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## § 1. Introduction

We fix an algebraically closed field $k$ of an arbitrary characteristic in this paper.

It is shown in [l] that if $X$ is a normal projective variety over $k$ such that $\mathrm{Cl} X \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}$, then $R\left(X^{\circ}, L\right)=\oplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H^{0}\left(X^{\circ}, L^{\otimes i}\right)$ is a graded factorial ring, where $X^{\circ}$ is the open subvariety of $X$ consisting of smooth points, $L$ is the ample generator of $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\circ} \simeq \mathrm{Cl} X \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}$ and $\mathrm{Cl} X$ is the group of linear equivalence classes of Weil divisors. Graded $k$-algebras isomorphic to such ones are called geometric graded factorial rings. All the graded factorial $k$-algebras $A$ with non-negative degrees such that $k \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} A_{0}$ are completely classified once geometric factorial rings are classified [l]. The classification of such graded $k$-algebras of dimension $\leq 2$ is given in [1]. However in the case of dimension 3, it seems rather difficult to classify geometric graded factorial rings because even non-singular projective surfaces $X$ over $k$ such that $\operatorname{Pic} X \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}$ are not classified at all. In view of this fact, it seems worthwhile to restrict ourselves to normal projective surfaces $X$ such that $\mathrm{Cl} X \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}$ which admits a non-trivial action of $G_{m}$. This is equivalent to considering geometric graded factorial rings of dimension 3 which admit a nondegenerate bigradation (for the definition, see $\S 2$ ).

Our main result is the following.
Theorem 1.1. Let $R$ be a geometric graded factorial ring of dimension 3 which admits a nondegenerate bigradation. Then there exists one and only one index $\Phi$ (see below) such that $R$ is isomorphic to $R_{\Phi}$ given in the following examples.

Example I. Let $\Phi=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)$ be a triple of pairwise relatively prime positive integers with $e_{1} \geq e_{2} \geq e_{3}$. Then

$$
R_{\Phi}=k\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right] \quad \operatorname{deg} x_{i}=e_{i} \quad(i=1,2,3),
$$

is a geometric graded factorial ring which admits a nondegenerate bigradation.

Example II. Let $r \geq 3, e_{1}>\cdots>e_{r}>1$ and $m$ be positive integers such that $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{r}$ are pairwise relatively prime and $(e, m)=1$ where $e=\prod_{i=1}^{r} e_{i}$. Let $a_{3}=1, \cdots, a_{r}$ be mutually distinct elements of $k^{*}$. Then for $\Phi=(\boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{a}, m)$, $\boldsymbol{e}=\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{r}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{3}, \cdots, a_{r}\right)$,
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\Phi}=k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}, u\right] / I, \\
& I=\left(x_{1} e_{1}+a_{3} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{3}{ }^{e_{3}}, \cdots, x_{1}{ }_{1}^{e_{1}}+a_{r} x_{2} e_{2}+x_{r}{ }^{e_{r}}\right), \\
& \operatorname{deg} x_{i}=c / e_{i} \quad(i=1, \cdots, r), \text { and } \operatorname{deg} u=m,
\end{aligned}
$$

is a geometric graded factorial ring which admits a nondegenerate bigradation.
Example III. Let $r \geq 2, e_{1}>\cdots>e_{r}>1, c \leq d, l, m$ and $p$ be positive integers such that

1) $\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=1(1 \leq i<j \leq r),(c, d, e)=1$ where $e=\prod_{i=1}^{r} e_{i}$,
2) $l, m, p$ are prime to each other, moreover $l \leq m$ if $c=d$.
3) $l c+m d=p e$.

Let $b_{3}=1, \cdots, b_{r}, b$ be mutually distinct elements of $k^{*}$ if $r \geq 3$ and $b=1$ if $r=2$. Then for $\Phi=(\boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}), \boldsymbol{e}=\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{r}\right), \boldsymbol{b}=\left(b_{3}, \cdots, b_{r}, b\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{c}=(c, d, l$, $m, p$,

$$
R_{\Phi}=k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}, u, v\right] / I
$$

is a geometric graded factorial ring which admits a nondegenerate bigradation, where $I=\left(x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+b_{3} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{3}{ }^{e_{3}}, \cdots, x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+b_{r} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{r}{ }^{e_{r}}, x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+b x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+u^{c} v^{d}\right)$ if $r \geq 3$, $I=\left(x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+u^{c} v^{d}\right)$, if $r=2, \operatorname{deg} x_{i}=p e / e_{i}(i=1, \cdots, r), \operatorname{deg} u=l$ and $\operatorname{deg} v=m$.
(The factoriality of the rings given in Example II and the geometricity of the rings given in Examples I, II and III follow easily from the results of [1]. The factoriality of the rings given in Example III is shown in §2.) We introduce a bigradation on the rings given above in $\S 2$. We study $G_{m}$-surfaces in $\S 3$ as a preliminary to later sections. In $\S 4$, we consider an arbitrary normal projective surface $X$ with a nontrivial $G_{m}$-action (called a $G_{m}$-surface) such that $\mathrm{Cl} X \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}$. We take a desingularization $g: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $\pi: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ is a morphism ( $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ is the projective line whose function field is $\left.k(X)^{G_{m}}\right)$. Then it is proved that the number $i$ of exceptional curves for $g$ which are not contained in the fibres of $\pi$ is $l$ or 2 . The cases $i=1$ and 2 are treated as Cases 1 and 2, respectively. In $\S 5$, we shall prove that in Case $1 R\left(X^{\circ}, L\right)$ is isomorphic to a graded ring given in Example I or II. In §6, it is proved that in Case $2 R\left(X^{\circ}, L\right)$ is isomorphic to a graded ring given in Example I or III. Thus the existence of $\Phi$ in Theorem 1.1 is proved. $\S 7$ is devoted to the proof of the uniqueness of $\Phi$.

The author expresses his hearty thanks to Professor S. Mori for his kind advice.

Notation and terminology. For an integral domain $A$, we denote by $Q(A)$ the quotient field of $A$. For a graded integral domain $A=\oplus_{i \in \boldsymbol{Z}} A_{i}$, we
denote by $Q H(A)$ the quotient ring $S^{-1} A$, where $S=\cup_{i \in \boldsymbol{Z}}\left\{A_{i}-\{0\}\right\}$. Then $Q H(A)$ has a natural gradation induced by $A$. All homomorphisms between graded rings are assumed to preserve gradation.

## § 2. Bigraded factorial rings

In this section, by a graded ring, we understand an almost geometric graded ring over $k$ defined in [1].

Definition 2.1. Let $R=\oplus_{i \geq 0} R_{i}$ be a graded ring, and $R_{i, j} k$-submodules of $R(i, j \in \boldsymbol{Z}, i \geq 0)$, and $R_{i}=\oplus_{j \in \boldsymbol{Z}} R_{i, j}$. Then we call $R=\oplus_{i, j \in \boldsymbol{Z}} R_{i, j}$ a bigraded ring if $R_{i, j} R_{i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}} \subset R_{i+i^{\prime}, j+j^{\prime}}$ for all $i, i^{\prime}, j, j^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{Z} \quad i, i^{\prime} \geq 0$ and $R_{0,0}=k$.

For a bigraded ring $R$, we define a dual action of $G_{m}, \mu: R \rightarrow k\left[t, t^{-1}\right] \otimes_{k} R$ by $\mu(a)=t^{j} \otimes a\left(a \in R_{i, j}\right)$. This dual action of $G_{m}$ on the graded ring $R$ induces a $G_{m}$-action on $\operatorname{Proj} R$.

Lemma 2.2. If a bigraded ring $R$ is an integral domain and $R_{i, j} R_{i, j^{\prime}} \neq 0$ for some $i, j, j^{\prime}\left(j \neq j^{\prime}\right)$, then the action of $G_{m}$ on $\operatorname{Proj} R$ is nontrivial.

Proof. Take non-zero elements $f \in R_{i, j}$ and $g \in R_{i, j^{\prime}}$. Then the open subscheme $D(f)=\operatorname{Spec}\left(R\left[f^{-1}\right]\right)_{0}$ is $G_{m}$-stable, but the induced dual action sends $g \mid f \in R\left[f^{-1}\right]_{0}$ to $t^{j^{\prime}-j} \otimes g \mid f$. Hence the $G_{m}$-action on $D(f)$ is nontrivial, and thus the action on $\operatorname{Proj} R$ is nontrivial.
q.e.d.

Definition 2.3. We call a bigraded ring $R$ nondegenerate, if there exist integers $i, i^{\prime}, j, j^{\prime}$ such that $i, i^{\prime} \geq 0, i j^{\prime}-i^{\prime} j \neq 0$ and $R_{i, j}, R_{i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}} \neq 0$.

It is easy to see that if a nondegenerate bigraded ring $R$ is an integral domain, $R$ satisfies the condition of lemma 2.2 for the integers $i i^{\prime}$, $i j^{\prime}$, and $i^{\prime} j$. Thus the natural $G_{m}$-action on $\operatorname{Proj} R$ (introduced above) is nontrivial.

In the remainder of this section, we show that the examples in §l are factorial nondegenerate bigraded rings.

Example I: If we define the bigradation of the graded ring $R_{\Phi}=k\left[x_{1}\right.$, $\left.x_{2}, x_{3}\right]$ by $\operatorname{deg} x_{1}=\left(e_{1}, 0\right), \operatorname{deg} x_{2}=\left(e_{2}, 0\right)$, and $\operatorname{deg} x_{3}=\left(e_{3}, 1\right)$, then $R_{\Phi}$ becomes a nondegenerate bigraded ring. Thus Proj $R_{\Phi}$ has a nontrivial $G_{m}$-action. In fact, $\operatorname{Proj} R_{\Phi}$ is a torus embedding of dimension 2.

Example II: If we define the bigradation on $R_{\Phi}(\Phi=(\boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{a}, m))$ by $\operatorname{deg} x_{i}=\left(e / e_{i}, 0\right)(i=1, \cdots, r) \operatorname{deg} u=(m, l)$, then $R_{\Phi}$ becomes a nondegenerate bigraded ring.

Example III: If we define the bigradation on $R_{\Phi}(\Phi=(\boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}))$ by $\operatorname{deg} x_{i}=\left(p e / e_{i}, 0\right)(i=l, \cdots, r), \operatorname{deg} u=(l,-d), \operatorname{deg} v=(m, c)$, then $R_{\Phi}$ becomes a nondegenerate bigraded ring. The factoriality of $R_{\Phi}$ follows from the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. Let $r(>1)$ be an integer and $e_{1}>\cdots>e_{r}>1$ be positive integers such that $\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=1$ for all $i, j(1 \leq i<j \leq r)$. Let $c \geq d$ be positive
integers such that $(c, d, e)=1$ where $e=\prod_{i=1}^{r} e_{i}$, and let $a_{2}=1, \cdots, a_{r}$ be mutually distinct elements of $k^{*}$. Then the ring $R=k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}, u, v\right] / I$, where $I=\left(x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+a_{2} u^{c} v^{d}, \cdots, x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+x_{r}{ }^{e_{r}}+a_{r} u^{c} v^{d}\right)$, is a factorial ring.

Proof. By $(c, d, e)=1$ there exist positive integers $\bar{l}, \bar{m}$ such that $(\bar{l}, \bar{m})=1$ and $(\bar{l} c+\bar{m} d, e)=1$. We consider the graded ring $S=k\left[x_{1}, u, v\right]$, where $\operatorname{deg} x_{1}=\bar{l} c+\bar{m} d, \operatorname{deg} u=\bar{l} e_{1}, \operatorname{deg} v=\bar{m} e_{1}$. Then since $S$ is a graded factorial ring, it follows from Theorem 4.1 in [1] that $R$ is also a graded factorial ring. In fact, it is easy to see that $\boldsymbol{e}=\left(e_{2}, \cdots, e_{r}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\nu}=\left(-x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}-a_{2} u^{c} v^{d}, \cdots,-x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}-\right.$ $a_{r} u^{c} v^{d}$ ) form a ramification data defined in $[1, \S 4]$. Hence $S\left[\boldsymbol{\nu}^{1 / e}\right]$ is a graded factorial ring by Theorem 4.1 in [1]. Thus $R=S\left[\boldsymbol{v}^{1 / e}\right]$ is factorial. q.e.d.

It is easy to see that $R_{\Phi}(\Phi=(\boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}))$ is isomorphic to a ring given in Theorem 2.4.

## §3. $\boldsymbol{G}_{m}$-surfaces

In this section, we shall study some properties of surfaces with a $G_{m}$-action. The results we obtain are essentially the same as in [4], [5].

Definition 3.1. If $X$ is a surface and $\mu: G_{m} \times X \rightarrow X$ is a nontrivial $G_{m}$-action, we call $\{X, \mu\}$ (or, simply, $X$ ) a $G_{m}$-surface. Let $\{X, \mu\},\{Y, \nu\}$ be $G_{m}$-surfaces. We call a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a $G_{m}$-morphism if $f$ makes the following diagram commute.


First, we shall study normal affine $G_{m}$-surface.
Let $\{X, \mu\}$ be a normal affine $G_{m}$-surface and $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$. Then there is a gradation $A=\oplus_{i \in Z} A_{i}$ such that the dual action $\tilde{\mu}: A \rightarrow k\left[t, t^{-1}\right] \otimes_{k} A$ sends $a \in A_{i}$ to $t^{i} \otimes a$ for all $i \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ [SGAD]. Since $A$ is an integral domain, $Q H(A)=K\left[z, z^{-1}\right]$, where $K$ is the field $Q H(A)_{0}$ and $z$ is a homogeneous element of degree $d>0$. As the induced dual action of $G_{m}$ on $Q H(A)$ sends $z$ to $t^{d} \otimes z, K$ is the field of all the $G_{m}$-invariant elements of the function field $Q(A)$ of $X$. Since $Q(A)=K(z)$, tr. $\operatorname{deg}_{k} K=1$. Hence we can take the nonsingular projective curve $C$ whose function field is $K$. Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ be the rational map associated to the inclusion $K \hookrightarrow Q(A)$.

Proposition 3.2. If the rational map $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ is a morphism, then $Q\left(A_{0}\right)=K$ and the general fibres of $\varphi$ are smooth curves.

Proof. Since $A^{G_{m}}=A_{0}$, the morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A_{0}$ is the categorical quotient [GIT]. By the universality of the categorical quotient, there is a unique morphism $g: \operatorname{Spec} A_{0} \rightarrow C$ such that $\varphi=g \circ \pi$. But as $A_{0}$ is the subring of $K, g$ is a birational morphism and $K=Q\left(A_{0}\right)$. Let $S=A_{0}-\{0\}$, then
$S^{-1} A$ is a normal $K$-subalgebra of $Q H(A)=K\left[z, z^{-1}\right]$ whose quotient field is $Q(A)=K(z)$. Such a $K$-subalgebra must be $K[z], K\left[z^{-1}\right]$, or $K\left[z, z^{-1}\right]$. In the case $S^{-1} A=K[z]$, let $A$ be $A_{0}\left[u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right]$, and $u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}$ homogeneous elements of $A$. There exists a non-zero element $f \in A_{0}$ such that $A_{f} \ni z$, and $z^{r_{i}}\left(A_{0}\right)_{f} \ni u_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ where $r_{i}=\operatorname{deg} u_{i} / d$. Then $A_{f}=\left(A_{0}\right)_{f}[z]$. Hence the geometric fibres of the morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A_{0}$ on $D(f)$ are isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{A}^{1}$. Similarly one can show that, in the case $S^{-1} A=K\left[z^{-1}\right]$ or $K\left[z, z^{-1}\right]$, there exists a non-zero element $f \in A_{0}$ such that the geometric fibres of the morphism on $D(f)$ are isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{A}^{1}$ or $\boldsymbol{A}^{1}-\{0\}$, respectively. In all the cases, general fibres of $\pi$ are smooth curves. On the other hand, $g: \operatorname{Spec} A_{0}$ $\rightarrow C$ is a birational morphism and $C$ is a nonsingular curve, hence $g$ is an open immersion. Thus general fibres of $\varphi=g \circ \pi$ are smooth curves. q.e.d.

Proposition 3.3. If the set $X^{G_{m}}$ of $G_{m}$-invariant points of $X$ is of dimension 1, $X^{G_{m}} \simeq \operatorname{Spec} A_{0}$.

Proof. If $m_{x}$ is the maximal ideal of $A$ associated to a point $x \in X^{G_{m}}, m_{x}$ is homogeneous and $A / m_{x}=k$. This implies that $m_{x} \supset A_{i}(i \neq 0)$. Hence by Hilbert's zero point theorem, the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of the reduced closed subscheme $X^{G_{m}}$ contains $A_{i}(i \neq 0)$. Thus the ring homomorphism $A_{0} \rightarrow A / \mathfrak{a}$ is a surjection, and $X^{G_{m}}$ can be considered as a closed subscheme of $\operatorname{Spec} A_{0}$. But $A_{0}$ is integral and at most of dimension 1. Hence if $\operatorname{dim} X^{G_{m}}=1, X^{G_{m}} \simeq \operatorname{Spec} A_{0}$.
q.e.d.

Definition 3.4. If a smooth projective $G_{m}$-surface $S$ is a ruled surface over a curve $C$, the $G_{m}$-action on $S$ induces a $G_{m}$-action on $C$. We call $S$ a $G_{m}$-ruled surface if the $G_{m}$-action on $C$ is trivial.

Proposition 3.5. Let $S$ be a $G_{m}$-ruled surface, then there are two sections $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ of the structure morphism $\pi: S \rightarrow C$ such that $S^{G_{m}}=C_{0} \cup C_{1}$ and $C_{0} \cap C_{1}=\varnothing$.

Proof. Since $G_{m}$ acts on each fibre $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ of $\pi$, there are at least two invariant points on each fibre of $\pi$. Hence we can take a nonempty $G_{m}$-stable affine open subvariety $U$ of $S$ such that general fibres of the natural morphism $U \rightarrow C$ have $G_{m}$-invariant points [3]. Then $\operatorname{dim} U^{G_{m}} \neq 0$, hence by Proposition $3.3 U^{G_{m}} \simeq \operatorname{Spec} A_{0}$, where $A=\oplus_{i \in \boldsymbol{Z}} A_{i}$ and $U=\operatorname{Spec} A$. On the other hand by Proposition 3.2, $\operatorname{Spec} A_{0}$ is birational to $C$. Hence there is a rational map $s_{1}: C \rightarrow U^{G_{m}} \rightarrow S$. Since $S$ is complete and $C$ is nonsingular, $s_{1}$ is a morphism. Let $s_{1}(C)=C_{1}, C_{1} \subset S^{G_{m}}$. Taking another $G_{m}$-stable affine open subvariety $U^{\prime}$ disjoint from $C_{1}$ [3], we obtain another section $s_{0}: C \rightarrow S$ such that $C_{0}=s_{0}(C) \subset$ $S^{G_{m}}$. Since Proposition 3.3 implies that no two $G_{m}$-invariant curves intersect with each other [3], $C_{0} \cap C_{1}=\varnothing$ and $S^{G_{m}}$ contains no fibre of $\pi$. Hence there are exactly two invariant points on each fibre of $\pi$, thus $S^{G_{m}}=C_{0} \cup C_{1}$.
q.e.d.

Proposition 3.6. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective $G_{m}$-surface. Then there are a nonsingular projective $G_{m}$-surface $\widetilde{X}, a G_{m}$-ruled surface $S$ and birational $G_{m}$-morphisms $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X, h: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow S$.

Proof. Let $L$ be the function field of $X$ and $K$ the field of all the $G_{m}$-invariant elements of $L$. Let $C$ be the nonsingular projective curve whose function field is $K\left(\operatorname{tr} . \operatorname{deg}_{k} K=1\right.$ by the result in the affine case). Let $g_{0}: X \rightarrow C$ be the rational map associated to the inclusion $K \hookrightarrow L$. If $g_{0}$ is not a morphism, then every fundamental point $x_{1}$ of $g$ is $G_{m}$-invariant and the blowing-up $X_{1}$ of $X_{0}$ at $x_{1}$ is a $G_{m}$-surface, and the morphism $X_{1} \rightarrow X$ is a $G_{m}$-morphism. If $g_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow C$ is not a morphism, the same operation can be repeated. Thus there is a sequence of $G_{m}$-morphisms $\widetilde{X}=X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{0}=X$ such that $g_{n}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow C$ is a morphism (elimination of indeterminacy). Since $\widetilde{X}$ can be covered by a finite number of $G_{m}$-stable affine open subvarieties, by Proposition 3.2 general fibres of $g_{n}$ are nonsingular curves. But general fibres of $g_{n}$ are complete curves with a nontrivial $G_{m}$-action, so they are isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$. Then it is well-known that, by successive contraction of exceptional curves of the first kind on special fibres, one obtains a ruled surface $S$ over $C$ and $g_{n}$ factors through $S \rightarrow C$, namely $g_{n}: \widetilde{X}^{h} S \rightarrow C$. Since exceptional curves of the first kind on $G_{m}$-surfaces are $G_{m}$-stable, $S$ is a $G_{m}$-surface and $h$ is a $G_{m}$-morphism.
q.e.d.

Proposition 3.7. Let $X$ be a normal projective $G_{m}$-surface. Then there are a nonsingular projective $G_{m}$-surface $\widetilde{X}, a G_{m}$-ruled surface $S$ and birational $G_{m}$-morphisms $f: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and $h: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow S$.

Proof. The desingularization of a surface is done by finite repetition of blowing up along an isolated singular point and the normalization. Thus the $G_{m}$-action on $X$ can be extended to them. Hence there exist a nonsingular projective $G_{m}$-surface $X^{\prime}$, and a birational $G_{m}$-morphism $g: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$. By Proposition 3.6 there are $\widetilde{X}$ and $S$ and $f^{\prime}: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X^{\prime}, h: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow S$. Set $f=g \circ f^{\prime}$. q.e.d.

In the remainder of this section, we quote some well-known lemmas, for the reader's convenience, which are necessary in the following section.

Lemma 3.8. Let $X$ and $Y$ be nonsingular projective surfaces, and $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ a birational morphism which induces an isomorphism $X-\varphi^{-1}(P) \rightarrow$ $Y-\{P\}$ for a point $P \in Y$. Set $\varphi^{-1}(P)=\cup_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$, where $X_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ is an irreducible curve of $X$. Then if we consider the injection $\varphi^{*}: \mathrm{Cl} Y \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} X$,

$$
\mathrm{Cl} X=\varphi^{*}(\mathrm{Cl} Y) \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{\operatorname{cl}}\left(X_{i}\right)
$$

Proof. By the factorization theorem for birational morphisms of nonsingular projective surfaces, we may assume that $\varphi$ is a quadratic transformation. In this case, the assertion is obvious.
q.e.d.

Lemma 3.9. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective surface, $Y$ a normal projective surface, and $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ a birational morphism which induces an isomorphism $X-\varphi^{-1}(P) \rightarrow Y-\{P\}$ for a point $P \in Y$. Set $\varphi^{-1}(P)=\cup_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$, where $X_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ is an irreducible curve on $X$. Then $\operatorname{cl}\left(X_{1}\right), \cdots, \operatorname{cl}\left(X_{n}\right)$ are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Z}$ and

$$
\mathrm{Cl} X /\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{Z} \operatorname{cl}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \simeq \mathrm{Cl} Y
$$

In particular, if $\mathrm{Cl} Y$ is a finitely generated $\mathbf{Z}$-module, so is $\mathrm{Cl} X$, and

$$
\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{Cl} X=\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{Cl} Y+n
$$

Proof. Let $X^{\circ}=X-\cup_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$, then $\mathrm{Cl} X^{\circ} \simeq \mathrm{Cl} Y$ and $f: \mathrm{Cl} X \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} X^{\circ}$ is surjective and $\operatorname{Ker} f$ is generated by $\operatorname{cl}\left(X_{i}\right)(i=1, \cdots, n)$. The linear independence of $\operatorname{cl}\left(X_{i}\right)(i=1, \cdots, n)$ follows from [2]. Hence $\mathrm{Cl} X /\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{Z} \operatorname{cl}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \simeq$ $\mathrm{Cl} X^{\circ} \simeq \mathrm{Cl} Y$.
q.e.d.

Lemma 3.10. Let $F$ be a free $Z$-module of rank $n$, and $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{r}$ be elements of $F$. Then if $F \mid\left\langle a_{1}, \cdots, a_{r}\right\rangle$ is a free $\boldsymbol{Z}$-module of rank $n-r, F \mid\left\langle a_{1}\right\rangle$ is a free $\mathbf{Z}$-module of rank $n-1$.

Proof. The exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow\left\langle a_{1}, \cdots, a_{r}\right\rangle \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow F /\left\langle a_{1}, \cdots, a_{r}\right\rangle \longrightarrow 0
$$

splits, since $F /\left\langle a_{1}, \cdots, a_{r}\right\rangle$ is a free $\boldsymbol{Z}$-module. Hence there is a free submodule $E$ of rank $n-r$ of $F$ such that $F=\left\langle a_{1}, \cdots, a_{r}\right\rangle \oplus E$. Since $\left\langle a_{1}, \cdots, a_{r}\right\rangle$ is of rank $r, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{r}$ are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Z}$ and $F \mid\left\langle a_{1}\right\rangle \simeq\left\langle a_{2}, \cdots, a_{r}\right\rangle \oplus E$ is a free $\mathbf{Z}$-module of rank $n-1$.
q.e.d.

Lemma 3.11. Let $X$ be a nonsingular $G_{m}$-surface, and $L$ an invertible sheaf on $X$ such that $\operatorname{dim} H^{0}(X, L)<\infty$. If a linear subspace $V \subset \boldsymbol{P}\left(H^{0}(X\right.$, $\left.L)^{\vee}\right)$ contains all the points of $\boldsymbol{P}\left(H^{0}(X, L)^{\vee}\right)$ which correspond to $G_{m}$-stable divisors of $X$, then $V=\boldsymbol{P}\left(H^{0}(X, L)^{\vee}\right)$.

Proof. The condition implies that $V$ contains all the $G_{m}$-invariant points of the induced $G_{m}$-action on $\boldsymbol{P}\left(H^{0}(X, L)^{\vee}\right)$. Since every $G_{m}$-action on a projective space is diagonalizable, $G_{m}$-invariant points of $\boldsymbol{P}\left(H^{0}(X, L)^{\vee}\right)$ span $\boldsymbol{P}\left(H^{0}(X, L)^{\vee}\right)$. Hence $V=\boldsymbol{P}\left(H^{0}(X, L)^{\vee}\right)$.
q.e.d.

## §4. The ring $R(X)$

Let $X$ be a normal projective $G_{m}$-surface such that $\mathrm{Cl} X \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}$. Then by Proposition 3.7, there are a nonsingular projective $G_{m}$-surface $\widetilde{X}$, a $G_{m}$-ruled surface $S$ and birational $G_{m}$-morphisms $f: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and $g: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow S$. From now on, we fix such a 4 -ple $\{\widetilde{X}, S, f, g\}$ for $X$.

Definition 4.1. Let $X^{\circ}$ be a nonsingular open subvariety of $X$ such that $X-X^{\circ}$ is a finite set. Let $L \in \operatorname{Pic} X^{\circ} \simeq \mathrm{Cl} X \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}$ be the ample generator of Pic $X^{\circ}$. Then we call $R\left(X^{\circ}, L\right)=\oplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H^{0}\left(X^{\circ}, L^{\otimes i}\right)$ the canonical homo-
geneous coordinate ring of $X$, and denote it by $R(X)$.
$R(X)$ is a geometric graded factorial ring of dimension 3, and independent of the choice of $X^{\circ}$ (see [1]).

Proposition 4.2. S is a rational ruled surface.
Proof. Since $\mathrm{Cl} X \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}$ and $f: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a birational morphism, $\mathrm{Cl} \widetilde{X}$ is a finitely generated $\boldsymbol{Z}$-module by Lemma 3.9. Since $g: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow S$ is also a birational morphism, $\mathrm{Cl} S$ is a finitely generated $\boldsymbol{Z}$-module. Thus the base curve of $S$ is rational.

Let $\pi^{\prime}: S \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ be the structure morphism of the rational $G_{m}$-ruled surface $S$, and let $\pi=\pi^{\prime} \circ g: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{1}$. Since general fibres of $\pi$ are isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{P}^{\mathbf{1}}$, we can take $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, a_{n} \in \boldsymbol{P}^{1}(n \geq 3)$ such that for every closed point $a \in \boldsymbol{P}^{1}-\left\{a_{1}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, a_{n}\right\} \pi^{-1}(\alpha)$ is isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$. Let $\pi^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} e_{i, j} X_{i, j}(i=1, \cdots, n)$, where $e_{i, j}, X_{i, j}\left(i=1, \cdots, n, 1 \leq j \leq r_{i}\right)$ are positive integers and irreducible curves on $\widetilde{X}$, respectively. By Lemma 3.5, there are two sections $C_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ and $C_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ of $\pi^{\prime}$ such that $C_{0}{ }^{\prime} \cap C_{1}{ }^{\prime}=\varnothing$ and $S^{G_{m}}=C_{0}{ }^{\prime} \cup C_{1}{ }^{\prime}$. Let $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ be the proper transforms of $C_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ and $C_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ by $g$, respectively. $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ are $G_{m}$-invariant curves on $\widetilde{X}$.

Proposition 4.3. Every $G_{m}$-stable irreducible divisor of $\widetilde{X}$ defined over $k$ is one of the following curves.

1) $\pi^{-1}(\alpha)$, where $a$ is a closed point of $P^{1}-\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\}$,
2) $\quad X_{i, j} i=1, \cdots, n, l \leq j \leq r_{i}$,
3) $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$.

Proof. Let $C$ be a $G_{m}$-stable irreducible divisor of $\widetilde{X}$. If $C$ is contained in $\pi^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right)$ for some $i$, then $C \subset \cup_{j=1}^{r_{i}} X_{i, j}$ and $C=X_{i, j}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq r_{i}$. If $C \nsubseteq \pi^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right)$ for all $i$ and $C \nsubseteq C_{0} \cup C_{1}$, there is a closed point $x \in C$ such that $x$ is not $G_{m}$-invariant and $\pi(x) \notin\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\}$. Then $C$ contains the closure of the orbit of $x$, which is equal to $\pi^{-1}(\pi(x))$. Hence $C=\pi^{-1}(\pi(x))$. This is the case (1).
q.e.d.

Theorem 4.4. $X$ is obtained from $\widetilde{X}$ by contracting $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}\right)-n+1$ components of

$$
\left(\underset{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq r_{i}}}{\cup} X_{i, j}\right) \cup C_{0} \cup C_{1} .
$$

If one denotes by $R$ the union of the remaining $n+1$ components, then one of the following two cases occurs:

Case 1. There are an integer $i(i=0$ or 1$)$ and $n$ integers $m_{1}, \cdots, m_{n}$ ( $1 \leq m_{i} \leq r_{i}$ for all $i$ ) such that

$$
R=C_{i} \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} X_{i, m_{i}}\right) .
$$

Case 2. There are $n$ integers $m_{1}, \cdots, m_{n}\left(1 \leq m_{i} \leq r_{i}\right.$ for all $\left.i\right)$ such that

$$
\left(\bigcup_{i, j} X_{i, j}\right) \supset R \supset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} X_{i, m_{i}}
$$

Proof. Since rank $\mathrm{Cl} S=2$, rank $\mathrm{Cl} \widetilde{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}-n+2$ by Lemma 3.9. On the other hand, rank $\mathrm{Cl} X=1$. Hence by Lemma 3.9, $f: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ contracts exactly $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}-n+1$ curves of $\widetilde{X}$. But since $f$ is a $G_{m}$-morphism, those curves are $G_{m}$-stable. By Proposition 4.3, there are only three types of $G_{m}$-stable curves. But since the self-intersection number of a curve $\pi^{-1}(\alpha)$ $\alpha \notin\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$ is $0, \pi^{-1}(\alpha)$ is not an exceptional curve of the morphism $f$ [2]. Thus the first assertion is proved. Since the self-intersection number of $\pi^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right)=\sum_{j e} e_{i, j} X_{i, j}$ is 0 for each $i$, there are $n$ integers $m_{1}, \cdots, m_{n}\left(1 \leq m_{i} \leq r_{i}\right.$ for all $i$ ) such that $R \supset \cup_{i=1}^{n} X_{i, m_{i}}$. Then the case 1 occurs if $R \nsubseteq \cup_{i, j} X_{i, j}$, and the case 2 occurs if $R \subset \cup_{i, j} X_{i, j}$.
q.e.d.

Definition 4.5. We call the components of $R$ given in Theorem 4.4 remaining curves.

We will determine the canonical homogeneous coordinate ring $R(X)$ in each case in the following sections.

## §5. Case 1

By renumbering the curves in Theorem 4.4, we may assume that $R=C_{0} \cup\left(\cup_{i=1}^{n} X_{i, 1}\right)$ and $e_{1,1} \geq \cdots \geq e_{n, 1}$. For simplicity, we denote, in this section, $X_{i, 1}$ and $e_{i, 1}$ by $X_{i}$ and $e_{i}$, respectively $(i=1, \cdots, n)$. We can take elements $s_{1}, \cdots, s_{n}$ of $H^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{1}, O_{P}(1)\right)$ such that $\left(s_{i}\right)_{0}=a_{i}$ and $s_{1}+a_{i} s_{2}+s_{i}=0(i=3, \cdots, n)$, where $a_{3}=1, \cdots, a_{n}$ are mutually distinct elements of $k^{*}$ uniquely determined by $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$. Let $r$ be the largest number $(1 \leq r \leq n)$ such that $e_{r}>1$, if $e_{1}>1$, and let $r$ be 0 if $e_{1}=1$.

Theorem 5.1. $\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=1$ for all $i$ and $j$ such that $1 \leq i<j \leq n$, and there is a positive integer $m$ with the following property: If $r=0,1$, or 2 .

$$
R(X) \simeq k\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, u\right], \text { where } \operatorname{deg} x_{i}=e_{i}(i=1,2) \text { and } \operatorname{deg} u=m .
$$

If $r \geq 3$,

$$
R(X) \simeq k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}, u\right] / I \text {, where } \operatorname{deg} x_{i}=e / e_{i}(i=1, \cdots, r),
$$

$\operatorname{deg} u=m, e=\prod_{i=1}^{r} e_{i}$ and

$$
I=\left(x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+a_{3} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{3}{ }^{e_{3}}, \cdots, x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+a_{r} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{r}{ }^{e_{r}}\right) .
$$

Proof. Since $S$ is a rational ruled surface, $\mathrm{Cl} S$ is generated by $n+2$ divisors $Y_{i}=\pi^{\prime-1}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)(i=1, \cdots, n)$ and $C_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ and $C_{1}{ }^{\prime}$. The relations among them are generated by $n$ divisors $Y_{1}-Y_{i}(i=2, \cdots, n)$ and $C_{0}{ }^{\prime}-C_{1}{ }^{\prime}+k Y_{1}$, where $k$ is the self-intersection number of $C_{1}{ }^{\prime}$. By Lemma 3.8, $\mathrm{Cl} \widetilde{X}$ is generated by $X_{i, j}\left(i=1, \cdots, n, 1 \leq j \leq r_{i}\right)$ and $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$. Again by Lemma 3.8, the relations among them are generated by the total transforms of the $n$ divisors $Y_{1}-Y_{i}(i=2, \cdots, n)$ and $C_{0}{ }^{\prime}-C_{1}{ }^{\prime}+k Y_{1}$. If we set

$$
g^{*}\left(C_{0}{ }^{\prime}-C_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i, j} d_{i, j} X_{i, j}+C_{0}-C_{1}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g^{*}\left(Y_{1}-Y_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}} e_{1, j} X_{1, j}-\sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} e_{i, j} X_{i, j}, \\
& g^{*}\left(C_{0}{ }^{\prime}-C_{1}{ }^{\prime}+k Y_{1}\right)=C_{0}-C_{1}+\sum_{i, j} d_{i, j} X_{i, j}+k \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}} e_{1, j} X_{1, j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\mathrm{Cl} X \simeq \mathrm{Cl} \tilde{X} / \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{cl}\left(C_{1}\right)+\sum_{X i, j \oplus R} \boldsymbol{Z} \operatorname{cl}\left(X_{i, j}\right)
$$

$\mathrm{Cl} X$ is generated by $n+1$ remaining curves $X_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ and $C_{0}$. The relations among them are generated by $n$ divisors, $e_{1} X_{1}-e_{i} X_{i}(i=2, \cdots, n)$, and $C_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i, 1} X_{i}+k e_{1} X_{1}$. Thus one has

$$
\mathrm{Cl} X \simeq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{Z} u_{i} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{Z}\left(e_{1} u_{1}-e_{i} u_{i}\right)
$$

where $u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}$ are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Z}$. Then noting that $\mathrm{Cl} X \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}$, one sees easily that $\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=1$ if $i \neq j$ by Lemma 3.10. Since $e_{i} \mathrm{cl}\left(X_{i}\right) \in e_{j} \mathrm{Cl} X$ for every $j$, one can take a divisor $E$ such that $\left(e / e_{i}\right) \operatorname{cl} E=\mathrm{cl} X_{i}$ for every $i\left(e=\prod_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}\right)$. Then $\mathrm{cl} E$ generates $\mathrm{Cl} X$. Let $X^{\circ}=\widetilde{X}-\cup_{i=1}^{n} \cup_{j=2}^{r_{i}} X_{i, j}-C_{1}$, then $X^{\circ}$ is a smooth open subvariety of $X$ and $X-X^{\circ}$ is a finite set. For simplicity, we denote the restriction to $X^{\circ}$ of a divisor on $\widetilde{X}$ by the same symbol (if there is no danger of confusion). We set $L=O_{X^{\circ}}(E)$. Since $L^{\otimes e} \simeq O_{X^{\circ}}\left(e_{1} X_{1}\right)$, we fix an isomorphism $\left.\pi^{*} O_{P}(1)\right|_{X^{\circ}} \simeq L^{\otimes e}$ and identify them from now on in this section. Since $\left(\pi^{*}\left(s_{i}\right)\right)_{0}=e_{i} X_{i}$ and $H^{0}\left(X^{0}, O_{X^{\circ}}\right)=k$, there is an element $\bar{x}_{i}$ of $H^{0}\left(X^{\circ}, L^{\otimes e / e_{i}}\right)$ such that $\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)_{0}=X_{i}$ and $\bar{x}_{i}{ }_{i}=\pi^{*}\left(s_{i}\right)$ for every $i$. Since $\mathrm{Cl} X$ is generated by $\mathrm{cl} E, C_{0} \sim m E$ for some integer $m$. One has $m>0$ because $C_{0}>0$ and $\mathrm{Cl} X \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}$. Hence there is an element $\bar{u}$ of $H^{0}\left(X^{\circ}\right.$, $\left.L^{\otimes m}\right)$ such that $(\bar{u})_{0}=C_{0}$. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that $G_{m}$-stable irreducible divisors of $X^{\circ}$ are $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}, C_{0}$, and $\pi^{-1}(\alpha)$, where $\alpha$ is an arbitrary closed point of $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}-\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\}$. For $a \neq \alpha_{1}, a_{2}$ there is an element $a \in k^{*}$ such that $\alpha=\left(s_{1}+a s_{2}\right)_{0}$, hence $\pi^{-1}(a)=\left(\pi^{*}\left(s_{1}+a s_{2}\right)\right)_{0}=\left(\bar{x}_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+a \bar{x}_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}\right)_{0}$. Thus all the $G_{m}$-stable effective divisors on $X^{\circ}$ equivalent to $i \operatorname{cl} E$ are zeros of sections of $H^{0}\left(X^{\circ}, L^{\otimes i}\right)$ which are homogeneous polynomials of degree $i$ in $\bar{x}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{x}_{n}, \bar{u}$ ( $i \in \boldsymbol{Z}, i \geq 0$ ). Hence $R(X)$ is generated by $\bar{x}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{x}_{n}, \bar{u}$ as a $k$-algebra, by Lemma 3.11. On the other hand, since $s_{1}+a_{i} s_{2}+s_{i}=0(i=3, \cdots, n)$, one has $\bar{x}_{1} e_{1}+a_{i} \bar{x}_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+\bar{x}_{i} e_{i}=0(i=3, \cdots, n)$. If $i$ satisfies the conditions $r<i \leq n$ and $2<i, \bar{x}_{i}$ can be written as a polynomial in $\bar{x}_{1}$ and $\bar{x}_{2}$. We define a morphism of graded $k$-algebras $h: k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, u\right] \rightarrow R(X)$ by $h\left(x_{i}\right)=\bar{x}_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ and $h(u)=\bar{u}$, where $\operatorname{deg} x_{i}=e / e_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ and $\operatorname{deg} u=m$. When $r=0,1$, or 2 , $R(X)$ is the image of $k\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, u\right]$. Since $\operatorname{dim} R(X)=3, R(X) \simeq k\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, u\right]$, where $\operatorname{deg} x_{i}=e_{i}(i=1,2)$ and $\operatorname{deg} u=m$. When $r \geq 3, h$ induces a surjection $k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}, u\right] / I \rightarrow R(X)$, where $I=\left(x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+a_{3} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{3}{ }_{3}^{e_{3}}, \cdots, x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+a_{r} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{r}{ }_{r}{ }^{e_{r}}\right)$. Since $k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}, u\right] / I$ is a factorial ring of dimension 3 by [l], and $\operatorname{dim} R(X)$
$=3$, we obtain $R(X) \simeq k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}, u\right] / I$, where $\operatorname{deg} x_{i}=e / e_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ and $\operatorname{deg} u=m$.
q.e.d.

Remark 5.2. Since $R(X)$ is a geometric graded factorial ring, $(e, m)=1$ by [1, Corollary 2.9]. Hence $R(X)$ is isomorphic to a graded ring given in Example I or II.

## §6. Case 2

Again by renumbering the curves, we may assume that $R=\left(\cup_{i=1}^{n} X_{i, 1}\right)$ $\cup X_{n, 2}$ and $e_{1,1} \geq \cdots \geq e_{n-1,1}, e_{n, 1} \leq e_{n, 2}$. In the case $e_{n, 1}=e_{n, 2}$, we may furthermore assume that the divisor $f\left(X_{n, 2}-X_{n, 1}\right)$ is linearly equivalent to 0 or some positive multiple of it is ample (note that $\mathrm{Cl} X \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}$ and $X$ is projective). For simplicity, we denote, in this section, $X_{i, 1}, e_{i, 1}, X_{n, 1}, X_{n, 2}, e_{n, 1}$, and $e_{n, 2}$ by $X_{i}, e_{i}, U, V, c$, and $d$, respectively ( $i=1, \cdots, n-1$ ). We can take elements $s_{0}, \cdots, s_{n-1}$ of $H^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{1}, O_{\boldsymbol{P}}(1)\right)$ such that $\left(s_{i}\right)_{0}=a_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n-1),\left(s_{0}\right)_{0}=a_{n}$, $s_{1}+b_{i} s_{2}+s_{i}=0(i=3, \cdots, n-1)$ and $s_{1}+b s_{2}+s_{0}=0$, where $b_{3}=1, \cdots, b_{n-1}, b$ are mutually distinct elements of $k^{*}$ uniquely determined by $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$. Let $r$ be the largest number ( $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ ) such that $e_{r}>1$, if $e_{1}>1$, and let $r$ be 0 if $e_{1}=1$.

Theorem 6.1. $\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=1$ for all $1 \leq i<j \leq n-1$, and $(c, d, e)=1$, where $e=\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} e_{i}$. There are positive integers $l, m, p$ with the following property: If $r=0$ or 1 ,

$$
R(X) \simeq k\left[x_{1}, u, v\right], \text { where } \operatorname{deg} x_{1}=p, \operatorname{deg} u=l \text { and } \operatorname{deg} v=m .
$$

If $r \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(X) \simeq k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}, u, v\right] / I, \\
& I=\left(x_{1}^{e_{1}}+b_{3} x_{2}^{e_{2}}+x_{3}^{e_{2}}, \cdots, x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+b_{r} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{r}{ }_{r}, x_{1}{ }_{1}^{e_{1}}+b x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+u^{c} v^{d}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} x_{i}=p e / e_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n-1), \operatorname{deg} u=l$ and $\operatorname{deg} v=m$.
Proof. As in Case 1, Cl $\widetilde{X}$ is generated by $X_{i, j}\left(i=1, \cdots, n, l \leq j \leq r_{i}\right)$, $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$, and the relations among them are generated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}} e_{1, j} X_{1, j}-\sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} e_{i, j} X_{i, j} \quad(i=2, \cdots, n), \\
& C_{0}-C_{1}+\sum_{i, j} d_{i, j} X_{i, j}+k \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}} e_{1, j} X_{1, j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since by Lemma 3.9,

$$
\mathrm{Cl} X \simeq \mathrm{Cl} \tilde{X} / \sum_{j=0}^{1} \boldsymbol{Z} \operatorname{cl}\left(C_{i}\right)+\sum_{X_{i}, j \oplus R} \boldsymbol{Z}_{\mathrm{jl}}\left(X_{i, j}\right),
$$

$\mathrm{Cl} X$ is generated by the classes of the remaining curves $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n-1}, U, V$, and the relations among them are generated by $n$ divisors $e_{1} X_{1}-e_{i} X_{i}(i=2, \cdots$, $n-1), e_{1} X_{1}-c U-d V$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i, 1} X_{i}+d_{n, 1} U+d_{n, 2} V+k e_{1} X_{1}$. It follows from Lemma 3.10 that $\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=1$ for all $1 \leq i<j \leq n-1$ and $(c, d, e)=1$. Let

$$
X^{\circ}=\widetilde{X}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \bigcup_{j=2}^{r_{i}} X_{i, j}-\bigcup_{j=3}^{r_{n}} X_{n, j}-C_{0}-C_{1},
$$

then $X^{\circ}$ is a nonsingular open subvariety of $X$ and $X-X^{\circ}$ is a finite set. Let $L$ be the ample generator of $\operatorname{Pic}\left(X^{\circ}\right) \simeq \mathrm{Cl} X \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}$. Then there is a positive integer $p^{\prime}$ such that $\left.L^{\otimes p^{\prime}} \simeq \pi^{*} O_{P}(1)\right|_{X^{0}}$. We fix such an isomorphism and identify them. Since $L^{\otimes p^{\prime}} \simeq O_{X^{\circ}}\left(e_{i} X_{i}\right), e_{i}$ divides $p^{\prime}(i=1, \cdots, n-1)$. Hence there is a positive integer $p$, such that $p^{\prime}=p e$. Since $\left(\pi^{*}\left(s_{i}\right)\right)_{0}=e_{i} X_{i}(i=1, \cdots$, $n-1)$ and $H^{0}\left(X^{\circ}, O_{X^{\circ}}\right)=k$, there is an element $\bar{x}_{i}$ of $H^{0}\left(X^{\circ}, L^{\otimes p e / e_{i}}\right)$ such that $\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)_{0}=X_{i}$ and $\bar{x}_{i}{ }^{e_{i}}=\pi^{*}\left(s_{i}\right)(i=1, \cdots, n-1) . \quad$ Since $\left(\pi^{*}\left(s_{n}\right)\right)_{0}=c U+d V$, there are elements $\bar{u} \in H^{0}\left(X^{\circ}, L^{\otimes l}\right)$ and $\bar{v} \in H^{0}\left(X^{\circ}, L^{\otimes m}\right)$ for some positive integers $l$ and $m$ such that $(\bar{u})_{0}=U$ and $(\bar{v})_{0}=V$ and $\bar{u}^{c} \bar{v}^{d}=\pi_{*}\left(s_{n}\right)$. If $c=d$, then $l \leq m$ by our definition of $e_{n, 1}$ and $e_{n, 2}$. As in Case $1, R(X)$ is generated by $\bar{x}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{x}_{n-1}, \bar{u}, \bar{v}$ as a $k$-algebra. On the other hand, since $s_{1}+b_{i} s_{2}+s_{i}=0$ ( $i=3, \cdots, n-1$ ) and $s_{1}+b s_{2}+s_{0}=0$, one has $\bar{x}_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+b_{i} \bar{x}_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+\bar{x}_{i}{ }_{i}=0(i=3, \cdots$, $n-1$ ) and $\bar{x}_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+b \bar{x}_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+\bar{u}^{c} \bar{v}^{d}=0$. If $i$ satisfies the conditions $r<i \leq n-1$ and $1<i, \bar{x}_{i}$ can be written as a polynomial in $\bar{x}_{1}, \bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$. We define a morphism of graded $k$-algebras $h: k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, u, v\right] \rightarrow R(X)$ by $h\left(x_{i}\right)=\bar{x}_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n-1)$ and $h(u)=\bar{u}$ and $h(v)=\bar{v}$, where $\operatorname{deg} x_{i}=p e / e_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n-1)$, $\operatorname{deg} u=l$, and $\operatorname{deg} v=m$. When $r=0$, or $l, R(X)$ is the image of $k\left[x_{1}, u, v\right]$. Since $\operatorname{dim}$ $R(X)=3, R(X) \simeq k\left[x_{1}, u, v\right]$, where $\operatorname{deg} x_{1}=p, \operatorname{deg} u=l$, and $\operatorname{deg} v=m$. When $r \geq 2, h$ induces a surjection $k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}, u, v\right] / I \rightarrow R(X)$, where $I=\left(x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+b_{3} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}\right.$ $\left.+x_{3}{ }^{e_{3}}, \cdots, x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+b_{r} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{r}{ }^{e_{r},} x_{1}{ }_{1}^{e_{1}}+b x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+u^{c} v^{d}\right)$. Since $k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}, u, v\right] / I$ is a factorial ring of dimension 3 by the results of $\S 2$ and $\operatorname{dim} R(X)=3$, we obtain $R(X) \simeq k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}, u, v\right] / I$, where $\operatorname{deg} x_{i}=p e / e_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n-1), \operatorname{deg} u=l$, and $\operatorname{deg} v=m$.
q.e.d.

Remark 6.2. Since $R(X)$ is a geometric graded factorial ring, $l, m$, $p$ are pairwise relatively prime by [l, Corollary 2.9]. Hence $R(X)$ is isomorphic to a graded ring given in Example I or III.

## §7. The proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let $R$ be a graded ring in Theorem 1.1, and fix a nondegenerate bigradation. Then $X=\operatorname{Proj} R$ is a normal projective surface such that $\mathrm{Cl} X \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}[1, \S 1]$, and $X$ has a structure of $G_{m}$-surface $\{X, \mu\}$ induced by the bigradation. It was proved in previous sections that $R(X) \simeq R_{\Phi}$ for an index $\Phi$. Since $R \simeq R(X)[1, \S 2], R \simeq R_{\Phi}$. Thus the existence of $\Phi$ is proved.

Now it is sufficient to prove that if $R_{\Phi} \simeq R_{\Phi^{\prime}}$ then $\Phi=\Phi^{\prime}$. The indices $\Phi$ given in Examples I, II, and III are called of types I, II, and III, respectively.

Definition 7.1. For integers $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{m}$, we denote $\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]$ $=\left[b_{1}, \cdots, b_{m}\right]$ if and only if $n=m$ and there is a permutation $s \in S_{n}$ such that $b_{i}=a_{s(i)}(i=1, \cdots, n)$.

Let $R=\oplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_{i}$ be a graded ring with non-negative degrees and of finite type over $k$ such that $R_{0}=k$. Let $\left\{u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right\}$ be a minimal basis of $R_{+}=\oplus_{i>0} R_{i}$ as an $R$-module consisting of homogeneous elements. Then [ $\operatorname{deg} u_{1}, \cdots, \operatorname{deg} u_{n}$ ] is uniquely determined by $R$ (independent of the choice of
$\left.u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}[1, \S 2]\right)$.
Definition 7.2. Under the above notation, we denote $\left[\operatorname{deg} u_{1}, \cdots, \operatorname{deg} u_{n}\right]$ and $n$ by $D(R)$ and $n(R)$, respectively.

Of course, if $R \simeq R^{\prime}, D(R)=D\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ and $n(R)=n\left(R^{\prime}\right)$.
Proposition 7.3. Let $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$ be two indices such that $R_{\Phi} \simeq R_{\Phi}{ }^{\prime}$. If $\Phi$ is of type I, then $\Phi=\Phi^{\prime}$.

Proof. Since $n\left(R_{\Phi^{\prime}}\right)=n\left(R_{\Phi}\right)=3$, it is obvious that $\Phi^{\prime}$ is of type I. Let $\Phi=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)$ and $\Phi^{\prime}=\left(e_{1}{ }^{\prime}, e_{2}{ }^{\prime}, e_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right)$. Then $D\left(R_{\Phi}\right)=\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right]$ and $D\left(R_{\Phi^{\prime}}\right)=$ [ $\left.e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}{ }^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}\right]$. Since $e_{1} \geq e_{2} \geq e_{3}, e_{1}{ }^{\prime} \geq e_{2}{ }^{\prime} \geq e_{3}^{\prime}$ and $D\left(R_{\Phi}\right)=D\left(R_{\Phi^{\prime}}\right),\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)=$ ( $e_{1}{ }^{\prime}, e_{2}{ }^{\prime}, e_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ ). Hence $\Phi=\Phi^{\prime}$. q.e.d.

It is easy to see that the automorphism group Aut $(R)$ of $R$ (given in Definition 7.2) as a graded $k$-algebra is a linear algebraic group over $k$. We denote by rank $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(R)$ the rank of the 0 -component $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(R)$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(R)$, the dimension of a maximal torus of $\mathrm{Aut}^{\circ}(R)$.

Proposion 7.4. Let $\Phi$ be an index of type $I I$ or III. Then $\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{Aut}^{\circ}\left(R_{\Phi}\right)=2$.

Proof. Let $T \subset \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(R_{\Phi}\right)$ be the 2 -dimensional torus associated to the bigradation $R_{\Phi}=\oplus_{i, j} R_{i, j}$ of $R_{\Phi}$ given in $\S 2^{*)}$. It is sufficient to prove that the centralizer $Z(T)$ is of dimension 2. By the definition of the centralizer, every element of $Z(T)$ preserves the bigradation of $R$.

First, let us assume that $\Phi$ is of type II, and set $\Phi=(\boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{a}, m)$. Then, every element of $Z(T)$ induces automorphisms of
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \oplus_{i \geq 0}^{\oplus} R_{i, 0}=k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right] /\left(x_{1}{ }_{1}^{e_{1}}+a_{3} x_{2}{ }_{2}^{e_{2}}+x_{3}{ }^{e_{3}}, \cdots, x_{1}{ }_{1}^{e_{1}}+a_{r} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{r}{ }_{r}^{e_{r}}\right) \\
& \oplus_{i \geq 0} R_{i m, i}=k[u] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}, u$ generate $R_{\Phi}$ over $k$, the kernel of the homomorphism

$$
Z(T) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\underset{i \geq 0}{\oplus} R_{i, 0}\right) \times \operatorname{Aut}\left(\underset{i \geq 0}{\left(\oplus_{i m, i}\right.}\right)
$$

of algebraic groups is of dimension 0. By the exact sequence of [ 1 , Theorem 4.5], Aut $\left(\oplus_{i \geq 0} R_{i, 0}\right) \simeq G_{m}$. Since Aut $\left(\oplus_{i \geq 0} R_{i m, i}\right) \simeq G_{m}, Z(T)$ is of dimension 2.

Next, let $\Phi$ be of type III, and set $\Phi=(\boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c})$. We admit the following lemma and continue the proof.

Lemma 7.5. $\quad R_{p_{e / e i} i}=k x_{i}(i=1, \cdots, r)$.
Thus every element of $Z(T)$ induces automorphisms of

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{\prime} & =k\left[R_{p_{e / e}, 0}, \cdots, R_{p_{e / e r}, 0}\right] \\
& =k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right] /\left(x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+b_{3} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{3}{ }_{3}^{e_{3}}, \cdots, x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+b_{r} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{r} e_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
R^{\prime \prime}=k\left[R_{i,-d}, R_{m, d}\right]=k[u, v] .
$$

Since $R_{\Phi}$ is generated by $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}, u, v$ over $k$, the kernel of the homomorphism

$$
Z(T) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(R^{\prime}\right) \times \operatorname{Aut}_{b i-g r} \cdot\left(R^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

of algebraic groups is of dimension 0 , where $\operatorname{Aut}_{b i-g r}$. $\left(R^{\prime \prime}\right)$ denotes the algebraic subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(R^{\prime \prime}\right)$ consisting of elements preserving bigradation. On the other hand, if $r \geq 3 \operatorname{Aut}\left(R^{\prime}\right) \simeq G_{m}$ and $\operatorname{Aut}_{b i-g r .}\left(R^{\prime \prime}\right) \simeq G_{m}{ }^{2}$. Thus one sees that $Z(T)$ is of dimension 2 , in view of the equation $x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+b x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+u^{c} v^{d}=0$. If $r=2, \operatorname{dim} Z(T)=2$ easily follows from the equation $x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+u^{c} v^{d}=0$.
q.e.d.

Proof of Lemma 7.5. Since $R_{p_{e / e} ;, 0}$ is generated by monomials in $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}, u, v$, it is sufficient to prove the following assertion: If non-negative integers $l_{1}, \cdots, l_{r}, q, q^{\prime}$ satisfies the condition $x_{1}{ }^{l_{1} \cdots} x_{r}^{l_{r}} u^{q} v^{q^{\prime}} \in R_{p_{e / e} ;, 0}$, then $l_{j}=0(j \neq i)$ and $q=q^{\prime}=0$. The condition $x_{1} l_{1} \cdots x_{r} l_{r} u^{q} v^{q^{\prime}} \in R_{p_{e / e} i}$ implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1}^{r} l_{j} p e\left|e_{j}+q l+q^{\prime} m=p e\right| e_{i},  \tag{1}\\
& -q d+q^{\prime} c=0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus there is a non-negative integer $l_{0}$ such that $q=l_{0} c / e_{0}$ and $q^{\prime}=l_{0} d / e_{0}$, where $e_{0}=(c, d)$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
q l+q^{\prime} m & =l_{0}(l c+m d) / e_{0}=l_{0} p e / e_{0} \\
\sum_{j=0}^{r} l_{j} e^{\prime} \mid e_{j} & =e^{\prime} \mid e_{i} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

by (1), where $e^{\prime}=e_{0} e$. By the equation (3), we have $l_{j} e^{\prime} \mid e_{j} \equiv 0\left(\bmod e_{j}\right)$ $(0 \leq j \leq r, j \neq i)$. Since $\left(e_{0}, e\right)=(c, d, e)=1$, we have $\left(e^{\prime} \mid e_{j}, e_{j}\right)=1$ and $l_{j} \equiv 0$ $\left(\bmod e_{j}\right)$ for every $j \neq i$. Hence we have $l_{j}=0(0 \leq j \leq r, j \neq i)$ by (3), and $q=q^{\prime}=0$ by $l_{0}=0$.

Definition 7.6. For a bigraded ring $R=\oplus_{i, j \in Z} R_{i, j}$, we set $M(R)=\{(i$, $\left.j) ; R_{i, j} \neq\{0\}\right\}$.

Definition 7.7. For a bigraded ring $R$, let $q$ (resp. $q^{\prime}$ ) be the minimum (resp. the maximum) of $\{j / i ;(i, j) \in M(R)-(0,0)\}$ (which exists since $R$ is assumed to be of finite type over $k$ ). Then we define $R^{l}=\underset{\substack{i, j \\ i q=j}}{\oplus} R_{i, j}$ (resp.


Let $\Phi$ be an index of type II. Then, with respect to the bigradation of $R_{\Phi}$ given in $\S 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\Phi}=k^{l}\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right] /\left(x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+a_{3} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{3}{ }^{e_{3}}, \cdots, x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+a_{r} x_{2}^{e_{2}}+x_{r}{ }^{e}\right), \\
& R_{\Phi}{ }^{r}=k[u] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\Phi$ be an index of type III. Then $R_{\Phi}{ }^{l}=k[u]$ and $R_{\Phi}{ }^{r}=k[v]$, with respect to the bigradation given in §2.

Let $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$ be indices of type II or III such that $R_{\Phi} \simeq R_{\Phi^{\prime}}$. Let $R_{\Phi}=\oplus_{i, j \in \boldsymbol{Z}} R_{i, j}$ and $R_{\Phi^{\prime}}=\oplus_{i, j \in \boldsymbol{Z}} R_{i, j}^{\prime}$ be the bigradation given in $\S 2$. There are the 2 -dimensional tori $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{Aut}^{\circ}\left(R_{\Phi}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}^{\circ}\left(R_{\Phi^{\prime}}\right)$ associated to
the above bigradation of $R_{\Phi}$ and $R_{\Phi^{\prime}}$, respectively. Since $R_{\Phi} \simeq R_{\Phi^{\prime}}$ and rank $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(R_{\Phi}\right)=2$, there is an isomorphism $\varphi: R_{\Phi} \simeq R_{\Phi^{\prime}}$ such that $T$ is mapped onto $T^{\prime}$ by the induced isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}: \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(R_{\Phi}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(R_{\Phi^{\prime}}\right)$. Hence there are rational numbers $\delta \neq 0$ and $\nu$ such that $\delta j+\nu i \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ for all $(i, j) \in M\left(R_{\Phi}\right)$ and $\varphi\left(R_{i, j}\right)=R_{i, \delta j+\nu i}^{\prime}$. It is clear that $R_{\Phi}{ }^{l}$ is mapped isomorphically onto $R_{\Phi^{\prime}}^{l}$ if $\delta>0$ and $R_{\Phi^{\prime}}^{r}$ if $\delta<0$ by $\varphi$.

First, let us assume that $\Phi$ is of type II. Then $\Phi^{\prime}$ is also of type II and $\delta>0$, because $R^{l}=k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right] /\left(x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+a_{3} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{3}{ }^{e_{3}}, \cdots, x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+a_{r} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{r}{ }^{e_{r}}\right)$ is not generated by one homogeneous element over $k$. Thus we have $R_{\Phi}^{l} \simeq R_{\Phi^{\prime}}^{l}$. Let $\Phi=(\boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{a}, m)$ and $\Phi^{\prime}=\left(\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right)$. Then one obtains $\boldsymbol{e}=\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime}$ and $\boldsymbol{a}=\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$ by [1, Theorem 5.1], and $m=m^{\prime}$ by $D\left(R_{\Phi}\right)=\left(e / e_{1}, \cdots, e / e_{r}, m\right)$ and $D\left(R_{\Phi^{\prime}}\right)=\left(e / e_{1}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, e / e_{r}, m^{\prime}\right)$. Thus we obtain:

Proposition 7.8. Let $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$ be two indices such that $R_{\Phi} \simeq R_{\Phi^{\prime}}$. If $\Phi$ is of type $I I$, then $\Phi=\Phi^{\prime}$.

Thus it remains to treat the case where $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$ are of type III. Let $\Phi=(\boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c})$ and $\Phi^{\prime}=\left(\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{b}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{c}^{\prime}\right)$. We have shown that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(u)=\alpha u \text { and } \varphi(v)=\beta v \text { for some } \alpha, \beta \in k^{*} \text { if } \delta>0, \\
& \varphi(u)=\alpha v \text { and } \varphi(v)=\beta u \text { for some } \alpha, \beta \in k^{*} \text { if } \delta<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In each case, it holds that

$$
\varphi\left(u R_{\Phi}+v R_{\Phi}\right)=u R_{\Phi^{\prime}}+v R_{\Phi^{\prime}} .
$$

Hence $\varphi$ induces an isomorphism

$$
R_{\Phi} /(u, v) \xrightarrow{\sim} R_{\Phi^{\prime}} /(u, v) .
$$

Thus it is obvious that $\nu=0$, because $M\left(R_{\Phi} /(u, v)\right), M\left(R_{\Phi^{\prime}} /(u, v)\right) \subset\{(i, 0) ; i \in \boldsymbol{Z}\}$. From $D\left(R_{\Phi} \mid(u, v)\right)=\left[p e\left|e_{1}, \cdots, p e\right| e_{r}\right]$ and $D\left(R_{\Phi^{\prime}} \mid(u, v)\right)=\left[p^{\prime} e^{\prime}\left|e^{\prime}{ }_{1}, \cdots, p^{\prime} e^{\prime}\right| e^{\prime} r^{\prime}\right]$, it follows that $r=r^{\prime}, p=p^{\prime}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}=\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime}$. Since the two graded $k$-algebras

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k\left[R_{p_{e / e_{1}, 0},}, \cdots, R_{p_{e / e r}, 0}\right] \\
& \quad=k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right] /\left(x_{1}{ }_{1}^{e_{1}}+b_{3} x_{2} e_{2}^{e_{2}}+x_{3}^{e_{3}}, \cdots, x_{1}^{e_{1}}+b_{r} x_{2}^{e_{2}}+x_{r}^{\left.e_{r}\right)}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k\left[R_{p_{e / e_{1}, 0}, \cdots,}^{\prime}, \cdots, R_{p_{e / e r}, 0}^{\prime}\right] \\
& =k\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right] /\left(x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+b_{3}{ }^{\prime} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{3}{ }^{e_{3}}, \cdots, x_{1}{ }^{e_{1}}+b_{r}{ }^{\prime} x_{2}{ }^{e_{2}}+x_{r}{ }^{e_{r}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are isomorphic with each other, one obtains $\left(b_{3}, \cdots, b_{r}\right)=\left(b_{3}{ }^{\prime}, \cdots, b_{r}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ by [1, Theorem 5.1]. In view of Lemma 7.5, $R_{\Phi} /\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right) \simeq R_{\Phi^{\prime}} /\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right)$, one has $k[u, v] /\left(u^{c} v^{d}\right) \simeq k[u, v] /\left(u^{c^{\prime}} v^{d^{\prime}}\right)$. Hence $c=c^{\prime}, d=d^{\prime}, l=l^{\prime}$, and $m=m^{\prime}$. From these facts, it follows easily that $b=b^{\prime}$. Hence we have $\Phi=\Phi^{\prime}$. Thus we obtain:

Proposition 7.9. Let $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$ be two indices such that $R_{\Phi} \simeq R_{\Phi^{\prime}}$. If $\Phi$ is of type III, then $\Phi=\Phi^{\prime}$.

Thus Theorem 1.1 is completely proved, by Propositions 7.3, 7.8, and 7.9.
${ }^{*}$ ) There is a $G_{m}{ }^{2}$-action on $R$ defined by $(\alpha, \beta)(r)=\alpha^{i} \beta^{j} r$ for every $a, \beta \in k^{*}$ and $r \in R_{i, j} . \quad T$ is the image of this action in $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(R)$.
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