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## Introduction

Let $\Delta$ be the unit disk and $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group acting on $\Delta$ which may be elementary or not. All Fuchsian groups considered in this paper are assumed to be torsionfree, that is, they are covering groups of some universal coverings. We denote by $A(\Delta, \Gamma)$ the Banach space of all holomorphic functions $\phi$ on $\Delta$ with

$$
\gamma^{*} \phi=\phi \quad \text { for all } \gamma \in \Gamma,
$$

where $\gamma^{*} \phi=(\phi \circ \gamma)\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2}$, and norm

$$
\|\phi\|_{\Gamma}=\iint_{\Delta / \Gamma}|\phi(z)| d x d y<\infty
$$

When $\Gamma$ is the trivial group $\{1\}$, we abbreviate $A(\Delta,\{1\})$ and $\|\phi\|_{\{1\}}$ by $A(\Delta)$ and $\|\phi\|$, respectively.

For $\Gamma$ and its subgroup $\Gamma_{1}$, the Poincaré series operator $\Theta_{\Gamma_{1} \backslash \Gamma}: A\left(\Delta, \Gamma_{1}\right) \rightarrow$ $A(\Delta, \Gamma)$ is defined by

$$
\Theta_{\Gamma_{1} \backslash \Gamma} \phi=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{1 \backslash \Gamma}} \gamma^{*} \phi
$$

When $\Gamma_{1}=\{1\}$, we simply denote $\Theta_{\Gamma}$ for $\Theta_{\{1\} \backslash \Gamma}$. It is known that $\Theta_{\Gamma_{1} \backslash \Gamma}$ is an open continuous surjection with norm at most one and satisfies $\Theta_{\Gamma}=\Theta_{\Gamma_{1} \backslash \Gamma^{\circ}} \Theta_{\Gamma_{1}}$ (cf. Kra [3, p.91]).

We have shown in [9]
Theorem A. Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group acting on $\Delta$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ be a normal subgroup of $\Gamma$ such that $\Gamma_{1} \backslash \Gamma$ is finitely generated and abelian. Then, for all nonzero $\Phi \in A(\Delta, \Gamma)$, we have

$$
\sup \left\{\frac{\|\Phi\|_{\Gamma}}{\|\phi\|_{\Gamma_{1}}}: \Phi=\Theta_{\Gamma_{1} \backslash \Gamma} \phi, \phi \in A\left(\Delta, \Gamma_{1}\right)\right\}=1
$$

in particular, $\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma_{1} \backslash \Gamma}\right\|=1$.
Recently, McMullen [7] has extended this result.
Theorem B. Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group acting on $\Delta$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ be a subgroup of $\Gamma$.
(a) If the covering: $\Delta / \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Delta / \Gamma$ is amenable, then the conclusion of Theorem A holds.
(b) If the Riemann surface $\Delta / \Gamma$ is of finite type, in other words, $\Gamma$ is finitely generated and of the first kind, and if the covering: $\Delta / \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Delta / \Gamma$ is nonamenable, then $\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma_{1} \backslash \Gamma}\right\|<1$.
(See McMullen [7] for the definition of amenability.)
In the present paper, we investigate when $\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma}\right\|=1$ for an arbitrary universal covering group $\Gamma$, and show

Theorem 1. For a Fuchsian group $\Gamma$ acting on $\Delta$, the norm of the Poincaré series operator $\Theta_{\Gamma}$ is one if and only if $\Gamma$ satisfies one of the following conditions:
$\left(O_{1}\right)$ For any positive $\rho$, there exists a hyperbolic disk $D$ in $\Delta$ with radius $\rho$ such that

$$
D \cap \gamma D=\emptyset \quad \text { for all } \gamma \in \Gamma-\{1\} .
$$

$\left(O_{2}\right)$ For any positive $\varepsilon$, there exists a hyperbolic element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ whose multiplier $\lambda$ satisfies $1<\lambda<1+\varepsilon$.

The above theorem can be rewritten as follows:
Theorem 1'. Let $X$ be a Riemann surface whose universal covering surface is the unit disk, and $\Gamma$ be the covering group. Then a necessary and sufficient condition that $\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma}\right\|=1$ is that either $\left(O_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ or $\left(O_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ below holds.
$\left(O_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ For any positive $\rho$, there is a point in $X$ at which the injectivity radius (in the hyperbolic metric) is greater than $\rho$.
$\left(O_{2}^{\prime}\right) \quad$ For any positive $\varepsilon$, there is a geodesic in $X$ whose length is less than $\varepsilon$.
Thus the condition which determines whether $\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma}\right\|=1$ or not for a universal covering group $\Gamma$ is not combinatorial but geometric.

Remark. 1. A universal covering is amenable if and only if the covering group is cyclic.
2. It is obvious that $\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma}\right\|=1$ if and only if $\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma_{1} \backslash \Gamma}\right\|=1$ for every subgroup $\Gamma_{1}$ of $\Gamma$.
3. Nakanishi and Yamamoto [8] have shown that the outradius of the Teichmüller space $T(\Gamma)$ equals six if and only if $\left(O_{1}\right)$ or $\left(O_{2}\right)$ holds.

By using Theorem 1, we obtain
Theorem 2. Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group acting on $\Delta$. If neither $\left(O_{1}\right)$ nor $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ holds, then the inclusion map of $T(\Gamma)$ into the universal Teichmüller space
$T(1)$ is strictly distance decreasing in the Teichmüller metric.
The sufficiency of the condision in Theorem 1 is shown in Section 1. On the other hand, the proof of the necessity is divided into four steps and these correspond to Sections 2-5. Theorem 2 is proved in Section 6.

## §1. Sufficiency

We denote by Möb the group of all Möbius transformations and set

$$
\operatorname{Möb}(\Delta)=\{\gamma \in \operatorname{Möb}: \gamma(\Delta)=\Delta\} .
$$

We sometimes write $m(E, f)$ instead of $\iint_{E} f d x d y$, for simplicity.
Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group acting on the unit disk $\Delta$. First, suppose that a hyperbolic disk $D$ with center at $a \in \Delta$ and radius $\rho>0$ satisfies

$$
\gamma(D) \cap D=\varnothing \quad \text { for all } \gamma \in \Gamma-\{1\},
$$

that is, $D$ is contained in a fundamental domain $\omega$ for $\Gamma$. Take a Möbius transformation $\eta_{0} \in \operatorname{Möb}(\Delta)$ with $\eta_{0}(a)=0$, and set $\phi=\left(\eta_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2}$. Then $\phi$ is an elemant in $A(\Delta)$ with norm $\pi$ and $m(D,|\phi|)=\pi \tanh ^{2} \rho$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma} \phi\right\|_{\Gamma} & \geq m\left(\omega,|\phi|-\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{\prime}}\left|\gamma^{*} \phi\right|\right) \\
& \geq m(D,|\phi|)-m(\Delta-D,|\phi|) \\
& =\left(2 \tanh ^{2} \rho-1\right)\|\phi\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\rho \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma}\right\| \geq 1$, hence $\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma}\right\|=1$.
Next, suppose that $\Gamma$ contains a hyperbolic $\gamma$ whose multiplier $\lambda(>1)$ is close to one. Take a Möbius transformation $\eta_{0}$ which maps $\Delta$ onto the upper half-plane $U$ and the fixed points of $\gamma$ to $\{0, \infty\}$, and set $\Phi=\left(\eta_{0}^{\prime} / \eta_{0}\right)^{2}$, then $\Phi \in A(\Delta,\langle\gamma\rangle)$ and its norm is $\pi \log \lambda$. Let

$$
S_{\theta}=\eta_{0}^{-1}\{z \in U: \theta<\arg z<\pi-\theta, 1<|z|<\lambda\},
$$

then, from the so-called collar lemma (cf. Abikoff [1, p.95]), it follows that there are a positive constant $\theta=\theta(\lambda)$ and a fundamental domain $\omega$ for $\Gamma$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad \lambda \longrightarrow 1, \text { and } \\
S_{\theta} \subset \omega \subset S_{0} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We see by Theorem A that for any $t<1$, there is $\phi \in A(\Delta)$ such that

$$
\Theta_{\langle\gamma\rangle} \phi=\Phi \quad \text { and } \quad t\|\phi\|<\|\Phi\|_{\langle\gamma\rangle} .
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma} \phi\right\|_{\Gamma} & =\left\|\Theta_{\langle\gamma\rangle \backslash \Gamma} \Phi\right\|_{\Gamma} \\
& \geq m\left(\omega,|\Phi|-\sum_{\eta \in\langle\gamma\rangle(\Gamma-\langle\gamma\rangle)}\left|\eta^{*} \Phi\right|\right) \\
& \geq m\left(S_{\theta},|\Phi|\right)-m\left(S_{0}-S_{\theta},|\Phi|\right) \\
& =(\pi-4 \theta) \log \lambda \\
& >\left(1-\frac{4 \theta}{\pi}\right) t\|\phi\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 1$ and $\lambda \rightarrow 1$, we obtain $\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma}\right\|=1$. This completes the proof of the sufficiency part.

## § 2. Removing a neighborhood of punctures

Let $\gamma \in \operatorname{Möd}(\Delta)$ be parabolic and $0<t \leq 1$. Choose an element $\eta \in \operatorname{Möb}$ with $\eta(U)=\Delta$ for which $\eta^{-1} \gamma \eta(z)=z+1$. We define a horocycle $H_{t}(\gamma)$ at the fixed point of $\gamma$ in $\Delta$ by

$$
H_{t}(\gamma)=\eta\left\{z \in U: \operatorname{Im} z>\frac{1}{t}\right\} .
$$

The following lemma is well-known (cf. Matelski [6, p.831]).
Lemma 2.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group acting on $\Delta$. For a parabolic element $\gamma \in \Gamma, H_{t}(\gamma)$ is precisely invariant under $\langle\gamma\rangle$ in $\Gamma$. If two parabolic elements $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ have distinct fixed points, then

$$
H_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \cap H_{1}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\emptyset .
$$

Let $p$ be puncture of $X=\Delta / \Gamma$ and $\gamma$ be a prime parabolic element in $\Gamma$ corresponding to $p$. Set

$$
N_{t}(p)=\Gamma\left(H_{t}(\gamma)\right) / \Gamma .
$$

Then $N_{t}(p)$ is a deleted neighborhood of the puncture $p$ homeomorphic to a punctured disk. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $N_{1}(p) \cap N_{1}(q)=\emptyset$ for distinct punctures $p, q$ of $X$. We define a domain $\Delta^{*}$ invariant under $\Gamma$ by

$$
\Delta^{*}=\Delta-\mathrm{Cl}\left(\cup H_{1 / 2}(\gamma)\right)
$$

where Cl means its closure and the union is over all parabolic $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and set

$$
X^{*}=\Delta^{*} / \Gamma
$$

This is a subsurface of $X$ obtained by deleting all parabolic cusps.
Lemma 2.2. For every $\phi$ holomorphic and integrable on $\Delta-\{0\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{0<|z|<1 / 2}|\phi| d x d y \leq \iint_{1 / 2<|z|<1}|\phi| d x d y \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\phi$ is holomorphic at 0 or has a simple pole there, $|z \phi(z)|$ is subharmonic on $\Delta$. Hence the mean value over a circle

$$
\mu(r)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} r\left|\phi\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta
$$

is a nondecreasing function of $r$, in particular, $\mu(r) \leq \mu(r+1 / 2)$. Integrating this inequality from 0 to $1 / 2$, we obtain (2.1).

The above two lemmas imply that

$$
m(X,|\Phi|) \leq 2 m\left(X^{*},|\Phi|\right) \quad \text { for } \Phi \in A(\Delta, \Gamma)
$$

Thus for $\phi \in A(\Delta)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m\left(X,\left|\Theta_{\Gamma} \phi\right|\right) & \leq 2 m\left(X^{*},\left|\Theta_{\Gamma} \phi\right|\right) \\
& \leq 2 m\left(\Delta^{*},|\phi|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence either

$$
m\left(\Delta^{*},|\phi|\right) \geq \frac{1}{3}\|\phi\| \quad \text { or } \quad\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma} \phi\right\|_{\Gamma}=m\left(X,\left|\Theta_{\Gamma} \phi\right|\right) \leq \frac{2}{3}\|\phi\| .
$$

Therefore, to show $\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma}\right\|<1$, it suffices to consider only such $\phi$ that

$$
\|\phi\|=m(\Delta,|\phi|)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad m\left(\Delta^{*},|\phi|\right) \geq \frac{1}{3}
$$

We denote the set of such $\phi \in A(\Delta)$ by $A(\Delta ; 1,1 / 3)$.

## §3. Finding fitting pants

We denote by $d_{\Delta}(\cdot, \cdot), d_{X}(\cdot, \cdot)$ etc. the hyperbolic distance funtions on $\Delta$, $X$ etc., respectively.

Our aim is to show that $\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma}\right\|<1$ for a Fuchsian group $\Gamma$ which acts on $\Delta$ and satisfies

Assumption. There exist positive constants $m$ and $M$ such that $\left(A_{1}\right)$ for every $z \in \Delta$

$$
\inf \left\{d_{\Delta}(z, \gamma(z)): \gamma \in \Gamma-\{1\}\right\} \leq M, \quad \text { and }
$$

$\left(A_{2}\right)$ the multiplier of each hyperbolic element in $\Gamma$ is not less than $e^{2 m}$.
Let $\Omega(x ; X)$ be the set of all loops in $X$ whose initial and terminal point is $x$. Then the above $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{2}\right)$ can be restated to the following:
$\left(A_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ for every $x \in X$ there is a (homotopically) nontrivial loop in $\Omega(x ; X)$ whose length is not more than $M$,
$\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ every loop on $X$ which is nontrivial and freely homotopic to no
punctures of $X$ has the length not less than $m$.
From now on to the end of Section 5, we assume that $\Gamma$ is a Fuchsian group acting on $\Delta$ and satisfies the above assumption. We note that such $\Gamma$ is necessarily of the first kind by $\left(A_{1}\right)$. If $\Gamma$ is finitely generated (and of the first kind)-of course, such a group satisfies our assumption-then by Theorem $B$ we obtain what we desire. Therefore, we furthermore assume that
$\left(A_{3}\right) \quad \Gamma$ is infinitely generated.
The assumption $\left(A_{2}\right)$ means that all nontrivial short loops are freely homotopic to punctures, thus we have

Lemma 3.1. There is a positive constant $m_{1}$ depending only on $m$ for which the following three equivalent conditions hold.
$\left(B_{1}\right)$ For all $z \in \Delta^{*}$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma-\{1\}$,

$$
D_{\Delta}\left(z ; m_{1}\right) \cap \gamma D_{\Delta}\left(z ; m_{1}\right)=\emptyset,
$$

where $D_{\Delta}\left(z ; m_{1}\right)$ is the (hyperbolic) disk in $\Delta$ with center at $z$ and radius $m_{1}$.
$\left(B_{2}\right)$ The injectivity radius at every point in $X^{*}$ is not less than $m_{1}$.
$\left(B_{3}\right) \quad$ All nontrivial loops $C$ in $X$ with $C \cap X^{*} \neq \emptyset$ has length not less than $2 m_{1}$.
For an $\operatorname{arc} C$, we denote by $l(C)$ the length of $C$, and when $C$ is a nontrivial loop, we define $g[C]$ as the geodesic or the puncture freely homotopic to $C$.

Take and fix an arbitrary point $x \in X^{*}$. Let $C_{1}$ be one of the shortest nontrivial loops in $\Omega(x ; X)$, then $C_{1}$ is simple by the shortestness, and

$$
l\left(C_{1}\right) \leq M
$$

by $\left(A_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Furthermore, this and $\left(A_{2}\right)$ imply
Lemma 3.2. There is a positive constant $M_{1}$ depending only on $m$ and $M$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{X}\left(x, g\left[C_{1}\right]\right) \leq M_{1} & \text { if } g\left[C_{1}\right] \text { is a geodesic, } \\
d_{X}\left(x, \partial N_{1 / 2}(p)\right) \leq M_{1} & \text { if } g\left[C_{1}\right] \text { is a puncture } p .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Consider a universal covering: $U \rightarrow X$, and fix a point $c \in U$ over $x$. Let $c^{\prime}$ be the terminal point of the lift of $C_{1}$ with initial point $c$, and let $\eta$ be the covering transformation which maps $c$ to $c^{\prime}$. We can normalize $\eta$ so that $\eta(z)=\lambda z, \lambda \geq e^{2 m}$, or $\eta(z)=z+1$ according as $g\left[C_{1}\right]$ is a geodesic or a puncture. In the first case, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
M \geq l\left(C_{1}\right) \geq d_{U}(c, \lambda c) \geq d_{U}\left(c, e^{2 m} c\right), \\
d_{U}(c,\{\operatorname{Re} z=0\}) \geq d_{X}\left(x, g\left[C_{1}\right]\right), \text { and } \\
d_{U}\left(c, e^{2 m} c\right) \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } \quad d_{U}(c,\{\operatorname{Re} z=0\}) \longrightarrow \infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence we obtain what we desire.
The proof of the second case is the same.
Q.E.D.

Next, let $C_{1}^{\prime}$ be one of the shortest loops in the class $\{C \in \Omega(x ; X): C$ is not homotopic to any $C_{1}^{n}, n \in \mathbf{Z}$, (by a homotopy keeping $x$ fixed) $\}$. Then we have

Lemma 3.3. The loop $C_{1}^{\prime}$ is simple and does not intersect with $C_{1}$ except at x. Moreover, there is a positive constant $M_{2}$ depending only on $m$ and $M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq M_{2} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, suppose that $C_{1}^{\prime}$ is not simple. Then, noting that $C_{1}^{\prime}$ is a geodesic arc, we can devide $C_{1}^{\prime}$ into $C_{11}^{\prime}, C_{12}^{\prime}$ and $C_{13}^{\prime}$ so that $C_{1}^{\prime}=C_{13}^{\prime-1} C_{12}^{\prime} C_{11}^{\prime}$, where $C_{11}^{\prime}$ and $C_{13}^{\prime}$ are arcs from $x$ to some $x^{\prime} \neq x$ and $C_{12}^{\prime}$ is a nontrivial loop in $\Omega\left(x^{\prime}, X\right)$. We may assume that $l\left(C_{11}^{\prime}\right) \leq l\left(C_{13}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $C_{13}^{\prime-1} C_{11}^{\prime}$ is shorter than $C_{1}^{\prime}$, it is homotopic to some $C_{1}^{n}$, by definition. And since $C_{11}^{\prime-1} C_{12}^{\prime} C_{11}^{\prime}$ is not a geodesic arc, there is a loop in its homotopy class whose length is strictly less than $l\left(C_{11}^{\prime-1} C_{12}^{\prime} C_{11}^{\prime}\right)\left(\leq l\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, hence it is also homotopic to some $C_{1}^{k}$. But these imply that $C_{1}^{\prime}$ is homotopic to $C_{1}^{n+k}$, a contradiction to the definition of $C_{1}^{\prime}$.

Next, suppose that $C_{1}$ and $C_{1}^{\prime}$ have a common point $x^{\prime}$ except $x$. Then $C_{1}$ and $C_{1}^{\prime}$ can be devided into simple arcs $C_{11}, C_{12}, C_{11}^{\prime}$ and $C_{12}^{\prime}$ from $x$ to $x^{\prime}$ so that $C_{1}=C_{12}^{-1} C_{11}$ and $C_{1}^{\prime}=C_{12}^{\prime-1} C_{11}^{\prime}$. We may assume that $l\left(C_{11}\right) \leq l\left(C_{12}\right)$ and $l\left(C_{11}^{\prime}\right) \leq l\left(C_{12}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $C_{11}^{\prime-1} C_{11}$ is nontrivial and is not a geodesic arc, there is a loop in $\Omega(x, X)$ homotopic to $C_{11}^{\prime-1} C_{11}$ and strictly shorter than it. The shortestness of $C_{1}$ implies $l\left(C_{1}\right)<l\left(C_{11}^{\prime-1} C_{11}\right)$, hence $l\left(C_{12}\right)<l\left(C_{11}^{\prime}\right)$. But then both $C_{11}^{\prime-1} C_{11}$ and $C_{12}^{\prime-1} C_{11}$ are shorter than $C_{1}^{\prime}$, from which it follows that $C_{1}^{\prime}$ is homotopic to some $C_{1}^{n}$, a contradiction.

Finally, we show (3.1). Take a universal covering: $\Delta \rightarrow X$ such that $z=0$ lies over $x$. Let $G$ be the covering group and let $g_{1} \in G$ correspond to the lift of $C_{1}$ with initial point 0 . We may assume that the fixed points of $g_{1}$ are $e^{i \theta}$ and $e^{-i \theta}, 0<\theta \leq \pi / 2$, if $g_{1}$ is hyperbolic, and that the fixed point is 1 if $g_{1}$ is parabolic. The Dirichlet fundamental domain $\omega$ with center at 0 for $G$, which coincides with the Ford fundamental domain (cf Maskit [5, p.72]), contains $D_{\Delta}\left(0 ; m_{1}\right)$ by $\left(B_{1}\right)$. Set $d=\inf \left\{d_{\Delta}(0 ; g(0)): g \in G-\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle\right\}$ and let $E(g)$ be the exterior of the isometric circle of $g$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & \supset D_{\Delta}(0 ; d / 2) \cap E\left(g_{1}\right) \cap E\left(g_{1}^{-1}\right) \\
& \supset D_{\Delta}(0 ; d / 2) \cap\left\{z \in \Delta: \operatorname{Re} z<0,|\operatorname{Im} z|<\tanh m_{1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\omega$ contains a hyperbolic disk with radius at least $\rho=\rho\left(m_{1}, d\right)$ where $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\rho \leq M / 2$ by $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $d=l\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, we obtain $l\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq$ $M_{2}\left(m_{1}, M\right)$.
Q.E.D.

A subsurface $P$ of a Riemann surface $X$ is called pants if $P$ is of type $(0,3)$ and if each boundary component of $P$ is a geodesic or a puncture of $X$. We note that $P$ is incompressible in $X$. When the length of every nonpuncture
boundary component of $P$ is neither less than $l$ nor more than $L$, we say that pants $P$ is of size $[l, L]$.

The geometric intersection number $i\left(C_{1}, C_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ of $C_{1}$ and $C_{1}^{\prime}$ is the minimum number of points that any two loops freely homotopic, respectively, to $C_{1}$ and $C_{1}^{\prime}$ have in common. By the above lemma, we see that $i\left(C_{1}, C_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is one or zero. If $i\left(C_{1}, C_{1}^{\prime}\right)=1$, then set $C_{2}=C_{1}^{\prime} C_{1} C_{1}^{\prime-1}, C_{3}=C_{2} C_{1}^{-1}$. On the other hand, if not, then set $C_{2}=C_{1}^{\prime}$ and let $C_{3}$ be one of $C_{2} C_{1}$ and $C_{2}^{-1} C_{1}$ which is freely homotopic to a puncture or a simple geodesic. We define $P(x)$ as the unique pants in $X$ whose boundary is $\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} g\left[C_{j}\right]$. Note that

$$
l\left(g\left[C_{j}\right]\right) \leq M_{3}:=2\left(M+M_{2}\right) \quad \text { for } j=1,2,3, \text { and } \quad d_{X}(P(x), x) \leq M_{1}
$$

by Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4 below. We also note that

$$
l\left(g\left[C_{j}\right]\right) \geq m \text { or }=0 \quad \text { for } j=1,2,3
$$

by ( $A_{2}^{\prime}$ ).
Lemma 3.4. For a simple geodesic $C$ in $X$ and a puncture $p$ of $X$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \cap N_{1}(p)=\emptyset . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $C$ is a dividing loop. Let $X_{1}$ be the connected component of $X-C$ which has the puncture $p$, and let $\hat{X}_{1}=X_{1} \cup C \cup j\left(X_{1}\right)$ be the double of $X_{1}$ with respect to the new border $C$ of $X_{1}$, where $j$ is the anticonformal involution of $\hat{X}_{1}$ keeping every point in $C$ fixed. By applying Lemma 2.1 to a universal covering group of $\hat{X}_{1}$, we have $j\left(N_{1}(p)\right) \cap N_{1}(p)=$ $N_{1}(j(p)) \cap N_{1}(p)=\emptyset$, from which (3.2) follows.

By almost the same argument, we see that (3.2) holds also for a nondividing loop $C$.
Q.E.D.

Suppose that pants $P$ has three boundary components with length $l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}$. We denote by $\alpha_{1}$ (resp. $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ ) the hyperbolic line in $\Delta$ which connects $e^{i \theta_{1}}, 0 \leq \theta_{1}<\pi / 2$, and $i$ (resp. $e^{i \theta_{2}}$ and $e^{i \theta_{3}}, \pi / 2 \leq \theta_{2}<\theta_{3} \leq \pi,-1$ and 1 ), where $\theta_{j}$ is determined so that

$$
d_{\Delta}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)=l_{3} / 2, d_{\Delta}\left(\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right)=l_{1} / 2 \quad \text { and } \quad d_{\Delta}\left(\alpha_{3}, \alpha_{1}\right)=l_{2} / 2 .
$$

Take a hyperbolic or parabolic element $\gamma_{j} \in \operatorname{Möb}(\Delta)(j=1,2)$ which maps $\alpha_{j}$ onto its mirrorimage $\alpha_{j}^{\prime}$ in $\alpha_{3}$, and set $G=\left\langle\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\rangle$. Then

$$
\alpha_{j}=\Delta \cap \partial E\left(\gamma_{j}\right), \alpha_{j}^{\prime}=\Delta \cap \partial E\left(\gamma_{j}^{-1}\right) \quad \text { for } j=1,2
$$

the Ford fundamental domain for $G$ is

$$
\omega=E\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \cap E\left(\gamma_{2}\right) \cap E\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cap E\left(\gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cap \Delta,
$$

and $G$ represents pants $P$ in the sense that $P=K(G) / G$, where $K(G)$ is the Nielsen convex domain for $G$, that is, $K(G)$ is the (hyperbolically) convex subdomain of $\Delta$ whose closure in the closed unit disk is the convex hull of the
limit set of G. We note that

$$
K(G) \cap \omega=U\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right) \cap U\left(\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cap U\left(\alpha_{2}^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cap U\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}\right),
$$

where $U\left(\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$ is the half-plane in $\Delta$ such that $0 \in U\left(\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$ and $\partial U\left(\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$ is orthogonal to two hyperbolic lines $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$.

Lemma 3.5. The subsurface $P(x) \cap X^{*}$ is triply connected and incompressible. There is a positive constant $M_{4}$ depending only on $m$ and $M$ such that the diameter of $P(x) \cap X^{*}$ is at most $M_{4}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, $X \cap \partial P(x)$, the geodesic boundary of $P(x)$, does not meet with the cusped neighborhoods $\mathrm{Cl}\left(N_{1 / 2}(p)\right)$ of any punctures $p$ of $X$. This implies the first assertion.

We have seen that the pants $P(x)$ is of size $\left[m, M_{3}\right]$, thus we conclude the second assertion by using the above explicit representation of pants. Q.E.D.

For a subset $Y$ of $X$ and $t$ positive, we denote the $t$-neighborhood of $Y$ in $X$ by $D_{X}(Y ; t)$. The collar lemma and Lemma 3.4 imply

Lemma 3.6. There is a positive constant $m_{2}$ depending only on $M_{3}$ and satisfying:
(a) For any simple geodesic $C$ in $X$ with $l(C) \leq M_{3}, D_{X}\left(C ; m_{2}\right)$ is an annular neighborhood of $C$ and is contained in $X^{*}$.
(b) For any mutually disjoint simple geodesics $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ in $X$ with length at most $M_{3}$,

$$
\mathrm{Cl}\left(D_{X}\left(C ; m_{2}\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{Cl}\left(D_{X}\left(C^{\prime} ; m_{2}\right)\right)=\emptyset .
$$

We sum up the result obtained in this section as a proposition.
Proposition 3.1. There are positive constants $m_{3}, M_{3}$ and $M_{5}$ depending only on $m$ and $M$ with the following properties:

For every $x \in X^{*}$, there is pants $P(x)$ of size $\left[m, M_{3}\right]$ such that
(a) $D_{X}\left(x ; M_{5}\right) \supset P(x) \cap X^{*}$,
(b) $P^{*}(x)=P(x) \cap X^{*}-\mathrm{Cl}\left(D_{X}\left(X \cap \partial P(x) ; m_{3}\right)\right)$ is an incompressible subsurface of $P(x)$ and homeomorphic to $P(x)$, and
(c) $P^{*}(x)$ contains a univalent disk $D_{X}\left(y ; m_{3}\right)$ for some $y \in P^{*}(x)$.

## §4. An estimate on pants

Let $P$ be pants of size [l, L]. Proposition 3.1 (b) implies that there is a positive constant $\varepsilon$ depending only on $l$ and $L$ such that $P^{*}$, obtained from $P$ by deleting the closed $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of its geodesic boundary and the closed $1 / 2$-neighborhood of its punctures, is incompressible and homeomorphic to $P$.

For given constants $l, L$ and $\delta(0<l \leq L<\infty, \delta>0)$, we denote by $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{P}(l, L, \delta)$ the set of all pairs $(G, \phi)$ of a Fuchsian group $G$ acting on $\Delta$ and nonzero $\phi \in A(\Delta)$ satisfying the following two conditions:
(1) $P(G)=K(G) / G$ is pants of size [l, L].
(2) $m\left(K^{*}(G),|\phi|\right) \geq \delta m(K(G),|\phi|)$,
where $K^{*}(G)=\pi^{-1}\left(P^{*}(G)\right)$ and $\pi: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta / G$.
When $\mathscr{P}(l, L, \delta) \neq \emptyset$, we define $\beta=\beta(l, L, \delta)$ by

$$
\beta=1-\sup \left\{\frac{m\left(P^{*}(G),\left|\Theta_{G} \phi\right|\right)}{m\left(K^{*}(G),|\phi|\right)}:(G, \phi) \in \mathscr{P}\right\} .
$$

Then we have
Theorem 4.1. $\beta(l, L, \delta)>0$.
Proof. Take a sequence $\left\{\left(G_{n}, \phi_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathscr{P}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m\left(K_{n}^{*} \cap \omega_{n},\left|\Theta_{n} \phi_{n}\right|\right)}{m\left(K_{n}^{*},\left|\phi_{n}\right|\right)}=1-\beta,
$$

where $K_{n}^{*}=K^{*}\left(G_{n}\right), \omega_{n}$ is the Ford fundamental domain for $G_{n}$, and $\Theta_{n}=\Theta_{G_{n}}$. We may assume that $m\left(K_{n},\left|\phi_{n}\right|\right)=1$ for all $n$, where $K_{n}=K\left(G_{n}\right)$. Let $l_{n j}, j=1,2,3$, be the length of three boundary components of $P\left(G_{n}\right)$. By selecting a subsequence, we may assume that $l_{n j} \rightarrow l_{j}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By taking a conjugate group of $G_{n}$, we may furthermore assume that every $G_{n}$ is a group as in the explicit representation of pants in Section 3. Then $\gamma_{n 1}$ and $\gamma_{n 2}$, the generators of $G_{n}$, converge to some $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ in $\operatorname{Möb}(4)$, respectively. The limit group $G=\left\langle\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\rangle$ is discontinuous on $\Delta$, i.e. Fuchsian, and $\omega_{n}$ converges to the Ford fundamental domain $\omega$ for $G$ (we write $\omega_{n} \rightarrow \omega$ ) in the sense that for every compact $S$ and every open $O$ with $S \subset \omega \subset \mathrm{Cl}(\omega) \subset O$, there is an integer $n_{0}$ such that $S \subset \omega_{n} \subset \mathrm{Cl}\left(\omega_{n}\right) \subset O$ holds for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Note that $K / G$ is pants of size $[l, L]$, where $K=K(G)$. Similarly, we can see that $K_{n}^{*} \cap \omega_{n} \rightarrow K^{*} \cap \omega$, where $K^{*}=K^{*}(G)$, and that for an arbitrary finite subset $G^{\prime}$ of $G$,

$$
K_{n} \cap \operatorname{int}\left(\mathrm{Cl}\left(\bigcup_{g \in G^{\prime}} f_{n}(g)\left(\omega_{n}\right)\right)\right) \Longrightarrow K \cap \operatorname{int}\left(\mathrm{Cl}\left(\bigcup_{g \in G^{\prime}} g(\omega)\right)\right),
$$

where $f_{n}$ is the group isomorphism of $G$ onto $G_{n}$ with $f_{n}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\gamma_{n 1}$ and $f_{n}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\gamma_{n 2}$. Furthermore, from this it follows that every compact subset of $K$ is contained in $K_{n}$ for all large $n$. Therefore, again by selecting a subsequence, we see that $\Theta_{n} \phi_{n}$ converges locally uniformly on $K$ to some function $\Phi$ which is holomorphic on $K$ and satisfies

$$
g^{*} \Phi(z)=\Phi(z) \quad \text { for all } z \in K \text { and } g \in G .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m(K \cap \omega,|\Phi|) & \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} m\left(K_{n} \cap \omega_{n},\left|\Theta_{n} \phi_{n}\right|\right) \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} m\left(K_{n},\left|\phi_{n}\right|\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
m\left(K^{*} \cap \omega,|\Phi|\right) & \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} m\left(K_{n}^{*} \cap \omega_{n},\left|\Theta_{n} \phi_{n}\right|\right) \\
& =(1-\beta) \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} m\left(K_{n}^{*},\left|\phi_{n}\right|\right) \\
& \geq(1-\beta) \delta>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

in particular, $\Phi \neq 0$. Now, by applying the same argument as in McMullen [7, p.114, lines 16-22 and the proof of Theorem 1.1 (nonamenable case)], we have

$$
m\left(K_{n}^{*} \cap \omega_{n},\left|\Theta_{n} \phi_{n}\right|\right) \leq c m\left(K_{n}^{*},\left|\phi_{n}\right|\right)
$$

for some constant $c<1$ independent of $n$. Thus $1-\beta \leq c<1$, we are done.

## §5. A total estimate over nicely arranged fitting pants

Let $x_{n}, n \in \mathbf{N}$, be points in $\mathrm{Cl}\left(X^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
d_{X}\left(x_{n}, x_{k}\right) \geq 2 M_{5} \quad \text { for all } n \neq k, \text { and }  \tag{5.1}\\
\mathrm{Cl}\left(X^{*}\right) \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{X}\left(x_{n} ; 2 M_{5}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 5.1. Such points $x_{n}, n \in \mathbf{N}$, exist.
Proof. We note that for a compact subset $S$ of $X$, there are the finite number of points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ in $S$ such that

$$
d_{X}\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right) \geq 2 M_{5} \quad \text { if } i \neq j, \text { and } \quad S \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} D_{X}\left(a_{j} ; 2 M_{5}\right) .
$$

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a regular exhaustion of $X$ and $X_{n}^{*}=X^{*} \cap X_{n}$. We may assume that $\mathrm{Cl}\left(X_{n+1}^{*}\right)-D_{X}\left(X_{n}^{*} ; 2 M_{5}\right) \neq \emptyset$ for all $n$. First, for a compact set $\mathrm{Cl}\left(X_{1}^{*}\right)$, take the finite number of points $a_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, a_{k(1)}^{(1)}$ as above. Next, for $\mathrm{Cl}\left(X_{2}^{*}\right)-$ $\bigcup_{j=1}^{k(1)} D_{X}\left(a_{j}^{(1)} ; 2 M_{5}\right)$, take points $a_{1}^{(2)}, \ldots, a_{k(2)}^{(2)}$ as above, and so on. What we seek is the points $a_{j}^{(n)}, n \in \mathbf{N}, 1 \leq j \leq k(n)$, obtained by repeating this process.
Q.E.D.

Let $P_{n}$ be pants $P\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $y_{n}$ be a point in $P_{n}^{*}=P^{*}\left(x_{n}\right)$ such that $D_{X}\left(y_{n} ; m_{3}\right)$ is a univalent disk in $P_{n}^{*}$ (cf. Proposition 3.1). Then we see

Lemma 5.2. $X^{*} \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{X}\left(y_{n} ; 3 M_{5}\right)$, and $P_{n} \cap P_{k}=\emptyset$ for all $n \neq k$.
Proof. The first assertion is obvious by Proposition 3.1.
Suppose that $P_{n} \cap P_{k} \neq \emptyset$ for some $n \neq k$. Since $P_{n} \cap P_{k} \cap X^{*}=\emptyset$ by (5.1) and Proposition 3.1, two pants $P_{n}$ and $P_{k}$ must have the same puncture. But this necessarily implies $P_{n} \cap P_{k} \cap X^{*} \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction.
Q.E.D.

Set

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
V_{n} & =\pi^{-1}\left(P_{n}\right), & V_{n}^{*} & =\pi^{-1}\left(P_{n}^{*}\right), \\
V=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{n}, & V^{*} & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{n}^{*} \\
\text { and } & S & =\pi^{-1}\left(\left\{y_{n}: n \in \mathbf{N}\right\}\right),
\end{array}
$$

where $\pi$ is the covering projection: $\Delta \rightarrow X=\Delta / \Gamma$. Then we see

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\Delta}\left(\zeta ; m_{3}\right) \cap D_{\Delta}\left(\zeta^{\prime} ; m_{3}\right)=\emptyset \quad \text { for distinct } \zeta, \zeta^{\prime} \in S, \text { and }  \tag{5.2}\\
& \Delta^{*} \subset \bigcup_{\zeta \in S} D_{\Delta}\left(\zeta ; 3 M_{5}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, by (5.2) we have

$$
\sup _{z \in \Delta} \#\left\{\zeta \in S: D_{\Delta}\left(\zeta ; 6 M_{5}\right) \ni z\right\}<\infty .
$$

Hence, by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2 in [10], we obtain
Lemma 5.3. There is a positive constant $m_{4}$ depending only on $m$ and $M$ in Assumption such that

$$
m\left(\sum_{\zeta \in S} D_{\Delta}\left(\zeta ; m_{3}\right),|\phi|\right) \geq m_{4} \quad \text { for all } \phi \in A(\Delta ; 1,1 / 3),
$$

in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(V^{*},|\phi|\right) \geq m_{4} \quad \text { for all } \phi \in A(\Delta ; 1,1 / 3) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
V_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_{n k} \quad \text { and } \quad V_{n}^{*}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_{n k}^{*}
$$

be decomposition into connected components such that $V_{n k}^{*} \subset V_{n k}$. Take an arbitrary element $\phi$ in $A(4 ; 1,1 / 3)$. Then, by using Lemma 5.3 above and Lemma 1 in [10], we can find a subset $I$ of $\mathbf{N}^{2}$ depending on $\phi$ as well as $m$ and $M$ in Assumption such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{m_{4}}{2} m\left(V_{n k},|\phi|\right) \leq m\left(V_{n k}^{*},|\phi|\right) \quad \text { for all }(n, k) \in I \text { and }  \tag{5.4}\\
& \sum_{(n, k) \in I} m\left(V_{n k}^{*},|\phi|\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(n, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} m\left(V_{n k}^{*},|\phi|\right)=\frac{1}{2} m\left(V^{*},|\phi|\right) . \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\omega$ be a fundamental domain for $\Gamma$. We may assume that $\omega_{n}^{*}=\omega \cap V_{n}^{*}$ is contained in $V_{n 1}^{*}$. Choose $\eta_{n k} \in \Gamma$ so that $\omega_{n k}^{*}=\eta_{n k}\left(\omega_{n}^{*}\right) \subset V_{n k}^{*}$, and let $G_{n k}$ be the stabilizer subgroup of $V_{n k}$ in $\Gamma$, then

$$
\Gamma=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_{n k} G_{n 1}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G_{n k} \eta_{n k} .
$$

Noting that pants $P_{n}=K\left(G_{n k}\right) / G_{n k}$ is of size $\left[m, M_{3}\right], V_{n k}=K\left(G_{n k}\right)$ and $V_{n k}^{*}=K^{*}\left(G_{n k}\right)$, we get from (5.4)

$$
\left(G_{n k}, \phi\right) \in \mathscr{P}\left(m, M_{3}, m_{4} / 2\right) \quad \text { for }(n, k) \in I .
$$

Thus, by the definition of $\beta=\beta\left(m, M_{3}, m_{4} / 2\right)$, we have

$$
m\left(\omega_{n k}^{*},\left|\Theta_{n k} \phi\right|\right) \leq(1-\beta) m\left(V_{n k}^{*},|\phi|\right) \quad \text { for }(n, k) \in I,
$$

where $\Theta_{n k}$ is the Poincaré series operator for $G_{n k}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
m\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{n}^{*},\left|\Theta_{\Gamma} \phi\right|\right) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m\left(\omega_{n}^{*},\left|\Theta_{\Gamma} \phi\right|\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m\left(\omega_{n}^{*},\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_{n k}^{*} \Theta_{n k} \phi\right|\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m\left(\omega_{n k}^{*},\left|\Theta_{n k} \phi\right|\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{(n, k) \neq I} m\left(V_{n k}^{*},|\phi|\right)+(1-\beta) \sum_{(n, k) \in I} m\left(V_{n k}^{*},|\phi|\right) \\
& \leq\left(1-\frac{\beta}{2}\right) m\left(V^{*},|\phi|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

here we use (5.5) in the last inequality. Consequently, by (5.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma} \phi\right\|_{\Gamma} & =m\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{n}^{*},\left|\Theta_{\Gamma} \phi\right|\right)+m\left(X-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{n}^{*},\left|\Theta_{\Gamma} \phi\right|\right) \\
& \leq\left(1-\frac{\beta}{2}\right) m\left(V^{*},|\phi|\right)+m\left(\Delta-V^{*},|\phi|\right) \\
& \leq\left(1-\frac{\beta m_{4}}{2}\right)\|\phi\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the necessity part of Theorem 1.

## §6. An application to Teichmüller theory

For a Fuchsian group $\Gamma$ acting on $\Delta$, we denote by $Q(\Gamma)$ the set of all quasiconformal self-mappings $f$ of $\Delta$ such that $f$ leaves $\pm 1, i$ fixed and $\Gamma_{f}=f \Gamma f^{-1}$ is Fuchsian. For $f \in Q(\Gamma)$, we set

$$
k(f)=\underset{z \in \Delta}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }\left|f_{\bar{z}}(z) / f_{z}(z)\right| \quad \text { and } \quad K(f)=\frac{1+k(f)}{1-k(f)}
$$

We said that two elements $f, g$ in $Q(\Gamma)$ is equivalent if $f=g$ on $\partial \Delta$, and denote by $[f]_{\Gamma}$ the equivalence class containing $f$. We remark that $\Gamma_{f}=\Gamma_{g}$ for equivalent $f$ and $g$ in $Q(\Gamma)$. The Teichmüller space $T(\Gamma)$ is the set of these equivalence classes, and the Teichmüller distance $\tau_{\Gamma}(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $T(\Gamma)$ is defined by

$$
\tau_{\Gamma}\left([f]_{\Gamma},[g]_{\Gamma}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \log \inf \left\{K(h): h \in Q\left(\Gamma_{f}\right), h \circ f=g \text { on } \partial \Delta\right\} .
$$

We first show
Proposition 6.1. The conditions $\left(O_{1}\right)$ and $\left(O_{2}\right)$ are quasiconformally invariant, that is, if a Fuchsian group $\Gamma$ satisfies one of them, then, for any $f \in Q(\Gamma)$, so does $\Gamma_{f}$. In particular, if $\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma_{f}}\right\|=1$ for some $[f]_{\Gamma} \in T(\Gamma)$, then $\left\|\Theta_{\Gamma_{8}}\right\|=1$ for all $[g]_{\Gamma} \in T(\Gamma)$.

Proof. First, suppose that a hyperbolic disk $D$ in $\Delta$ with radius $\rho$ is a partial fundamental set for $\Gamma$, i.e., a subset of a fundamental set. A distortion theorem of hyperbolic distances (cf. Lehto and Virtanen [4, p.65]) implies that $f(D)$ contains a hyperbolic disk whose radius is at least $r=r(\rho, K(f))$, where $r \rightarrow \infty$ as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$. Obviously, $f(D)$ is a partial fundamental set for $\Gamma_{f}$. Hence, if $\Gamma$ satisfies $\left(O_{1}\right)$, then so does $\Gamma_{f}$.

Next, $\gamma$ be a hyperbolic element in $\Gamma$ with multiplier $\lambda$. Since the multiplier is quasiinvariant, $f \gamma f^{-1}$ has the multiplier at most $\lambda^{K(f)}$ (cf. Gardiner [2, p.159]). Thus, if ( $O_{2}$ ) holds for $\Gamma$, then so does for $\Gamma_{f}$, The last assertion immediately follows from Theorem 1.
Q.E.D.

Theorem 2 is a consequence of Theorem 1, the above proposition and the following result.

Theorem 6.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group and $G$ be a subgroup of $\Gamma$. If $[f]_{\Gamma}$ and $[g]_{\Gamma} \in T(\Gamma)$ satisfy $\min \left(\left\|\Theta_{G_{f} \backslash \Gamma_{f}}\right\|,\left\|\Theta_{G_{g} \backslash \Gamma_{g}}\right\|\right)<1$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{G}\left([f]_{G},[g]_{G}\right)<\tau_{\Gamma}\left([f]_{\Gamma},[g]_{\Gamma}\right) . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for a fixed $[g]_{\Gamma}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\tau_{G}\left([f]_{G},[g]_{G}\right)}{\tau_{\Gamma}\left([f]_{\Gamma},[g]_{\Gamma}\right)} \leq\left\|\Theta_{G_{g} \backslash \Gamma_{g}}\right\|+o(1) \quad \text { as } \tau_{\Gamma}\left([f]_{\Gamma},[g]_{\Gamma}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \text {, and }  \tag{6.2}\\
& \tau_{G}\left([f]_{G},[g]_{G}\right) \leq \tau_{\Gamma}\left([f]_{\Gamma},[g]_{\Gamma}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\left\|\Theta_{G_{g} \backslash \Gamma_{g}}\right\|}{2}+o(1) \\
& \text { as } \tau_{\Gamma}\left([f]_{\Gamma},[g]_{\Gamma}\right) \longrightarrow \infty
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We may assume that $\left\|\Theta_{G_{g} \backslash \Gamma_{g}}\right\|<1$, and by changing the origin of the Teichmüller spaces, we may also assume that $g$ is the identity mapping. Moreover, we can assume that $f$ is extremal, i.e.,

$$
K(f)=\inf \{K(h): h=f \text { on } \partial \Delta, h \in Q(\Gamma)\},
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \log K(f)=\tau_{\Gamma}\left([f]_{\Gamma},[\mathrm{id}]_{\Gamma}\right) .
$$

Take sequences of finitely generated subgroups $G_{n}$ of $G$ and closed subsets $E_{n}$ of $\partial \Delta$ with the following properties:
(1) $G_{n}<G_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{n}=G$,
(2) $E_{n}$ is invariant under $G_{n}$ and $\left(E_{n}-\Lambda\left(G_{n}\right)\right) / G_{n}$ is a finite set, and
(3) $E_{n} \subset E_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}$ is dense in $\partial \Delta$.

Then, for each $n$, in the set

$$
\left\{h \in Q\left(G_{n}\right): h=f \text { on } E_{n}\right\},
$$

there is the unique extremal mapping $h_{n}$, whose Beltrami coefficient is of the form $k_{n} \overline{\phi_{n}} /\left|\phi_{n}\right|$, where $k_{n}=k\left(h_{n}\right)$ and $\phi_{n} \in A\left(\Delta, G_{n}\right)$ with $\left\|\phi_{n}\right\|_{G_{n}}=1$. By the main inequality of Reich and Strebel, we get

$$
K_{n} \leq \iint_{\Delta / G_{n}}\left|\phi_{n}\right| \frac{\left|1+\kappa \phi_{n} /\right| \phi_{n} \|^{2}}{1-|\kappa|^{2}} d x d y
$$

where $K_{n}=K\left(h_{n}\right)$ and $\kappa$ is the Beltrami coefficient of $f$ (cf Strebel [11, p. 437]). Set $k=k(f)$, then the right hand side of the above inequality is dominated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{1-k^{2}}\left\{1+k^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re} \iint_{\Delta / G_{n}} \kappa \phi_{n} d x d y\right\} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{1-k^{2}}\left\{1+k^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re} \iint_{\Delta / \Gamma} \kappa \Theta_{G_{n} \backslash \Gamma} \phi_{n} d x d y\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\|\Theta_{G_{n} \backslash \Gamma}\right\|$ is uniformly bounded by $d=\left\|\Theta_{G \backslash \Gamma}\right\|$, we obtain

$$
\log K_{n} \leq \log K(f)+\log F(k, d)
$$

where

$$
F(k, d)=\frac{1+k^{2}+2 k d}{(1+k)^{2}}
$$

By applying a usual normal family argument to $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ (cf. Gardiner [2, p.145]), we see

$$
\frac{1}{2} \log K_{n} \longrightarrow \tau_{G}\left([f]_{G},[\mathrm{id}]_{G}\right) \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty
$$

Thus

$$
\tau_{G}\left([f]_{G},[g]_{G}\right) \leq \tau_{\Gamma}\left([f]_{\Gamma},[g]_{\Gamma}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \log F(K, d),
$$

from which (6.3) follows immediately. By noting $\log F(k, d)<0$, we get (6.1). Since

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log F(k, d)}{\log K(f)}=d-1,
$$

we obtain (6.2).
Q.E.D.
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