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#### Abstract

We shall give a concrete description of minimal algebraic surfaces $X$ 's defined over $\mathbb{C}$ of general type with the first chern number 3 , the geometric genus 1 and the irregularity 0 , which have non-trivial 3-torsion divisors. Namely, we shall show that the fundamental group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 3$, and that the canonical model of the universal cover is a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ of type $(3,3)$.


## 0. Introduction

In this paper, we shall give a concrete description of minimal algebraic surfaces $X$ 's defined over $\mathbb{C}$ of general type with $c_{1}^{2}=3, p_{g}=1, q=0$ and $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \subset \operatorname{Tors}(X)$. Here, as usual, $c_{1}, p_{g}, q$ and $\operatorname{Tors}(X)$ are the first chern class, the geometric genus, the irregularity and the torsion part of the Picard group of $X$, respectively.

In classical classification theories of the numerical Godeaux surfaces (i.e. minimal algebraic surfaces of general type with $c_{1}^{2}=1, p_{g}=0, q=0$ ), one fixes the torsion group or the fundamental group as an additional invariant, and finds concrete descriptions for each case (see for example [1] and [2]). For example, Miyaoka showed that if the torsion group $\operatorname{Tors}(X)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 5$, then the fundamental group $\pi_{1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 5$ and the canonical model of the universal cover is a quintic surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ (see [1]). It is well-known that the order $\sharp \operatorname{Tors}(X)$ is at most 5 for the numerical Godeaux surfaces.

Similar theories can be developed for other cases of numerical invariants, and there are many papers related to this direction. Minimal algebraic surfaces with $c_{1}^{2}=1, p_{g}=1$ and $q=0$ are completely understood ([10] and [12]), while minimal algebraic surfaces with $c_{1}^{2}=2, p_{g}=1$ and $q=0$ are classified in [13] and [14].

Consider the case $c_{1}^{2}=3, p_{g}=1$ and $q=0$. In this case, we see easily that the order of the torsion group $\operatorname{Tors}(X)$ is at most 6 . Examples of surfaces

[^0]with $c_{1}^{2}=3, p_{g}=1$ and $q=0$ can be found in Todorov's paper [11]. In the present paper, we consider the case $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \subset \operatorname{Tors}(X)$, and give a concrete description of such surfaces. Namely, we shall show that the fundamental group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 3$ in this case, and that the canonical model of the universal cover is a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ of type (3,3) (Theorem 1). Using this result, we shall show that the number of moduli of $X$ is 14 if a canonical divisor of $X$ is ample. We shall also show that the case $\operatorname{Tors}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 5$ is impossible (Proposition 1 or Remark 2).

In the present paper, following the method due to Miyaoka [1] and Reid [2], we take an unramified cover $Y \rightarrow X$ corresponding to a 3-torsion divisor, and study the canonical image of $Y$. Since we have $K_{Y}^{2}=9$ and $p_{g}(Y)=5$, we can use the results and methods given by Konno in [3]. By a result due to Konno in [3], the degree of the canonical map $\Phi_{K_{Y}}$ of $Y$ is either 1,2 , or 3 in our case. In Section 2, we shall consider the case $\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{K_{Y}}=1$. In Section 3, we shall exclude the case $\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{K_{Y}}=2$ for our surface $Y$. In Section 4, we shall exclude the case $\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{K_{Y}}=3$ for our surface $Y$. Finally in Section 5, we shall compute the number of moduli of $X$ with an ampleness canonical divisor. Note that only a little is known on surfaces with $c_{1}^{2}=3 p_{g}-6$ and $\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{K_{Y}}=2$ (see [3]). Thus the exclusion of the case $\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{K_{Y}}=2$ for our $Y$ is the main part of the present paper in a sense. Throughout this paper, we work over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$.

Notation. Let $S$ be a compact complex manifold of dimension 2. We denote by $p_{g}(S), q(S)$ and $K_{S}$, the geometric genus, the irregularity and a canonical divisor of $S$, respectively. The torsion group Tors(S) of $S$ is the torsion part of the Picard group of $S$. For a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $S$, we denote by $h^{i}(\mathcal{F})=\operatorname{dim} H^{i}(S, \mathcal{F})$ the dimension of the $i$-th cohomology group. As usual, $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ is the projective space of dimension $n$. We denote by $\Sigma_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ the Hirzebruch surface of degree $d$. A curve $\Delta_{0}$ is a section with self-intersection $-d$ of the Hirzebruch surface, and $\Gamma$ is a fiber of $\Sigma_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. The symbol $\sim$ means the linear equivalence of two divisors. For a finite set $\Sigma$, we denote by $\sharp \Sigma$ the number of elements of $\Sigma$. Moreover, we denote by $\varepsilon=\exp (2 \pi \sqrt{-1} / 3)$ a third root of unity.

## 1. Statement of the main results

We begin with a bound of the order of the torsion group. By Deligne's well-known argument [4, Theorem 14] and the unbranched covering trick, we have the following:

Lemma 1.1. Let $X$ be a minimal algebraic surface of general type with $c_{1}^{2}=3, p_{g}=1$ and $q=0$. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be an unramified cover of finite degree $m$. Then $m \leq 6$ and $q(Y)=0$.

Proof. Apply Noether's inequality to the surface $Y$.
Corollary 1.1. Let $X$ be as in Lemma 1.1. Then $\sharp \operatorname{Tors}(X) \leq 6$.

In this paper, we consider the case $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \subset \operatorname{Tors}(X)$, and find a concrete description of such surfaces. More precisely, we shall show the following:

Theorem 1. Let $X$ be a minimal algebraic surface of general type with $c_{1}^{2}=3, p_{g}=1, q=0$ and $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \subset \operatorname{Tors}(X)$. Then both the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(X)$ and the torsion group $\operatorname{Tors}(X)$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 3$. The canonical model $Z$ of the universal cover $Y$ of $X$ is a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ of type $(3,3)$ defined by the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{i}= & a_{0}^{(i)} X_{0}^{3}+a_{1}^{(i)} X_{0} X_{1} X_{3}+a_{2}^{(i)} X_{0} X_{1} X_{4}+a_{3}^{(i)} X_{0} X_{2} X_{3}+a_{4}^{(i)} X_{0} X_{2} X_{4} \\
& +a_{5}^{(i)} X_{1}^{3}+a_{6}^{(i)} X_{1}^{2} X_{2}+a_{7}^{(i)} X_{1} X_{2}^{2}+a_{8}^{(i)} X_{2}^{3}  \tag{1}\\
& +a_{9}^{(i)} X_{3}^{3}+a_{10}^{(i)} X_{3}^{2} X_{4}+a_{11}^{(i)} X_{3} X_{4}^{2}+a_{12}^{(i)} X_{4}^{3}=0
\end{align*}
$$

for $i=1,2$, where $\left(X_{0}: \cdots: X_{4}\right)$ are homogeneous coordinates of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{4}$.

Remark 1. Here the induced action on $Z$ of the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(Y / X)$ $=G=\left\langle\tau_{0}\right\rangle$ is given by

$$
\tau_{0}:\left(X_{0}: X_{1}: X_{2}: X_{3}: X_{4}\right) \mapsto\left(X_{0}: \varepsilon X_{1}: \varepsilon X_{2}: \varepsilon^{-1} X_{3}: \varepsilon^{-1} X_{4}\right)
$$

where $\varepsilon=\exp (2 \pi \sqrt{-1} / 3)$. This action on $Z$ has no fixed points, since any automorphism of a fundamental cycle has fixed points. This imposes certain conditions on the coefficients $a_{j}^{(i)}$,s of the defining polynomials $F_{i}$ 's. Conversely, if a complete intersection $Z$ in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ of the form given in this theorem has at most rational double points, and if, moreover, it has no fixed points by the action on $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ defined above, then the minimal desingularization $X$ of $Z / G$ is a minimal algebraic surface of general type with the invariants as in Theorem 1. For example, put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=X_{0}^{3}+X_{1}^{3}+X_{2}^{3}+X_{3}^{3}+X_{4}^{3} \\
& F_{2}=\alpha_{0} X_{0}^{3}+\alpha_{1} X_{1}^{3}+\alpha_{2} X_{2}^{3}+\alpha_{3} X_{3}^{3}+\alpha_{4} X_{4}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{4}$ are five distinct non-zero constants.
Theorem 2. Let $X$ be a surface as in Theorem 1. Let $\Theta_{X}$ be the sheaf of germs of holomorphic vector field on $X$. Assume that a canonical divisor $K_{X}$ is ample. Then $h^{1}\left(\Theta_{X}\right)=14$ and $h^{2}\left(\Theta_{X}\right)=0$. Thus the number of moduli of $X$ is 14 .

By Remark 2 given in the final section, we have the following:
Proposition 1. There are no minimal algebraic surfaces $X$ 's with $c_{1}^{2}=$ 3, $p_{g}=1, q=0$ and $\operatorname{Tors}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 5$.

Theorem 1 together with Proposition 1 sharpens Corollary 1.1 as follows:

Proposition 2. Let $X$ be as in Lemma 1.1. Then $\sharp \operatorname{Tors}(X) \leq 4$.
In what follows, $X$ is a minimal algebraic surface of general type with $c_{1}^{2}=3, p_{g}=1, q=0$ and $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \subset \operatorname{Tors}(X)$. We denote by $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ the unramified Galois triple covering associated with a 3 -torsion divisor.

Lemma 1.2. $\quad$ The surface $Y$ satisfies $p_{g}(Y)=5, q(Y)=0$ and $K_{Y}^{2}=9$.
Following the methods in [1] and [2], we study the canonical map $\Phi_{K_{Y}}$ of $Y$ by using the canonical ring of $Y$. Let $T_{0}$ be the non-trivial 3 -torsion divisor of $X$. We have a natural isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{m}: H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(m K_{Y}\right)\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{l=0,1,-1} H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(m K_{X}-l T_{0}\right)\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m \geq 1$. Let us choose a generator $\tau_{0}$ of the Galois $\operatorname{group} G=\operatorname{Gal}(Y / X)$ in such a way that the spaces $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(m K_{X}\right)\right), H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(m K_{X}-T_{0}\right)\right)$ and $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(m K_{X}+T_{0}\right)\right)$ correspond to the eigenspaces of $\tau_{0}^{*}$ of eigenvalue $1, \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^{-1}$, respectively, where the action of $G$ on $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(m K_{Y}\right)\right)$ is induced by the one on $Y$. We have $h^{0}\left(\Theta_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\right)=1$, while by the Riemann-Roch theorem, we have $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}-l T_{0}\right)\right)=2$ for $l=-1,1$. So we can take a base $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{4}$ of $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(K_{Y}\right)\right)$ such that $x_{0} \in \alpha_{1}^{-1} H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\right), x_{i} \in \alpha_{1}^{-1} H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}-T_{0}\right)\right)$ for $i=1,2$, and $x_{i} \in \alpha_{1}^{-1} H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}+T_{0}\right)\right)$ for $i=3,4$. The canonical map is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{K_{Y}}: p \mapsto\left(x_{0}(p): x_{1}(p): x_{2}(p): x_{3}(p): x_{4}(p)\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that we have $K_{Y}^{2}=3 p_{g}(Y)-6$. We frequently use results and methods given in [3]. See [3, Lemma 1.3] for a proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 3 (Konno). Let $Y$ be a minimal algebraic surface of general type with $c_{1}^{2}=3 p_{g}-6$ and $p_{g} \geq 5$. Let $\Phi_{K_{Y}}: Y \rightarrow Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{p_{g}-1}$ be the canonical map of $Y$. Then $1 \leq \operatorname{deg} \Phi_{K_{Y}} \leq 3$. Moreover, if $\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{K_{Y}}=1$ or 3 , then the canonical linear system $\left|K_{Y}\right|$ has no base points.

By this proposition, we have $1 \leq \operatorname{deg} \Phi_{K_{Y}} \leq 3$ for our triple cover $Y$ of the surface $X$.

## 2. The case $\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{K_{Y}}=1$

In this section, we shall consider the case $\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{K_{Y}}=1$. In this case the canonical map $\Phi_{K_{Y}}$ is holomorphic by Proposition 3. There are 13 monomials of $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{4}$ in $\alpha_{3}^{-1} H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(3 K_{X}\right)\right)$, while we have $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(3 K_{X}\right)\right)=11$. Thus we have at least two non-trivial linear relations, say $F_{1}(x)=0$ and $F_{2}(x)=0$, among these 13 monomials. Here $F_{1}(X)$ and $F_{2}(X)$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree 3 of the form given in Theorem 1. Put $V_{i}=\left\{F_{i}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{4}$ for $i=1,2$. Then we have $Z=\Phi_{K_{Y}}(Y) \subset V_{1} \cap V_{2}$. We have two cases:

Case 1. $\quad V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ have no common irreducible components,

Case 2. $\quad V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ have a common irreducible component $W_{0}$.
Claim 2.1. If $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ have no common irreducible components, then $Z=V_{1} \cap V_{2}$. Moreover $Z$ is the canonical model of $Y$.

See [3, Theorem 4.2] for a proof of this claim. The outline is as follows. The first assertion follows from $\operatorname{deg} Z=9$. In this case, both $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are irreducible. Let $H$ be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. We have a natural inclusion $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{V_{1} \cap V_{2}}(m H)\right) \subset H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(m K_{Y}\right)\right)$. By an easy computation we have

$$
h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{V_{1} \cap V_{2}}(m H)\right)=\frac{9}{2} m(m-1)+6=h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(m K_{Y}\right)\right)
$$

for any $m \geq 2$. This implies that the five elements $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{4} \in H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(K_{Y}\right)\right)$ span the canonical ring of the surface $Y$. Thus, the surface $Z$ is the canonical model of the surface $Y$. We have already seen in Remark 1 that Case 1 in fact occurs.

Next, we consider Case 2. In this case, the common irreducible component $W_{0}$ is a quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. Note that $W_{0}$ is the only quadric hypersurface containing $Z$, since we have $\operatorname{deg} Z=9>2 \cdot 2$. This implies that $W_{0}$ is invariant under the action of $G=\operatorname{Gal}(Y / X)$ on $\mathbb{P}^{4}$, where the action of $G$ on $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ is induced from the one on $Z$. Since $W_{0}$ is a quadric hypersurface, the isomorphism class is determined by its rank. Konno showed that $W_{0}$ is singular ([3, Section 3]). As regards the action of the Galois group $G$, we can show the following using the canonical ring of $Y$.

Claim 2.2. Assume that $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ have a common irreducible component $W_{0}$. Let $\tau_{0}, T_{0}, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{4}$ be as in Section 1. Then we can take $\tau_{0}, T_{0}$, $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{4}$, in such a way that we have one of the following two cases:

Case 2-1. $W_{0}=\left\{X_{0} X_{1}-X_{3} X_{4}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{4}$,
Case 2-2. $W_{0}=\left\{X_{0} X_{1}-X_{3}^{2}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{4}$.
Case 2 in fact occurs for certain surfaces $Y$ 's with $p_{g}=5, q=0$ and $c_{1}^{2}=9$, when we do not restrict our $Y$ to the triple cover of our $X$. Such surfaces are called surfaces of type I-0 in [3]. See [3] for such surfaces. We shall exclude both Case 2-1 and Case 2-2, using the fact that our $Y$ is an unramified Galois triple cover of $X$.

### 2.1. Exclusion of Case 2-1

First, let us exclude Case 2-1 in Claim 2.2. In Case 2-1, the hypersurface $W_{0}$ is a cone over the Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{0} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Here $\Sigma_{0}$ is a non-singular quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{4}$. We denote by $p_{0}$ the vertex of $W_{0}$. Let $\Lambda_{0}$ be the linear system consisting of the pull-back by $\Phi_{K_{Y}}$ of all hyperplanes passing through the vertex $p_{0}$. We denote by $\Lambda$ and $F$, the variable part of $\Lambda_{0}$ and the fixed part of $\Lambda_{0}$, respectively. We let $p: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be a composition of quadric transformations such that the variable part $|M|$ of $p^{*} \Lambda$ is free from base points. We take the shortest one among those with this property. Then we have

$$
p^{*} K_{Y} \sim M+\tilde{E}+p^{*} F,
$$

where $\tilde{E}$ is an exceptional divisor. We have a morphism

$$
\tilde{\mu}=\Phi_{M}: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \Sigma_{0} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}
$$

determined by the linear system $|M|$. This holomorphic map $\tilde{\mu}$ is just the composition $g \circ \Phi_{K_{Y}}$, where the rational map $g$ is the projection from the vertex $p_{0}$.

Let us compute intersection numbers among these divisors, and derive a contradiction. First note that the vertex $p_{0}$ is invariant under the action of $G=\operatorname{Gal}(Y / X)=\left\langle\tau_{0}\right\rangle$ on $W_{0}$. This together with $\left(\left.\tau_{0}\right|_{W_{0}}\right)^{3}=\mathrm{id}_{W_{0}}$ implies that the linear system $\Lambda_{0}$ is spanned by the pull-back of divisors on $X$. Indeed, since we have $p_{0}=(0: 0: 1: 0: 0)$, the linear system $\Lambda_{0}$ is spanned by $\left(x_{0}\right),\left(x_{1}\right)$, $\left(x_{3}\right)$ and $\left(x_{4}\right)$, where $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{4}$ are global sections as in Claim 2.2 and $\left(x_{i}\right)$ 's are the effective divisors determined by $x_{i}$ 's. Thus both $\Lambda$ and $F$ are spanned by the pull-back of divisors on $X$, hence $\Lambda^{2} \equiv \Lambda F \equiv F^{2} \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. Moreover, we may assume that the action of $G$ on $Y$ lifts to the one on $\tilde{Y}$, since $\Lambda$ is spanned by the pull-back of divisors on $X$. Then we have $\tilde{E}^{2} \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. Since $\Phi_{K_{Y}}(F)$ is contained in $\left\{p_{0}\right\}$, we have $\Lambda_{0} F=0$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
9=\Lambda_{0}^{2}=M^{2}+M \tilde{E}+M p^{*} F, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each term of the right hand side is a non-negative integer. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
M \tilde{E}=-\tilde{E}^{2} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \quad 3, \\
M p^{*} F=\Lambda F \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \quad 3, \\
M^{2}=2 \operatorname{deg} \tilde{\mu}
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\Lambda F=F^{2}+F K_{Y}-2 F^{2} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \quad 2
$$

by the Riemann-Roch theorem. Thus we have

$$
M^{2} \equiv M p^{*} F \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \quad 6 .
$$

Since $Y$ is not birational to a ruled surface, we have $M^{2}>0$. Thus by (4) and Hodge's index theorem, we have the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}=6, \quad M \tilde{E}=3, \quad \tilde{E}^{2}=-3, \quad \operatorname{deg} \tilde{\mu}=3, \quad F=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the linear system $\Lambda$ has exactly 3 base points, and the set of base points, say $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right\}$, forms an orbit of the action of $G$ on $Y$. Let $\tilde{E}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \tilde{E}_{i}$ be the decomposition of $\tilde{E}$ into the sums of components lying over each base point $p_{i}$. Then we have $M \tilde{E}_{i}=1, \tilde{E}_{i}^{2}=-1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq 3$, and $\tilde{E}_{i} \tilde{E}_{j}=0$ for $i \neq j$.

By an argument similar to the one in the proof of Theorem 1 in [5], we show that each $\tilde{E}_{i}$ is an exceptional curve of the first kind for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Put

$$
K_{\tilde{Y}} \sim p^{*}\left(K_{Y}\right)+E,
$$

where $E$ is an exceptional divisor. Let $E=\sum_{i=1}^{3} E_{i}$ be the decomposition of $E$ into the sums of components lying over each base point $p_{i}$. We have $\tilde{E}_{i} \geq E_{i}$ and $\operatorname{supp}\left(\tilde{E}_{i}\right)=\operatorname{supp}\left(E_{i}\right)$, since the morphism $p$ is the shortest one. Since $M \tilde{E}_{i}=1$, we have $M E_{i}=1$. Thus there exists an exceptional curve $E_{i}^{(0)}$ of the first kind such that

$$
E_{i}=E_{i}^{(0)}+E_{i}^{\prime}, \quad \tilde{E}_{i}=E_{i}^{(0)}+\tilde{E}_{i}^{\prime}
$$

where $E_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{E}_{i}^{\prime}$ are effective divisors and $M E_{i}^{(0)}=1, M E_{i}^{\prime}=M \tilde{E}_{i}^{\prime}=0$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\tilde{Y}} \sim M+\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(2 E_{i}^{(0)}+E_{i}^{\prime}+\tilde{E}_{i}^{\prime}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that neither $E_{i}^{\prime}$ nor $\tilde{E}_{i}^{\prime}$ contain $E_{i}^{(0)}$ as a component. We have $K_{\tilde{Y}} E_{i}^{(0)}=$ -1. Thus by (6), we obtain $E_{i}^{(0)} E_{i}^{\prime}=E_{i}^{(0)} \tilde{E}_{i}^{\prime}=0$. From these equalities and the assumption that $p$ is the shortest one, we infer that $E_{i}^{\prime}=\tilde{E}_{i}^{\prime}=0$. Thus $\tilde{E}_{i}=E_{i}^{(0)}$ is an exceptional curve of the first kind.

Finally we derive a contradiction as follows: By the argument as above, we have $K_{\tilde{Y}} \sim M+2 \tilde{E}$. We denote by $\Gamma$ and $\Delta_{0}$, a fiber and a section of the Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ as in Section 0 . Let $D$ be the pull-back $\Phi_{M}^{*}(\Gamma)$. Since $M \sim \Phi_{M}^{*}\left(\Delta_{0}+\Gamma\right)$, we have

$$
D^{2}+D K_{\tilde{Y}}=3+2 D \tilde{E}
$$

This contradicts the Riemann-Roch theorem, since the right hand side is odd. Thus Case 2-1 in Claim 2.2 is impossible.

### 2.2. Exclusion of Case 2-2

Next, we exclude Case 2-2 in Claim 2.2. In Case 2-2, the hypersurface $W_{0}$ is a generalized cone over a rational curve $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}$. This rational curve $C$ is a conic in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \subset \mathbb{P}^{4}$. The singular locus of $W_{0}$ is given by $X_{0}=X_{1}=X_{3}=0$ in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. We call this line the ridge of $W_{0}$. Let $\Lambda_{0}$ be a linear system consisting of the pull-back of all hyperplanes containing the ridge. We denote by $\Lambda$ and $F$, the variable part and the fixed part of $\Lambda_{0}$, respectively. Again $\Lambda_{0}$ is spanned by the pull-back of divisors on $X$, namely by $\left(x_{0}\right),\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{3}\right)$. Thus $\Lambda$ and $F$ are also spanned by the pull-back of divisors on $X$. In particular, we have $\Lambda^{2} \equiv \Lambda F \equiv F^{2} \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. Let $F^{\prime}$ be the maximal common component of divisors $\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}\right)$. Then we have $\left(x_{i}\right)=D_{i}^{\prime}+F^{\prime}$ for an effective divisor $D_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i=1,2$, where $D_{1}^{\prime}$ and $D_{2}^{\prime}$ have no common components. By the equality $\left(x_{0} x_{1}\right)=\left(x_{3}^{2}\right)$, we have $D_{i}^{\prime}=2 D_{i}$ for an effective divisor $D_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Then we have $\Lambda_{0} \sim 2 D+F$ for an effective divisor $D \sim D_{1}$. The linear system $\left|D_{1}\right|=\left|D_{2}\right|$ is a linear pencil without fixed components. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
9=\Lambda_{0}^{2}=4 D^{2}+2 D F+K_{Y} F \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each term of the right hand side is non-negative integer. Since $4 D^{2}=$ $\Lambda^{2} \equiv 0 \bmod 3$, we get $D^{2}=0$. Then by the Riemann-Roch theorem, we have
$D F=D^{2}+D K_{Y} \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, hence $2 D F=\Lambda F \equiv 0 \bmod 12$. Thus we obtain $D^{2}=D F=0$ and $F^{2}=9$. By Hodge's index theorem, we infer $D=0$. This contradicts the equality $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}(D)\right)=2$. Thus Case 2-2 is excluded.

## 3. The case $\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{K_{Y}}=2$

In this section, we exclude the possibility of the case $\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{K_{Y}}=2$. Surfaces $Y$ 's of this case are called surfaces of type II in [3]. There exist many surfaces of type II, and they are not classified completely even in [3]. However for our case of triple covering, we can exclude the possibility of type II using the action of the Galois group $G=\operatorname{Gal}(Y / X)$. Since only a little is known on surfaces of type II, the exclusion of the possibility of type II for our $Y$ is the main part of the present paper in a sense.

First we study the base points of the linear system $\left|K_{Y}\right|$. Let $|L|$ and $F$ be the variable part and the fixed part of the linear system $\left|K_{Y}\right|$, respectively. Again we denote by $p: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ a composition of quadric transformations which is the shortest among the ones with the property that the variable part of $\left|p^{*} L\right|$ has no base point. We take $p$ in such a way that the action of the Galois group $G=\left\langle\tau_{0}\right\rangle$ lifts to one on $\tilde{Y}$. This is possible, since $\left|K_{Y}\right|$ is spanned by the pull-back of divisors on $X$. We have

$$
p^{*} K_{Y} \sim M+\tilde{E}+p^{*} F,
$$

where $M$ and $\tilde{E}$ are the variable part and the fixed part of $p^{*} L$, respectively. From this we infer

$$
\begin{equation*}
9=K_{Y}^{2}=M^{2}+M \tilde{E}+M p^{*} F+K_{Y} F, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each term of the right hand side is a non-negative integer. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M \tilde{E}=-\tilde{E}^{2} \equiv 0 & \bmod \quad 3, \quad M p^{*} F=L F \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \quad 3 \\
& K_{Y} F \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \quad 3
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $M^{2} \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. Moreover we have

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
M^{2}=2 \operatorname{deg} \Phi_{M}(\tilde{Y}) \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \quad & 2 \\
M p^{*} F=L F=L^{2}+L K_{Y}-2 L^{2} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \quad 2
\end{array}
$$

Thus from (8), we infer $M^{2}=6$ and $M p^{*} F=L F=0$. So by (8), the inequalities $K_{Y}^{2} \geq L^{2}=M^{2}+M \tilde{E} \geq M^{2}$ and Hodge's index theorem, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}=2 \operatorname{deg} Z=6, \quad M \tilde{E}=-\tilde{E}^{2}=3, \quad F=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z=\Phi_{M}(\tilde{Y})$ is the canonical image of $Y$. Similarly to the proof of exclusion of Case 2-1 of Claim 2.2, we can show that $|L|$ has exactly 3 base points and that each base point is resolved by a single quadric transformation.

$$
\text { Surfaces with } c_{1}^{2}=3, p_{g}=1 \text { and } q=0
$$

The set $P$ of these three base points forms an orbit of the action of $G$. Let $q: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a quadric transformation with the center $\pi(P)$. We have the following commutative diagram:

where $\tilde{\pi}$ is an unramified Galois triple cover of $\tilde{X}$. Note that $\operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{Y} / \tilde{X}) \simeq$ $\operatorname{Gal}(Y / X)$. By (9), the canonical image $Z$ of $Y$ is a surface of minimal degree in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. By a classification of surfaces of minimal degree (see for example [ 6, Lemma 1.2] or [9]), we have the following:

Lemma 3.1. Let $Z=\Phi_{K_{Y}}(Y)$ be the canonical image of $Y$, then $Z$ is one of the following:

Case 3-1. an image of the Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{3}$ under the morphism determined by the linear system $\left|\Delta_{0}+3 \Gamma\right|$,

Case 3-2. the Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{1}$ embedded by $\left|\Delta_{0}+2 \Gamma\right|$.

### 3.1. Exclusion of Case $\mathbf{3 - 1}$

We exclude Cases 3-1 and 3-2. First, we exclude Case 3-1. In this case, the canonical image $Z$ is a cone over a twisted cubic curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. We denote by $p_{0}$ the vertex of the cone $Z$. Let $\Lambda_{0}$ be the linear system consisting of the pull-back by $\Phi_{M}$ of hyperplanes passing through $p_{0}$. We denote by $\Lambda$ and $F^{\prime}$, the variable part and the fixed part of $\Lambda_{0}$, respectively. We have a natural isomorphism $\beta: H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(M)\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{4}\right]_{1}$, where $\mathbb{C}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{4}\right]_{1}$ is the homogeneous part of degree 1 of the homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. We have $\Lambda_{0}=\mathbb{P}\left(\beta^{-1}(V)\right)$ for a linear subspace $V \subset \mathbb{C}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{4}\right]_{1}$. Since the vertex $p_{0}$ is invariant under the action of $G=\operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{Y} / \tilde{X})=\left\langle\tau_{0}\right\rangle$ on $\mathbb{P}^{4}$, the subspace $V$ is stable under the action of $G$ on $\mathbb{C}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{4}\right]_{1}$. This together with $\left(\tau_{0}^{*}\right)^{3}=\mathrm{id}$ implies that $V$ is spanned by eigenvectors of $\tau_{0}^{*}$. Thus $\Lambda$ and $F^{\prime}$ are both spanned by the pull-back of divisors on $\tilde{X}$. Since $\left(\tau_{0}^{*}\right)^{3}=$ id, we have $\mathbb{C}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{4}\right]_{1}=V \oplus W$, where $W$ is a 1-dimensional linear subspace invariant under the action of $G$. We take a base $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{4}$ of $\mathbb{C}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{4}\right]_{1}$ such that $Y_{i} \in V$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3$ and $Y_{4} \in W$. Let $H_{0}$ be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ defined by $Y_{4}=0$. Then $Z$ is a cone over the twisted cubic $C=Z \cap H_{0}$. Note that $C$ and $H_{0}$ are both invariant under the action of $G$ on $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. See [6, Lemma $1.5]$ for a proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. There exists a linear pencil $|D|$ on $\tilde{Y}$ without fixed components such that $\Lambda \sim 3 D$.

We have $M \sim 3 D+F^{\prime}$. We derive a contradiction by computing intersection numbers among these divisors. First note that $M F^{\prime}=0$, since $\Phi_{M}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=p_{0}$. Thus we have $6=M^{2}=9 D^{2}+3 D F^{\prime}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{2}=0, \quad D F^{\prime}=2 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the linear system $\Lambda$ has no base points. We have a holomorphic map $\Phi_{\Lambda}$ determined by the linear system $\Lambda$. This $\Phi_{\Lambda}$ is just the extension of the rational map $p \mapsto\left(y_{0}(p): \cdots: y_{3}(p)\right)$, where $y_{i}$ 's are the same as in the proof of Lemma 3.2. It follows that $D$ is a pull-back $\Phi_{M}^{*}\left(q_{0}\right)$ by $\Phi_{M}$, where $q_{0}$ is an effective divisor of degree 1 on $C$. The curve $C$ has an action of $G$ compatible to the one on $\tilde{Y}$, since $C$ is stable under the action on $H_{0}$ of the Galois group $G=\left\langle\tau_{0}\right\rangle$. The isomorphism $\left.\tau_{0}\right|_{C}$ has at least 2 fixed points, say $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$, since we have $\tau_{0}^{3}=\mathrm{id}$ and the curve $C$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Put $D_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\Phi_{M}^{*}\left(q_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$. Then $D_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is a member of $|D|$ stable under the action of $G$, hence a pull-back of a divisor on $\tilde{X}$, for $i=1,2$. Then both $|D|$ and $F^{\prime}$ are spanned by pull-back of divisors on $\tilde{X}$. Thus the intersection number $D F^{\prime}$ must be a multiple of 3 , which contradicts the equality (11). This proves that Case 3-1 is impossible.

### 3.2. Exclusion of Case $\mathbf{3 - 2}$

Next, we exclude Case 3-2 in Lemma 3.1. In this case, the canonical image $Z$ of $Y$ is the Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{1}$ embedded by $\left|\Delta_{0}+2 \Gamma\right|$. The curve $\Delta_{0}$ is a line in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. Let $\Lambda_{0}$ be a linear system consisting of the pull-back by $\Phi_{M}$ of all hyperplanes containing $\Delta_{0}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. We denote by $F$ the fixed part of $\Lambda_{0}$. The curve $\Delta_{0}$ is the unique ( -1 )-curve on $Z$, since $\Sigma_{1}$ is obtained by a single quadric transformation of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Thus $\Delta_{0}$ is invariant under the action of $G$ on $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. Then, as in the proof of exclusion of Case 3-1, we see that $\Lambda_{0}$ is spanned by the pull-back of divisors on $\tilde{X}$, and that so is $F$. So the intersection number $F^{2}$ has to be a multiple of 3 . However, we have $F=\Phi_{M}^{*}\left(\Delta_{0}\right)$, hence $F^{2}=-2$. This is a contradiction. This proves that Case 3-2 is impossible.

## 4. The case $\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{K_{Y}}=3$

In this section, we exclude the case $\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{K_{Y}}=3$. This case corresponds to surfaces of type III in [3]. We exclude this case by using the action of the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(Y / X)$.

First, note that the canonical system $\left|K_{Y}\right|$ is free from base points by Proposition 3. The canonical image $Z=\Phi_{K_{Y}}(Y)$ is a surface of minimal degree in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. Thus, as in the previous section, the surface $Z$ is either an image of the Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{3}$ by $\left|\Delta_{0}+3 \Gamma\right|$, or the Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{1}$ embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ by $\left|\Delta_{0}+2 \Gamma\right|$. For a proof of the follwing lemma, see [3, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 4.1 (Konno). The canonical image $Z$ of $Y$ is an image of the Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{3}$ by $\left|\Delta_{0}+3 \Gamma\right|$.

Thus we have only to exclude the case in which $Z$ is an image of the Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{3}$ by $\left|\Delta_{0}+3 \Gamma\right|$. In this case, $Z$ is a cone over a twisted cubic curve. We denote by $p_{0}$ the vertex of the cone $Z$. Let $\Lambda_{0}$ be a linear system consisting of the pull-back by $\Phi_{K_{Y}}$ of all hyperplanes passing through $p_{0}$. We denote by $\Lambda$ and $F$ the variable part and the fixed part of $\Lambda_{0}$, respectively. As in the proof of exclusion of Case $3-1$, we see that $\Lambda$ and $F$ are both spanned by the pull-back of divisors on $X$. Moreover, by the proof of Lemma 3.2, we see that there exists a linear pencil $|D|=\left|D_{1}\right|=\left|D_{2}\right|$ without fixed components
such that $\Lambda$ is spanned by four divisors $3 D_{1}, 2 D_{1}+D_{2}, D_{1}+2 D_{2}$ and $3 D_{2}$. We denote by $b$ the number of base points of $|D|$. Note that the linear system $\Lambda$ also has exactly $b$ base points. By the proof of [3, Lemma 2.2], we have $D^{2}=1$. We obtain $b=1$ by this equality. However, since $\Lambda$ is spanned by the pull-back of divisors on $X$, the number of the base points of $\Lambda$ must be a multiple of 3 , which contradicts the equality $b=1$. Thus the case $\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{K_{Y}}=3$ is impossible. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 5. The number of moduli

Let $X$ be a surface as in Theorem 1 such that a canonical divisor $K_{X}$ is ample. We give a proof of Theorem 2 in this section. Namely we show that $h^{1}\left(\Theta_{X}\right)=14$ and $h^{2}\left(\Theta_{X}\right)=0$, where $\Theta_{X}$ is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic vector field on $X$. This means that the number of moduli of $X$ is 14 . In what follows, we assume ampleness of a canonical divisor $K_{X}$.

Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be the universal cover of the surface $X$. By the Riemann-Roch-Hirzebruch theorem, we have

$$
h^{1}\left(\Theta_{X}\right)=10 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)-2 c_{1}^{2}(X)+h^{2}\left(\Theta_{X}\right)=14+h^{2}\left(\Theta_{X}\right) .
$$

The equality $h^{0}\left(\Theta_{X}\right)=0$ holds, since $X$ is of general type. On the other hand, we have

$$
h^{2}\left(\Theta_{X}\right)=h^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\right) \leq h^{0}\left(\Omega_{Y}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}} \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(K_{Y}\right)\right)=h^{2}\left(\Theta_{Y}\right),
$$

where $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ and $\Omega_{Y}^{1}$ are the sheaves of germs of holomorphic 1-forms on $X$ and $Y$, respectively. Thus in order to prove Theorem 2, we have only to show that $h^{2}\left(\Theta_{Y}\right)=0$.

Lemma 5.1. The surface $Y$ satisfies $h^{2}\left(\Theta_{Y}\right)=0$ on the assumption given in Theorem 2.

Proof. The morphism $\pi$ is of degree three. Since a canonical divisor $K_{X}$ is ample, the universal cover $Y$ has no $(-2)$-curves. Thus $Y$ is a smooth complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ of type $(3,3)$ by Theorem 1 . Let

$$
\iota: Y \rightarrow W=\mathbb{P}^{4}
$$

be the inclusion morphism as in Theorem 1. We denote by $\mathcal{J}$ the sheaf of ideals on $W$ defining $Y$. We have natural exact sequences

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0 \rightarrow \Theta_{Y} \rightarrow \iota^{*} \Theta_{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}(3 H)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow 0 \\
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{W}} \Theta_{W} \rightarrow \Theta_{W} \rightarrow \iota^{*} \Theta_{W} \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
$$

of sheaves, where $H$ is a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. By these exact sequences of sheaves, we obtain isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}\left(\Theta_{Y}\right) \simeq H^{2}\left(\iota^{*} \Theta_{W}\right) \simeq H^{3}\left(\mathcal{J} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{W}} \Theta_{W}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have only to prove that $H^{3}\left(\mathcal{J} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{W}} \Theta_{W}\right)=0$. Meanwhile by short exact sequences of sheaves

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W}(-6 H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W}(-3 H)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \\
& \rightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W}(-6 H) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{W}} \Theta_{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W}(-3 H)^{\oplus 2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{W}} \Theta_{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{W}} \Theta_{W} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain an exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$
H^{3}\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}(-3 H) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{W}} \Theta_{W}\right)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow H^{3}\left(\mathcal{J} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{W}} \Theta_{W}\right) \rightarrow H^{4}\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}(-6 H) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{W}} \Theta_{W}\right)
$$

By the Riemann-Roch theorem we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{3}\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}(-3 H) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{W}} \Theta_{W}\right) & =h^{1}\left(\Omega_{W}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{W}} \mathcal{O}_{W}(-2 H)\right), \\
h^{4}\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}(-6 H) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{W}} \Theta_{W}\right) & =h^{0}\left(\Omega_{W}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{W}} \mathcal{O}_{W}(H)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the equality $H^{3}\left(\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{W} \Theta_{W}\right)=0$ follows from the well-known theorem given below (Theorem 3). This equality together with isomorphisms (12) gives the assertion, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 3 (Bott [15]). Let $\Omega^{p}$ be the sheaf of germs of holomorphic $p$-forms on the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. Then the dimension $h^{q}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \Omega^{p}\right)$ is zero except in the following three cases: i) $p=q$ and $d=0$, ii) $q=0$ and $p<d$, iii) $q=n$ and $d<p-n$.

Remark 2. We remark that there are no minimal algebraic surfaces $X$ 's with $c_{1}^{2}=3, p_{g}=1, q=0$ and $\operatorname{Tors}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 5$. Assume that we had a minimal algebraic surface $X$ with such invariants. Then we would have an unramified Galois cover $Y \rightarrow X$ of degree 5 corresponding to the torsion group. Then $Y$ is a minimal algebraic surface with $K_{Y}^{2}=2 p_{g}(Y)-3, p_{g}(Y)=9$. However, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 4 (Horikawa [7], Section 1). Let $Y$ be a minimal algebraic surface of general type with $K_{Y}^{2}=2 p_{g}(Y)-3$. If $p_{g}(Y) \geq 5$, then the canonical linear system $\left|K_{Y}\right|$ has a unique base point.

By this theorem, we see that the canonical system $\left|K_{Y}\right|$ of our surface $Y$ has a unique base point, and that this base point is a fixed point of any automorphisms of $Y$. This contradicts the assumption that $Y \rightarrow X$ is an unramified Galois cover of degree 5. Thus there are no minimal algebraic surfaces $X$ 's with $c_{1}^{2}=3, p_{g}=1, q=0$ and $\operatorname{Tors}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 5$.
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