounded. For $\|f\| \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|K_{\beta} f\left(s^{\prime}\right)-K_{\beta} f(s)\right| & \leq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\kappa\left(s^{\prime}-t\right)-\kappa(s-t)\right| d t, \\
\left|K_{\beta n} f\left(s^{\prime}\right)-K_{\beta n} f(s)\right| & \leq \sum_{0}^{\infty} \omega_{n i} \mid \kappa\left(s^{\prime}-t_{n i}\right)-K\left(s-t_{n i} \mid .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by $B$ and $B^{\prime}$, the sets in (5.6) and (5.7) are uniformly equicontinuous. It remains to prove (5.8). Without loss of generality, $\|f\| \leq 1$. Let

$$
J=J(s, r, \beta)=[s-r, s+r] \cap[0, \beta] .
$$

Thus, $J$ is an interval of length $2 r$ or less. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|K_{\beta n} f(s)-K_{\beta} f(s)\right| & \leq\left|\sum_{J} * \omega_{n i} \kappa\left(s-t_{n i}\right) f\left(t_{n i}\right)-\int_{J} \kappa(s-t) f(t) d t\right| \\
& +\sum_{\left|s-t_{n i}\right| \geq r} * \omega_{n i}\left|\kappa\left(s-t_{n i}\right)\right|+\int_{|s-t| \geq r}|\kappa(s-t)| d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, (5.4), (5.5) and $Q_{u}$ imply (5.8).

Corollary 5.4. Assume WH1-4 and $Q_{u}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(K_{\beta n}-K_{\beta}\right) K_{\beta}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly for } \beta \in R^{+} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(K_{\beta n}-K_{\beta}\right) K_{\beta n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly for } \beta \in R^{+} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this preparation, we relate the equations in $X_{u}^{+}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-K) x=y, \quad\left(I-K_{\beta}\right) x_{\beta}=y,\left(I-K_{\beta n}\right) x_{\beta n}=y \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 5.5. Assume WH1-4 and $Q_{u}$. Assume also that ( $I-$ $K)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X_{u}^{+}\right)$. Then there exists $\beta_{0} \in R^{+}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I-K_{\beta}\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X_{u}^{+}\right), \text {bounded uniformly for } \beta \geq \beta_{0} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\beta}(s) \rightarrow x(s) \text { as } \beta \rightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly on finite intervals. } \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, there exists $n_{0} \in Z^{+}$, independent of $\beta$, such that
(5.14) $\left(I-K_{\beta n}\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X_{u}^{+}\right)$, bounded uniformly for $\beta \geq \beta_{0}, n \geq n_{0}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{\beta n}-x_{\beta}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly for } \beta \geq \beta_{0} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The bounds in Theorem 3.4 carry over without change.)

Proof. Theorem 3.1 gives (5.12) and (5.13). Corollary 5.4 and [1], Theorem 1.10, give (5.14) and (5.15).

It follows from (5.13) and (5.15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\beta n}(s) \rightarrow x(s) \text { as } \beta, n \rightarrow \infty, \text { uniformly on finite intervals. } \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This double limit contrasts with the iterated limit obtained in $X^{+}$. There are error bounds for $\left|x_{\beta n}(s)-x(s)\right|$ in some cases.
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