

# Homotopy normality of Lie groups and the adjoint action

By

Akira KONO and Osamu NISHIMURA\*

## Abstract

Homotopy normality of Lie groups is studied by using the adjoint action on the space of based loops.

## 1. Introduction

The notion of homotopy normality of topological groups was introduced by James and McCarty in the different ways. (See [13] and [22].) The concept of homotopy normality is closely related to that of Samelson products of homotopy groups. In fact, if  $H$  is homotopy normal in  $G$  in the sense of McCarty where  $(G, H)$  is a pair of topological groups, then all mixed Samelson products from  $\pi_k(H) \times \pi_l(G, H)$  to  $\pi_{k+l}(G, H)$  vanish and  $H$  is homotopy normal in  $G$  in the sense of James. If  $H$  is homotopy normal in  $G$  in the sense of James, then all Samelson products from  $i_*\pi_k(H) \times \pi_l(G)$  to  $\pi_{k+l}(G)$  lie in the image of  $i_*$  where  $i: H \hookrightarrow G$  is the inclusion and  $i_*: \pi_*(H) \rightarrow \pi_*(G)$  is induced from  $i$ . Note that we may consider homotopy normality not only of a pair of topological groups but also of a homomorphism of topological groups. Moreover, for a prime  $p$ , we may consider mod  $p$  homotopy normality by localizing at  $p$ .

The purpose of this paper is to study mod  $p$  homotopy normality of homomorphisms of Lie groups by a new method. In [6], Furukawa used the Hopf algebra structure of the mod  $p$  cohomology of a Lie group  $G$  to study homotopy normality of a Lie group  $H$  in  $G$ . We modify his method and use the mod  $p$  homology map of the adjoint action of  $G$  on  $\Omega G$ , the space of based loops on  $G$ , and the Hopf algebra structure of the mod  $p$  homology of  $\Omega G$ . (See [17], [7], [8], [9], [10], and [11].) We can prove some new results (see Theorem 5.1 and Section 6) and we can reprove many of the results of [4], [5], [6], [19], and [20] concerning mod  $p$  homotopy normality of homomorphisms of Lie groups. Note that Kudou and Yagita in [19] and [20] used the Morava  $K$ -theory of homology and cohomology but we only use the ordinary theory.

---

Received October 13, 2002

Revised May 15, 2003

\*Partially supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

## 2. Method

Let  $f: H \rightarrow G$  be a homomorphism of topological groups and  $p$  a prime. In the following, assume that all spaces and maps have been localized at  $p$ . Recall that the self adjoint action  $\text{ad}: G \times G \rightarrow G$  of  $G$  is defined by  $\text{ad}(g_1, g_2) = g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}$  and the commutator map  $\text{co}: G \times G \rightarrow G$  of  $G$  by  $\text{co}(g_1, g_2) = g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1}$  for  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ . We define that  $f$  is *mod  $p$  homotopy normal* (mod  $p$  homotopy normal in the sense of James) if there exist a homotopy  $\gamma_t: G \wedge H \rightarrow G$  and a continuous map  $\gamma': G \wedge H \rightarrow H$  such that  $\gamma_1 = f \circ \gamma'$  and  $\gamma_0(g \wedge h) = \text{co}(g, f(h))$  for  $g \in G$  and  $h \in H$ . (See [13], [6], and [21]. Let denote  $\pi(g, h)$  by  $g \wedge h$  for the natural projection  $\pi: G \times H \rightarrow G \wedge H$ .) It is easy to see that if  $f$  is mod  $p$  homotopy normal, then we have a homotopy  $\nu_t: G \times H \rightarrow G$  and a continuous map  $\nu': G \times H \rightarrow H$  such that  $\nu_1 = f \circ \nu'$  and  $\nu_0(g, h) = \text{ad}(g, f(h))$  for  $g \in G$  and  $h \in H$ .

Denote the mod  $p$  (ordinary) homology and cohomology by  $H_*(\ )$  and  $H^*(\ )$  respectively. If  $f: H \rightarrow G$  is mod  $p$  homotopy normal, then we have the following commutative diagram.

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^*(G) \otimes H^*(H) & \xleftarrow{1 \otimes f^*} & H^*(G) \otimes H^*(G) & \xleftarrow{\text{ad}^* \text{ (resp. co}^*)} & H^*(G) \\ & & & & \downarrow f^* \\ & & & & H^*(H) \\ & \nwarrow (\nu')^* \text{ (resp. } (\gamma' \circ \pi)^*) & & & \end{array}$$

Thus, if we can find an element  $x \in H^*(G)$  such that  $f^*(x) = 0$  and  $(1 \otimes f^*) \circ \text{ad}^*(x) \neq 0$ , then we know that  $f$  is not mod  $p$  homotopy normal. Note that we can compute  $\text{ad}^*$  using the Hopf algebra structure of  $H^*(G)$ . In [6], Furukawa used this argument to show non (mod  $p$ ) homotopy normality in various cases.

We modify his argument as follows. Assume that  $f: H \rightarrow G$  is mod  $p$  homotopy normal. Then, we have a homotopy  $N_t: G \times \Omega H \rightarrow \Omega G$  and a continuous map  $N': G \times \Omega H \rightarrow \Omega H$  defined by  $N_t(g, l)(s) = \nu_t(g, l(s))$  and  $N'(g, l)(s) = \nu'(g, l(s))$ , respectively, for  $g \in G$ ,  $l \in \Omega H$ , and  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Similarly, we have a homotopy  $\Gamma_t: \Omega G \times H \rightarrow \Omega G$  and a continuous map  $\Gamma': \Omega G \times H \rightarrow \Omega H$  defined by  $\Gamma_t(l, h)(s) = \gamma_t(l(s) \wedge h)$  and  $\Gamma'(l, h)(s) = \gamma'(l(s) \wedge h)$ , respectively, for  $l \in \Omega G$ ,  $h \in H$ , and  $s \in [0, 1]$ .

Define the adjoint action  $\text{Ad}: G \times \Omega G \rightarrow \Omega G$  of  $G$  on  $\Omega G$  by  $\text{Ad}(g, l)(s) = \text{ad}(g, l(s))$  and the commutator map  $\text{Co}: \Omega G \times G \rightarrow \Omega G$  of  $G$  with  $\Omega G$  by  $\text{Co}(l, g)(s) = \text{co}(l(s), g)$  for  $g \in G$ ,  $l \in \Omega G$ , and  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Given a Hopf algebra  $A$ , let  $\text{PA}$  and  $\text{QA}$  denote the primitives and the indecomposables respectively. Then, we have the following commutative diagrams.

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} H_*(G) \otimes H_*(\Omega H) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes (\Omega f)_*} & H_*(G) \otimes H_*(\Omega G) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}_*} & H_*(\Omega G) & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{QH}_*(\Omega G) \\ & \searrow N'_* & & & \uparrow (\Omega f)_* & & \uparrow \text{Q}(\Omega f)_* \\ & & & & H_*(\Omega H) & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{QH}_*(\Omega H) \end{array}$$

(2.3)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H_*(\Omega G) \otimes H_*(H) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f_*} & H_*(\Omega G) \otimes H_*(G) & \xrightarrow{\text{Co}_*} & H_*(\Omega G) & \twoheadrightarrow & QH_*(\Omega G) \\
& & & & \uparrow (\Omega f)_* & & \uparrow Q(\Omega f)_* \\
& \searrow \Gamma'_* & & & H_*(\Omega H) & \twoheadrightarrow & QH_*(\Omega H)
\end{array}$$

Note that since

$$\text{Co} = m \circ (1 \times \text{Ad}) \circ (1 \times 1 \times \iota) \circ (1 \times \text{tw}) \circ (\Delta \times 1),$$

where  $\Delta: \Omega G \rightarrow \Omega G \times \Omega G$  is the diagonal map,  $\text{tw}: \Omega G \times G \rightarrow G \times \Omega G$  is the twisting map,  $\iota: \Omega G \rightarrow \Omega G$  is the inverse map, and  $m: \Omega G \times \Omega G \rightarrow \Omega G$  is the multiplication map, we can compute  $\text{Co}_*$  using  $\text{Ad}_*$  and the Hopf algebra structure of  $H_*(\Omega G)$ . In particular, we can easily see that

$$\text{Co}_*(t \otimes x) = (-1)^{|t||x|+1} \text{Ad}_*(x \otimes t)$$

for  $t \in PH_*(\Omega G)$  and  $x \in H_*(G)$  with  $|x| > 0$ .

Similarly we define that  $f: H \rightarrow G$  is *strongly mod  $p$  homotopy normal* (mod  $p$  homotopy normal in the sense of McCarty) if  $f$  is mod  $p$  homotopy normal and if there exists a homotopy  $\xi_t: H \wedge H \rightarrow H$  such that  $\gamma_t \circ (f \wedge 1) = f \circ \xi_t$ . (See [22].) Then, if  $f$  is strongly mod  $p$  homotopy normal and if  $f$  is monomorphic, we have the following commutative diagrams.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(2.4) & H^*(G) \otimes H^*(H) & \xleftarrow{1 \otimes f^*} & H^*(G) \otimes H^*(G) & \xleftarrow{\text{ad}^* (\text{resp. } \text{co}^*)} & H^*(G) \\
& \downarrow f^* \otimes 1 & & \searrow (\nu')^* (\text{resp. } (\gamma' \circ \pi)^*) & & \downarrow f^* \\
& H^*(H) \otimes H^*(H) & \xleftarrow{\text{ad}^* (\text{resp. } \text{co}^*)} & & & H^*(H)
\end{array}$$

(2.5)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H_*(G) \otimes H_*(\Omega H) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes (\Omega f)_*} & H_*(G) \otimes H_*(\Omega G) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}_*} & H_*(\Omega G) & \twoheadrightarrow & QH_*(\Omega G) \\
\uparrow f_* \otimes 1 & & & & \uparrow (\Omega f)_* & & \uparrow Q(\Omega f)_* \\
H_*(H) \otimes H_*(\Omega H) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}_*} & H_*(\Omega H) & \twoheadrightarrow & QH_*(\Omega H)
\end{array}$$

(2.6)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H_*(\Omega G) \otimes H_*(H) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f_*} & H_*(\Omega G) \otimes H_*(G) & \xrightarrow{\text{Co}_*} & H_*(\Omega G) & \twoheadrightarrow & QH_*(\Omega G) \\
\uparrow (\Omega f)_* \otimes 1 & & & & \uparrow (\Omega f)_* & & \uparrow Q(\Omega f)_* \\
H_*(\Omega H) \otimes H_*(H) & \xrightarrow{\text{Co}_*} & H_*(\Omega H) & \twoheadrightarrow & QH_*(\Omega H)
\end{array}$$

We can use (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6) to show non (strong) mod  $p$  homotopy normality in various cases as Furukawa used (2.1).

The following lemma is easily proved.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f: H \rightarrow G$  be a homomorphism of topological groups where  $H$  is connected and  $G$  is 1-connected. Let  $q: H' \rightarrow H$  be the universal covering over  $H$  and set  $f' = f \circ q: H' \rightarrow G$ , which is also a homomorphism of topological groups. Then, if  $f$  is mod  $p$  homotopy normal, so is  $f'$ .*

### 3. Homomorphisms of Lie groups and regular sequences

For a compact, connected Lie group  $K$  and a prime  $p$ , let  $TH^*(K) = TH^*(K; \mathbb{F}_p)$  denote the set of the transgressive elements in the mod  $p$  cohomology Serre spectral sequence associated with the fibering  $K \rightarrow K/T \rightarrow BT$  where  $T$  is a maximal torus of  $K$ . (See Toda [26] and Ishitoya-Kono-Toda [12].) Denote by  $\tau$  the transgression of such a spectral sequence. Recall that  $TH^*(K)$  is invariant under  $\mathcal{A}_p$ , the mod  $p$  Steenrod algebra, and that for a homomorphism  $k: K_1 \rightarrow K_2$  between compact, connected Lie groups, we have  $k^*(TH^*(K_2)) \subset TH^*(K_1)$ . Moreover, recall that we may assume that all algebra generators of  $H^*(K)$  lie in  $TH^*(K)$ . Set  $\wp^j = \text{Sq}^{2j}$  if  $p = 2$ .

#### Proposition 3.1.

(1) *Let  $i: H \hookrightarrow G$  be a pair of compact, connected Lie groups and  $p$  a prime such that  $\pi_1(H)_{(p)} = \pi_1(G)_{(p)} = 0$ . Suppose that there exists an element  $x_3 \in H^3(G)$  such that  $\wp^{p^{m-1}} \cdots \wp^p \wp^1 x_3 \neq 0$ . Further suppose that  $\text{rank } G - \text{rank } H \leq m$ . Then,  $i^*(x_3) \neq 0$ .*

(2) *Let  $H$  and  $G$  be compact, connected Lie groups,  $p$  a prime, and  $C_p = \mathbb{Z}/p$  a central subgroup of  $H$  such that  $\pi_1(H)_{(p)} = \pi_1(G)_{(p)} = 0$  and that  $i: H/C_p \hookrightarrow G$  is a Lie pair. Suppose that there exists an element  $x_3 \in H^3(G)$  such that  $\wp^{p^{m-1}} \cdots \wp^p \wp^1 x_3 \neq 0$ . Further suppose that  $\text{rank } G - \text{rank } H < m$ . Then,  $q^* \circ i^*(x_3) \neq 0$  where  $q: H \rightarrow H/C_p$  is the projection.*

*Proof.* (1) Put  $n = \text{rank } G$  and  $n' = \text{rank } H$ . Pick a maximal torus  $T^n$  of  $G$  which contains a maximal torus  $T^{n'}$  of  $H$ . Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} G & \longrightarrow & G/T^n & \longrightarrow & BT^n \\ \uparrow i & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \Phi \\ H & \longrightarrow & H/T^{n'} & \longrightarrow & BT^{n'} \end{array},$$

where the horizontal sequences are fiberings and the vertical maps are natural ones. We have  $H^*(BT^n) = \mathbb{F}_p[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n]$  as an algebra where  $|T_j| = 2$ . We may assume that  $\text{Ker } \Phi^* = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{n-n'})$ . Pick a basis  $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of  $TH^{\text{odd}}(G)$  such that  $|a_j| \leq |a_{j+1}|$  for any  $j$ . For each  $j$ , pick a representative  $b_j \in H^*(BT^n)$  of  $\tau(a_j)$ . Then, according to Toda [26],  $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^n$  is a regular sequence in  $H^*(BT^n)$ . By the hypothesis, we may assume that it contains a subsequence  $\{b_1, \wp^1 b_1, \dots, \wp^{p^{m-1}} \cdots \wp^1 b_1\}$  where  $b_1$  is a representative of  $\tau(x_3)$ . Now, suppose that  $i^*(x_3) = 0$ . By naturality of the transgressions in the diagram (3.1) and by  $\pi_1(H)_{(p)} = 0$ , this implies that  $\Phi^*(b_1) = 0$ . It follows

that the regular sequence  $\{b_1, \wp^1 b_1, \dots, \wp^{p^{m-1}} \dots \wp^1 b_1\}$  is in the ideal  $\text{Ker } \Phi^* = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{n-n'})$ . Since  $n - n' \leq m$ , this is a contradiction. (See Baum [2] and Quillen [25].) Hence we have  $i^*(x_3) \neq 0$ .

(2) Similarly, put  $n = \text{rank } G$  and  $n' = \text{rank } H = \text{rank } H/C_p$ . Pick a maximal torus  $T^n$  of  $G$  which contains a maximal torus  $T^{n'}$  of  $H/C_p$ . Put  $\tilde{T}^{n'} = q^{-1}(T^{n'})$  which is a maximal torus of  $H$ . Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G & \longrightarrow & G/T^n & \longrightarrow & BT^n \\ \uparrow i \circ q & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \Phi \\ H & \longrightarrow & H/\tilde{T}^{n'} & \longrightarrow & B\tilde{T}^{n'} \end{array},$$

where the horizontal sequences are fiberings and the vertical maps are natural ones. We have  $H^*(BT^n) = \mathbb{F}_p[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n]$  as an algebra where  $|T_j| = 2$ . The map  $\Phi$  is decomposable into the natural maps  $B\tilde{T}^{n'} \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} BT^{n'} \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} BT^n$  and we may assume that  $\text{Ker } \Phi_2^* = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{n-n'})$  and that  $\text{Ker } \Phi_1^*$  is generated by one generator. Hence we may assume that  $\text{Ker } \Phi^* = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{n-n'+1})$ . Then, the same argument as that in (1) can be applied to the map  $i \circ q: H \rightarrow G$  and hence we have  $q^* \circ i^*(x_3) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** We can prove results such as Proposition 3.1 also by using Lie algebras and root systems of Lie groups. (See Mimura-Toda [24].)

#### 4. Furukawa's method

In this section, we formulate Furukawa's method [6] in a particular case. We refer to it in Section 5. The proof is omitted.

**Theorem 4.1.** Set  $p = 3$ . Let  $f: H \rightarrow E_8$  be a homomorphism of Lie groups where  $H$  is compact and connected. If one of

- (1)  $\wp^1 f^* H^3(E_8) \neq 0$  and  $\text{TH}^{27}(H) = 0$ ,
- (2)  $\wp^3 \wp^1 f^* H^3(E_8) \neq 0$  and  $\text{TH}^{39}(H) = 0$

is satisfied, then  $f$  is not mod 3 homotopy normal.

#### 5. Homotopy normality of maximal subgroups of maximal rank

In this section, we prove the following theorem. (For the detail of maximal subgroups of maximal rank, see Borel-Siebenthal [3] and Mimura-Toda [24].)

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $p$  be a prime and  $G$  a compact, 1-connected, simple, exceptional Lie group which has integral  $p$ -torsion. Let  $H \xrightarrow{f} G$  be a maximal subgroup of maximal rank. Then,  $H$  is not mod  $p$  homotopy normal in  $G$  if  $(G, H, p) \neq (F_4, \text{Spin}(9), 2)$  and  $\text{Spin}(9)$  is not strongly mod 2 homotopy normal in  $F_4$ .

**Remark 2.** The last assertion was proved first in Furukawa [4].

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.1. Let generators of  $H^*(E_8; \mathbb{F}_3)$  be as in Kono-Mimura [18]. (We use the symbol  $x_j$  instead of  $e_j$  in [18].)

First consider the case  $(G, H, p) = (E_8, \text{Ss}(16), 3)$ . By Proposition 3.1.1, we have  $f^*(x_3) \neq 0$ . Hence we have  $f^*(x_{19}) = \wp^3 \wp^1 f^*(x_3) \neq 0$ . By  $\text{TH}^{39}(\text{Ss}(16)) = 0$  and Theorem 4.1, the assertion follows. Similarly, we can show the assertion for the following cases:

$$(E_8, H, 5), H \neq \frac{\text{SU}(5) \times \text{SU}(5)}{\mathbf{Z}/5}, \left(E_8, \frac{\text{SU}(5) \times \text{SU}(5)}{\mathbf{Z}/5}, 3\right), \left(E_8, \frac{\text{SU}(9)}{\mathbf{Z}/3}, 2\right), \\ \left(E_8, \frac{\text{SU}(5) \times \text{SU}(5)}{\mathbf{Z}/5}, 2\right), \left(E_8, \frac{E_6 \times \text{SU}(3)}{\mathbf{Z}/3}, 2\right).$$

Next, consider the case  $(G, H, p) = (E_8, (\text{SU}(9))/(\mathbf{Z}/3), 3)$ . Let  $q: \text{SU}(9) \rightarrow (\text{SU}(9))/(\mathbf{Z}/3)$  be the universal covering. By Proposition 3.1.2, we have  $q^* \circ f^*(x_3) \neq 0$ . Hence we have  $q^* \circ f^*(x_7) = \wp^1 q^* \circ f^*(x_3) \neq 0$ . By  $\text{TH}^{27}(\text{SU}(9)) = 0$  and Theorem 4.1,  $f \circ q$  is not mod 3 homotopy normal. By Lemma 2.1, the assertion follows. Similarly, we can show the assertion for the following cases:

$$\left(E_8, \frac{\text{SU}(5) \times \text{SU}(5)}{\mathbf{Z}/5}, 5\right), \left(E_6, \frac{\text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(3)}{\mathbf{Z}/3}, 3\right), \\ \left(F_4, \frac{\text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(3)}{\mathbf{Z}/3}, 3\right), (E_8, \text{Ss}(16), 2), \left(E_7, \frac{\text{SU}(8)}{\mathbf{Z}/2}, 2\right).$$

Next, consider the case  $(G, H, p) = (E_8, (E_6 \times \text{SU}(3))/(\mathbf{Z}/3), 3)$ . Let  $q: E_6 \times \text{SU}(3) \rightarrow (E_6 \times \text{SU}(3))/(\mathbf{Z}/3)$  be the universal covering and  $i: E_6 \hookrightarrow E_6 \times \text{SU}(3)$  the natural inclusion. Then, applying Proposition 3.1.1 to the inclusion  $f \circ q \circ i: E_6 \hookrightarrow E_8$ , we have  $i^* \circ q^* \circ f^*(x_3) \neq 0$ . Hence we have  $i^* \circ q^* \circ f^*(x_7) = \wp^1 i^* \circ q^* \circ f^*(x_3) \neq 0$ . It follows that  $q^* \circ f^*(x_7) \neq 0$ . By  $\text{TH}^{27}(E_6 \times \text{SU}(3)) = 0$  and Theorem 4.1,  $f \circ q$  is not mod 3 homotopy normal. By Lemma 2.1, the assertion follows. Similarly, we can show the assertion for the following cases:

$$\left(E_8, \frac{E_7 \times \text{SU}(2)}{\mathbf{Z}/2}, 3\right), \left(E_7, \frac{\text{Spin}(12) \times \text{SU}(2)}{\mathbf{Z}/2}, 3\right), \left(E_7, \frac{\text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(6)}{\mathbf{Z}/3}, 3\right), \\ \left(E_7, \frac{E_6 \times T}{\mathbf{Z}/3}, 3\right), \left(E_6, \frac{\text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(6)}{\mathbf{Z}/2}, 3\right), \left(F_4, \frac{\text{Sp}(3) \times \text{Sp}(1)}{\mathbf{Z}/2}, 3\right), \\ \left(E_8, \frac{E_7 \times \text{SU}(2)}{\mathbf{Z}/2}, 2\right), \left(E_7, \frac{\text{Spin}(12) \times \text{SU}(2)}{\mathbf{Z}/2}, 2\right), \left(E_7, \frac{\text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(6)}{\mathbf{Z}/3}, 2\right), \\ \left(E_7, \frac{E_6 \times T}{\mathbf{Z}/3}, 2\right), \left(E_6, \frac{\text{Spin}(10) \times T}{\mathbf{Z}/4}, 2\right), \left(E_6, \frac{\text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(6)}{\mathbf{Z}/2}, 2\right).$$

For the cases  $(G, H, p) = (E_7, (\text{SU}(8))/(\mathbf{Z}/2), 3)$ ,  $(E_6, (\text{Spin}(10) \times T)/(\mathbf{Z}/4), 3)$ , and  $(F_4, \text{Spin}(9), 3)$ , the assertion follows from the following theorem with

the technique as above. Given a Hopf algebra  $A$ , let  $\bar{x} \in QA$  denote the indecomposable class of an element  $x \in A$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** *Set  $p = 3$ . Let  $f: H \rightarrow G$  be a homomorphism of Lie groups where  $H$  is compact and connected,  $\pi_1(H)_{(3)} = 0$ , and  $G = F_4, E_6$ , or  $E_7$ . If  $\wp^1 f^* H^3(G) \neq 0$ , if  $H_*(H; \mathbb{Z})$  is 3-torsion free, and if  $QH^{23}(H; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ , then  $f$  is not mod 3 homotopy normal.*

*Proof.* Assume that we have the diagram (2.2) where  $G$  and  $H$  are as above. (Of course, localize all spaces and maps at 3.) Let generators of  $H_*(\Omega G)$  be as in Hamanaka-Hara [10]. Also let  $y_8 \in H_8(G)$  be as in [10]. We can pick  $t'_6 \in H_6(\Omega H)$  such that  $(\Omega f)_*(t'_6) = t_6 \in H_6(\Omega G)$ . Then, by [10], we have

$$\overline{\text{Ad}_* \circ (1 \otimes (\Omega f)_*)(y_8^2 \otimes t'_6)} = \overline{y_8^2 * t_6} = \bar{t}_{22}.$$

By the hypothesis, we have  $QH_{22}(\Omega H) = 0$ . This is a contradiction and the theorem follows.  $\square$

Similarly, for the cases  $(G, H, p) = (E_6, (\text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(3))/(\mathbb{Z}/3), 2)$ ,  $(F_4, (\text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(3))/(\mathbb{Z}/3), 2)$ , and  $(G_2, \text{SU}(3), 2)$ , we can show the assertion by considering the relation  $y_6 * \bar{b}_4 = \bar{b}_{10}$  in  $QH_*(\Omega G) = QH_*(\Omega G; \mathbb{F}_2)$  where  $G = E_6, F_4$ , or  $G_2$ . (For the notation, see Hamanaka [7].)

Next, consider the case  $(G, H, p) = (F_4, (\text{Sp}(3) \times \text{Sp}(1))/(\mathbb{Z}/2), 2)$ . Let  $q: \text{Sp}(3) \times \text{Sp}(1) \rightarrow (\text{Sp}(3) \times \text{Sp}(1))/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$  be the universal covering. Then, we can apply a method similar to the above to the map  $f \circ q: \text{Sp}(3) \times \text{Sp}(1) \rightarrow F_4$  by considering the relation  $y_6 * b_2 = b_4^2$  in  $H_*(\Omega F_4)$ . (For the notation, see Hamanaka [7].) Thus,  $f \circ q$  is not mod 2 homotopy normal. By Lemma 2.1, the assertion follows. The case  $(G_2, \text{SO}(4), 2)$  is similar.

Finally, we prove that  $\text{Spin}(9)$  is not strongly mod 2 homotopy normal in  $F_4$ . We use the result of Hamanaka [9]. Set  $p = 2$ . Let  $b_j, b'_j \in H_*(\Omega \text{Spin}(9))$  be as in [9]. Put  $z_2 = b_1, z_4 = b_2, z_6 = b_3 + b_2 b_1, z_{10} = b'_5 + b'_4 b_1$ , and  $z_{14} = b'_7 + b'_6 b_1 + b'_5 b_2 + b'_4 b_3$ . Then, we have

$$H_*(\Omega \text{Spin}(9)) = \wedge(z_2) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[z_4, z_6, z_{10}, z_{14}]$$

as an algebra where  $|z_j| = j$  and  $z_j$  is primitive if  $j \neq 4$ . Let  $y_6 \in H_*(\text{Spin}(9))$  be as in [9]. Then, we have

$$\text{Co}_*(z_6 \otimes y_6) = y_6 * z_6 = z_6^2.$$

We can easily see that  $(\Omega f)_*(z_6) = 0$ . (For the detail of  $H_*(\Omega F_4)$ , see Kono-Kozima [16].) Then, we can prove the assertion by using the diagram (2.6).

## 6. Other applications

We close this paper by showing some more applications.

Furukawa in [5] showed that the natural inclusion  $G_2 \hookrightarrow F_4$  is not strongly mod 2 homotopy normal by calculating Samelson products of homotopy groups.

Then, Kudou and Yagita in [20] showed that  $G_2 \hookrightarrow F_4$  is not mod 2 homotopy normal by using the Morava  $K$ -theory. We can reprove this result by using the relation

$$\mathrm{Co}_*(b_{22} \otimes y_6) = y_6 * b_{22} = b_{14}^2$$

in  $H_*(\Omega F_4) = H_*(\Omega F_4; \mathbb{F}_2)$  and the diagram (2.3). (For the notation, see Hamanaka [7].)

Kudou and Yagita in [19] asked whether the natural inclusions  $G_2 \hookrightarrow F_4$  and  $\mathrm{Spin}(9) \hookrightarrow F_4$  are mod 3 homotopy normal or not. We showed in Theorem 5.1 that the latter is not mod 3 homotopy normal. We can show that the former is also not mod 3 homotopy normal. In fact, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Set  $p = 3$ . Let  $f: H \rightarrow G$  be a homomorphism of Lie groups where  $H$  is compact and connected,  $\pi_1(H)_{(3)} = 0$ , and  $G = F_4, E_6$ , or  $E_7$ . If  $f^*H^3(G) \neq 0$ , if  $H_*(H; \mathbb{Z})$  is 3-torsion free, and if  $\mathrm{QH}^7(H; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{QH}^{19}(H; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ , then  $f$  is not mod 3 homotopy normal.*

We can prove this theorem by using the relation  $y_8^2 * t_2 = \pm t_6^3$  in  $H_*(\Omega G)$  and the diagram (2.2). (For the notation, see Hamanaka-Hara [10].) Also we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Set  $p = 3$ . Let  $f: H \rightarrow E_8$  be a homomorphism of Lie groups where  $H$  is compact and connected, and  $\pi_1(H)_{(3)} = 0$ . If  $f^*H^3(E_8) \neq 0$ , if  $H_*(H; \mathbb{Z})$  is 3-torsion free, and if  $\mathrm{QH}^{23}(H; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ , then  $f$  is not mod 3 homotopy normal.*

We can prove this theorem by using the relation  $y_{20} * t_2 = \pm t_{22}$  in  $H_*(\Omega E_8)$  and the diagram (2.2). (For the notation, see [10].) For example,  $\mathrm{SU}(2), \mathrm{SU}(3), \mathrm{Spin}(4)$ , and  $G_2$  are not mod 3 homotopy normal in  $E_8$ .

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KYOTO UNIVERSITY  
KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN  
e-mail: kono@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KYOTO UNIVERSITY  
KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN  
e-mail: osamu@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

## References

- [1] S. Araki, *Differential Hopf algebras and the cohomology mod 3 of the compact exceptional groups  $E_7$  and  $E_8$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **73** (1961), 404–436.
- [2] P. F. Baum, *On the cohomology of homogeneous spaces*, Topology **7** (1968), 15–38.



- [3] A. Borel and J. de Siebenthal, *Les sous groupes fermés connexes de rang maximum des groupes de Lie clos*, Comment. Math. Helv. **23** (1949), 200–221.
- [4] Y. Furukawa, *Homotopy-normality of Lie groups*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **36**-141 (1985), 53–56.
- [5] ———, *Homotopy-normality of Lie groups II*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **38**-150 (1987), 185–188.
- [6] ———, *Homotopy-normality of Lie groups III*, Hiroshima Math. J. **25**-1 (1995), 83–96.
- [7] H. Hamanaka, *Homology ring mod 2 of free loop groups of exceptional Lie groups*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **36**-4 (1996), 669–686.
- [8] ———, *Adjoint action on homology mod 2 of  $E_8$  on its loop space*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **36**-4 (1996), 779–787.
- [9] ———, *Homology ring mod 2 of free loop groups of spinor groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **146** (2000), 267–282.
- [10] H. Hamanaka and S. Hara, *The mod 3 homology of the space of loops on the exceptional Lie groups and the adjoint action*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **37**-3 (1997), 441–453.
- [11] H. Hamanaka, S. Hara and A. Kono, *Adjoint actions on the modulo 5 homology groups of  $E_8$  and  $\Omega E_8$* , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **37**-1 (1997), 169–176.
- [12] K. Ishitoya, A. Kono, and H. Toda, *Hopf algebra structures of mod 2 cohomology of simple Lie groups*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. **12** (1976), 141–167.
- [13] I. M. James, *On the homotopy theory of the classical groups*, An. Acad. Brasil. Ciens. **39** (1967), 39–44.
- [14] ———, *Products between homotopy groups*, Compositio Math. **23** (1971), 329–345.
- [15] ———, *The topology of Stiefel manifolds*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **24**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York-Melbourne, 1976.
- [16] A. Kono and K. Kozima, *The mod 2 homology of the space of loops on the exceptional Lie groups*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **112** (1989), 187–202.
- [17] ———, *The adjoint action of a Lie group on the space of loops*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **45**-3 (1993), 495–510.

- [18] A. Kono and M. Mimura, *On the cohomology operations and the Hopf algebra structures of the compact exceptional Lie groups  $E_7$  and  $E_8$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. **35** (1977), 345–358.
- [19] K. Kudou and N. Yagita, *Modulo odd prime homotopy normality for  $H$ -spaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **38**-4 (1998), 643–651.
- [20] ———, *Highly homotopy non-commutativity of Lie groups with 2-torsion*, Kyushu J. Math. **53** (1999), 133–150.
- [21] ———, *Note on homotopy normality and the  $n$ -connected fiber space*, Kyushu J. Math. **55** (2001), 119–129.
- [22] G. S. McCarty Jr., *Products between homotopy groups and the  $J$ -morphism*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **15** (1964) 362–370.
- [23] M. Mimura, *Homotopy theory of Lie groups*, Handbook of algebraic topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995, pp. 951–991.
- [24] M. Mimura and H. Toda, *Topology of Lie groups I, II*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **91**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991.
- [25] D. Quillen, *The mod 2 cohomology rings of extra-special 2-groups and spinor groups*, Math. Ann. **194** (1971), 197–212.
- [26] H. Toda, *On the cohomology ring of some homogeneous spaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **15** (1975), 185–199.