

A LOWER BOUND OF STANLEY DEPTH OF MONOMIAL IDEALS

RYOTA OKAZAKI

ABSTRACT. Let $S := \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field \mathbf{k} . In this paper, it is shown that Stanley depth of the monomial ideal of S , generated by m elements, is greater than or equal to $\max\{1, n - \lfloor m/2 \rfloor\}$.

1. Introduction. Let $S := \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field \mathbf{k} with indeterminates x_1, \dots, x_n , and let M be a finitely generated \mathbf{Z}^n -graded S -module. We set $X := \{x_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$. For a homogeneous element $u \in M$ and a subset $Z \subseteq X$, $u\mathbf{k}[Z]$ denotes the \mathbf{k} -subspace of M generated by all the homogeneous elements of the form uv , where v is a monomial in $\mathbf{k}[Z]$. The \mathbf{k} -subspace $u\mathbf{k}[Z]$ is said to be a *Stanley space of dimension* $|Z|$ if it is a free $\mathbf{k}[Z]$ -module, where $|Z|$ denotes the cardinality of Z . A decomposition of M into its Stanley spaces

$$\mathcal{D} : M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r u_i \mathbf{k}[Z_i]$$

as \mathbf{Z}^n -graded \mathbf{k} -vector spaces is called a *Stanley decomposition* of M , and the *Stanley depth* of \mathcal{D} , denoted by $\text{sdepth } \mathcal{D}$, is $\min\{|Z_i| \mid i = 1, \dots, r\}$ by definition. The Stanley depth of M is defined to be the maximal value of Stanley depth of Stanley decompositions of M

$$\max\{\text{sdepth } \mathcal{D} \mid \mathcal{D} \text{ is a Stanley decomposition of } M\}$$

and denoted by $\text{sdepth } M$.

In his paper [7], Stanley posed a conjecture, and his conjecture reads as follows;

$$\text{sdepth } M \geq \text{depth } M$$

holds when $M = I$ or I/J for some monomial ideals I, J of S with $J \subseteq I$. Recently, Stanley depth of monomial ideals is studied by

several authors ([1–3, 6]); in [3], Herzog, Vladioiu and Zheng gave some technique to compute Stanley depth and gave a lower bound of Stanley depth of monomial ideals (Proposition 2.1 in the next section). Apel [1] detected that a Borel type monomial ideal satisfies Stanley’s conjecture (see [3] for the definition of a Borel type ideal) and all the generic monomial ideals in the sense of [5] also satisfy the conjecture; hence, complete intersection monomial ideals do in particular. For complete intersection monomial ideals, by Shen [6], their Stanley depths are completely determined (Theorem 2.2 in the next section).

For a monomial ideal I , let $G(I)$ denote the set of minimal monomial generators of I . The main result in this paper is the following: for a monomial ideal I , we have

$$(1.1) \quad \text{sdepth } I \geq n - \left\lfloor \frac{|G(I)|}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Recall that a monomial $v \in S$ is said to be *squarefree* if the exponent of each x_i in v is less than or equal to 1, and a monomial ideal I is said to be *squarefree* if it is generated by squarefree monomials. If I is squarefree, the inequality (1.1) is the question posed by Shen in [6], which is a motivation of the study. The author’s result also improves Herzog-Vladioiu-Zheng’s lower bound stated above. Furthermore, in the case $|G(I)| \leq 4$ and I is squarefree, where the inequality (1.1) is verified by Shen in [6], the author’s proof is less constructive but more concise than his.

After the author finished the paper, he was told that Keller and Young had already solved Shen’s problem [4]. However they showed the same inequality under the assumption that I is squarefree, and their proof is more combinatorial. So the author will give the main result and its proof in this paper.

2. Main results. For a monomial ideal, the following lower bound was given by Herzog, Vladioiu and Zhen:

Proposition 2.1 ([3, Proposition 3.4]). *Let I be a monomial ideal of S with $|G(I)| = m$. Then*

$$\text{sdepth } I \geq \max\{1, n - m + 1\}.$$

As is stated in the Introduction, the Stanley depth of complete intersection ideals is completely computed by Shen.

Theorem 2.2 ([6, Theorem 2.4]). *Let I be a complete intersection monomial ideal with $|G(I)| = m$. Then*

$$\text{sdepth } I = n - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

The main theorem of this paper is:

Theorem 2.3. *For a monomial ideal I of S with $|G(I)| = m$, we have*

$$\text{sdepth } I \geq \max \left\{ 1, n - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

Let $\text{mod } {}^n_{\mathbf{Z}}S$ denote the category whose objects are finitely generated \mathbf{Z}^n -graded S -modules and morphisms are degree-preserving S -homomorphisms, that is, S -homomorphisms $f : M \rightarrow N$ such that $f(M_{\mathbf{a}}) \subseteq N_{\mathbf{a}}$ for $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{Z}^n$. Clearly, the following holds.

Lemma 2.4. *Given an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\text{mod } {}^n_{\mathbf{Z}}S$, we have

$$\text{sdepth } M \geq \min\{\text{sdepth } L, \text{sdepth } N\}.$$

Let $R := \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$. Note that by the natural surjective map $S \twoheadrightarrow R$, a \mathbf{Z}^{n-1} -graded R -module has a structure of \mathbf{Z}^n -graded S -modules. To prove Theorem 2.3, we shall verify that the following key lemma holds.

Lemma 2.5. *Let v_1, \dots, v_m be monomials in S . Assume x_n divides v_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$ but not for $i = r+1, \dots, m$, where $1 \leq r \leq m-1$. Let*

$\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ be monomial ideals of S generated by v_1, \dots, v_r and v_{r+1}, \dots, v_m , respectively, and set $I := \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$, $I' := \mathfrak{a} + x_n \mathfrak{b}$. Then

$$I/I' \cong \mathfrak{b} \cap R$$

as \mathbf{Z}^n -graded S -modules, where the structure of \mathbf{Z}^n -graded S -modules of $\mathfrak{b} \cap R$ is given as above.

Proof. The inclusion $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq I$ and $x_n \mathfrak{b} \subseteq I'$ induces the S -homomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathfrak{b}/x_n \mathfrak{b} \longrightarrow I/I'.$$

By the construction, its kernel is $(I' \cap \mathfrak{b})/x_n \mathfrak{b}$, and its cokernel is $I/(I' + \mathfrak{b})$. It is, however, clear that $I' \cap \mathfrak{b} \subseteq x_n \mathfrak{b}$ and $I = I' + \mathfrak{b}$, which indicates that φ is an isomorphism. Moreover, the composition of the inclusion $\mathfrak{b} \cap R \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}$ and the natural map $\mathfrak{b} \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}/x_n \mathfrak{b}$ gives an isomorphism as \mathbf{Z}^n -graded S -modules, which completes the proof. \square

For a monomial $v \in S$, let $\deg(v)$ denotes the multi-degree of v , and for $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$, set $|\mathbf{a}| := \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ by abuse of notation.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. By Proposition 2.1, it suffices to show that $\text{sdepth } I \geq n - \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$. Set $G(I) = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ and $\varepsilon(I) := \sum_{i=1}^m |\deg(v_i)| (\geq m)$. We use induction on $\varepsilon(I)$. In the case $n = 0, 1$, there is nothing to do. Assume $n \geq 2$. The case $m \leq 2$ is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.1, and it suffices to consider only the case $m \geq 3$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, we set

$$t_i(I) := |\{v_j \in G(I) \mid x_i \text{ divides } v_j\}|$$

($t_i(I)$ is called the *type* of x_i in [6]).

If $t_i(I) \leq 1$ for all i , then I is a complete intersection, and hence $\text{sdepth } I = n - \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ by Theorem 2.2. In particular, if $\varepsilon(I) = m$, then the assertion holds. Thus we may assume that $t_i(I) \geq 2$ for some i , and hence, without loss of generality, that $t_n(I) \geq 2$.

If $t_n(I) = m$, then each v_i can be divided by x_n . Set $v'_i := v_i/x_n$, and let I' be a monomial ideal of S (minimally) generated by v'_1, \dots, v'_m . Since I and I' are isomorphic to each other up to degree shifting in

$\text{mod}_{\mathbf{Z}^n} S$, it follows that $\text{sdepth } I = \text{sdepth } I'$, and moreover we have $\varepsilon(I') < \varepsilon(I)$. Therefore, by our inductive hypothesis we have

$$\text{sdepth } I = \text{sdepth } I' \geq n - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

The remaining case is that $2 \leq t_n(I) \leq m - 1$. We set $r := t_n(I)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that x_n divides v_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$ but not for $i = r + 1, \dots, m$. Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ be a monomial ideal generated by v_1, \dots, v_r and v_{r+1}, \dots, v_m respectively, and hence $I = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ hold. Set $I' := \mathfrak{a} + x_n \mathfrak{b}$, and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow I' \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow I/I' \longrightarrow 0.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\text{sdepth } I \geq \min\{\text{sdepth } I', \text{sdepth } (I/I')\}.$$

We set $G(I') := \{u_1, \dots, u_{|G(I')|}\}$ (note that $|G(I')| \leq m$). Each minimal generator of I' can be divided by x_n ; let I'' be the monomial ideal generated by $u_1/x_n, \dots, u_{|G(I')|}/x_n$. By the same argument as in the case $t_n(I) = m$, we have $\text{sdepth } I'' = \text{sdepth } I'$. Since $\varepsilon(I'') \leq \varepsilon(I) - r \leq \varepsilon(I) - 2$ (recall that $r = t_n(I) \geq 2$), applying our inductive hypothesis yields

$$\text{sdepth } I' = \text{sdepth } I'' \geq n - \left\lfloor \frac{|G(I')|}{2} \right\rfloor \geq n - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

As for I/I' , we can apply Lemma 2.5, and it follows that

$$\text{sdepth } (I/I') = \text{sdepth } ((v_{r+1}, \dots, v_m) \cap \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]).$$

Note that $(v_{r+1}, \dots, v_m) \cap \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ is minimally generated by v_{r+1}, \dots, v_m as an ideal of $\mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$. Since $\sum_{i=r+1}^m |\deg(v_i)| < \varepsilon(I)$, applying our inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sdepth } ((v_{r+1}, \dots, v_m) \cap \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]) &\geq n - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{m - r}{2} \right\rfloor \\ &\geq n - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{m - 2}{2} \right\rfloor \\ &= n - 1 - \left(\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) \\ &= n - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

since $r \geq 2$.

Summing up, we conclude that $\text{sdepth } I \geq n - \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ holds even if $2 \leq t_n(I) \leq m - 1$, which completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Kohji Yanagawa for his helpful comments and suggestions. He also expresses his gratitude to Jürgen Herzog and YiHuang Shen for informing me of Keller-Young's result.

REFERENCES

1. J. Apel, *On a conjecture of R.P. Stanley; Part I—Monomial ideals*, J. Algebraic Combin. **17** (2003), 39–56.
2. M. Cimpoeaş, *Stanley depth of complete intersection monomial ideals*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roum. **51** (2008), 205–211.
3. J. Herzog, M. Vladoiu and X. Zheng, *How to compute the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal*, J. Algebra **322** (2009), 3151–3169.
4. M.T. Keller and S.J. Young, *Stanley depth of squarefree monomial ideals*, J. Algebra **322** (2009), 3789–3792.
5. E. Miller, B. Sturmfels, and K. Yanagawa, *Generic and cogenerated monomial ideals*, J. Symb. Comp. **29** (2000), 691–708.
6. Y. Shen, *Stanley depth of complete intersection monomial ideals and upper-discrete partitions*, J. Algebra **321** (2009), 1285–1292.
7. R.P. Stanley, *Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology*, Invent. Math. **68** (1982), 175–193.

DAI-2-COPO-RIBERA 305, NIWAI 1-4-8, SUMIYOSHIKU, OSAKA-CITY, OSAKA 558-0012, JAPAN

Email address: r-okazaki@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp, r_okazaki@tempo.ocn.ne.jp