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## 1. Introduction

Let $(\Omega, F, \boldsymbol{P})$ be a probability space whose precise definition will be given later. For each $\omega \in \Omega$, we consider Anderson type random Schrödinger operator on $\boldsymbol{L}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
H_{\omega}=-\Delta+V_{\omega}(x),  \tag{1.1}\\
V_{\omega}(x)=\sum_{i \in Z^{\prime} q_{i}}(\omega) f(x-i)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Delta=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}} . \quad\left\{q_{i}\right\}_{i \in Z^{3}}$ satisty
(H.1) $\quad\left\{q_{i}\right\}_{i \in Z^{3}}$ are real-valued independent identically distributed random variables on ( $\Omega, F, \boldsymbol{P}$ ) with uniform distribution on $[0,1]$.
We suppose the following conditions:
(H.2) There exist two positive numbers $\eta_{0}$ and $\eta_{1}$ such that $\eta_{0} \leq f(x) \leq \eta_{1}$ for $x \in[0,1)^{3}$,
(H.3) $\quad x \notin[0,1)^{3} \Rightarrow f(x)=0$.
$H_{\omega}$ is considered to be the operator corresponding to the Hamiltonian of the electron in random metalic media. Let $\sigma\left(H_{\omega}\right)$ denote the spectrum of $H_{\omega}$. Then the following is a known fact.

Proposition 1.1. (Kirsch and Martinelli).

$$
\sigma\left(H_{\omega}\right)=[0, \infty) \text { a.s. }
$$

For $E>0$, we shall mean by $g_{E}$ an arbitrary real-valued function which satisfies the following condition:
(A) $\quad g_{E} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{R})$ and $\operatorname{supp} g_{E} \subset(0, E)$,
where $C_{0}^{\infty}(O)=\left\{f \in C^{\infty}(O) \mid\right.$ supp $\left.f \subset O\right\}$ for an open set $O \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{n}$.
In this paper we are interested in the following quantity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{E}^{2}(t)=\boldsymbol{E}\left[\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}|x|^{2}\left|e^{-i t H \omega} g_{E}\left(H_{\omega}\right) \psi(x)\right|^{2} d x\right] \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\psi \in L_{2}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)=\left\{f \in L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right) \mid\langle x\rangle^{2} f \in L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)\right\}$, where $\langle x\rangle=\sqrt{1+|x|^{2}}$ and $\boldsymbol{E}$ denotes the integration in $\omega$ with respect to the measure $\boldsymbol{P} . g_{E}\left(H_{\omega}\right) \psi$ is a wave function of a electron which is well localized in the sence of $L_{2}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$ and has energy near the bottom of the spectrum. $r_{E}^{2}(t)$ represents the mean square distance from the origin of the time-evolution of the electron whose initial wave function is $g_{E}\left(H_{\omega}\right) \psi$.
When $V \equiv 0$ or $V$ is periodic, $r_{E}^{2}(t)$ behaves asymptotically as

$$
r_{E}^{2}(t) \sim C t^{2}(t \rightarrow \infty)
$$

But when $V$ is random, we expect by physical consideration that $r_{E}^{2}(t)$ behaves asymptotically as

$$
r_{E}^{2}(t) \sim D t(t \rightarrow \infty) .
$$

$D$ is called the diffusion constant. In [6] J.M.Combes and P.D.Hislop proved Anderson localization, that is to say, there exists $E^{*}>0$ such that in [0, $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ ] the spectrum is pure point and the corresponding eigenfunctions decay exponentially. Hence when $E$ is sufficiently small, we expect that $D=0$. But this does not follow from Anderson localization (see e.g.[7]).

Our main theorem is the following.
Theorem 1.1. We assume $(H .1),(H .2),(H .3)$ and $(A)$, then there exists $E^{*}>0$ such that if $0<E<E^{*}$, then

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{1}^{T} \frac{r_{E}^{2}(t)}{t} d t=0
$$

By J. Fröhlich and T. Spencer [1], absence of diffusion was proved in the case of discrete random Schrödinger operators in multidimensions. In the continuous case F. Martinelli and H. Holden [5] studied random Schrödinger operator with potential

$$
V_{\omega}(x)=\sum_{i \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{i}} q_{i}(\omega) X_{C_{i}}(x),
$$

where

$$
C_{i}=\left\{x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3} \left\lvert\,-\frac{1}{2}<x_{i} \leq \frac{1}{2}\right. ; i=1,2,3\right\}
$$

and $X_{C_{i}}(x)$ is the characteristic function of $C_{i}$.
Our proof relies heavily on [1] and [5].
Let $\Omega=\left\{\omega: \boldsymbol{Z}^{3} \rightarrow[0,1]\right\}$ and $F$ be the $\sigma$-algebra generated by of all cylinder sets of $\Omega$. For a cylinder set $I=\left\{\omega \mid \omega\left(i_{j}\right) \in \Delta_{j}, i_{j} \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}, \Delta_{j}:\right.$ Borel set of $\boldsymbol{R}, j=1,2, \cdots, n\}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{P}(I)=\int_{\Delta_{1}} X_{[0,1 \mid}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) d \lambda_{1} \cdots \int_{\Delta n} X_{[0,1]}\left(\lambda_{n}\right) d \lambda_{n}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{[0,1]}(\lambda)$ is the characteristic function on interval [0, 1]. By E. Hopf's extension theorem, $\boldsymbol{P}$ is extended to a probability measure on $(\Omega, F)$. If we define $q_{i}(\omega)=\omega(i)$, the random variables $\left\{q_{i}\right\}_{i \in Z 3}$ satisfy (H.1). We define the group of measure preserving ergodic transformations $T_{i}\left(i \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}\right)$ in $\Omega$ by

$$
T_{i} \omega(j)=\omega(j-i), \quad\left(j \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}\right)
$$

for $\omega \in \Omega$. Then we have

$$
H_{T_{i \omega}}=U_{i} H_{\omega} U_{i}^{*} \quad\left(i \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}\right)
$$

where $U_{i}$ are the unitary operators in $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$ defined by

$$
\left(U_{i} f\right)(x)=f(x-i) \text { for } f \in L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right), i \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3} .
$$

For technical reasons, we shall rather work in the following extended probability space:

$$
(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{F}, \overline{\boldsymbol{P}})=(\Omega, F, \boldsymbol{P}) \times\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3} / \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}, \boldsymbol{B}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3} / \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}\right), \mu\right)
$$

where $\boldsymbol{B}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3} / \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}\right)$ is the topological Borel field and $\mu$ is the Lebesgue measure. $x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3}$ can be written uniquely as follows:

$$
x=\underline{x}+\dot{x}, \underline{x} \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}, \dot{x} \in[0,1)^{3} .
$$

If we define the transformations $\bar{T}_{x}\left(x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ by

$$
\bar{T}_{x}(\omega, k)=\left(T_{\underline{x+k}} \omega,(x+k)^{\cdot}\right)
$$

for $(\omega, k) \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3}$, we have the following proposition in [2].
Proposition 1.2 (Kirsch) . (1) $\left\{\bar{T}_{x}\right\}_{x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3}}$ is a group of measure preserving ergodic transformations on ( $\bar{\Omega}, \bar{F}, \overline{\boldsymbol{P}})$,
(2) $H_{\bar{T}_{x}(\omega, k)}=U_{x} H_{(\omega, k)} U_{x}^{*}$ for $(\omega, k) \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3}$, where $U_{x} f(\cdot)=f(\cdot-x)$ and $H_{\omega, k}=-\Delta+V_{\omega}(x-k)$.

We denote by $G_{\omega}(z ; x, y)$ and $G_{(\omega, k)}(z ; x, y)$ the Green functions of $H_{\omega}-z$ and $H_{(\omega, k)}-z$, respectively. It immediately follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{(\omega, k)}(z ; x, y)=G_{\omega}(z ; x-k, y-k) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\bar{T}_{t \omega, u t)}}(z ; x, y)=G_{(\omega, k)}(z ; x-t, y-t) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 can be reduced to the following theorem as is shown in Section 2.

Theorem 1.2. There exists $E^{*}>0$ such that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \backslash 0} \varepsilon \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}(1+|x|) \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|G_{(\omega, k)}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon ; x, 0\right)\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} d x=0
$$

uniformly in $E^{\prime}$ on any compact set in $\left(0, E^{*}\right]$, where $\overline{\boldsymbol{E}}$ denotes the integration in $(\omega, k)$ with respect to $\overline{\boldsymbol{P}}$.

In the proof of Theorem 1.2, the following theorem is essential.
Theorem 1.3. For any $p>0$, there exist $E^{*}>0, N^{*} \in \boldsymbol{N}, c_{1}>0$ and $K_{p}>0$ such that if $0<E \leq E^{*}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{P}\left(\left|G_{\omega}(E+i \varepsilon ; x, y)\right| \leq e^{m(E)\left(N L(E)^{3-|x-y|)} \max \left\{1, \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right\}, ~\right) ~}\right. \\
& \text { for any } \left.x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3} \text { and any } y \in[0,1)^{3}\right) \leq 1-\frac{K_{p}}{N^{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $N^{*} \leq N \in \boldsymbol{N}$ uniformly in $\varepsilon \neq 0$. Here $m(E)=c_{1} E^{\frac{1}{2}}, L(E)=\left[\frac{1}{E^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right]$ where [] denotes the integer part.

Theorem 1.3 is proved in Section 6.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

It is not difficult to check that the following proposition implies Theorem 1.1 (see e.g. [5, p. 203]).

Proposition 2.1. There exists $E^{*}>0$ such that if $0<E \leq E^{*}$ then

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{\eta T}^{T} \frac{r_{E}^{2}(t)}{t} d t=0
$$

for any $\eta \in(0,1)$.
In this section we shall prove that this proposition, in turn, follows from Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Proposition 2.1 assuming Theorem 1.2. We denote by $c$ constants independent of $\omega$ and $\varepsilon$, which may be different according to the situation. For $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{T}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{T} \int_{\eta T}^{T} \frac{r_{E}^{2}(t)}{t} d t=\frac{1}{T} \int_{\eta T}^{T} e^{\varepsilon t} e^{-\varepsilon t} \frac{r_{E}^{2}(t)}{t} d t \leq \frac{e}{\eta} \varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon t} r_{\bar{E}}^{2}(t) d t  \tag{2.1}\\
& \quad=\frac{e}{2 \pi \eta} \varepsilon^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{E}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|x|^{2}\left|R_{\omega}\left(E^{\prime}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} i\right) g_{E}\left(H_{\omega}\right) \phi(x)\right|^{2} d x\right] d E^{\prime} .
\end{align*}
$$

The last equality of (2.1) will be proved in Appendix 1. Let $E^{*}$ be as in Theorem 1.2. Let $0<E<E^{*}$ and $\Psi_{\omega}=g_{E}\left(H_{\omega}\right) \psi$. We divide the last member of (2.1) in the three parts as follows:

$$
\frac{e}{2 \pi \eta} \varepsilon^{2} \int_{E^{*}}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{E}\left[\int_{R^{3}}|x|^{2}\left|R_{\omega}\left(E^{\prime}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} i\right) \Psi_{\omega}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right] d E^{\prime}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{e}{2 \pi \eta} \varepsilon^{2} \int_{\bar{E}}^{E^{*}} \boldsymbol{E}\left[\left.\int_{R^{3}}\left|x^{2}\right| R_{\omega}\left(E^{\prime}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} i\right) \Psi_{\omega}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right] d E^{\prime} \\
& +\frac{e}{2 \pi \eta} \varepsilon^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\bar{E}} \boldsymbol{E}\left[\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}|x|^{2}\left|R_{\omega}\left(E^{\prime}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} i\right) \Psi_{\omega}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right] d E^{\prime} \\
& =\mathrm{I}+\text { II + III, }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{E}$ is a positive number satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} g_{E} \subset(\bar{E}, E)
$$

To begin with, we shall estimate the terms I and III. If we set

$$
f_{\varepsilon, E^{\prime}}(x)=\frac{g_{E}(x)}{x-E^{\prime}-i \varepsilon} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{R}),
$$

we have

$$
R_{\omega}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right) \Psi_{\omega}(x)=f_{\varepsilon, E^{\prime}}\left(H_{\omega}\right) \psi(x)
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R^{3}}|x|^{2}\left|R_{\omega}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right) \Psi_{\omega}(x)\right|^{2} d x=\left.\int_{R^{3}}|x|^{2}| |_{\varepsilon, E^{\prime}}\left(H_{\omega}\right) \psi(x)\right|^{2} d x  \tag{2.2}\\
& \quad \leq\| \|_{\varepsilon, E^{\prime}}\left(H_{\omega}\right)\left\|_{L_{2}^{2}-L_{2}^{2}}^{2}\right\| \phi \|_{L_{2}^{2}}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{2}^{2}=L_{2}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$. For Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$, we denote by $\|\cdot\|_{X \rightarrow Y}$ the operator norm of the bounded operator from $X$ to $Y$. By Lemma A.1, we have uniformly for $E^{\prime} \in(-\infty, \bar{E}] \cup\left[E^{*}, \infty\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{\varepsilon, E^{\prime}}\left(H_{\omega}\right)\right\|_{L_{2}^{2}-L_{2}^{2}}^{2} \leq \frac{c}{1+E^{\prime 2}}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where constant c is independent of $\omega$ and $\varepsilon$. From (2.2) and (2.3) we obtain

$$
\boldsymbol{E}\left[\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}|x|^{2}\left|R_{\omega}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right) \Psi_{\omega}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right] \leq \frac{c}{1+E^{\prime 2}}
$$

for $E^{\prime} \in(-\infty, \bar{E}] \cup\left[E^{*}, \infty\right)$ uniformly in $\varepsilon>0$. Then there exists a positive c such that

$$
\int_{E^{*}}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{E}\left[\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}|x|^{2}\left|R_{\omega}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right) \Psi_{\omega}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right] d E^{\prime} \leq_{c}
$$

and

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\bar{E}} \boldsymbol{E}\left[\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{2}}|x|^{2}\left|R_{\omega}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right) \Psi_{\omega}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right] d E^{\prime} \leq c
$$

uniformly in $\varepsilon>0$. For this reason, I and III tend to 0 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
Next we shall estimate II. For $k \in[0,1)^{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\omega}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right) \Psi_{\omega}(\cdot-k)=U_{k} R_{\omega}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right) \Psi_{\omega} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =U_{k} R_{\omega}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right) U_{k}^{*} U_{k} g_{E}\left(H_{\omega}\right) U_{k}^{*} U_{k} \psi \\
& =R_{(\omega, k)}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right) g_{E}\left(H_{(\omega, k)}\right) U_{k} \psi,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{(\omega, k)}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right)=:\left(H_{(\omega, k)}-\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right)\right)^{-1}$ by Proposition 1.2, (2) and $H_{(\omega, 0)}=$ $H_{\omega}$. Therefore if we put $\psi_{(\omega, k)}=U_{k} \psi$ and

$$
\Psi_{(\omega, k)}=g_{E}\left(H_{(\omega, k)}\right) \psi_{(\omega, k)}
$$

then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\int_{R^{3}}\left|x^{2}\right| R_{\omega}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right) \Psi_{\omega}(x)\right|^{2} d x \\
& \quad=\int_{R^{3}}|x-k|^{2}\left|R_{(\omega, k)}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right) g_{E}\left(H_{(\omega, k)}\right) \psi_{(\omega, k)}(x)\right|^{2} d x \\
& \quad=\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}|x-k|^{2}\left|R_{(\omega, k)}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right) \Psi_{(\omega, k)}(x)\right|^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating with respect to $\overline{\boldsymbol{P}}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{E}\left[\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}|x|^{2}\left|R_{\omega}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right) \Psi_{\omega}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right]  \tag{2.5}\\
& \quad=\overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}|x|^{2}\left|R_{\omega}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right) \Psi_{\omega}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right] \\
& \quad=\overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}|x-k|^{2}\left|R_{(\omega, k)}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon\right) \Psi_{(\omega, k)}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Since $k \in[0,1)^{3}$, the last member of (2.5) is bounded by

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\boldsymbol{E}} & {\left[\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{s}} c\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}} G_{(\omega, k)}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon ; x, y\right) \Psi_{(\omega, k)}(y) d y\right|^{2} d x\right] }  \tag{2.6}\\
& \leq \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{s}} c\left(1+|x|^{2}\right) \prod_{j=1,2} \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}\left|G_{(\omega, k)}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon ; x, y_{j}\right) \| \Psi_{(\omega, k)}\left(y_{j}\right)\right| d y_{j} d x\right] \\
& \leq \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}} c\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left(\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}} \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|G_{(\omega, k)}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon ; x, y\right)\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|\Psi_{(\omega, k)}(y)\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} d y\right)^{2} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

The last inequality is obtained by Fubini's theorem and by twice using the Schwarz inequality. Since $\bar{T}_{y}$ has the measure preserving property by Proposition 1.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|G_{(\omega, k)}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon ; x, y\right)\right|^{4}\right]=\overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|G_{\tilde{T}_{y}(\omega, k)}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon ; x-y, 0\right)\right|^{4}\right] \\
=\overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|G_{(\omega, k)}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon ; x-y, 0\right)\right|^{4}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore the last member of (2.6) equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left(\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}} \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|G_{(\omega, k)}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon ; x-y, 0\right)\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|\Psi_{(\omega, k)}(y)\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} d y\right)^{2} d x \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x)=\overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|G_{(\omega, k)}\left(E^{\prime}+i \varepsilon ; x, 0\right)\right|^{4}\right] \frac{1}{4} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking $|x|^{2}$ into the integration with respect to $y$ and using the inequality $|x| \leq|x-y|+|y|$, (2.7) is bounded by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}\left(K * \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|\Psi_{(\omega, k)}\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)^{2} d x+2 \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}\left((|x| K) * \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|\Psi_{(\omega, k)}\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)^{2} d x  \tag{2.9}\\
& \quad+2 \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}\left(K *\left(|y| \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|\Psi_{(\omega, k)}\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)\right)^{2} d x \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}} K(x) d x\right)^{2} \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}} \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|\Psi_{(\omega, k)}(y)\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} d y \\
& \quad+2\left(\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}|x| K(x) d x\right)^{2} \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}} \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|\Psi_{(\omega, k)}(y)\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} d y \\
& \left.\quad+2\left(\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}} K(x) d x\right)^{2} \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}} \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|y \Psi_{(\omega, k)}(y)\right|^{4}\right]\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} d y .
\end{align*}
$$

We shall show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{3}} \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|y \Psi_{(\omega, k)}(y)\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} d y<\infty . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma A. 2 we have

$$
\left|\langle y\rangle^{2} \Psi_{(\omega, k)}(y)\right|=\left|\langle y\rangle^{2} g_{E}\left(H_{(\omega, k)}\right) U_{k} \psi(y)\right| \leq\left\|U_{k} \psi\right\|_{L_{2}^{2}} \leq c
$$

uniformly in $(\omega, k) \in \bar{\Omega}$. Therefore we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Psi_{(\omega, k)}(y)\right| \leq \frac{c}{1+y^{2}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $(\omega, k) \in \bar{\Omega}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{\mathbf{B}}} \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|y \Psi_{(\omega, k)}(y)\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} d y \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{1+y^{2}}\right)^{2} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{2}|y|^{4}\left|\Psi_{(\omega, k)}(y)\right|^{4} d y\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and from (2.11) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{R^{3}}\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{2}|y|^{4}\left|\Psi_{(\omega, k)}(y)\right|^{4} d y \\
& \quad \leq \int_{R^{3}}\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{2}\left|\Psi_{(\omega, k)}(y)\right|^{2}|y|^{4} \frac{c}{\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{2}} d y \\
& \quad \leq c\left\|\Psi_{(\omega, k)}\right\|_{L_{2}^{2}}^{2} \leq\left\|g_{E}\left(H_{(\omega, k)}\right)\right\|_{L_{2}^{2}-L_{2}^{2}}^{2}\left\|U_{k} \psi\right\|_{L_{2}^{2}}^{2} \leq c
\end{aligned}
$$

uniformly in $(\omega, k) \in \bar{\Omega}$. The last inequality is obtained from Lemma A.1. Thus we have (2.10). In a similar fashion we can check

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{2}} \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|\Psi_{(\omega, k)}(y)\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} d y<\infty . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.5) - (2.10) and (2.12), to show that II tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have only to prove

$$
\varepsilon \int_{R^{s}}(1+|x|) K(x) d x \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0
$$

uniformly in $E^{\prime} \in\left[\bar{E}, E^{*}\right]$. In view of (2.8), this is nothing but the assertion of Theorem 1.2. Thus the proof of Proposition 2.1 is completed.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we shall give a proof of Theorem 1.2 by using Theorem 1.3 and Lemma A. 4.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. To begin with we shall devide $\boldsymbol{R}^{3}$ as follows. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}=\left\{x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3}| | x \mid<1\right\}, A_{1}=\left\{x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3}|1 \leq|x|<R\}\right. \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{j}=\left\{x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3}\left|2^{j-2} R \leq|x|<2^{j-1} R\right\}\right. \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\boldsymbol{N} \ni j \geq 2$. For $E \geq \varepsilon>0$ we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{N, E}^{\ell}=\left\{\omega| | G_{\omega}(E+i \varepsilon ; x, y) \left\lvert\, \leq e^{m(E)\left(N L(E)^{3}-|x-y|\right)} \max \left\{1, \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right\}\right.\right.  \tag{3.3}\\
& \text { for any } \left.x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3} \text { and any } y \in[0,1)^{3}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

For $x \in A_{0}$, from Lemma A. 4 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{[0,113} \boldsymbol{E}\left[\left|G_{\omega}(E+i \varepsilon ; x+k, k)\right|^{4}\right] d k\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{e^{m(E)\left(N_{0} L(E) 3-|x|\right)}}{|x|} \boldsymbol{P}\left(V_{N 0, E}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}+\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{2}}+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\right) \boldsymbol{P}\left(V_{N 0, E}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}
\end{align*}
$$

and for $x \in A_{j}(j \geq 1)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{[0,1]^{3}} \boldsymbol{E}\left[\left|G_{\omega}(E+i \varepsilon ; x+k, k)\right|^{4}\right] d k\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \quad \leq e^{m(E)(N, L L E)^{3}-|x|} \boldsymbol{P}\left(V_{N,, E}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}+\left(\frac{1}{|x|}+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\right) \boldsymbol{P}\left(V_{N,, E}^{\varepsilon c}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}},
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{j}$ will be specified later and $V_{N, E}^{\varepsilon \in}=\Omega \backslash V_{N, E}^{\ell}$. Since we have by (1.4) and the definition of $\overline{\boldsymbol{E}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|G_{(\omega, k)}(E+i \varepsilon ; x, 0)\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}=\left(\int_{[0,1]^{3}} \boldsymbol{E}\left[\left|G_{\omega}(E+i \varepsilon ; x+k, k)\right|^{4}\right] d k\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have by (3.4) and (3.5)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{s}}(1+|x|) \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|G_{(\omega, k)}(E+i \varepsilon ; x, 0)\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} d x  \tag{3.7}\\
& \quad \leq \varepsilon \int_{A_{0}}(1+|x|) \frac{e^{\left.m(E)\left(N_{0} L(E)\right)^{3}-|x|\right)}}{|x|} d x \boldsymbol{P}\left(V_{N_{0}, E}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{A j}(1+|x|) e^{m(E)\left(N ; L(E)^{3}-|x|\right)} d x \boldsymbol{P}\left(V_{N, E}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& +\varepsilon \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{A j}(1+|x|)\left(\frac{1}{|x|}+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\right) d x \boldsymbol{P}\left(V_{N, E}^{\varepsilon c}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definitions of $A_{j}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \int_{A_{0}}(1+|x|) \frac{e^{m(E)\left(N_{0} L(E)^{3}-|x|\right)}}{|x|} d x \boldsymbol{P}\left(V_{N_{0}, E}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq \varepsilon c e^{m(E) N_{0} L(E)^{3}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{A j}(1+|x|) e^{m(E)\left(N, L(E)^{3}-|x|\right)} d x  \tag{3.9}\\
& \quad \leq e^{m(E) N j L(E)^{3}}\left(1+2^{j-1} R\right)_{c}\left(2^{j-1} R\right)^{3} \times \begin{cases}e^{-m(E) 2^{j-2} R} & (j \geq 2) \\
e^{-m(E)} & (j=1)\end{cases} \\
& \quad \leq_{c}\left(R 2^{j-1}\right)^{4} \times \begin{cases}e^{m(E)\left(N j L(E)^{3}-R 2^{j-2)}\right.} & (j \geq 2) \\
e^{\left.m(E)\left(N_{1} L(E)\right)^{3}-1\right)} & (j=1) .\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Since, from Theorem 1.3

$$
\boldsymbol{P}\left(V_{N, E}^{\varepsilon c}\right) \leq \frac{K_{p}}{N_{j}^{p}},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{A j}(1+|x|)\left(\frac{1}{|x|}+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\right) d x \boldsymbol{P}\left(V_{N, E}^{\varepsilon c}\right)_{4}^{1}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \qquad \leq\left\{c\left|A_{j}\right|+c\left|A_{j}\right| 2^{j-1} R \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right\} \frac{K_{p}^{\frac{1}{4}}}{N_{j}^{4}} \leq c\left(R 2^{j-1}\right)^{4} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{K_{p}^{\frac{1}{4}}}{N_{j}^{4}} \text { for } j \geq 1
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A_{0}}(1+|x|)\left(\frac{1}{|x|}+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\right) d x \boldsymbol{P}\left(V_{N 0, E}^{\varepsilon c}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon} \frac{K_{p}^{\frac{1}{4}}}{N_{0}^{\frac{p}{4}}} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.7)-(3.11), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}(1+|x|) \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|G_{(\omega, k)}(E+i \varepsilon ; x, 0)\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} d x  \tag{3.12}\\
& \quad \leq \varepsilon c e^{m(E) N_{0} L(E)^{3}}+\varepsilon c R^{4} e^{m(E) N_{0} L(E)^{3}-1}+\varepsilon c \sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left(R 2^{j-1}\right)^{4} e^{m(E)\left(N, L(E)^{3-R 2^{j-2)}}\right.} \\
& \quad+c \frac{K_{p}^{\frac{1}{4}}}{N_{0}^{4}}+c \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(R 2^{j-1}\right)^{4} \frac{K_{p}^{\frac{1}{4}}}{N_{j}^{4}} \\
& \quad=\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{II}+\mathrm{III}+\mathrm{IV}+\mathrm{V} .
\end{align*}
$$

For $j \geq 0$ if we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{j}=\left[\frac{R 2^{j-2}}{2 L(E)^{3}}\right] \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{j} L(E)^{3}-R 2^{j-2} \leq-\frac{1}{4} R 2^{j-1} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R 2^{j-1}\right)^{4} N_{j}^{-\frac{p}{4}} \leq\left(R 2^{j-1}\right)^{4}\left(\frac{R 2^{j-2}}{4 L(E)^{3}}\right)^{-\frac{p}{4}}=\left(R 2^{j-1}\right)^{4-\frac{p}{4}}\left(8 L(E)^{3}\right)^{\frac{p}{4}} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take $p=17$, then it follows from (3.15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \leq c K_{p}^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(8 L(E)^{3}\right)^{\frac{p}{4}} R^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(2^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)^{j-1} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$ if we take $R$ sufficiently large, by (3.13) and (3.16) we have

$$
\mathrm{IV}<\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{5} \text { and } \mathrm{V}<\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{5}
$$

independent of $\varepsilon$. Then if we take $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small, it follows that

$$
\mathrm{I}<\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{5}, \text { II }<\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{5} \text { and } \mathrm{II}<\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{5} .
$$

Therefore if $\varepsilon$ is small enough, then we have

$$
\varepsilon \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{3}}(1+|x|) \overline{\boldsymbol{E}}\left[\left|G_{(\omega, k)}(E+i \varepsilon ; x, 0)\right|^{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} d x<\varepsilon^{\prime}
$$

This estimate holds uniformly in $E \in\left[\bar{E}, E^{*}\right]$ because there exist two positive numbers $c, c^{\prime}$ such that for any $E \in\left[\bar{E}, E^{*}\right]$

$$
0<c<m(E)<c^{\prime} \text { and } 0<c<L(E)<c^{\prime}
$$

We have thus proved Theorem 1.2.

## 4. Singular sets

In this section we give the notion of singular sets and a theorem concerning them which will be used essentially in the proof of Theorem 1.3 in Section 6. We denote by $E$ a small but arbitrarily fixed positive number in the sequel. We define the basic length scale:

$$
L(E)=\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{E}}\right]
$$

where [] denotes the integer part. We choose $E$ sufficiently small so that $L(E) \geq 1$ in the sequel. Let $\boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)=L(E) \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}$ and for $j \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E), Q_{E}(j)=Q_{E}(0)+$ $j$ where

$$
Q_{E}(0)=\left\{x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3} \mid 0 \leq x_{i}<L(E), j=1,2,3\right\} .
$$

And we define the norm:

$$
|j|_{E}=\max _{i=1,2,3} \frac{\left|j_{i}\right|}{L(E)}
$$

for $j \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$.
We fix $\alpha>0$ and $\beta$ satisfying

$$
1<\alpha^{2}<\beta
$$

and

$$
\sqrt{2}<\beta<2
$$

in the sequel.
Definition. A site $j \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$ is said to be singular if and only if

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(H_{Q E(j)}^{N}(\omega)\right) \leq 2 E .
$$

Here $H_{Q_{E}(j)}^{N}(\omega)$ is $\left.H_{\omega}\right|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{E}(j)\right)}$ with Neumann boundary conditions and $\lambda_{1}\left(H_{Q_{E}(j)}^{N}(\omega)\right)$ denotes the lowest eigenvalue of $H_{Q_{E}(j)}^{N}(\omega)$. We define a sequence of the singular sets inductively.
$S_{0}=\left\{j \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E) \mid\right.$ singular $\}$
$S_{i+1}=S_{i} \backslash S_{i}^{g}$
$S^{g}{ }_{i}=\cup_{\chi} D_{i}^{\kappa}$ : maximal union of components $D_{i}^{\kappa}$ satisfying the following condition A (i)
Condition A (i):
(a) $D_{i}^{\kappa} \subset S_{i}$
(b) $\operatorname{diam}_{E} D_{i}^{\kappa} \leq d_{i}$
(c) $\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(D_{i}^{\alpha}, S_{i} \backslash D_{i}^{\alpha}\right) \geq 2 d_{i+1}$
(d) $\operatorname{dist}\left(\sigma\left(H_{Q E\left(W\left(D \chi_{,}, 4 d_{i}\right)\right)}^{D}\right), E\right) \geq \exp \left(-d_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$
where

$$
d_{0}=d_{0}(E)=L(E), d_{i}=d_{0}^{\alpha i}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
W(D, r)=\left\{j \ni \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E) \mid \operatorname{dist}_{E}(j, D) \leq r\right\}, \\
Q_{E}(D)=\bigcup_{j \in D} Q_{E}(j)
\end{gathered}
$$

for any $D \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$. We denote by $\operatorname{diam}_{E}$ and $\operatorname{dist}_{E}$ the diameter and the distance measured by the norm $|\cdot|_{E .} H_{Q_{E}\left(W\left(D_{1}^{x_{1}} d_{i}\right)\right)}$ is $\left.H_{\omega}\right|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{E}\left(W\left(D_{1}^{2}, 4 d_{i}\right)\right)\right.}$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

The main theorem of this section is the following.
Theorem 4.1. For any $p>0$ there exists $E^{\prime}>0$ such that if $0<E<E^{\prime}$ then

$$
\boldsymbol{P}\left(i \in S_{j}^{g}\right) \leq d_{j}^{-p}
$$

for any $i \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$ and any $j \geq 0$.
For proving this theorem we shall prepare some notations and some lemmas. We define the set of $n$-cubes $(n \geq 1)$ :

$$
\mathscr{C}_{n}=\left\{C_{n} \mid C_{n}=\left\{y \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3}\left|\max _{i=1,2,3}\right| x_{i}-y_{i} \mid \leq 2^{n-1} L(E)\right\} \text { for some } x \in 2^{n-1} \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)\right\}
$$

and the set of 0 -cubes:

$$
\mathscr{C}_{0}=\boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)
$$

Let $D \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$ be finite set. We denote by $n_{0}(D)$ the smallest $n_{0}$ such that there exists an $n_{0}$-cube $C_{n_{0}}$ including $D$ and fix one $n_{0}(D)$-cube $C_{n_{0}(D)}$ including $D$. We define $\mathscr{C}_{n_{0}(D)}(D)=\left\{C_{n_{0}(D)}\right\}$ and for $n \leq n_{0}(D)$ let

$$
V_{n}(D)=\min \left\{\# \mathscr{C}_{n} \mid \mathscr{C}_{n} \text { is a family of } n \text {-cubes which cover } D\right\}
$$

and $\mathscr{C}_{n}(D)$ be a family of n-cubes which attains this minimum. We shall fix one sequence of covers of $D:\left\{\mathscr{C}_{n}(D)\right\}_{n=1,2, \cdots, n_{0}(D)}$. We define

$$
\mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}(D)=\left\{C_{n} \in \mathscr{C}_{n}(D) \mid \operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(C_{n}, C_{n}^{\prime}\right) \geq 2 d_{0}^{\beta} 2^{\beta n} \text { for any } C_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}_{n}(D), C_{n}^{\prime} \neq C_{n}\right\}
$$

for $n_{0}(D)>_{n}>0$ and $\mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}(D)=\emptyset$ for $n \geq n_{0}(D)$. We define

$$
V(D)=\sum_{n=1}^{n_{0}(D)} \# \mathscr{C}_{n}(D), V^{\prime}(D)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \# \mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}(D), \text { and } \mathscr{C}_{0}(D)=\mathscr{C}_{0}^{\prime}(D)=D
$$

We denote by $X_{D}(\omega)$ the characteristic function of the set:
(4.1) $\Omega_{D}=\left\{\omega \in \Omega \mid\right.$ there exists $k$ such that $D$ is a component of $\left.S_{k}^{g}\right\}$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $D$ be a finite set of $\boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$. For $n \geq 1$ let $j(n)$ be the smallest integer such that $d_{j(n)} \geq d_{0} 2^{n}$. For $C \in \mathscr{C}_{n}(D)$ we denote by $X_{n, c}(n>0)$ the characteristic function of the set:

$$
\left\{\omega \in \Omega \left\lvert\, \operatorname{dist}\left(\sigma\left(H_{Q E(W(C \cap D, 4 d j(n))}^{D}\right), E\right) \leq \exp \left(-d_{j(n)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right.\right\}
$$

and for $n=0$ let $X_{0, c}$ be the characteristic function of the set $\left\{\omega \in \Omega \mid C \in S_{0}\right\}$. Then

$$
\boldsymbol{E}\left(X_{D}\right) \leq \boldsymbol{E}\left(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{c \in \mathscr{Y}_{n}^{\prime}(D)} X_{n, c}\right)
$$

Here if $\mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}(D)=\emptyset$, then we set $\prod_{c \in \mathscr{Y}_{n}(D)} X_{n, C}=1$.
Proof. For $\omega \in \Omega_{D}$ it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\sigma\left(H_{Q E(W(C \cap D,\{d j(n))}^{D}\right), E\right) \leq \exp \left(-d^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

for any $C \in \mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}(D)(n>0)$ and that $C \in S_{0}(\omega)$ for any $C \in D(n=0)$.
Let $\omega \in \Omega_{D}$ and $D$ be a component of $S_{k}^{\xi}$. First we consider the case $n=0$; $C \in D$ is contained in $S_{0}$ because $D$ is a component of $S_{k}^{\ell} \subset S_{0}$. Next we consider the case $n>0$. We show that if $C \in \mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}(D)$, then $C \cap D$ satisfies Condition $A(j$ $(n)$ ) (a), (b) and (c). Noting the definition of $\mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}(D)$ and $\alpha^{2}<\beta$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{diam}_{E}(D) \geq 2 d_{0}^{\beta} 2^{\beta n}>2 d_{0}^{\alpha} 2^{\alpha 2 n}=2\left(d_{0}^{\alpha} 2^{\alpha n}\right)^{\alpha} \geq 2 d_{j(n)}^{\alpha}=2 d_{j(n)+1} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last inequality follows from

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{0}^{\alpha} 2^{\alpha n} \geq d_{j(n)} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be easily seen by contradiction. Let $i(n)$ be the largest integer such that $d_{i(n)} \leq d_{0}^{\beta} 2^{\beta n}$. Then we have $d_{i(n)}>d_{0}^{\alpha} 2^{\alpha n}$ by contradiction and by $\alpha^{2}<\beta$. Because of this inequality and (4.3), we get $j(n)+1 \leq i(n)$. By the definition of $i(n),(4.2)$ and Condition $A(k)(b)$, it follows that

$$
d_{k} \geq \operatorname{diam}_{E}(D) \geq 2 d_{i(n)}
$$

So we have $i(n)<k$. As a consequence we obtain

$$
j(n)<i(n)<k .
$$

From this inequality it follows that $D \in S_{k}^{\mathcal{q}} \subset S_{k} \subset S_{j(n)}$. Therefore $C \cap D$ satisfies Condition $A(j(n))(a)$. Because of the definition of $j(n), \operatorname{diam}_{E} C=2^{n}$ and $d_{0}=$ $L(E) \geq 1$ it follows that

$$
\operatorname{diam}_{E}(C \cap D) \leq 2^{n} \leq d_{j(n)}
$$

which is Condition $A(j(n))(b)$ for $C \cap D$. We show Condition $A(j(n))$ (c) for $C \cap D$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j(n)}=S_{k}+\sum_{i=j(n)}^{k-1} S_{i .}^{g} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $D_{i}^{\kappa}$ is a component of $S_{i}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ for $i=j(n), j(n)+1, \cdots, k-1$ then we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(D_{i}^{\kappa}, C \cap D\right) \geq \operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(D_{i}^{\kappa}, S_{i} \backslash D_{i}^{\kappa}\right) \geq 2 d_{i+1} \geq 2 d_{j(n)+1} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by $C \cap D \subset D \subset S_{k} \subset S_{i} \backslash D_{i}^{\alpha}$. Since $D$ is a component of $S_{k}^{\ell}$, we have that

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(C \cap D, S_{k} \backslash D\right) \geq \operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(D, S_{k} \backslash D\right) \geq 2 d_{k+1}>2 d_{j(n)+1} .
$$

Because of $C \in \mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}(D)$ it follows that

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{E}(C \cap D, D \backslash(C \cap D)) \geq 2 d_{0}^{\beta} 2^{\beta n}>2 d_{j(n)+1} .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(C \cap D, S_{k} \backslash(C \cap D)\right) \geq 2 d_{j(n)+1} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6), we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(C \cap D, S_{j(n)} \backslash(C \cap D)\right) \geq 2 d_{j(n)+1}
$$

which is Condition $A(j(n))$ (c) for $C \cap D$. Therefore if $C \cap D$ satisfies Condition $A(j(n))$ (d), then $C \cap D$ is a component of $S_{j(n)}^{g}$. But this contradicts the fact that $D$ is a component of $S_{k}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ because $j(n)<k$. As a consequence we get that

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\sigma\left(H_{Q E(W(C \cap D, 4 d j(n))}^{D}\right), E\right) \leq \exp \left(-d^{\frac{1}{2}(n)}\right)
$$

We have thus proved Lemma 4.1.
In order to estimate $\boldsymbol{E}\left(X_{D}\right)$, we need the following two propositions.
Proposition 4.1 (Wegner estimate). Let $Q(j)=Q(0)+j, j \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}$ and $Q(0)$ $=[0,1)^{3}$. For a finite $J \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}$, let

$$
\Lambda=\cup_{j \in J} Q(j),
$$

and $\bar{J} \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}$ be a finite subset such that

$$
\bar{\Lambda}=\cup_{j \in \bar{J} Q} Q(j)
$$

is the smallest cube containing $\Lambda$. Let

$$
H_{\Lambda}^{D}(\omega)=-\Delta+\left.V_{\omega}\right|_{L^{2}(\Lambda)}
$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Then we have

$$
\boldsymbol{P}\left(\left\{\omega \mid \operatorname{dist}\left(\sigma\left(H_{\Lambda}^{D}(\omega)\right), E\right) \leq k\right\}\right) \leq \frac{2 c_{0}^{-1}}{3 \pi^{2}}|\bar{\Lambda}|^{2} k\left(E-k+2 \eta_{0}^{-1} \eta_{1} k\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$

for $k \geq 0$.
This proposition will be proved later. The following proposition has been proved by [4].

## Proposition 4.2.

$$
\boldsymbol{P}\left(\lambda_{1}\left(H_{Q E(0)}^{N}(\omega)\right) \leq E\right) \leq \exp \left(-c E^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right)
$$

From these two propositions we can show the following lemma.
Lemma 4.2. If $E>0$ is sufficiently small, then there exists $c>0, c^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\boldsymbol{E}\left(X_{D}\right) \leq \exp \left(-c E^{-\frac{3}{2}} \# D-c^{\prime} E^{-\frac{1}{4}} V^{\prime}(D)\right)
$$

Proof. For $n>0$ and for $C_{1}, C_{2} \in \mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}(D)\left(C_{1} \neq C_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(W\left(C_{1} \cap D, 4 d_{j(n)}\right), W\left(C_{2} \cap D, 4 d_{j(n)}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \geq 2 d_{0}^{\beta} 2^{\beta n}-8 d_{j(n)} \geq 2 d_{0}^{\beta} 2^{\beta n}-2^{3} d_{0}^{\alpha} 2^{\alpha n} \\
& \quad=2 d_{0}^{\beta} 2^{\beta n}\left(1-2^{2} d_{0}^{\alpha-\beta} 2^{(\alpha-\beta) n}\right)>2 d_{0}^{\beta} 2^{\beta n}\left(1-2^{2} d_{0}^{\alpha-\beta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by the definition of $\mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}(D)$ and (4.3). If $E$ is sufficiently small, then the last member of the above inequality is positive. Therefore we have

$$
W\left(C_{1} \cap D, 4 d_{j(n)}\right) \cap W\left(C_{2} \cap D, 4 d_{j(n)}\right)=\emptyset
$$

Then $\left\{X_{n, c}\right\}_{C \in \mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}(D)}$ are independent by (H.1). By the definition of $X_{0, C}$ and (H.1), it follows immediately that $\left\{X_{0, C}\right\}_{C \in D=\wp_{0}^{\prime}(D)}$ are independent. Hence by Lemma 4.1 and the independence of $X_{n, c}$, we have for $0<r<1$

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{E} & \left(X_{D}\right) \leq \boldsymbol{E}\left[\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{c \in \mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}(D)} X_{n, C}\right]  \tag{4.7}\\
& \leq\left(\prod_{c \in \mathscr{K}_{0}^{\prime}(D)} \boldsymbol{E}\left[X_{0, c}\right]\right)^{1-r}\left(\boldsymbol{E}\left[\prod_{n \geq 1} \prod_{c \in \mathscr{C}_{n_{n}^{\prime}}(D)} X_{n, c}\right]\right)^{r} \\
& \leq \cdots \leq \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{c \in \mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}(D)}\left(\boldsymbol{E}\left[X_{n, C}\right]\right)^{r^{n(1-r)}} .
\end{align*}
$$

For $n>0$, by the definition of $X_{n, c}$ it follows that

$$
\boldsymbol{E}\left[X_{n, C}\right]=\boldsymbol{P}\left\{\omega \left\lvert\, \operatorname{dist}\left(\sigma\left(H_{Q E(W(C \cap D, 4 d(n)))}^{D}\right), E\right) \leq \exp \left(-d_{j(n)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right.\right\}
$$

Since $Q_{E}\left(W\left(C \cap D, 4 d_{j(n)}\right)\right)$ is included in a cube with sides of $10 d_{j(n)} d_{0}$ by $C \in$ $\mathscr{C}_{n}^{\prime}(D), d_{j(n)} \geq 2^{n} d_{0}$ and $d_{0} \geq 1$, we have by Proposition 4.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\boldsymbol{E}\left[X_{n, c}\right]\right)^{r^{n(1-r)}} \leq\left(c d_{j(n)}^{6} d_{0}^{6} \exp \left(-d_{j(n)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)^{r^{n(1-r)}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $E$ is sufficiently small, then it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(d_{j(n)}^{6} d_{0}^{6} \exp \left(-d_{j}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)^{r n(1-r)}  \tag{4.9}\\
& \quad \leq\left(\exp \left(-c d_{j(n)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)^{r^{n(1-r)}} \leq\left(\exp \left(-c d_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)\right)^{r n(1-r)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $1>_{r}>\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, then it follows that
(4.10) the last member of $(4.9) \leq \exp \left(-c E^{-\frac{1}{4}}(\sqrt{2} r)^{n}(1-r)\right) \leq \exp \left(-c E^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)$ uniformly in $n \geq 1$. Therefore by (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\boldsymbol{E}\left[X_{n, c}\right]\right)^{r^{n(1-r)}} \leq \exp \left(-c^{\prime} E^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n=0$ and $C \in D$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{E} & {\left[X_{0, c}\right] }  \tag{4.12}\\
& =\boldsymbol{P}\left(C \in S_{0}\right)=\boldsymbol{P}\left(\lambda_{1}\left(H_{Q_{E}(C)}^{N}(\omega)\right) \leq 2 E\right) \\
& =\boldsymbol{P}\left(\lambda_{1}\left(H_{Q E(0)}^{N}(\omega)\right) \leq 2 E\right) \leq \exp \left(-c E^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

from Proposition 4.2. From (4.7), (4.11) and (4.12) we obtain that

$$
\boldsymbol{E}\left[X_{D}\right] \leq \exp \left(-c E^{-\frac{3}{2}} \# D-c^{\prime} E^{-\frac{1}{4}} V^{\prime}(D)\right)
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2.
In order to prove Theorem 4.1 we need the following lemmas.

## Lemma 4.3.

$$
V(D) \leq_{c}\left(\log _{2} E^{-1}\right)^{2} \# D+c^{\prime} V^{\prime}(D)
$$

This lemma will be proved later. The following lemma has been proved by [1].

Lemma 4.4. For $V \in \boldsymbol{N}$, we have

$$
\#\left\{D \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E) \mid V(D)=V \text { and } 0 \in D\right\} \leq \exp (10 V)
$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Because of the translation invariance by (H.1), we have

$$
\boldsymbol{P}\left(i \in S_{j}^{\boldsymbol{g}}\right)=\boldsymbol{P}\left(0 \in S_{f}^{\boldsymbol{g}}\right)
$$

for $i \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{P}\left(0 \in S_{j}^{\boldsymbol{g}}\right) \leq \sum_{D \ni} \boldsymbol{P}\left(D \text { is a component of } S_{j}^{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{P}_{D, j}=\boldsymbol{P}\left(D\right.$ is a component of $\left.S_{j}^{g}\right)$. If $\operatorname{diam}_{E} D>d_{j}$, it immediately follows that $\boldsymbol{P}_{D, j}=0$ because of Condition $A(j)(\mathrm{b})$. Therefore we shall estimate as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{P}\left(0 \in S_{j}^{g}\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $D$ be component of $S_{j}^{\ell}(\omega)$. As a first step, we consider the case where $\operatorname{diam}_{E} D \leq d_{j-1}$. Since $S_{j-1}=S_{j-1}^{g}+S_{j}$, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(D, S_{j-1} \backslash D\right)=\min \left(\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(D, S_{j-1}^{\mathcal{E}}\right), \operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(D, S_{j} \backslash D\right)\right) \geq 2 d_{j}
$$

by Conditions $A(j-1)$ (c) and $A(j)$ (c). Suppose that

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\sigma\left(H_{Q_{E}(W(D, 4 d j-1))}^{D}\right), E\right) \geq \exp \left(-d_{j-1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

then $D$ would satisfy Condition $A(j-1)$. But this contradicts the fact that $D$ is a component of $S_{j}^{\ell}$. Therefore we have

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\sigma\left(H_{Q_{E}(W(D, 4 d j-1)}^{D}\right), E\right) \leq \exp \left(-d_{j-1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

Consequently we can estimate $\boldsymbol{P}_{D, j}$ as follows. Let $X^{1}(\omega)$ be the characteristic function of the set:

$$
\left\{\omega \left\lvert\, \operatorname{dist}\left(\sigma\left(H_{Q_{E}(W(D, 4 d j-1))}^{D}\right), E\right) \leq \exp \left(-d_{j-1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right.\right\}
$$

and $X_{D}$ is the characteristic function $\Omega_{D}$. Since $Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{j-1}\right)\right)$ is included in a cube with side of $10 d_{j-1} d_{0}$, by Proposition 4.1 we have

$$
\boldsymbol{E}\left[X^{1}\right]_{c}\left(d_{j-1} d_{0}\right)^{6} \exp \left(-d_{j-1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{P}_{D, j} \leq \boldsymbol{E}\left[X^{1}(\omega) X_{D}(\omega)\right] \leq\left(\boldsymbol{E}\left[X^{1}(\omega)\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{E}\left[X_{D}(\omega)\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{4.15}\\
\leq c d_{j-1}^{3} d_{0}^{3} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} d_{j-1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{E}\left[X_{D}(\omega)\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}_{D, j} \leq \boldsymbol{E}\left(X_{D}(\omega)\right) . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 4.3, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c E^{-\frac{3}{2}} \# D+c^{\prime} E^{-\frac{1}{4}} V^{\prime}(D) \\
& \quad \geq\left(c\left(\log _{2} E^{-1}\right)^{2} \# D+c^{\prime} V^{\prime}(D)\right) c E^{-\frac{1}{4}} \geq c E^{-\frac{1}{4}} V(D) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by Lemma 4.2, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{E}\left(X_{D}(\omega)\right) \leq \exp \left(-c E^{-\frac{1}{4}} V(D)\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.15), (4.17) and 4.4, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{I} & \leq \sum_{\substack{V=10}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\text { dio. } V(D)=V \\
\text { diam } D \\
d_{j-1}}} c d_{j-1}^{3} d_{0}^{3} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} d_{j-1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \exp \left(-c E^{-\frac{1}{4}} V\right)  \tag{4.18}\\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{V=1}}^{\infty} c d_{j-1}^{3} d_{0}^{3} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} d_{j-1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \exp \left(\left(10-c E^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right) V\right) \\
& \leq c d_{j}^{\frac{6}{\alpha}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} d_{j}^{\frac{1}{2 \alpha}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} d_{j}^{-p}
\end{align*}
$$

provided $E>0$ is sufficiently small because $d_{j} \geq d_{0}$ and $d_{0}$ is large when $E$ is small. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(D) \geq n_{0}(D) \geq \log _{2} \operatorname{diam}_{E} D . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we have (4.16) from the definition, it follows from (4.17), (4.19) and Lemma 4.4
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq \sum_{\substack{V \geq \log _{2} d d_{-1}}} \exp \left(\left(10-c E^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right) V\right) \leq c \exp \left(\left(10-c E^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right) \log _{2} d_{j-1}\right)  \tag{4.20}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} d_{j}^{-p}
\end{align*}
$$

provided $E>0$ is sufficiently small. From (4.16), (4.18) and (4.20), we have completed the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Let $\gamma(x)=\left[\frac{1}{\beta}\left\{x-1-\log _{2}\left(4 d_{0}^{\beta}+3\right)\right\}\right]$. For $n \in \boldsymbol{N}$ such that $\gamma(n)>0$, we claim

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n} \leq \frac{1}{2} V_{r(n)}+V_{r(n)}^{\prime} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{m}=V_{m}(D)=\# \mathscr{C}_{m}(D)$ and $V_{m}^{\prime}=V_{m}^{\prime}(D)=\# \mathscr{C}_{m}^{\prime}(D)$. In fact, let $\mathscr{C}_{m}^{\prime \prime}=$ $\mathscr{C}_{m}^{\prime \prime}(D)=\mathscr{C}_{m}(D) \mathscr{C}_{m}^{\prime}(D)$. If $C \in \mathscr{C}_{r(n)}^{\prime \prime}$, then there exists $C^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}_{r(n)}^{\prime \prime}$ such that dist ${ }_{E}$ $\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)<2 d_{0}^{\beta} 2^{\beta \gamma(n)}$ and $C \neq C^{\prime}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{diam}_{E}\left(C \cup C^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad<2 \cdot 2^{\gamma(n)}+2 d_{0}^{\beta} 2^{\beta \gamma(n)} \\
& \quad<\left(2+2 d_{0}^{\beta}\right) 2^{\beta \gamma(n)}=2^{\beta \gamma(n)+\log _{2}\left(2+2 d d^{\beta}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definition of $\gamma(n)$, we have $\beta \gamma(n)+\log _{2}\left(2+2 d_{0}^{B}\right)+1 \leq n$. Hence there exists an n-cube $C^{\prime \prime}$ such that $C \cup C^{\prime} \subset C^{\prime \prime}$. And if $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3} \in \mathscr{C}_{r(n)}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)<2 d_{0}^{\beta \gamma} 2^{\beta \gamma(n)}$ and $\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(C_{1}, C_{3}\right)<2 d_{0}^{\beta} 2^{\beta \gamma(n)}$, then it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{diam}_{E}\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2} \cup C_{3}\right) \\
& \quad<3 \cdot 2^{r(n)}+4 d_{0}^{\beta} 2^{\beta \gamma(n)} \\
& \quad<\left(3+4 d_{0}^{\beta}\right) 2^{\beta \gamma(n)}=2^{\beta r(n)+\log _{2}\left(3+4 d_{6}^{\beta}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\beta \gamma(n)+\log _{2}\left(3+4 d_{0}^{\beta}\right)+1 \leq n$. Therefore if $\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, \cdots, C_{l}\right\}=\mathscr{C}_{r(n)}^{\prime \prime}$, there exist at most $\left[\frac{l}{2}\right]$ pieces of $n$-cubes which cover $\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, \cdots, C_{l}\right\}$. Hence we have that

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{n}=\# \mathscr{C}_{n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \# \mathscr{C}_{r(n)}^{\prime \prime}+\# \mathscr{C}_{r(n)}^{\prime} \leq \frac{1}{2} V_{r(n)}+V_{r(n)}^{\prime} . \\
m \text { times }
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus (4.21) is proved. Let $\gamma^{m}(n)=\gamma(\gamma \cdots \gamma(\gamma(n)) \cdots)$. For $n$ such that $\gamma(n)>$ $0, M(n)$ denotes the largest natural number such that $\gamma^{M(n)}(n)>0$ and for $n$
such that $\gamma(n) \leq 0$, we define $M(n)=0$. If $\gamma(n)>0$, we can iterate (4.21) $M(n)$ times and we get

$$
V_{n} \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{M(n)} V_{r^{M(n)(n)}}+\sum_{m=1}^{M(n)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m-1} V_{r^{m}(n)}^{\prime} .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n} \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{M(n)} V_{0}+\sum_{m=1}^{M(n)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m-1} V_{r^{m}(n)}^{\prime} . \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\gamma(n) \leq 0$, this inequality (4.22) holds for $M(n)=0$ and for the $2 n d$ term of the right hand side of $(4.22)=0$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V(D)=\sum_{n=0}^{n_{0}(D)} V_{n} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{n_{0}(D)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{M(n)} V_{0}+\sum_{n=0}^{n_{0}(D)} \sum_{m=1}^{M(n)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m-1} V_{r m(n)}^{\prime} . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put $d=1+\log _{2}\left(4 d_{0}^{\beta}+3\right)$. Since $\frac{1}{\beta}(x-d)-1 \leq \gamma(x) \leq \frac{1}{\beta}(x-d)$ and $\gamma(x)$ is a monotone increasing function, we have by induction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{m} x-d \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{j}-\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{j} \leq \gamma^{m}(x) \leq\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{m} x-d \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{j} . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.24) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma^{m}(n)  \tag{4.25}\\
& \quad>\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{m} n-d \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{j}-\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{j} \\
& \quad>\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{m} n-\frac{1}{\beta-1}(d+\beta) .
\end{align*}
$$

For $k \geq \frac{1}{\beta-1}(d+\beta)$, we denote by $l_{0}(k)$ the largest integer $(\geq 0)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{L_{0}(k)} k-\frac{1}{\beta-1}(d+\beta) \geq 0 . \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have $\gamma^{l_{0}(k)}(k)>0$ and then $M(k) \geq l_{0}(k)$. Then we have
(4.27) $M(k) \geq\left\{\begin{array}{cl}0 & \text { for } 0 \leq k<\frac{1}{\beta-1}(d+\beta) \\ {\left[\log _{2} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\beta-1}(d+\beta)}\left(\log _{2} \beta\right)^{-1}\right]} & \text { for } \quad k \geq \frac{1}{\beta-1}(d+\beta) .\end{array}\right.$

From (4.27), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=0}^{n_{0}(D)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{M(n)}  \tag{4.28}\\
& \quad \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{M(n)} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{\beta-1}(d+\beta)+1+\sum_{k<\frac{1}{\beta-1}(d+\beta)} 2^{-\log _{2} \frac{1}{\beta-1}(d+\beta)}\left(\log _{8} \beta\right)^{-1+1}
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{-\log _{2} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\beta-1}^{1}(d+\beta)}\left(\log _{2} \beta\right)^{-1+1}}=2\left(\frac{1}{\beta-1}(d+\beta)\right)^{\left(\log _{2} \beta\right)^{-1}} k^{-\left(\log _{2} \beta\right)^{-1}} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $d=1+\log _{2}\left(4 d_{0}^{\beta}+3\right), d_{0}=\left[E^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right]$ and $\sqrt{2}<\beta<1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\beta-1}(d+\beta) \leq c \log _{2} E^{-1} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(\frac{1}{\beta-1}(d+\beta)\right)^{\left(\log _{2} \beta\right)-1} \leq_{c d^{2}} \leq_{c}\left(\log _{2} E^{-1}\right)^{2} \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently small $E>0$. From (4.28) - (4.31) and $\log _{2} \beta<1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{n_{0}(D)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{M(n)} \leq c \log _{2} E^{-1}+c\left(\log _{2} E^{-1}\right)^{2} \leq c^{\prime}\left(\log _{2} E^{-1}\right)^{2} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently small $E>0$. For $m \in \boldsymbol{N}$ and $j \in \boldsymbol{Z}$, let $N_{m, j}=\left\{k \in \boldsymbol{Z} \mid \gamma^{m}(k)=j\right\}$. Let $k_{+}$be the largest integer such that $\gamma^{m}\left(k_{+}\right)=j$ and $k_{-}$be the smallest integer such that $\gamma^{m}\left(k_{-}\right)=j$. By (4.24), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{m} k_{+}-d \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{j}-\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{j} \leq \gamma^{m}\left(k_{+}\right) \\
& =j=\gamma^{m}\left(k_{-}\right) \leq\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{m} k_{-}-d \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

and then

$$
\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{m}\left(k_{+}-k_{-}\right) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{j}=\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}\left(1-\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{m}\right) .
$$

Therefore it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# N_{m, j}=k_{+}-k_{-}+1 \leq \frac{1}{\beta-1} \beta^{m+1} \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\sum_{k=0}^{n_{0}(D) M(k)} \sum_{m=1}^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right.}\right)^{m-1} V^{\prime}{ }_{r}{ }^{m(k)}  \tag{4.34}\\
& \quad=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{0}(D)}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n_{0}(D) M(k)} \sum_{m=1}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m-1} \delta_{r^{m}(k), j}\right) V_{j .}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.33) and $\beta<2$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n_{0}(D) M(k)} \sum_{m=1}^{M\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m-1} \delta_{\gamma^{m}(k), j}}  \tag{4.35}\\
& \quad \leq \sum_{m, k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m-1} \delta_{r^{m}(k), j}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m-1} \# N_{m, j} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\beta^{2}}{\beta-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{m-1}=c^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.34) and (4.35), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n_{0}(D)} \sum_{m=1}^{M(k)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m-1} V_{r m(K)}^{\prime} \leq c^{\prime} V^{\prime}(D) \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.23), (4.32), and (4.36), we proved Lemma 4.3.
Proof of Proposition 4.1. Let

$$
H_{A}^{D}\left(x_{j} ; j \in J\right)=-\Delta+\left.\sum_{j \in J} x_{i} f(\cdot-j)\right|_{L^{2}(\Lambda)}
$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions. For $\lambda>0$, let

$$
\left.N\left(\lambda ; x_{j}, j \in J\right)=\# \text { \{eigenvalues of } H_{\Lambda}^{D}\left(x_{j} ; j \in J\right) \leq \lambda\right\}
$$

Since $\lambda-\lambda^{\prime} \leq\left(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right) \eta_{0}^{-1} f$ for $\lambda \geq \lambda^{\prime}$ by (H.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(\lambda ; x_{j}, j \in J\right)  \tag{4.37}\\
& \quad=N\left(\lambda^{\prime}+\lambda-\lambda^{\prime} ; x_{j}, j \in J\right) \\
& \quad \leq N\left(\lambda^{\prime} ; x_{j}-\eta_{0}^{-1}\left(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right), j \in J\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Let $X_{[0,1]}(x)$ be the characteristic function of the interval [0, 1]. From (H.1) and (4.37) we have
(4.38) $\boldsymbol{E}\left[N\left(\lambda ; q_{j}(\omega), j \in J\right)-N\left(\lambda^{\prime} ; q_{j}(\omega), j \in J\right)\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{R^{\prime \prime}}\left(N\left(\lambda ; x_{j}, j \in J\right)-N\left(\lambda^{\prime} ; x_{j}, j \in J\right)\right) \prod_{j \in J} X_{[0,1]}\left(x_{j}\right) d x_{j} \\
\leq & \int_{R^{\prime \prime}}\left(N\left(\lambda^{\prime} ; x_{j}-\eta_{0}^{-1}\left(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right), j \in J\right)-N\left(\lambda^{\prime} ; x_{j}, j \in J\right)\right) \prod_{j \in J} X_{[0,1]}\left(x_{j}\right) d x_{j} \\
& =\int_{R^{\prime \prime}} N\left(\lambda^{\prime} ; x_{j}, j \in J\right)\left(\prod_{j \in J} X_{[0,1]}\left(x_{j}+\eta_{0}^{-1}\left(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right)\right)-\prod_{j \in J} X_{[0,1]}\left(x_{j}\right)\right) \prod_{j \in J} d x_{j} \\
& \leq N\left(\lambda^{\prime} ;-\eta_{0}^{-1}\left(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right) j \in J\right) 2 \eta_{0}^{-1}\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime} \| \Lambda\right| \\
& \leq N\left(\lambda^{\prime} ;-\eta_{0}^{-1}\left(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right) j \in \bar{J}\right) 2 \eta_{0}^{-1}\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime} \| \bar{\Lambda}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|\Lambda|$ and $|\bar{\Lambda}|$ is the volume of $\Lambda$ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ respectively. Let $l(\bar{\Lambda})=|\bar{\Lambda}|^{\frac{1}{3}}$. We have by (H.2)

$$
\begin{align*}
N & \left(\lambda^{\prime} ;-\eta_{0}^{-1}\left(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right), j \in \bar{J}\right)  \tag{4.39}\\
& \leq N\left(\lambda^{\prime}+\eta_{0}^{-1} \eta_{1}\left(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right) ; 0, j \in \bar{J}\right) \\
& =\#\left\{n \in N^{3} \left\lvert\, \pi^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{n_{j}^{2}}{l(\bar{\Lambda})^{2}}<\lambda^{\prime}+\eta_{0}^{-1} \eta_{1}\left(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right)\right.\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{6} \pi\left(\frac{l(\bar{\Lambda})^{2}}{\pi^{2}}\left(\lambda^{\prime}+\eta_{0}^{-1} \eta_{1}\left(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& =\frac{|\bar{\Lambda}|}{6 \pi^{2}}\left(\lambda^{\prime}+\eta_{0}^{-1} \eta_{1}\left(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\lambda=E+k$ and $\lambda^{\prime}=E-k$. From (4.38) and (4.39), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{P}\left(\operatorname{dist}\left(\sigma\left(H_{\Lambda}(\omega)\right), E\right) \leq k\right)  \tag{4.40}\\
& \quad \leq \boldsymbol{E}\left[N\left(E+k ; q_{j}(\omega) j \in J\right)-N\left(E-k ; q_{j}(\omega), j \in J\right)\right] \\
& \quad \leq \frac{2 c_{0}^{-1}}{3 \pi^{2}}\left(E-k+2 \eta_{0}^{-1} \eta_{1} k\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} k .
\end{align*}
$$

We have proved the proposition.

## 5. Sufficient condition of the exponential decay of the Green functions

Definition. For $A \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$, let $A^{c}=\boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E) \backslash A$,

$$
\partial_{i n} A=\left\{x \in A \mid \text { There exists } y \in A^{c} \text { such that } \operatorname{dist}_{E}(x, y)=1\right\}
$$

and

$$
\partial_{\text {out }} A=\left\{x \in A^{c} \mid \text { There exists } y \in A \text { such that } \operatorname{dist}_{E}(x, y)=1\right\} .
$$

We define $\partial A$ as follows:

$$
\partial A=\partial_{\text {in }} A \cup \partial_{\text {out }} A
$$

$A \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$ is said to be $k$-admissible if

$$
\partial A \cap W\left(D_{i}^{\chi}, 4 d_{i}\right)=\emptyset
$$

for any component $D_{i}^{\chi}$ of $S_{i}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ and for $i=0,1, \cdots, k$. $A \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$ is said to be admissible if $A$ is $k$-admissible for all $k \geq 0$.

Let $\Lambda, \Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2} \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{3}$ be of the form $\cup_{j \in J} Q_{E}(j)$ for some $J \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$ such that $\Lambda_{1} \cap \Lambda_{2}=\emptyset$ and $\Lambda_{1} \cup \Lambda_{2}=\Lambda$. Let $G_{\Lambda}(\omega, E+i \varepsilon ; x, y)$ be the Green function of operator $H_{\Lambda, \omega}-(E+i \varepsilon)=H_{\omega}-\left.(E+i \varepsilon)\right|_{L^{2}(\Lambda)}$ on $L^{2}(\Lambda)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions and $G_{\Lambda_{1} \mid \Lambda_{2}}(\omega, E+i \varepsilon ; x, y)$ be the Green function of operator $H_{\Lambda_{1 \mid} \mid \Lambda_{2}, \omega}$ $-(E+i \varepsilon)=H_{\omega}-\left.(E+i \varepsilon)\right|_{L^{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right) \oplus L^{2}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)}$ on $L^{2}(\Lambda) \simeq L^{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right) \oplus L^{2}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\partial \Lambda_{1} \cup \partial \Lambda_{2}$. Let $\partial_{n_{2}} G_{\Lambda}(\omega, E+i \varepsilon ; x, z), x \in \Lambda, z \in \partial \Lambda$ be the outward normal derivative at $z$ of $G_{\Lambda}(\omega, E+i \varepsilon ; x, y)$. Then form Green's formula it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\Lambda}(\omega, E+i \varepsilon ; x, y)  \tag{5.1}\\
& \quad=G_{\Lambda_{1} \mid \Lambda_{2}}(\omega, E+i \varepsilon ; x, y) \\
& \quad-\int_{\partial \Lambda_{1}} \partial_{n_{2}} G_{\Lambda_{1} \mid \Lambda_{2}}(\omega, E+i \varepsilon ; x, z) G_{\Lambda}(\omega, E+i \varepsilon ; z, y) d z
\end{align*}
$$

if $x \in \Lambda_{1}, y \in \Lambda_{1} \cup \Lambda_{2}$ and $x \neq y$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\Lambda_{1 \mid \Lambda}}(\omega, E+i \varepsilon ; x, y)=G_{\Lambda_{j}}(\omega, E+i \varepsilon ; x, y) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $x, y \in \Lambda_{j}, j=1,2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\Lambda_{1} \mid \Lambda_{2}}(\omega, E+i \varepsilon ; x, y)=0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $x \in \Lambda_{j}, y \in \Lambda_{k}, j, k=1,2$ and $j \neq k$.
The main theorem of this section is the following theorem.
Theorem 5.1. For $x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3}$ we denote by $j(x)$ the element of $\boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$ which is uniquely determined by $x \in Q_{E}(j(x))$. There exists $E_{1}>0$ such that for $0<E \leq E_{1}$ if $A \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$ is $k$-admissible and $A \cap S_{k+1}=\emptyset$, then it follows that

$$
\left|G_{Q E(A)}(E+i \varepsilon ; x, y)\right| \leq \exp (-m(E)|x-y|)
$$

provided $\operatorname{dist}_{E}(j(x), j(y)) \geq \frac{1}{5} d_{k+1}$ and $0<\varepsilon \leq E$. Here $m(E)=c_{1} E^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $c_{1}$ is independent of $A, k$ and $E$.

Proof. We denote by $\Theta_{k}$ the following assertion:
If $A$ is $(k-1)$-admissible and $A \cap S_{k}=\emptyset$, then it follows that

$$
\left|G_{Q_{E}(A)}(E+i \varepsilon ; x, y)\right| \leq \exp \left(-m_{k}(E)|x-y|\right)
$$

provided $\operatorname{dist}_{E}(j(x), j(y)) \geq \frac{1}{5} d_{k}$ and $0<\varepsilon \leq E$.
Here $m_{k}(E)=\frac{1}{5} E^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(1-77 d_{i}^{1-\alpha}\right)$ and $m_{0}(E)=\frac{1}{5} E^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
By putting $c_{1}=\frac{1}{5} \prod_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(1-77 d_{i}\left(E_{1}\right)^{1-\alpha}\right)$, Theorem 5.1 follows from $\Theta_{k+1}$.

We shall prove $\Theta_{k}$ for $k \geq 0$ by induction.
Step 1: Proof of $\Theta_{0}$.
Since $A \cap S_{0}=\emptyset$, we have $\lambda_{1}\left(-\Delta_{Q_{E}(j)}^{N}+V_{\omega}\right)>2 E$ for $j \in A$. Then we have

$$
H_{Q E(A)}^{D}(\omega)=-\Delta_{Q E(A)}^{D}+V_{\omega} \geq-\Delta_{Q E(A)}^{N}+V_{\omega} \geq \bigoplus_{j \in A}\left(-\Delta_{Q E(j)}^{N}+V_{\omega}\right)>2 E .
$$

From Lemma $A .3$, the exists $E^{\prime}>0$ such that if $0<E \leq E^{\prime}$, then we have

$$
\left|G_{Q_{E}(A)}(E+i \varepsilon ; x, y)\right| \leq \exp \left(-\frac{1}{5} E^{\frac{1}{2}}|x-y|\right)
$$

for any $x$ and $y$ such that $\operatorname{dist}_{E}(j(x), j(y)) \geq \frac{1}{5} d_{0}$ and $0<\varepsilon \leq E$. This completes the proof of $\Theta_{0}$.

Step2: Proof of $\Theta_{k+1}$ under the assumption of $\Theta_{k}$.
Let $A$ be $k$-admissible and $A \cap S_{k+1}=\phi$. If $A \cap S_{k}=\emptyset$, then $\Theta_{k+1}$ follows from $\Theta_{k}$.
Hence we shall consider the case of $A \cap S_{k} \neq \emptyset$. In order to prove $\Theta_{k+1}$, we distinguish the following two cases:
(i) $\frac{1}{5} d_{k+1} \leq \operatorname{diam}_{E} A \leq \frac{2}{3} d_{k+1}$.
(ii) $\frac{3}{2} d_{k+1}<\operatorname{diam}_{E} A$.

We first study the case (i).
Lemma 5.1.Let $R \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$ be a $k$-admissible set containing some $D_{k}^{\chi} \in S_{k}^{g}$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{5} d_{k+1} \leq \operatorname{diam}_{E} R \leq \frac{3}{2} d_{k+1}
$$

Then we have

$$
\left|G_{Q_{E}(R)}(E+i \varepsilon ; x, y)\right| \leq \exp \left\{-\left(m_{k}(E)-\mu_{k}(E)\right)|x-y|\right\}
$$

provided $\operatorname{dist}_{E}(j(x), j(y)) \geq \frac{1}{5} d_{k+1}$. Here $\mu_{k}(E)=75 m_{k}(E) d_{k}^{1-\alpha}$.
Proof. For simplicity we denote $D=D_{k}^{\kappa}$. We fix $x \in Q_{E}(R)$ and $y \in Q_{E}(R)$ such that $\operatorname{dist}_{E}(j(x), j(y)) \geq \frac{1}{5} d_{k}$. If $\operatorname{dist}_{E}(\{j(x), j(y)\}, D) \geq 4 d_{k}$, we put $D_{1}=$ $D$ and $D_{2}=\left\{z \in R \mid \operatorname{dist}_{E}(z, D) \leq 3 d_{k+1}\right\}$. If $\frac{3}{2} d_{k} \leq \operatorname{dist}_{E}(\{j(x), j(y)\}, D)<4 d_{k}$, we put $D_{1}=D$ and $D_{2}=\left\{z \in R \left\lvert\, \operatorname{dist}_{E}(z, D) \leq \frac{1}{2} d_{k}\right.\right\}$. If $\left.\operatorname{dist}_{E}\{j(x), j(y)\}, D\right)<\frac{3}{2} d_{k}$, we put $D_{1}=\left\{z \in R \left\lvert\, \operatorname{dist}_{E}(z, D) \leq \frac{5}{2} d_{k}\right.\right\}$ and $D_{2}=\left\{z \in R \mid \operatorname{dist}_{E}(z, D) \leq 3 d_{k}\right\}$. Then for sufficientely small $E>0$, from Lemma B. 1 it iollows that there exists a $(k-1)$ -admissible set $B \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$ such that

$$
D \subset B \subset W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right), \operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(\partial B,\{j(x), j(y)\} \geq d_{k}\right.
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(B^{c}, D\right) \leq 3 d_{k}
$$

Let $Q=R \backslash B, \gamma=\partial Q_{E}(B)$ and $\bar{\gamma}=\partial Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right)\right)$.
Case (i, 1). Let $x$ and $y$ in $Q_{E}(Q)$.
From (5.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{Q_{E}(R)}(x, y)  \tag{5.4}\\
& \quad=G_{Q_{E}(Q) \mid Q_{E}(B)}(x, z)-\int_{r} \partial_{n_{1}} G_{Q_{E}(Q) \mid Q_{E}(B)}(x, z) G_{Q_{E}(R)}(z, y) d z \\
& \quad=G_{Q_{E}(Q)}(x, y)+\int_{r} \partial_{n_{2}} G_{Q_{E}(R)}(x, z) \int_{r} G_{Q_{E}(R)}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \partial_{n_{z}} G_{Q_{E}(Q)}\left(z^{\prime}, y\right) d z^{\prime} d z .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $R$ and $B$ is $(k-1)$-admissible, so is $Q$. Moreover by the assumption of $R$ and Condition $A(\mathrm{k})(\mathrm{c}), R \cap\left(S_{k} \backslash D\right)=\emptyset$. Then we have $Q \cap S_{k}=\emptyset$. Hence by applying $\Theta_{k}$ to $Q$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{Q_{E}(Q)}(u, v)\right| \leq \exp \left\{-m_{k}(E)|u-v|\right\} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\operatorname{dist}_{E}(j(u), j(v)) \geq \frac{1}{5} d_{k}$. Since $\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(\partial B,\{j(x), j(y)\} \geq d_{k}, \operatorname{dist}_{E}(\{j(x), j(y)\}\right.$, $j(z))-1>d_{k}-1 \geq \frac{1}{5} d_{k}$ for $z \in \gamma$ for sufficiently small $E>0$. Therefore from Lemma A. 5 and (5.5), we obtain for $z, z^{\prime} \in \gamma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{n_{2}} G_{Q_{E}(Q)}(x, z)\right| \leq c_{3}^{\prime} \exp \left\{-m_{k}(E)|x-y|\right\} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{n_{z^{\prime}}} G_{Q_{E}(Q)}\left(z^{\prime}, y\right)\right| \leq c_{3}^{\prime} \exp \left\{-m_{k}(E)\left|z^{\prime}-y\right|\right\} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

since there exists a positive $\delta$ such that $m_{k}(E)<\delta$ uniformly in $k$ and sufficiently small $E>0$. Next we shall estimate the term $G_{Q_{E}(R)}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ in (5.4).

Lemma 5.2. Let $u, w \in Q_{E}(B)$. Then we have

$$
\left|G_{Q_{E}(R)}(E+i \varepsilon ; u, w)\right| \leq \frac{1}{|u-w|}+c_{4}^{\prime} \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

Here $c_{4}^{\prime}$ is independent of $R, B, u, w, E$ and $\varepsilon$.
Proof of Lemma 5.2. From (5.1), we get

$$
G_{Q_{E}(R)}(u, w)
$$

$$
=G_{Q_{\varepsilon}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{1}\right)\right) \mid Q_{E}(R) \backslash Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{1}\right) u, w\right)}
$$

$$
-\int_{\bar{Y}} \partial_{n_{z}} G_{Q_{E}(W(D, 4 d,)) \mid Q_{E}(R) \backslash Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{d}\right)\right)}\left(u, z_{1}\right) G_{Q_{E}(R)}\left(z_{1}, w\right) d z_{1}
$$

$$
=G_{Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right)\right)}(u, w)-\int_{\bar{T}} \partial_{n_{n}} G_{Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right)\right)}\left(u, z_{1}\right) G_{Q_{E}(R)}\left(z_{1}, w\right) d z_{1} .
$$

Because $z_{1} \in \bar{\gamma} \subset Q_{E}(Q)$, it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{Q_{E}(R)}\left(z_{1}, w\right) \\
& \quad=G_{Q_{E}(Q) \mid Q_{E}(B)}\left(z_{1}, w\right)-\int_{r} \partial_{n_{2}} G_{Q_{E}(Q) \mid Q_{E}(B)}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) G_{Q_{E}(R)}\left(z_{2}, w\right) d z_{2} \\
& \quad=-\int_{r} \partial_{n_{n_{z}}} G_{Q_{E}(Q)}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) G_{Q_{E}(R)}\left(z_{2}, w\right) d z_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{Q_{E}(R)}(u, w) \\
& \quad=G_{Q_{E}(W(D, 4 d k))}(u, w) \\
& \quad+\int_{\bar{Y}} \partial_{n_{s} G} G_{Q_{E}(W(D, 4 d k))}\left(u, z_{1}\right) \int_{\gamma} \partial_{n_{s}} G_{Q_{E}(Q)}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) G_{Q_{E}(R)}\left(z_{2}, w\right) d z_{2} d z_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $z_{2} \in \gamma \subset Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right)\right)$, it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{Q_{E}(R)}\left(z_{2}, w\right) \\
& \quad=G_{Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right)\right)}\left(z_{2}, w\right)-\int_{\bar{T}} \partial_{I_{3}} G_{Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right)\right)}\left(z_{2}, z_{3}\right) G_{Q_{E}(R)}\left(z_{3}, w\right) d z_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{Q_{E}(R)}(u, w) \\
& \quad=G_{Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right)\right)}(u, w) \\
& \quad+\int_{\bar{T}} \partial_{n_{n}} G_{Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right)\right)}\left(u, z_{1}\right) \int_{r} \partial_{n_{z}} G_{Q_{E}(Q)}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) G_{Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right)\right)}\left(z_{2}, w\right) d z_{2} d z_{1} \\
& -\quad-\int_{\bar{T}} \partial_{n_{3}} G_{Q_{E}(W(D, 4 d k))}\left(u, z_{1}\right) \int_{r} \partial_{n_{z}} G_{Q_{E}(Q)}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \\
& \quad \times \int_{\bar{T}} \partial_{n_{3} G} G_{Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right)\right)}\left(z_{2}, z_{3}\right) G_{Q_{E}(R)}\left(z_{3}, w\right) d z_{3} d z_{2} d z_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Inductively we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (5.8) } \quad G_{Q_{E}(R)}(u, w) \\
& =G_{Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right)\right)}(u, w) \\
& +\sum_{n=1}^{N} \overbrace{\bar{r}} \int_{r} \cdots \int_{\bar{r}} \int_{r}\left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \partial_{n_{z, y, n}} G_{Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right)\right)}\left(z_{2 j}, z_{2 j+1}\right) \partial_{n_{2, u, z}} G_{Q_{E}(Q)}\left(z_{2 j+1}, z_{2 j+2}\right)\right) \\
& \times G_{Q_{E}(W(D, 4 d k))}\left(z_{2 n}, w\right) \prod_{j=1}^{2 n} d z_{j} \\
& +\overbrace{\bar{r}} \int_{r} \cdots \int_{\bar{r}} \int_{r}\left(\prod_{j=0}^{N} \partial_{n_{n, s, l}} G_{Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right)\right)}\left(z_{2 j}, z_{2 j+1}\right) \partial_{n_{2, n, Y}} G_{Q_{E}(Q)}\left(z_{2 j+1}, z_{2 j+2}\right)\right) \\
& \times G_{Q_{E}(R)}\left(z_{2 N+2}, w\right) \prod_{j=1}^{2 N+2} d z_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z_{0}=u$. From Lemma A.6, it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|G_{Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right)\right)}(E+i \varepsilon ; t, s)\right|  \tag{5.9}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{|t-s|}+\frac{c_{4}}{\operatorname{dist}\left(\sigma\left(H_{Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right)\right)}^{D}\right), E+i \varepsilon\right)} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{|t-s|^{+}}+c_{4} \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The last inequality follows from Condition $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{k})(\mathrm{d})$. If $t \in \gamma \cup Q_{E}(B)$ and $s \in \bar{\gamma}$, it follows

$$
|t-s|>|t-s|-1 \geq d_{k} L(E)-1>\frac{1}{5} L(E) d_{k}>1
$$

for sufficiently small $E>0$. Hence by Lemma A. 5 and (5.9) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\partial_{n_{s}} G_{Q_{E}\left(W\left(D, 4 d_{k}\right)\right)}(t, s)\right|  \tag{5.10}\\
& \quad \leq c_{3}\left(\frac{1}{|t-s|-1}+c_{4} \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leq c_{3}\left(5 L(E)^{-1} d_{k}^{-1}+c_{4} \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \quad \leq c_{5} \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $t \in \gamma \cup Q_{E}(B)$ and $s \in \bar{\gamma}$. From (5.9) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{r} G_{Q_{E}(W(D, 4 d k))}\left(z_{2 n}, w\right) d z_{2 n}\right|  \tag{5.11}\\
& \quad \leq \int_{r}\left(\frac{1}{\left|z_{2 n}-w\right|}+c_{4} \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) d z_{2 n} \\
& \quad=\int_{r} \frac{1}{\left|z_{2 n}-w\right|} d z_{2 n}+c_{4} \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)|\gamma| .
\end{align*}
$$

By the shape of $Q_{E}(B)=\cup_{j} Q_{E}(j)$, we can estimate as follows:

$$
\int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\left|z_{2 n}-w\right|} d z_{2 n} \leq c_{6}|\gamma|
$$

where $c_{6}$ is independent of $\gamma=\partial Q_{E}(B)$ and $w$. Then the last member of (5.11) is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{7} \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)|\gamma| \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z_{2 j+1} \in \bar{\gamma}$ and $z_{2 j+2} \in \gamma$, it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\partial_{n_{2,2,1}} G_{Q_{E}(Q)}\left(z_{2 j+1}, z_{2 j+2}\right)\right|  \tag{5.13}\\
& \quad \leq c_{3} \sup _{\left|s-z_{2,2}\right| \leq 1}\left|G_{Q E(Q)}\left(z_{2 j+1}, s\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq c_{3} \exp \left(-m_{k} \frac{L(E)}{5} d_{k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

by Lemma A. 5 and (5.5). From Lemma A. 6 it follows

$$
\left|G_{Q_{E}(R)}(E+i \varepsilon ; t, s)\right|=\left|G_{Q_{E}(R)}(t, s)\right| \leq \frac{1}{|t-s|}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r}\left|G_{Q E(R)}\left(z_{2(N+1)}, w\right)\right| d z_{2(N+1)} \leq\left(c_{6}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)|\gamma| . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.8) - (5.14), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|G_{Q_{E}(R)}(u, w)\right| \leq\left|G_{Q_{E}(W(D, 4 d k))}(u, w)\right|  \tag{5.15}\\
& \quad+\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(c_{3} c_{5}|\bar{\gamma}| r \left\lvert\, \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \exp \left(-m_{k} \frac{L(E)}{5} d_{k}\right)\right.\right)^{n} c_{7} \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(c_{3} c_{5}|\bar{\gamma}| \gamma \left\lvert\, \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \exp \left(-m_{k} \frac{L(E)}{5} d_{k}\right)\right.\right)^{N+1}\left(c_{6}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $|\bar{\gamma}| \gamma \mid \leq c_{8} d_{k}^{6}$ and it follows

$$
c d_{k}^{6} \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \exp \left(-m_{k} \frac{L(E)}{5} d_{k}\right)<\frac{1}{2}
$$

if $E>0$ is sufficiently small, then the last term of the right hand side of (5.15) converges to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|G_{Q E(R)}(u, w)\right| \leq\left|G_{Q E(W(D, 4 d k)}(u, w)\right|+c \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)  \tag{5.16}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{|u-w|}+c_{4}^{\prime} \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

by (5.9). We have thus proved Lemma 5.2.
We return to the proof of Lemma 5.1. Noting the continuity of the Green function, it follows from Lemma 5.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{Q_{E}(R)}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|}+c_{4} \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z, z^{\prime} \in \gamma$. Using (5.4)- (5.7) and (5.17), we get
(5.18) $\left|G_{Q_{E(R)}}(x, y)\right|$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \exp \left(-m_{k}(E)|x-y|\right) \\
& +\left(c_{3}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \int_{r} \int_{r} \frac{\exp \left(-m_{k}(E)|x-z|\right) \exp \left(-m_{k}(E)\left|z^{\prime}-y\right|\right)}{\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|} d z d z^{\prime} \\
& +c_{4}\left(c_{3}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \int_{r} \int_{r} \exp \left(-m_{k}(E)|x-z|\right) \exp \left(-m_{k}(E)\left|z^{\prime}-y\right|\right) d z d z^{\prime} \\
& \leq \exp \left(-m_{k}(E)|x-y|\right) \\
& \quad \times\left\{1+c_{9} \int_{r} \int_{r} \frac{\exp m_{k}(E)\left(|x-y|-|x-z|-\left|z^{\prime}-y\right|\right)}{\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|} d z d z^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.+c_{10} \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \int_{r} \int_{r} \exp m_{k}(E)\left(|x-y|-|x-z|-\left|z^{\prime}-y\right|\right) d z d z^{\prime}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $z, z^{\prime} \in \gamma=\partial Q_{E}(B)$, it follows

$$
|x-y|-|x-z|-\left|z^{\prime}-y\right| \leq\left|z-z^{\prime}\right| \leq \sqrt{3}\left(7 d_{k}+1\right) L(E) \leq 14 d_{k} L(E) .
$$

And there exists positive constants $c_{11}, c_{12}$ which are independent of $\gamma$, such that

$$
\int_{r} \int_{r} \frac{1}{\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|} d z d z^{\prime} \leq c_{11}|\gamma|^{2} \leq c_{12} d_{k}^{6} .
$$

Then the right hand side of (5.18) is bounded by

$$
\begin{align*}
\exp ( & \left.-m_{k}(E)|x-y|\right)  \tag{5.19}\\
& \times\left\{1+c_{13} \left\lvert\, \gamma \gamma^{2} \exp \left(d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \exp \left(m_{k}(E) 14 d_{k} L(E)\right)\right.\right\} \\
\leq & \exp \left(-m_{k}(E)|x-y|\right) c_{14} \exp \left(2 d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \exp \left(m_{k}(E) 14 d_{k} L(E)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$
m_{k} L(E) \geq \delta>0
$$

uniformly in $k$ and sufficiently small $E>0$, it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{14} \exp \left(2 d_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \exp \left(m_{k}(E) 14 d_{k} L(E)\right)  \tag{5.20}\\
& \quad \leq \exp \left(15 m_{k}(E) d_{k} L(E)\right)=\exp \left(\mu_{k}(E) \frac{1}{5} d_{k+1} L(E)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here we used the definition of $\mu_{k}(E)=75 m_{k}(E) d_{k}^{1-\alpha}$. By (5.18), (5.19) and (5.20), we obtain

$$
\left|G_{Q E(R)}(x, y)\right| \leq \exp \left(-\left(m_{k}(E)-\mu_{k}(E)\right)|x-y|\right)
$$

if $\operatorname{dist}_{E}(j(x), j(y)) \geq \frac{1}{5} d_{k+1}$ for sufficientely small $E>0$ uniformly in $k$.
Case (i.2). in the case of $x \in Q_{E}(Q)$ and $y \in Q_{E}(B)$, the proof is in a similar fashion in case 1.
We have completed the proof of Lemma 5.1.
Next we shall study the case (ii).
Lemma 5.3. Let $A \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$ be a $k$-admissible set such that $A \cap S_{k+1}=\emptyset$, $A \cap S_{k} \neq \emptyset$ and $\operatorname{diam}_{E} A>\frac{3}{2} d_{k+1}$. If $x, y \in Q_{E}(A)$ and $\operatorname{dist}_{E}(j(x), j(y)) \geq \frac{1}{5} d_{k+1}$, then we have

$$
\left|G_{Q_{E}(A)}(E+i \varepsilon ; x, y)\right| \leq \exp \left(-m_{k+1}(E)|x-y|\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $p_{1}, p_{2} \in Q_{E}(A)$ such that $\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(j\left(p_{1}\right), j\left(p_{2}\right)\right) \geq \frac{1}{5} d_{k+1}$. If $\operatorname{dist}_{E}$ $\left(j\left(p_{1}\right), j\left(p_{2}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} d_{k+1}$, then we put

$$
D_{1}=\left\{z \in A \left\lvert\, \operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(z, j\left(p_{1}\right)\right) \leq \frac{29}{40} d_{k+1}\right.\right\}
$$

and

$$
D_{2}=\left\{z \in A \left\lvert\, \operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(z, j\left(p_{1}\right)\right) \leq \frac{3}{4} d_{k+1}\right.\right\} .
$$

If $\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(j\left(p_{1}\right), j\left(p_{2}\right)\right)>\frac{1}{2} d_{k+1}$, then we put

$$
D_{1}=\left\{z \in A \left\lvert\, \operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(z, j\left(p_{1}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{4} d_{k+1}\right.\right\}
$$

and

$$
D_{2}=\left\{z \in A \left\lvert\, \operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(z, j\left(p_{1}\right)\right) \leq \frac{11}{40} d_{k+1}\right.\right\} .
$$

For sufficientely small $E>0$, from Lemma B. 1 it follows that there exists $k$-admissible set $R_{p_{1}} \subset A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1} \in R_{p_{1}}, \operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(\left\{j\left(p_{1}\right), j\left(p_{2}\right)\right\}, \partial R_{p_{1}} \backslash A\right) \geq \frac{17}{80} d_{k+1} \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{diam}_{E} R_{p_{1}} \leq \frac{3}{2} d_{k+1} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B_{p_{1}}=A \backslash R_{p_{1}}$. Then it follows $Q_{E}(A)=Q_{E}\left(R_{p_{1}}\right)+Q_{E}\left(B_{p_{1}}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{dist}_{E}(j(x)$, $j(y)) \geq \frac{1}{5} d_{k+1}$, by putting $p_{1}=x, p_{2}=y$ there exists a $k-$ admissible set $R_{x} \subset A$ satisfying (5.21), (5.22). From (5.1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{Q_{E}(A)}(x, y) \\
& \quad=G_{Q_{E}(R x) \mid Q E(B x)}(x, y)-\int_{\partial Q_{E}(R x)} \partial_{n_{s}} G_{Q_{E}(R x) \mid Q E(B x)}\left(x, z_{1}\right) G_{Q_{E}(A)}\left(z_{1}, y\right) d z_{1} \\
& \quad=G_{Q_{E}(R x) \mid Q E(B x)}(x, y)-\int_{\partial Q_{F}\left(R_{x}\right) \backslash Q_{E}(A)} \partial_{n_{1}} G_{Q_{E}(R x)}\left(x, z_{1}\right) G_{Q_{E}(A)}\left(z_{1}, y\right) d z_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact $G_{Q_{E}(A)}\left(z_{1}, y\right)=0$ if $z_{1} \in \partial Q_{E}(A)$. Because $z_{1} \in \partial Q_{E}\left(R_{x}\right) \backslash$ $\partial Q_{E}(A)$, we have $\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(j\left(z_{1}\right), j(y)\right) \geq \frac{1}{5} d_{k+1}$. Hence by putting $p_{1}=z_{1}, p_{2}=y$ there exists a $k$-admissible set $R_{z 1} \subset A$ satistying (5.21), (5.22). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{Q_{E}(A)}(x, y) \\
& =G_{Q_{E}(R x) \mid Q_{E}(B x)}(x, y)-\int_{\partial Q_{E}\left(R_{x}\right) \backslash \partial Q_{E}(A)} \partial_{n_{z} H} G_{Q E\left(R_{x}\right)}\left(x, z_{1}\right) G_{Q_{E}\left(R_{x}\right) \mid Q_{E}\left(B_{n}\right)}\left(z_{1}, y\right) d z_{1} \\
& \quad+\int_{\partial Q_{E}\left(R_{x}\right) \backslash \partial Q_{E}(A)} \partial_{n_{z}} G_{Q_{E}\left(R_{x}\right)}\left(x, z_{1}\right) d z_{1} \\
& \quad \times \int_{\partial Q_{E}\left(R_{R_{2}}\right) \backslash \partial Q_{E}(A)} \partial_{n_{z_{3}}} G_{Q_{E}\left(R_{\left.R_{2}\right)}\right)}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) G\left(_{Q E(A)}\left(z_{2}, y\right) d z_{2} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Inductively we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{Q_{E}(A)}(x, y)  \tag{5.23}\\
& =G_{Q_{E}\left(R_{x}\right) \mid Q E(B x)}(x, y)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{n=1}^{N}(-1)^{n} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\int_{\partial Q_{E}\left(R_{z}\right) \backslash \partial Q_{E}(A)} \partial_{n_{z+1}} G_{Q_{\varepsilon}\left(R_{z}\right)}\left(z_{j}, z_{j+1}\right) d z_{j+1}\right) \\
& \times G_{Q_{E}\left(R_{z t}\right) \mid Q_{\varepsilon}\left(B_{z_{t}}\right)}\left(z_{n}, y\right) \\
& +(-1)^{N+1} \prod_{j=0}^{N}\left(\int_{\partial Q_{E}\left(R_{s}\right) \backslash \partial Q_{\varepsilon}(A)} \partial_{n_{z_{s, 1}}} G_{Q_{\varepsilon}\left(R_{R_{j}}\right)}\left(z_{j}, z_{j+1}\right) d z_{j+1}\right) \\
& \times G_{Q_{E}(A)}\left(z_{N+1}, y\right) \\
& =\mathrm{I}+\text { II }+ \text { III }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z_{0}=x$. First we estimate I. If $y \notin Q_{E}\left(R_{x}\right)$, then we have $\mathrm{I}=0$. Hence we have only to study the case where $y \in Q_{E}\left(R_{x}\right)$. We have $G_{Q_{E}\left(R_{x}\right) \mid Q_{E}(B x)}(x, y)=$ $G_{Q_{E}\left(R_{x}\right)}(x, y)$. By the definition of $R_{x}, R_{x}$ is $k$-admissible set and $R_{x} \cap S_{k+1}=\phi$ because of $A \cap S_{k}=\emptyset$. Therefore if $R_{x} \cap S_{k}=\emptyset$, then by the assumption of induction we have
(5.24) $\left|G_{Q_{E}(R x)}(x, y)\right| \leq \exp \left(-m_{k}(E)|x-y|\right) \leq \exp \left(-m_{k}^{\prime}(E)|x-y|\right)$
and if $R_{x} \cap S_{k} \neq \emptyset$ then by Lemma 5.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{Q_{E}\left(R_{x}\right)}(x, y)\right| \leq \exp \left(-m_{k}^{\prime}(E)|x-y|\right) \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{k}^{\prime}(E)=m_{k}(E)-\mu_{k}(E)$. Next we estimate II. By Lemma A. 5 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{n_{2, \ldots}, \ldots} G_{Q_{E}\left(R_{z}\right)}\left(z_{j}, z_{j+1}\right)\right| \leq c_{c_{3} \sup _{\left|z_{j+1}-u\right| \leq 1}}\left|G_{Q_{E}\left(R_{u}\right)}\left(z_{j}, u\right)\right| . \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.21) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(j\left(z_{j}\right), j(u)\right)  \tag{5.27}\\
& \quad \geq \operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(j\left(z_{j}\right), j\left(z_{j+1}\right)\right)+\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(j\left(z_{j+1}\right), j(u)\right) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{17}{80} d_{k+1}-1>\frac{1}{5} d_{k+1}
\end{align*}
$$

for sufficientely small $E>0$. From (5.26), (5.27), Lemma 5.1 and the assumption of induction as we obtained (5.24) and (5.25) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid \partial_{n_{2,1}} G_{Q_{E}\left(R_{k z}\right)}\left(z_{j}, z_{j+1}\right) \leq c_{c_{3} \sup _{\left|z_{j+1}-u\right| \leq 1}} \exp \left(-m_{k}^{\prime}(E)\left|z_{j}-u\right|\right) \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|z_{j}-z_{j+1}\right| \geq\left|z_{j}-u\right|-1$ and there exists $\delta>0$ such that $m_{k}^{\prime}(E) \leq \delta$ uniformly in k for sufficiently small $E>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{n_{s, .}, \ldots} G_{Q_{E}\left(R_{k}\right)}\left(z_{j}, z_{j+1}\right)\right| \leq c_{3}^{\prime} \exp \left(-m_{k}^{\prime}(E)\left|z_{j}-z_{j+1}\right|\right) \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(j\left(z_{n}\right), j(y)\right) \geq \frac{1}{5} d_{k+1}$, it follows similary to (5.24) and (5.25) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{Q_{\varepsilon}\left(R_{z n}\right) \mid Q_{\varepsilon}\left(B_{n}\right)}\left(z_{n}, y\right)\right| \leq \exp \left(-m_{k}^{\prime}(E)\left|z_{n}-y\right|\right) . \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.29), we have

$$
\leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\int_{\partial Q_{\varepsilon}\left(R_{z}\right) \backslash \partial Q_{\varepsilon}(A)} c_{3}^{\prime} \exp \left(-m_{k}^{\prime}(E)\left|z_{j}-z_{j+1}\right|\right) d z_{j+1}\right) \exp \left(-m_{k}^{\prime}(E)\left|z_{n}-y\right|\right)
$$

Let $\nu_{k}(E)=m_{k}(E) d_{k}^{1-\alpha}$ and $m_{k}^{\prime \prime}(E)=m_{k}^{\prime}(E)-\nu_{k}(E)$. We have
(5.32) $\exp \left(-m_{k}^{\prime}(E)\left|z_{j}-z_{j+1}\right|\right)=\exp \left(-m_{k}^{\prime \prime}(E)\left|z_{j}-z_{j+1}\right|\right) \exp \left(-\nu_{k}(E)\left|z_{j}-z_{j+1}\right|\right)$ and

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \exp \left(-m_{k}^{\prime \prime}(E)\left|z_{j}-z_{j+1}\right|\right)\right) \exp \left(-m^{\prime \prime}(E)\left|z_{n}-y\right|\right) \leq \exp \left(-m_{k}^{\prime \prime}(E)|x-y|\right) \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|z_{j}-z_{j+1}\right| \\
& \quad \geq\left(\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(j\left(z_{j}\right), j\left(z_{j+1}\right)\right)-1\right) L(E) \\
& \quad \geq\left(\frac{17}{80} d_{k+1}-1\right) L(E)>\frac{1}{5} d_{k+1} L(E)
\end{aligned}
$$

for stfficiently small $\mathrm{E}>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(-\nu_{k}(E)\left|z_{j}-z_{j+1}\right|\right) \leq \exp \left(-\nu_{k}(E) \frac{1}{5} d_{k+1} L(E)\right) \tag{5.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.31) - (5.34) we have
(5.35) $\mid$ II $\mid$

$$
\leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(c_{3}^{\prime}\left|\partial Q_{E}\left(R_{z}\right) \backslash \partial Q_{E}(A)\right| \exp \left(-\nu_{k}(E) \frac{1}{5} d_{k+1} L(E)\right)\right) \exp \left(-m_{k}^{\prime \prime}(E)|x-y|\right)
$$

By (5.22) we have $\left|\partial Q_{E}\left(R_{z i}\right) \backslash \partial Q_{E}(A)\right| \leq 6 L(E)^{2}\left(\frac{3}{2} d_{k+1}\right)^{3}=c_{15} L(E)^{2} d_{k+1}^{3}$. Since there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\nu_{k}(E) L(E) d_{k+1}>\delta d_{k}$ uniformly in k for sufficiently small $E>0$, there exists $0<\delta^{\prime}<1$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{3}^{\prime}\left|\partial Q_{E}\left(R_{z^{\prime}}\right) \backslash \partial Q_{E}(A)\right| \exp \left(-\nu_{k}(E) \frac{1}{5} d_{k+1} L(E)\right)  \tag{5.36}\\
& \quad \leq c_{3}^{\prime} c_{15} L(E)^{2} d_{k+1}^{3} \exp \left(-\nu_{k}(E) \frac{1}{5} d_{k+1} L(E)\right) \\
& \quad<\delta^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly in k for sufficiently small $E>0$. From (5.35) and (5.36) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid \text { II } \mid \leq c_{16} \exp \left(-m_{k}^{\prime \prime}(E)|x-y|\right) \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently small $E>0$. Finally we estimate III. From Lemma A. 6 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|G_{Q_{E}(A)}\left(z_{n+1}, y\right)\right|=\left|G_{Q_{E}(A)}\left(E+i \varepsilon ; z_{n+1}, y\right)\right|  \tag{5.38}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{\left|z_{n+1}-y\right|}+c_{4} \varepsilon^{-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

In a fashion similar to that used to estimate II, we have
(5.39) |III

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \prod_{j=0}^{N}\left(\int_{Q_{\varepsilon}\left(R_{k}\right) \backslash \partial Q_{\varepsilon}(A)} \mid \partial_{n_{s, t},+} G_{Q_{\varepsilon}\left(R_{k}\right)}\left(z_{j}, z_{j+1} \mid\right) d z_{j+1}\right)\left|G_{Q_{E}(A)}\left(z_{N+1}, y\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left(c_{3 C_{15}^{\prime} L} L(E)^{2} d_{k+1} \exp \left(-m_{k}^{\prime}(E) \frac{1}{5} d_{k+1} L(E)\right)\right)^{N+1}\left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{5} d_{k+1} L(E)}+c_{4} \varepsilon^{-1}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)^{N+1}\left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{5} d_{k+1} L(E)}+c_{4} \varepsilon^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (5.38). Since $0<\delta^{\prime}<1$, the last member of (5.39) converges to 0 as $N \rightarrow 0$. Hence from (5.23), (5.24), (5.25) and (5.37) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|G_{Q E(A)}(x, y)\right|  \tag{5.40}\\
& \quad \leq \exp \left(-m_{k}^{\prime}(E)|x-y|\right)+c_{16} \exp \left(-m_{k}^{\prime \prime}(E)|x-y|\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $m_{k+1}(E)=m_{k}^{\prime}(E)-2 \nu_{k}(E)=m_{k}^{\prime \prime}(E)-\nu_{k}(E)$, from (5.40) we have
(5.41) $\left|G_{Q E(A)}(x, y)\right|$

$$
\leq\left(\exp \left(-\nu_{k}(E)|x-y|\right)+c_{16}\right) \exp \left(-\nu_{k}(E)|x-y|\right) \exp \left(-m_{k+1}(E)|x-y|\right)
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{k}(E)|x-y| \geq m_{k}(E) d_{k}^{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{5} d_{k+1} L(E)=\frac{1}{5} m_{k}(E) d_{k} L(E) \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\left(\exp \left(-\nu_{k}(E)|x-y|\right)+c_{16}\right) \exp \left(-\nu_{k}(E)|x-y|\right) \leq 1
$$

uniformly in k for sufficiently small $E>0$. We have thus proved Lemma 5.3.
From Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2, we complete the proof of step 2.
As a result we complete the induction and then we have proved Theorem 5.1.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.3

For $l>0$, we denote by $B_{l}$ the following condition on $A \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$ :

$$
\frac{l}{2} \leq \min _{b \in \partial A}|b|_{E} \leq \max _{b \in \partial A}|b|_{E} \leq l
$$

Let $c_{1}$ be as in Theorem 5.1, $m(E)=c_{1} E^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $0<\varepsilon \leq E$. Let
$F_{l}=\cup_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{\omega \in \Omega \mid\right.$ There exists a $k$-admissible set $0 \in A \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$ satisfying $B_{l}$ and

$$
\left.\left|G_{Q_{E}(A)}(\omega, E+i \varepsilon ; x, y)\right| \leq \exp (-m(E)|x-y|) \text { for }|x-y| \geq L(E) l^{r}\right\}
$$

and $\alpha>\gamma>0$. We can prove Theorem 1.3 by the following theorem.
Theorem 6.1. For any $p>0$, there exists $E^{*}>0$ such that if $0<E \leq E^{*}$, then we have

$$
P\left(F_{l}\right) \geq 1-l^{-p}
$$

for $l \geq\left(\frac{1}{5} d_{0}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$.
Proof. Let $p^{\prime}>\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}(3+p)$ be fixed. Let $E^{\prime}>0, E_{1}>0$ be the constant which is given in Theorem 4.1 with $p=p^{\prime}$ and Theorem 5.1 respectively. For $0<E$ $\leq \min \left(E^{\prime}, E_{1}\right)$, let $k=k(l)$ be the largest natural number such that $l^{r} \geq \frac{1}{5} d_{k}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{l}^{\prime}=\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \text { There exists a }(k-1) \text {-admissible set } \\
& \left.\quad 0 \in A \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E) \text { satisfying } B_{l} \text { and } A \cap S_{k}=\emptyset\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of $\frac{1}{5} L(E) d_{k} \leq L(E) l^{r}$ and Theorem 5.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(F_{l}^{\prime}\right) \leq P\left(F_{l}\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{l}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} d^{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma_{k-1}}}>12 d_{k-1}$ for sufficiently small $E>0$, from Lemma B. 1 we have
(6.2) $\boldsymbol{P}\left(F_{l}^{\prime}\right) \geq \boldsymbol{P}\left(\left\{\omega \mid B \cap S_{k}=\emptyset\right.\right.$ for any $B \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$ satisfying $\left.\left.B_{l}\right\}\right)$
$\geq \boldsymbol{P}\left(\cap_{\substack{x \in z^{3}(E) \\|x| s \leq l}}\left\{\omega \mid x \notin S_{k}\right\}\right)$
$=1-\boldsymbol{P}\left(\cup_{\substack{x \in z^{3}(E) \\|x|_{s} S \mid}}^{|x| c \mid}\left\{\omega \mid x \in S_{k}\right\}\right)$
$\geq 1-(2 l+1)^{{ }^{|x| k_{3} \leq l} \boldsymbol{P}}\left(\left\{\omega \mid 0 \in S_{k}\right\}\right)$
where we use

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{P}\left(\left\{\omega \mid x \in S_{k}\right\}\right)=\boldsymbol{P}\left(\left\{\omega \mid 0 \in S_{k}\right\}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows from the translation invariance of $\boldsymbol{P}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{P}\left(\left\{\omega \mid 0 \in S_{k}\right\}\right) \leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{P}\left(\left\{\omega \mid 0 \in S_{k}^{g}\right\}\right)+\boldsymbol{P}\left(\left\{\omega \mid 0 \in \cap_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{k}\right\}\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need the following Lemma which is proved in a similar fashion as in [1] and [5].

Lemma 6.1. We have $\boldsymbol{P}\left(\left\{\omega \mid 0 \in \cap_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{k}\right\}\right)=0$.
By the definition of k , we have $d_{k+1}=d_{k}^{\alpha}>5 l^{\gamma}$ and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k} \geq_{c l} \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 6.1, (6.4), (6.5) and Theorem 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{P}\left(\left\{\omega \mid 0 \in \mathrm{~S}_{k}\right\}\right) \leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} d_{j}^{-p^{\prime}} \leq c d_{k}^{-p^{\prime}} \leq c^{\prime} l^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} p^{\prime}} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c^{\prime}$ is independent of $E \in\left(0, E^{*}\right]$ and $l$. Therefore from $p^{\prime}>\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}(3+p)$, there exists $L>0$ independent of $E \in\left(0, E^{*}\right]$ such that if $l>L$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\prime} l^{3} l^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} p^{\prime}} \leq l^{-p} . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.2), (6.6) and (6.7) we have

$$
\boldsymbol{P}\left(F_{l}^{\prime}\right) \geq 1-c^{\prime} l^{3} l^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} p^{\prime} \geq 1-l^{-p}
$$

for $l>L$. We have proved the Theorem by (6.1).
Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $p>0$ be given. For this $p$, let $E^{*}$ be the constants which are given Theorem 6.1. For $N \in \boldsymbol{N}$ we fix a constant $R>1$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
R L\left(E^{*}\right)-\sqrt{3} \geq\left(4^{2} R\right)^{r} L\left(E^{*}\right),  \tag{6.8}\\
R>\frac{1}{5} L(E) \tag{6.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{3} k\left(N R 4^{k}\right)^{3} \exp \left(-D_{0}\left(N R 4^{k}\right)^{r}\right) \leq 1 \text { for any } k \in \boldsymbol{N} \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{0}=\inf _{0<E \leq E^{*} m}(E) L(E)>0$. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{j}=N R 4^{j} \text { for } j=0,1,2 \cdots \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that from (6.8) it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{j} L(E)-\sqrt{3} \geq l_{j+2}^{r} L(E) \text { for } j=0,1,2 \cdots \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0<E \leq E^{*}$ and $\varepsilon \neq 0$, we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{l_{j}}=\left\{\omega \mid \text { There exists } k \text {-admissible set } 0 \in A \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{3} \text { satisfying } B_{l j}\right. \text { and } \\
& \left.\left|G_{Q_{E}(A)}(\omega, E+i \varepsilon ; x, y)\right| \leq \exp (-m(E)|x-y|) \text { for }|x-y| \geq L(E) l_{j}^{\frac{3}{4}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $l_{j}>\frac{1}{5} L(E)$ from (6.9) and $0<E \leq E^{*}$, by Theorem 6.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(F_{l_{j}}\right) \geq 1-l_{j}^{-p} \text { for } j=0,1,2, \cdots \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{P}\left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} F_{l_{j}}\right) \geq 1-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} l_{j}^{-p} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=1-N^{-p} R^{-p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 4^{-p j}=1-\frac{K_{p, 1}}{N^{p}}
$$

where $K_{p, 1}(E)=R^{-p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 4^{-p j}$. We fix $\omega \in \bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} F_{l j}$. Then there exists a $k$-admissible set $0 \in A_{j} \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$ satisfying $B_{l j}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{Q_{E}\left(A_{j}\right)}(\omega, E+i \varepsilon ; x, y)\right| \leq \exp (-m(E)|x-y|) \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|x-y| \geq L(E) l^{r}{ }_{j}$. We put

$$
\Lambda=\left\{x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3} \| x \mid>l_{0} L(E)\right\}
$$

For $x \in \Lambda$, let $j_{0}$ be the smallest natural number satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x| \leq \frac{1}{2} l_{j_{0}} L(E) \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.1) we have inductively
(6.17) $G(x, y)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & G_{Q_{E}\left(A_{\left.p_{1}\right)}\right)}(x, y) \\
& +\sum_{n=j_{0}+1}^{M}(-1)^{n-j_{0}} \prod_{k=j_{0}+1}^{n}\left(\int_{r_{k}} \partial_{n_{z}} G_{Q_{E}(A k)}\left(z_{k-1}, z_{k}\right) d z_{k}\right) G_{Q_{E}(A n+1)}\left(z_{n}, y\right) \\
& +(-1)^{M-j_{0}+1} \prod_{k=j_{0}+1}^{M+1}\left(\int_{r_{k}} \partial_{n_{z}} G_{Q_{E}(A k)}\left(z_{k-1}, z_{k}\right) d z_{k}\right) G\left(z_{M+1}, y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $y \in[0,1)^{3}$, where $\gamma_{j}=\partial Q_{E}\left(A_{j}\right), G(u, v)=G(\omega, E+i \varepsilon ; u, v), G_{\Lambda}(u, v)=$ $G_{\Lambda}(\omega, E+i \varepsilon ; u, v)$ and $z_{j_{0}}=x$. Since

$$
|y-x| \geq l_{j_{0-1}} L(E)-\sqrt{3} \geq l_{j_{0+1}}^{\tau} L(E),
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{Q_{E}\left(A_{0} 0_{1+1}\right)}(x, y)\right| \leq \exp (-m(E)|x-y|) . \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since from (6.12) it follows for $\left|u-z_{k}\right| \leq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|z_{k-1}-u\right| \geq\left|z_{k-1}-z_{k}\right|-1 \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} l_{k} L(E)-l_{k-1} L(E)-1 \\
& \geq l_{k-1} L(E)-1 \geq l^{r}{ }_{k} L(E)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k \geq j_{0}+1$, by Lemma A. 5 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\partial_{n_{3}} G_{Q_{E}\left(A_{k}\right)}\left(z_{k-1}, z_{k}\right)\right|  \tag{6.19}\\
& \quad \leq \sup _{|u|}\left|G_{Q_{E}\left(A_{k}\right)}\left(z_{k-1}, u\right)\right| \leq \sup _{\mid u-z_{k} \leq 1} \exp \left(-m(E)\left|z_{k-1}-u\right|\right) \\
& \quad \leq \exp \left(-m(E)\left(\left|z_{k-1}-z_{k}\right|-1\right)\right) \leq \exp \left(-m(E) l_{k}^{\gamma} L(E)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $k \geq j_{0}+1$. Similary we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{Q_{E}\left(A_{n}\right)}\left(z_{n}, y\right)\right| \leq \exp \left(-m(E)\left|z_{n}-y\right|\right) \leq \exp (-m(E)|x-y|) . \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma A.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G\left(z_{M+1}, y\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\left|z_{M+1}-y\right|}+\frac{c}{\varepsilon} \leq l_{M+1}^{-\gamma} L(E)^{-1}+\frac{c}{\varepsilon} . \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.19), (6.20) and $\left|\gamma_{k}\right| \leq 2^{3} l_{k}^{3} L(E)^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prod_{k=j_{0}+1}^{n} \int_{r_{k}}\left|\partial n_{z_{k}} G_{Q_{E}(A k)}\left(z_{k-1}, z_{k}\right)\right| d z_{k}  \tag{6.22}\\
& \quad \leq \prod_{k=j_{0}+1}^{n} 2^{3} l_{k}^{3} L(E)^{2} \exp \left(-m(E) l_{k}^{\gamma} L(E)\right) \leq \frac{\left(L(E)^{2}\right)^{n-j_{0}}}{\left(n-j_{0}\right)!}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used (6.10). From (6.20) and (6.22) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{n=j_{0}+1}^{M} \prod_{k=j_{0}+1}^{n}\left(\int_{\gamma_{k}} \partial_{n_{2}} G_{Q_{E}(A k)}\left(z_{k-1}, z_{k}\right) d z\right) G_{Q_{E}(A n)}\left(z_{n}, y\right)\right|  \tag{6.23}\\
& \quad \leq \exp (-m(E)|x-y|) \sum_{\substack{n=j_{0}+1}}^{M} \frac{\left(L(E)^{2}\right)^{n-j_{0}}}{\left(n-j_{0}\right)!} \\
& \quad=\exp (-m(E)|x-y|) \sum_{n=1}^{M-j_{0}} \frac{\left(L(E)^{2}\right)^{n}}{n!}
\end{align*}
$$

From (6.19), (6.21) and (6.10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\prod_{k=j_{0}+1}^{M+1}\left(\int_{r_{k}} \partial_{n_{2}} G_{Q_{E}\left(A_{k}\right)}\left(z_{k-1}, z_{k}\right) d z_{k}\right) G\left(z_{M+1}, y\right)\right|  \tag{6.24}\\
& \quad \leq\left(\prod_{k=j_{0}+1}^{M+1} 2^{3} l_{k}^{3} L(E)^{2} \exp \left(-m(E) l_{k}^{\gamma} L(E)\right)\right)\left(l_{M+1}^{-\gamma} L(E)^{-1}+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\left(L(E)^{2}\right)^{M+1-j_{0}}}{\left(M+1-j_{0}\right)!}\left(l_{M+1}^{-\gamma} L(E)^{-1}+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } M \rightarrow \infty .
\end{align*}
$$

From (6.17), (6.18), (6.23) and (6.24), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G(x, y)| \leq \exp \left(L(E)^{2}\right) \exp (-m(E)|x-y|) \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in \Lambda$ and $y \in[0,1)^{3}$.
For $x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3} \backslash \Lambda$ and $y \in[0,1)^{3}$, we have from (5.1)
(6.26) $\quad G(x, y)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & G_{Q_{E}\left(A_{1}\right)}(x, y) \\
& +\sum_{n=1}^{M}(-1)^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\int_{r_{k}} \partial_{n_{n}} G_{Q_{E}\left(A_{k}\right)}\left(z_{k-1}, z_{k}\right) d z_{k}\right) G_{Q_{E}\left(A_{n-1}\right)}\left(z_{n}, y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+(-1)^{M+1} \prod_{k=1}^{M+1}\left(\int_{r_{k}} \partial_{n_{2}} G_{Q_{E}(A k)}\left(z_{k-1}, z_{k}\right) d z_{k}\right) G\left(z_{M+1}, y\right) .
$$

We put

$$
F=\left\{\omega \mid \operatorname{dist}\left(\sigma\left(H_{Q_{E}\left(A_{1}\right)}(\omega)\right), E\right) \geq \exp \left(-m(E) N L(E)^{2}\right)\right\}
$$

From Proposition 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{P}(F) \geq 1-c(2 N R L(E))^{6} \exp \left(-m(E) N L(E)^{2}\right) \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is independent of $N \in \boldsymbol{N}$ and $0<E \leq E^{*}$. Since there exist positive numbers $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ and $\delta_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0} \leq m(E) L(E) \leq \delta_{1} \tag{6.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2} E^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq L(E) \leq \delta_{3} E^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{6.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $E>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(-m(E) N L(E)^{2}\right) \leq \exp \left(-D_{0} \delta_{2} N E^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.29) and (6.30) there $N_{1} \in \boldsymbol{N}$ and $K_{p, 2}>0$ such that $N \geq N_{1}$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& c(2 N R L(E))^{6} \exp \left(-m(E) N L(E)^{2}\right)  \tag{6.31}\\
& \quad \leq c\left(2 N R \delta_{3} E^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{6} \exp \left(-D_{0} \delta_{2} N E^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{K_{p, 2}}{N^{p}}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $0<E \leq E^{*}$. Let $\omega \in F \cap\left(\cap_{j=0}^{\infty} F_{l_{j}}\right)$ be fixed. From Lemma A. 6 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|G_{Q_{E}\left(A_{1}\right)}(x, y)\right| \leq \frac{1}{|x-y|}+c \exp (m(E)) N L(E)^{2}\right) \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar fashion as in (6.23) and (6.24), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\int_{r_{k}} \partial_{n_{4}} G_{Q_{E}\left(A_{k}\right)}\left(z_{k-1}, z_{k}\right) d z_{k}\right) G_{Q_{E}\left(A_{n+1}\right)}\left(z_{n}, y\right)\right|  \tag{6.33}\\
& \quad \leq \exp \left(L(E)^{2}\right) \exp (-m(E)|x-y|)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^{M+1}\left(\int_{r_{k}} \partial_{n_{k}} G_{Q_{E}\left(A_{k}\right)}\left(z_{k-1}, z_{k}\right) d z_{k}\right) G\left(z_{M+1}, y\right)=0 \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.32), (6.33) and (6.34), we have
(6.35) $|G(x, y)|$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{|x-y|}+c \exp \left(m(E) N L(E)^{2}\right)+\exp \left(L(E)^{2}-m(E)|x-y|\right)
$$

for $0<E \leq E^{*}$ and $N \geq N_{1}$. There exists $E^{*} \geq E_{2}>0$ such that if $0<E \leq E_{2}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L(E)^{2}}{2}-L(E)>2 R . \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following let $0<E \leq E_{2}$. There exists $N_{2} \geq N_{1}$ such that if $N \geq N_{2}$, then it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x-y| \leq N R L(E)+\sqrt{3} \leq 2 N R L(E) \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by (6.36) there exists $N_{3} \geq N_{2}$ such that if $N \geq N_{3}$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \left(m(E)\left(N L(E)^{3}-|x-y|\right)\right)  \tag{6.38}\\
& \quad \geq \exp \left(m(E)\left(N L(E)^{3}-2 N R L(E)\right)\right) \\
& \quad \geq \exp \left(D_{0} N\left(L(E)^{2}-2 R\right) \geq 3\right.
\end{align*}
$$

There exists $N_{4} \geq N_{3}$ such that if $N \geq N_{4}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 c \leq \frac{\exp \left(D_{0} \frac{N}{2} L(E)^{2}\right)}{2 N R L(E)} \leq \frac{\exp \left(\frac{N}{2} m(E) L(E)^{3}\right)}{2 N R L(E)} \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is as in (6.35). Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
3 c & \exp \left(m(E) N L(E)^{2}\right)  \tag{6.40}\\
& \leq \frac{\exp \left(m(E)\left(N L(E)^{3}-2 N R L(E)\right)\right)}{2 N R L(E)}(\text { by (154) and (151)) } \\
& \leq \frac{\exp \left(m(E)\left(N L(E)^{3}-|x-y|\right)\right)}{|x-y|} .
\end{align*}
$$

In a similar fashion we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \exp \left(L(E)^{2}-m(E)|x-y|\right) \leq \frac{\exp \left(m(E)\left(N L(E)^{3}-|x-y|\right)\right)}{|x-y|} \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently large $N>0$. From (6.35), (6.38), (6.40) and (6.41), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G(x, y)| \leq \frac{\exp \left(m(E)\left(N L(E)^{3}-|x-y|\right)\right)}{|x-y|} \tag{6.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3} \backslash \Lambda$ and any $y \in[0,1)^{3}$ satisfying $|x-y| \geq 1$ for sufficiently large $N>0$ and $0<E \leq E_{2}$. From (6.25), (6.42), there exists $N_{5}>0$ such that if $\omega \in$ $F \cap\left(\cap_{j=0}^{\infty} F_{l_{l}}\right), 0<E \leq E_{2}$ and $N \geq N_{5}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G(x, y)| \leq \exp \left(m(E)\left(N L(E)^{3}-|x-y|\right)\right) \max \left\{1, \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right\} \tag{6.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3}$ and any $y \in[0,1)^{3}$. From (6.14), (6.27), (6.31) and (6.43), Theorem 1.3 is proved.

## A. Appendix 1

Proof of the last equality of (2.1). Let $\psi \in L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$ and let $X_{l}(x)$ be the characteristic function of $\left\{x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3}| | x \mid \leq l\right\}$ for $l>0$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\psi, X_{l}|x| \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon t} e^{i \lambda t} e^{-i t H \omega} \Psi_{\omega} d t\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-i \lambda t}\left(\psi, X_{l}|x| e^{-i t H_{\omega}} \Psi_{\omega}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Psi_{\omega}=g_{E}\left(H_{\omega}\right) \psi(x)$. Therefore by using the Plancherel theorem, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\left(\psi, X_{l}|x| e^{-\varepsilon t} e^{-i t H_{\omega}} \Psi_{\omega}\right)\right|^{2} d t \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\left(\psi, X_{l}|x| \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \lambda t} e^{-\varepsilon t} e^{-i t H_{\omega}} \Psi_{\omega} d t\right)\right|^{2} d \lambda \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\left(\psi, X_{l}|x| R_{\omega}(\lambda+i \varepsilon) \Psi_{\omega}\right)\right|^{2} d \lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left\{\psi_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a complete orthonormal system of $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$. By putting $\psi_{n}=\psi$ in the above equation and summing up with respect to $n$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|X_{l}|x| R_{\omega}(\lambda+i \varepsilon) \Psi_{\omega}\right\|^{2} d \lambda  \tag{A.1}\\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2 \varepsilon t} \| X_{l}|x|^{-i t H} \omega \\
& \Psi_{\omega} \|^{2} d t
\end{align*}
$$

If we let $l \rightarrow \infty$ and integrate the both sides of (A.1) with respect to $P$, then it follows from the monotone convergence theorem and the definition of $r_{E}^{2}(t)$ that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2 \varepsilon t} r_{\boldsymbol{E}}^{2}(t) d t \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{E}\left[\left\||x| R_{\omega}(\lambda+i \varepsilon) \Psi_{\omega}\right\|^{2}\right] d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma A.1. Let $V \geq 0$ be a bounded function on $\boldsymbol{R}^{3}$ and $H=-\Delta+V$ on $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that for $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{R})$ we have
$\|f(H)\|_{L_{2}^{2}+L_{2}^{2}}$

$$
\leq_{c}|\operatorname{supp} f|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\|f\|_{\infty}+\left\|\frac{d^{3}}{d x^{3}} f\right\|_{\infty}+\|h\|_{\infty}+\left\|\frac{d^{3}}{d x^{3}} h\right\|_{\infty}+\|k\|_{\infty}+\left\|\frac{d^{3}}{d x^{3}} k\right\|_{\infty}\right),
$$

where $h(x)=x f$ and $k=x^{2} f(x)$.

Proof of Lemma. Since

$$
f(H)=\langle x\rangle^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{2} f(H)\langle x\rangle^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{2},
$$

$\langle x\rangle^{2}$ is unitary operator from $L_{2}^{2}$ to $L^{2}$ and $\langle x\rangle^{-2}$ is a unitary operator from $L^{2}$ to $L_{2}^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(H)\|_{L_{2}^{2}-L_{2}^{2}}=\left\|\langle x\rangle^{2} f(H)\langle x\rangle^{-2}\right\|_{L^{2} \rightarrow L^{2}} . \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g(\lambda)=(1+\lambda)^{2} f(\lambda) \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{R})$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle x\rangle^{2} f(H)\langle x\rangle^{-2}  \tag{A.3}\\
& \quad=\langle x\rangle^{2} f(H)(H+1)^{2}(H+1)^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{-2} \\
& \quad=\langle x\rangle^{2} g(H)(H+1)^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{-2} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{R} \widehat{g}(\lambda)\langle x\rangle^{2} e^{i \lambda H}(H+1)^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{-2} d \lambda,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\widehat{g}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{R} e^{-i \lambda x g}(x) d x$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle x\rangle^{2} e^{i \lambda H}(H+1)^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{-2}  \tag{A.4}\\
& \quad=\left[\langle x\rangle^{2}, e^{i \lambda H}\right](H+1)^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{-2} \\
& \quad+e^{i \lambda H}\langle x\rangle^{2}(H+1)^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{-2},
\end{align*}
$$

where [,] is commutator. First we shall estimate the 2nd term of right hand side of (A.4). We shall show that $\langle x\rangle^{2}(H+1)^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{-2}$ is a bounded operator on $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$. Since we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\langle x\rangle^{2},(H+1)^{-1}\right]} \\
& \quad=(H+1)^{-1}\left[H, x^{2}\right](H+1)^{-1} \\
& \quad=6(H+1)^{-2}-4(H+1)^{-1} \nabla \cdot x(H+1)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[x,(H+1)^{-1}\right]=(H+1)^{-1}[H, x](H+1)^{-1}} \\
& \quad=-2(H+1)^{-1} \nabla(H+1)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows immediately that $\langle x\rangle^{2}(H+1)^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{-2}$ is a bounded operator on $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$. Next we shall show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[\langle x\rangle^{2}, e^{i \lambda H}\right](H+1)^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{-2}\right\| \leq_{c}\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right) . \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\langle x\rangle^{2}, e^{i \lambda H}\right]}  \tag{A.6}\\
& \quad=e^{i \lambda H}\left(e^{-i \lambda H}\langle x\rangle^{2} e^{i \lambda H}-\langle x\rangle^{2}\right) \\
& \quad=i e^{i \lambda H} \int_{0}^{\lambda} e^{-i \mu H}\left[x^{2}, H\right] e^{i \mu H} d \mu
\end{align*}
$$

$$
=i e^{i \lambda H} \int_{0}^{\lambda}\left(-6+4 e^{-i \mu H} \nabla \cdot x e^{i \mu H}\right) d \mu
$$

and
(A.7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{-i \mu H} \nabla \cdot x e^{i \mu H}(H+1)^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{-2} \\
&= e^{-i \mu H} \nabla \cdot x(H+1)^{-1} e^{i \mu H}(H+1)^{-1}\langle x\rangle^{-2} \\
&= e^{-i \mu H} \nabla \cdot\left(\left[x,(H+1)^{-1}\right]+(H+1)^{-1} x\right) \\
& \times e^{i \mu H}(H+1)^{-1}\langle x\rangle^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left[x,(H+1)^{-1}\right]=(H+1)^{-1}(-2 \nabla)(H+1)^{-1}$, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x e^{i \mu H}(H+1)^{-1}\langle x\rangle^{-2}\right\| \leq_{c}(1+\mu) . \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[x, e^{i \mu H}\right]} \\
& \quad=i e^{i \mu H} \int_{0}^{\mu} e^{-i \tau H}[x, H] e^{i \tau H} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

and $[x, H]=2 \nabla$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[x, e^{i \mu H}\right](H+1)^{-1}\right\| \leq c \mu \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{i \mu H} x(H+1)^{-1}\langle x\rangle^{-2} \\
& \quad=e^{i \mu H}\left(\left[x,(H+1)^{-1}\right]+(H+1)^{-1} x\right)\langle x\rangle^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $\left\|e^{i \mu H} x(H+1)^{-1}\langle x\rangle^{-2}\right\| \leq c$. Then (A.8) is shown. From (A.6), (A.7) and (A.8), we have (A.5). Therefore we have
(A.10)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\langle x\rangle^{2} f(H)\langle x\rangle^{-2}\right\|_{L^{2}-L^{2}} \\
& \quad \leq c \int_{R}|\widehat{g}(\lambda)|\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right) d \lambda \\
& \quad=c\left(\int_{R} \frac{1}{1+\lambda^{2}} d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{R}|\widehat{g}(\lambda)|^{2}\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)^{3} d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \leq c\left(\int_{R} \frac{1}{1+\lambda^{2}} d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{R}|\widehat{g}(\lambda)|^{2}\left(1+\lambda^{6}\right) d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have
(A.11)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\boldsymbol{R}}|\widehat{g}(\lambda)|^{2} d \lambda \\
& \quad=\int_{\boldsymbol{R}}|g(x)|^{2} d x=\int_{R}\left|f(x)(1+x)^{2}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \quad \leq c|\operatorname{supp} f|\left(|f|_{\infty}+|h|_{\infty}+|k|_{\infty}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\int_{R}\left|\widehat{g}(\lambda) \lambda^{3}\right|^{2} d \lambda
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{R}\left|\left(\frac{d}{d x}\right)^{3} f(x)(1+x)^{2}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq c|\operatorname{supp} f|\left(\left\|\left(\frac{d}{d x}\right)^{3} f\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\left(\frac{d}{d x}\right)^{3} h\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\left(\frac{d}{d x}\right)^{3} k\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have proved Lemma A.1.
Lemma A.2. Let $V \geq 0$ be bounded function on $\boldsymbol{R}^{3}$ and $H=-\Delta+V$ on $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$. If $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{R})$, then $f(H)$ is a bounded operator from $L_{2}^{2}$ to $L_{2}^{\infty}$.

Proof. Noting that $H^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right) \subset L^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$, for $u \in L_{2}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\langle x\rangle^{2} f(H) u\right\|_{L^{\infty}}  \tag{A.12}\\
& \quad \leq c\left\|(-\Delta+1)\langle x\rangle^{2} f(H) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \quad \leq c\left\|(H+1)\langle x\rangle^{2} f(H)\langle x\rangle^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}}+c\left\|V\langle x\rangle^{2} f(H)\langle x\rangle^{-2}\langle x\rangle^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since it follows from Lemma A.1, $V\langle x\rangle^{2} f(H)\langle x\rangle^{-2}$ is a bounded operator on $L^{2}$, we have only to show that $(H+1)\langle x\rangle^{2} f(H)\langle x\rangle^{-2}$ is a bounded operator on $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$. From Lemma A.1, we have that $\langle x\rangle^{2}(H+1) f(H)\langle x\rangle^{-2}$ is a bounded operator on $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$. It is sufficient to show that $\left[H,\langle x\rangle^{2}\right] f(H)\langle x\rangle^{-2}$ is a bounded operator on $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$. Noting that $\left[H,\langle x\rangle^{2}\right]=6-4 \Delta \cdot x$, we have only to study $\nabla \cdot x f(H)\langle x\rangle^{-2}$. We have

$$
\nabla \cdot x f(H)\langle x\rangle^{-2}=\nabla f(H) x\langle x\rangle^{-2}+\nabla[x, f(H)]\langle x\rangle^{-2} .
$$

Let $f(H)=(H+1)^{-1} g(H)$, we have

$$
[x, f(H)]=(H+1)^{-1}[H, x](H+1)^{-1} g(H)+(H+1)^{-1}(x g(H)-g(H) x)
$$

and $x g(H)\langle x\rangle^{-2}$ is a bounded operator on $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$. Hence $\nabla \cdot x f(H)\langle x\rangle^{-2}$ is bounded operator on $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$.

Lemma A.3. Let $\Omega \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{3}$ be a domain and the let $0 \leq V$ be a bounded function on $\boldsymbol{R}^{3}$. Let $H^{D}=-\Delta+V$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions on $L^{2}(\Omega)$. If $\inf \sigma\left(H^{D}\right) \geq 2 E>0$, then we have

$$
\left|\left(H^{D}-E-i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}(x, y)\right| \leq 5 \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{E}}{4}|x-y|\right)
$$

for $x, y \in \Omega,|x-y| \geq 1$ and $E \geq|\varepsilon|$. Here $\left(H^{D}-E-i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}(x, y)$ is the Green function of $H^{D}-E-i \varepsilon$.

Proof. Using the resolvent equation twice, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(H^{D}-E-i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}  \tag{A.13}\\
& \quad=\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}+2(E+i \varepsilon)\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}\left(H^{D}-E-i \varepsilon\right)^{-1} \\
& \quad=\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}+2(E+i \varepsilon)\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-2} \\
& \quad+4(E+i \varepsilon)^{2}\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}\left(H^{D}-E-i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

First we shall estimate the first and second terms of (A.13). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}(x, y)\right| \leq \frac{\exp (-\sqrt{E}|x-y|)}{4 \pi|x-y|} \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-2}(x, y)\right| \leq \frac{\exp \left(-\sqrt{\frac{E}{2}}|x-y|\right)}{2 \pi E|x-y|} \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact by Feynman-Kac formura, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \exp \left(-t H^{D}\right)(x, y) \\
& \leq \exp \left(-t H_{0}^{D}\right)(x, y) \leq \exp \left(-t H_{0}\right)(x, y)=\frac{\exp \left(-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4 t}\right)}{(4 \pi t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $H_{0}=-\Delta$ on $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right)$. Therefore it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}(x, y)\right| \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp (-E t) \exp \left(-t H^{D}\right)(x, y) d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp (-E t) \frac{\exp \left(-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4 t}\right)}{(4 \pi t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} d t \\
& \quad=\left(H_{0}+E\right)^{-1}(x, y)=\frac{\exp (-\sqrt{E}|x-y|)}{4 \pi|x-y|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-2}(x, y)\right| \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} t \exp (-E t) \exp \left(-t H^{D}\right)(x, y) d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} t \exp (-E t) \frac{\exp \left(-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4 t}\right)}{(4 \pi t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} d t \\
& \quad \leq \frac{2}{E}\left(H_{0}+\frac{E}{2}\right)^{-1}(x, y)=\frac{\exp \left(-\sqrt{\frac{E}{2}}|x-y|\right)}{2 \pi E|x-y|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

since $t \exp \left(-\frac{E}{2} t\right) \leq \frac{2}{E}$. Thus we have (A.14) and (A.15).
Next we shall estimate the third term of (A.13). Let $\Psi$ be a bounded and $C^{\infty}$-function such that $|\nabla \Psi| \leq 1$ and $(\partial / \partial x)^{\beta} \Psi$ are bounded for all multi-index $|\beta| \leq 2$ and let $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{C}$. Noting that $\exp (\alpha \Psi)$ is bounded, we estimate the norm of the following operator:
$e^{-\alpha \Psi}\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1} e^{\alpha \Psi} e^{-\alpha \Psi}\left(H^{D}-E-i \varepsilon\right)^{-1} e^{\alpha \Psi} e^{-\alpha \Psi}\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1} e^{\alpha \Phi}: L^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(\Omega)$

Since $|\nabla \Psi| \leq 1$, it follows that $|\Psi(x)-\Psi(y)| \leq|x-y|$. Then by (A.14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|e^{-\alpha \Psi}\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1} e^{\alpha \Psi}(x, y)\right|  \tag{A.16}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{\exp (-\Omega(\alpha(\Psi(x)-\Psi(y)))) \exp (-\sqrt{E}|x-y|)}{4 \pi|x-y|} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\exp (-(\sqrt{E}-|\alpha|)|x-y|)}{4 \pi|x-y|} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{E}}{2}|x-y|\right)}{4 \pi|x-y|} \equiv G(x-y)
\end{align*}
$$

if $|\alpha| \leq \frac{\sqrt{E}}{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-\alpha \Psi}\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1} e^{\alpha \Psi}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)-L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq\|G\|_{L^{2}}=(4 \pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} E^{-\frac{1}{4}} \tag{A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-\alpha \Psi}\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1} e^{\alpha \Psi}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)-L^{-}(\Omega)} \leq\left\|_{G}\right\|_{L^{2}}=(4 \pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} E^{-\frac{1}{4}} . \tag{A.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we estimate the norm of the following operator:

$$
e^{-\alpha \Psi}\left(H^{D}-E-i \varepsilon\right)^{-1} e^{\alpha \Psi}: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega) .
$$

Noting that the operator $e^{-\alpha \Psi}$ is bijective and bounded on $\operatorname{Dom}\left(H^{D}\right)=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap$ $H^{2}(\Omega)$, for $u \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(H^{D}\right)$ we have
(A.19) $\left\|\left(e^{-\alpha \Psi} H^{D} e^{\alpha \Psi}-E-i \varepsilon\right) u\right\|\|u\|$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq\left|\left(\left(e^{-\alpha \Psi} H^{D} e^{\alpha \Psi}-E-i \varepsilon\right) u, u\right)\right| \geq \Omega\left(\left(e^{-\alpha \Psi} H^{D} e^{\alpha \Psi}-E-i \varepsilon\right) u, u\right) \\
& =\Omega\left(\left(\nabla e^{\alpha \Psi} u, \nabla e^{-\alpha \Psi} u\right)+(V u, u)-(E-\varepsilon i)\|u\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here it follows
(A.20)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\nabla e^{\alpha \Psi} u, \nabla e^{-\alpha \Psi} u\right) \\
& \quad=(\nabla u, \nabla u)+\left(\alpha(\nabla \Psi) u,-\alpha\left(\nabla \Psi^{\prime}\right) u\right) \\
& \quad+\left\{\left(\alpha\left(\nabla \Psi^{\prime}\right) u, \nabla u\right)+\left(\nabla u,-\alpha\left(\nabla \Psi^{\prime}\right) u\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left(\alpha(\nabla \Psi) u,-\alpha(\nabla \Psi)_{u}\right)=-|\alpha|^{2}\left\|\left.\nabla \Psi\right|_{u}\right\|^{2} \geq-|\alpha|^{2}\|u\|^{2}
$$

Since the third term of the right hand side of (A.20) is pure imaginary, the last member of (A.19) is bounded from below by

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\nabla u, \nabla u)+(V u, u)-|\alpha|^{2}\|u\|^{2}-E\|u\|^{2}  \tag{A.21}\\
& \quad \geq\left(\inf \sigma\left(H^{D}\right)-|\alpha|^{2}-E\right)\|u\|^{2} \\
& \quad \geq\left(E-|\alpha|^{2}\right)\|u\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore if $|\alpha|<\sqrt{\frac{E}{2}}$, we have

$$
\left\|e^{-\alpha \Psi}\left(H^{D}-E-i \varepsilon\right) e^{\alpha \Psi} u\right\| \geq \frac{E}{2}\|u\|
$$

and the operator $e^{-\alpha \Psi}\left(H^{D}-E-i \varepsilon\right) e^{\alpha \Psi}$ is surjective on $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-\alpha \Psi}\left(H^{D}-E-i \varepsilon\right)^{-1} e^{\alpha \Psi}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{2}{E} . \tag{A.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (A.17), (A.18) and (A.22), it follows

$$
\left\|e^{-\alpha \Psi}\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}\left(H^{D}-E-i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1} e^{\alpha \Psi}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{m}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi E^{\frac{3}{2}}} .
$$

From this we have

$$
\left|e^{-\alpha(\Psi(x)-\Psi(y))}\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}\left(H^{D}-E-i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}(x, y)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi E^{\frac{3}{2}}}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}\left(H^{D}-E-i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}(x, y)\right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{2 \pi E^{\frac{3}{2}}} \exp \Omega(\alpha(\Psi(x)-\Psi(y)))
\end{gathered}
$$

for any $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{C}$ such that $|\alpha|<\frac{\sqrt{E}}{2}$ and any bounded function $\Psi \in C^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{R}),|\nabla \Psi| \leq 1$ and $(\partial / \partial x)^{\beta} \Psi$ are bounded for all multi-index $|\beta| \leq 2$. Therefore since for fixed $x$ and $y$ we have

$$
\underset{\alpha, \Psi}{\operatorname{infexp}} \Omega(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\Psi(x)-\Psi(y)))=\exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{E}}{2}|x-y|\right),
$$

we have
$\left|\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}\left(H^{D}-E-i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}\left(H^{D}+E+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}(x, y)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi E^{\frac{3}{2}}} \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{E}}{2}|x-y|\right)$.
From (A.13), (A.14), (A15) and (A.23), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(H^{D}-E-i \varepsilon\right)^{-1}(x, y)\right| \leq 5 \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{E}}{4}|x-y|\right) \tag{A.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x$ and $y$ such that $|x-y| \geq 1$ and $0<\varepsilon \leq E$. We have thus proved the lemma.

Lemma A.4. Let $E^{*}$ and $\bar{E}$ be two positive numbers such that $\bar{E} \leq E^{*}$. For any $z \in \boldsymbol{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(z) \in\left[\bar{E}, E^{*}\right], \operatorname{Im}(z) \neq 0$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(z)|<1$ it follows that

$$
\left|\left(H_{\omega}-z\right)^{-1}(x, y)\right| \leq \frac{1}{|x-y|}+c \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im}(z)|}
$$

where $c$ is independent of $z$ and $\omega$.
Proof. As is shown in the proof of Lemma A.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(H_{\omega}-w\right)^{-1}(x, y)\right| \leq \frac{\exp (-\sqrt{|w|}|x-y|)}{4 \pi|x-y|} \tag{A.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(H_{\omega}-w\right)^{-2}(x, y)\right| \leq \frac{\exp \left(-\sqrt{\frac{|w|}{2}}|x-y|\right)}{2 \pi|w \| x-y|} \tag{A.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $w<0$. From (A.24) and (A.25) in a similar fashion to that used in the proof of Lemma A. 3 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(H_{\omega}-w\right)^{-1}\left(H_{\omega}-z\right)^{-1}\left(H_{\omega}-w\right)^{-1}(x, y)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{|w||\operatorname{Im}(z)|}} \tag{A.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the resolvent equation twice, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(H_{\omega}-z\right)^{-1}  \tag{A.28}\\
& \quad=\left(H_{\omega}-w\right)^{-1}+(z-w)\left(H_{\omega}-w\right)^{-1}\left(H_{\omega}-z\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(H_{\omega}-w\right)^{-1}+(z-w)\left(H_{\omega}-w\right)^{-2} \\
& \quad+(z-w)^{2}\left(H_{\omega}-w\right)^{-2}\left(H_{\omega}-z\right)^{-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (A.24)-(A.27), we have

$$
\left|\left(H_{\omega}-z\right)^{-1}(x, y)\right| \leq \frac{1}{|x-y|}+c \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im}(z)|}
$$

Lemma A.5. Let $v \in \partial \Lambda$ be not one of the corners. Then

$$
\left|\partial_{n_{0}} G_{\Lambda}(E+i \varepsilon ; u, v)\right| \leq c_{3} \sup _{v^{\prime}-v|l|} G_{\Lambda}\left(E+i \varepsilon ; u, v^{\prime}\right) \mid
$$

for any $u$ such that $|u-v| \geq 1$. Here $c_{3}$ is independent of $\Lambda, E$ and $\varepsilon$.
Proof. This lemma has be shown in [5] (Lemma 3.1).
Lemma A.6. Let $\Lambda \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{3}, 0 \leq V$ be a bounded function on $\boldsymbol{R}^{3}$ and $H=-\Delta+$ $V$. Let $H_{\Lambda}=\left.H\right|_{L^{2}(\Lambda)}$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions on $L^{2}(\Lambda)$. If $u, w \in \Lambda$, then it follows

$$
\left|G_{\Lambda}(E+i \varepsilon ; u, v)\right| \leq \frac{1}{|u-v|}+\frac{c_{4}}{\operatorname{dist}\left(\sigma\left(H_{\Lambda}\right), E+i \varepsilon\right)}
$$

where $c_{4}$ is independent of $\Lambda, u, v, E$ and $\varepsilon$.
Proof. This lemma is shown a fashion similar to that used in the proof of

Lemma A. 4.

## B. Appendix 2

Lemma B.1. There exists $E^{\prime \prime}>0$ such that for $0<E \leq E^{\prime \prime}$ it follows that if $D_{1}, D_{2} \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E), D_{1} \subset D_{2}$ and $\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(D_{1}, D^{c}\right) \geq 12 d_{k}$, then there exists $a$ $k$-admissible set $A$ such that $D_{1} \subset A \subset D_{2}$.

Proof. We denote by $P_{k}$ in the following assertion:
If $D_{1} \subset D_{2} \subset \boldsymbol{Z}^{3}(E)$ and $\operatorname{dist}_{E}\left(D_{1}, D_{2}^{c}\right) \geq 12 d_{k}$, then there exists a $k$-admissible set $A$ such that $D_{1} \subset A \subset D_{2}$.

We shall prove $P_{k}$ for $k \geq 0$ by induction.
Step 1. Proof of $P_{0}$.
We have only to show the case that there exists a component $D_{0}^{x}$ such that

$$
D_{1} \cap W\left(D_{0}^{\kappa}, 4 d_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset
$$

Let

$$
\left.K=\left\{\kappa \mid W\left(D_{0}^{\kappa}, 4 d_{0}\right) \cap D_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

and

$$
A=D_{1} \cup \cup_{x \in K} W\left(D_{0}^{\kappa}, 4 d_{0}+1\right)
$$

Then $A$ is 0 -admissible and $D_{1} \subset A \subset D_{2}$ by Condition $A(0)$.
Step 2. Proof of $P_{k+1}$ under the assumption of $P_{k}$.
By the assumption of $P_{k}$, there exists $k$-admissible set $A$ such that $D_{1} \subset A \subset$ $W\left(D_{1}, 12 d_{k}\right)$. Let

$$
K=\left\{\kappa \mid A \cap W\left(D_{k+1}^{\kappa}, 4 d_{k+1}\right) \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

For $\kappa \in K$, by the assumption of $P_{k}$, there exists $k$-admissible set $A^{x}$ such that

$$
W\left(D_{k+1}^{x}, 4 d_{k+1}+1\right) \subset A^{x} \subset W\left(D_{k+1}^{x}, 4 d_{k+1}+12 d_{k}\right) .
$$

Let $A^{\prime}=A \cup \cup_{x \in K} A^{x}$. Then by Condition $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{k}+1), A^{\prime}$ satisfies the assertion of $P_{k+1}$.
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