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#### Abstract

Let $I$ be a weakly polymatroidal ideal or a squarefree monomial ideal of a polynomial ring $S$. In this paper, we provide a lower bound for the Stanley depth of $I$ and $S / I$. In particular, we prove that if $I$ is a squarefree monomial ideal which is generated in a single degree, then $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq n-\ell(I)+1$ and $\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I) \geq n-\ell(I)$, where $\ell(I)$ denotes the analytic spread of $I$. This proves a conjecture of the author in a special case.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field and let $S=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be the polynomial ring in $n$ variables over $\mathbb{K}$. Let $M$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded $S$-module. Let $u \in M$ be a homogeneous element and $Z \subseteq\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. The $\mathbb{K}$-subspace $u \mathbb{K}[Z]$ generated by all elements $u v$ with $v \in \mathbb{K}[Z]$ is called a Stanley space of dimension $|Z|$, if it is a free $\mathbb{K}[Z]$-module. Here, as usual, $|Z|$ denotes the number of elements of $Z$. A decomposition $\mathcal{D}$ of $M$ as a finite direct sum of Stanley spaces is called a Stanley decomposition of $M$. The minimum dimension of a Stanley space in $\mathcal{D}$ is called the Stanley depth of $\mathcal{D}$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{sdepth}(\mathcal{D})$. The quantity

$$
\operatorname{sdepth}(M):=\max \{\operatorname{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) \mid \mathcal{D} \text { is a Stanley decomposition of } M\}
$$

is called the Stanley depth of M. Stanley [10] conjectured that

$$
\operatorname{depth}(M) \leq \operatorname{sdepth}(M)
$$

for every $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded $S$-module $M$. For a reader friendly introduction to Stanley depth, we refer to [5].

[^0]Let $I$ be a monomial ideal of $S$ with Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(I)$ and let $\mathfrak{m}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be the graded maximal ideal of $S$. Then the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathcal{R}(I) / \mathfrak{m} \mathcal{R}(I)$ is called the fibre ring and its Krull dimension is called the analytic spread of $I$, denoted by $\ell(I)$. This invariant is a measure for the growth of the number of generators of the powers of $I$. Indeed, for $k \gg 0$, the Hilbert function $H(\mathcal{R}(I) / \mathfrak{m} \mathcal{R}(I), \mathbb{K}, k)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{K}}\left(I^{k} / \mathfrak{m} I^{k}\right)$, which counts the number of generators of the powers of $I$, is a polynomial function of degree $\ell(I)-1$.

In this paper, we consider some linear algebraic approximations of the analytic spread of a monomial ideal. Indeed, assume that $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}$ are $t$ vectors in $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$. Then they are said to be linearly dependent if there exist rational numbers $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{t}$, not all zero, for which

$$
c_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+c_{t} v_{t}=0
$$

Similarly they are affinely dependent, if in addition the sum of the coefficients is zero:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{t} c_{i}=0
$$

If $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}$ are not linearly dependent (resp. affinely dependent), then they are said to be linearly independent (resp. affinely independent). Now we associate two invariants to every monomial ideal $I$, which are called the rank and the affine rank of $I$. For every vector $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ of non-negative integers, we denote the monomial $x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}$ by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$.

Definition 1.1. Let $I \subseteq S=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a monomial ideal and $G(I)=$ $\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{m}}}\right\}$ be the set of minimal monomial generators of $I$. The rank of $I$, denoted by $\operatorname{rank}(I)$ is the cardinality of the largest linearly independent subset of $\left\{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{m}}\right\}$. Similarly the affine rank of $I$, denoted by afrank $(I)$ is the cardinality of the largest affinely independent subset of $\left\{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{m}}\right\}$.

It is clear from Definition 1.1 that for every monomial ideal $I$, the inequality $\operatorname{afrank}(I) \geq \operatorname{rank}(I)$ holds. It is known [2, Lemma 10.3.19] that if $I$ is a monomial ideal which is generated in a single degree, then $\ell(I)=\operatorname{rank}(I)$. The following proposition shows that in this case we also have $\ell(I)=\operatorname{afrank}(I)$.

Proposition 1.2. Let I be a monomial ideal, which is generated in a single degree. Then $\ell(I)=\operatorname{rank}(I)=\operatorname{afrank}(I)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the second equality. Assume that $\operatorname{afrank}(I)=$ $t$. Therefore, there exist integers $1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{t} \leq m$ such that the equalities

$$
c_{1} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{1}}}+\cdots+c_{t} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{t}}}=0
$$

and

$$
c_{1}+\cdots+c_{t}=0
$$

with $c_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$, for every $1 \leq i \leq t$, imply that $c_{1}=\cdots=c_{t}=0$. Since $I$ is generated in a single degree, say $k, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}_{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{t}}}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Indeed, assume that there exist rational numbers $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{t}$ such that

$$
d_{1} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{1}}}+\cdots+d_{t} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{t}}}=0
$$

Now for every $1 \leq j \leq t$, the sum of the components of $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{j}}}$ is equal to $k$ and thus, the sum of the components of

$$
d_{1} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}_{1}}+\cdots+d_{t} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{t}}}
$$

is equal to

$$
d_{1} k+\cdots+d_{t} k
$$

and this shows that

$$
d_{1}+\cdots+d_{t}=0
$$

Therefore

$$
d_{1}=\cdots=d_{t}=0 .
$$

Hence, $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{1}}}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{t}}}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, $\operatorname{rank}(I) \geq t$. Since we always have $\operatorname{afrank}(I) \geq \operatorname{rank}(I)$, it follows that $\operatorname{afrank}(I)=\operatorname{rank}(I)$.

In [6], the authors prove that if $I \subset S$ is a weakly polymatroidal ideal $I$ (see Definition 2.1), which is generated in a single degree, then $\operatorname{depth}(S / I) \geq$ $n-\ell(I), \operatorname{sdepth}(S / I) \geq n-\ell(I)$ and $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq n-\ell(I)+1$. In Section 2, we generalize this result by proving that for every weakly polymatroidal ideal $I$, the inequalities

$$
\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq n-\operatorname{afrank}(I)+1, \quad \operatorname{sdepth}(S / I) \geq n-\operatorname{afrank}(I)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{depth}(S / I) \geq n-\operatorname{afrank}(I)
$$

hold (see Theorem 2.6).
In [8], the author conjectures that for every integrally closed monomial ideal, the inequalities $\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I) \geq n-\ell(I)$ and $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq n-\ell(I)+1$ hold (see Conjecture 3.1). In Section 3, we prove this conjecture for every squarefree monomial ideal which is generated in a single degree. In fact, we prove a stronger result. We show that for every squarefree monomial ideal $I$ of the polynomial ring $S$, the inequalities

$$
\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq n-\operatorname{rank}(I)+1
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I) \geq n-\operatorname{rank}(I)
$$

hold (see Theorem 3.3).

## 2. Stanley depth of weakly polymatroidal ideals

Weakly polymatroidal ideals are generalization of polymatroidal ideals and they are defined as follows.

Definition 2.1 ([4], Definition 1.1). A monomial ideal $I$ of $S=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $x_{n}$ ] is called weakly polymatroidal if for every two monomials $u=x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}$ and $v=x_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}}$ in $G(I)$ such that $a_{1}=b_{1}, \ldots, a_{t-1}=b_{t-1}$ and $a_{t}>b_{t}$ for some $t$, there exists $j>t$ such that $x_{t}\left(v / x_{j}\right) \in I$.

The aim of this section is to provide a lower bound for the depth and the Stanley depth of weakly polymatroidal ideals. As usual for every monomial $u$, the support of $u$, denoted by $\operatorname{Supp}(u)$, is the set of variables which divide $u$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $I$ be a weakly polymatroidal ideal and let $G(I)=$ $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$ be the set of minimal monomial generators of $I$. Assume that

$$
x_{1} \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Supp}\left(u_{i}\right) .
$$

Then $\left(I: x_{1}\right)$ is a weakly polymatroidal ideal which is minimally generated by the set

$$
\mathcal{G}=\left\{\left.\frac{u_{i}}{x_{1}} \right\rvert\, u_{i} \in G(I) \text { AND } x_{1} \text { divides } u_{i}\right\}
$$

Proof. It is clear that the ideal generated by $\mathcal{G}$ is a weakly polymatroidal ideal. Thus, we prove that $\left(I: x_{1}\right)$ is generated by the set $\mathcal{G}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}$ are divisible by $x_{1}$ and $u_{t+1}, \ldots, u_{m}$ are not divisible by $x_{1}$, where $1 \leq t \leq m$. Let $v_{i}=u_{i} / x_{1}(1 \leq i \leq t)$. We should prove that $\left(I: x_{1}\right)$ is generated by $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}$. Let $v \in\left(I: x_{1}\right)$ be a monomial. Then $x_{1} v \in I$ and so there exists $1 \leq i \leq m$ in such a way that $u_{i}$ divides $x_{1} v$. If $1 \leq i \leq t$, then $v$ is divisible by $v_{i}$ and therefore, $v \in\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}\right)$. Hence, we may assume that $i \geq t+1$. Now $u_{i}$ is not divisible by $x_{1}$ and thus $u_{i} \mid v$. Since

$$
x_{1} \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Supp}\left(u_{i}\right)
$$

Definition 2.1 implies that there exists $j \geq 2$ such that $x_{1} u_{i} / x_{j} \in I$. Hence, there exists $1 \leq s \leq m$, such that $u_{s}$ divides $x_{1} u_{i} / x_{j}$. If $t+1 \leq s \leq m$, then $u_{s}$ divides $u_{i} / x_{j}$ and thus $u_{s}$ properly divides $u_{i}$, which is a contradiction, because $G(I)$ is the set of minimal monomial generators of $I$. It follows that $1 \leq s \leq t$. Therefore, $v_{s}$ divides $u_{i} / x_{j}$ and hence, it divides $u_{i}$. Since $v$ is divisible by $u_{i}$, we conclude that $v_{s}$ divides $v$. This shows that $v \in\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}\right)$ and completes the proof of the lemma.

The following lemma shows that the affine rank of a weakly polymatroidal ideal does not increase under the colon operation with respect to the variable $x_{1}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let I be a weakly polymatroidal ideal. Then $\operatorname{afrank}\left(\left(I: x_{1}\right)\right) \leq$ $\operatorname{afrank}(I)$.

Proof. If $I=\left(I: x_{1}\right)$, then there is nothing to prove. So assume that $I \neq$ ( $I: x_{1}$ ). Let $G(I)=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$ be the set of minimal monomial generators of $I$. Since $I \neq\left(I: x_{1}\right)$, it follows that

$$
x_{1} \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Supp}\left(u_{i}\right) .
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}$ are divisible by $x_{1}$ and $u_{t+1}, \ldots, u_{m}$ are not divisible by $x_{1}$, where $1 \leq t \leq m$. Let $v_{i}=u_{i} / x_{1}$ $(1 \leq i \leq t)$. By Lemma 2.2, the set $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}\right\}$ is the set of minimal monomial generators of $\left(I: x_{1}\right)$. For simplicity, we assume that $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the exponent vector of $v_{i}(1 \leq i \leq t)$. Suppose that $\operatorname{afrank}\left(\left(I: x_{1}\right)\right)=s$ and choose the monomials $v_{j_{1}}, \ldots, v_{j_{s}}$, such that the equalities

$$
c_{1} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{1}}+\cdots+c_{s} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}}}=0
$$

and

$$
c_{1}+\cdots+c_{s}=0
$$

with $c_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$, for every $1 \leq i \leq s$, imply that $c_{1}=\cdots=c_{s}=0$. Note that for every $1 \leq i \leq t$, the exponent vector of $u_{i}$ is equal to $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}+\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{1}}$, where $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is the first vector in the standard basis of $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$. Now assume that there exist $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s} \in \mathbb{Q}$, such that $d_{1}+\cdots+d_{s}=0$ and

$$
d_{1}\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{1}}+\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)+\cdots+d_{s}\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}}}+\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)=0
$$

Therefore,

$$
d_{1} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{1}}+\cdots+d_{s} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}}}+\left(d_{1}+\cdots+d_{s}\right) \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{1}}=0
$$

Since $d_{1}+\cdots+d_{s}=0$, it follows that

$$
d_{1} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{1}}+\cdots+d_{s} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}}}=0
$$

By the choice of $v_{j_{1}}, \ldots, v_{j_{s}}$, we conclude that $d_{1}=\cdots=d_{s}=0$. Thus, $\operatorname{afrank}(I) \geq s$ and this proves our assertion.

In the following lemma, we consider the behavior of the affine rank of an arbitrary monomial ideal under the elimination of $x_{1}$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $I$ be a monomial ideal of $S=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, such that

$$
x_{1} \in \bigcup_{u \in G(I)} \operatorname{Supp}(u) .
$$

Let $S^{\prime}=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be the polynomial ring obtained from $S$ by deleting the variable $x_{1}$ and consider the ideal $I^{\prime}=I \cap S^{\prime}$. Then afrank $\left(I^{\prime}\right)+1 \leq \operatorname{afrank}(I)$.

Proof. Let $G(I)=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$ be the set of minimal monomial generators of $I$. For simplicity we assume that $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the exponent vector of $u_{i}(1 \leq i \leq m)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}$ are divisible by $x_{1}$ and $u_{t+1}, \ldots, u_{m}$ are not divisible by $x_{1}$, where $1 \leq t \leq m$. Then the set $\left\{u_{t+1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$ is the set of minimal monomial generators of $I^{\prime}$. Assume that $\operatorname{afrank}\left(I^{\prime}\right)=s$. Thus, there exist integers $t+1 \leq j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{s} \leq m$, such that the equalities

$$
c_{1} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{1}}+\cdots+c_{s} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}}}=0
$$

and

$$
c_{1}+\cdots+c_{s}=0
$$

with $c_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$, for every $1 \leq i \leq s$, imply that $c_{1}=\cdots=c_{s}=0$. Now we consider the set $\left\{u_{1}, u_{j_{1}}, \ldots, u_{j_{s}}\right\}$ and assume that there exist $d_{0}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s} \in \mathbb{Q}$, such that $d_{0}+d_{1}+\cdots+d_{s}=0$ and

$$
d_{0} \mathbf{a}_{1}+d_{1} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{1}}+\cdots+d_{s} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}}}=0
$$

Looking at the first component of the vector $d_{0} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{1}}+d_{1} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{1}}+\cdots+d_{s} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}}}$, it follows that $d_{0}=0$ and hence, $d_{1}+\cdots+d_{s}=0$ and

$$
d_{1} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{1}}+\cdots+d_{s} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}}}=0
$$

By the choice of integers $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}$, we conclude that $d_{1}=\cdots=d_{s}=0$. Therefore, $\operatorname{afrank}(I) \geq s+1=\operatorname{afrank}\left(I^{\prime}\right)+1$.

REmark 2.5. It is completely clear from the proof of the Lemma 2.4, that one can consider any arbitrary variable instead of $x_{1}$.

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this section.
THEOREM 2.6. Let $I$ be a weakly polymatroidal ideal of $S=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Then we have the following assertions:
(i) $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq n-\operatorname{afrank}(I)+1$ and $\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I) \geq n-\operatorname{afrank}(I)$,
(ii) $\operatorname{depth}(S / I) \geq n-\operatorname{afrank}(I)$.

Proof. We prove (i) and (ii) simultaneously by induction on $n$ and

$$
\sum_{u \in G(I)} \operatorname{deg}(u)
$$

where $G(I)$ is the set of minimal monomial generators of $I$. If $n=1$ or

$$
\sum_{u \in G(I)} \operatorname{deg}(u)=1
$$

then $I$ is a principal ideal and so we have $\operatorname{afrank}(I)=1, \operatorname{sdepth}(I)=n$, $\operatorname{depth}(S / I)=n-1$ and by [7, Theorem 1.1], $\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I)=n-1$. Therefore, in these cases, the inequalities in (i) and (ii) are trivial.

We now assume that $n \geq 2$ and

$$
\sum_{u \in G(I)} \operatorname{deg}(u) \geq 2
$$

Let $S^{\prime}=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be the polynomial ring obtained from $S$ by deleting the variable $x_{1}$ and consider the ideals $I^{\prime}=I \cap S^{\prime}$ and $I^{\prime \prime}=\left(I: x_{1}\right)$. If

$$
x_{1} \notin \bigcup_{u \in G(I)} \operatorname{Supp}(u),
$$

then the induction hypothesis on $n$ implies that

$$
\operatorname{depth}(S / I)=\operatorname{depth}\left(S^{\prime} / I^{\prime}\right)+1 \geq(n-1)-\operatorname{afrank}\left(I^{\prime}\right)+1=n-\operatorname{afrank}(I)
$$

On the other hand, by [7, Theorem 1.1] and [3, Lemma 3.6], we conclude that $\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I)=\operatorname{sdepth}\left(S^{\prime} / I^{\prime}\right)+1$ and $\operatorname{sdepth}(I)=\operatorname{sdepth}\left(I^{\prime}\right)+1$. Therefore, using the induction hypothesis on $n$ we conclude that $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq$ $n-\operatorname{afrank}(I)+1$ and $\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I) \geq n-\operatorname{afrank}(I)$. Therefore, we may assume that

$$
x_{1} \in \bigcup_{u \in G(I)} \operatorname{Supp}(u)
$$

Now $I=I^{\prime} S^{\prime} \oplus x_{1} I^{\prime \prime} S$ and $S / I=\left(S^{\prime} / I^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right) \oplus x_{1}\left(S / I^{\prime \prime} S\right)$ (as vector spaces) and therefore by definition of the Stanley depth we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq \min \left\{\operatorname{sdepth}_{S^{\prime}}\left(I^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right), \operatorname{sdepth}_{S}\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I) \geq \min \left\{\operatorname{sdepth}_{S^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime} / I^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right), \operatorname{sdepth}_{S}\left(S / I^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by applying the depth lemma on the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow S /\left(I: x_{1}\right) \longrightarrow S / I \longrightarrow S /\left(I, x_{1}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{depth}(S / I) \geq \min \left\{\operatorname{depth}_{S^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime} / I^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right), \operatorname{depth}_{S}\left(S / I^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 2.2, it follows that $I^{\prime \prime}$ is a weakly polymatroidal ideal and by Lemma 2.3 we conclude that $\operatorname{afrank}\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{afrank}(I)$. Hence, our induction hypothesis on

$$
\sum_{u \in G(I)} \operatorname{deg}(u)
$$

implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{depth}_{S}\left(S / I^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq n-\operatorname{afrank}\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& \operatorname{sdepth}_{S}\left(S / I^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq n-\operatorname{afrank}(I), \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{sdepth}_{S}\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq n-\operatorname{afrank}\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)+1 \geq n-\operatorname{afrank}(I)+1
$$

On the other hand $I^{\prime} S^{\prime}$ is a weakly polymatroidal ideal and since

$$
x_{1} \in \bigcup_{u \in G(I)} \operatorname{Supp}(u)
$$

using Lemma 2.4 we conclude that $\operatorname{afrank}\left(I^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{afrank}(I)-1$ and therefore by the induction hypothesis on $n$ we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{sdepth}_{S^{\prime}}\left(I^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right) & \geq(n-1)-\operatorname{afrank}\left(I^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right)+1 \geq(n-1)-(\operatorname{afrank}(I)-1)+1 \\
& =n-\operatorname{afrank}(I)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime} / I^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right) \geq n-\operatorname{afrank}(I)$ and $\operatorname{depth}_{S^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime} / I^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right) \geq n-$ $\operatorname{afrank}(I)$. Now the assertions follow by inequalities (1), (2) and (3).

Remark 2.7. Soleyman Jahan [9] proves that Stanley's conjecture holds true for $I$, when it has linear quotient. This shows that Theorem 2.6 is more interesting for the Stanley depth of $S / I$ rather than $I$.

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 2.6, we conclude the following result which appeared in [6].

Corollary 2.8. Let $I$ be a weakly polymatroidal ideal of $S=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ which is generated in a single degree. Then we have the following assertions:
(i) $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq n-\ell(I)+1$ and $\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I) \geq n-\ell(I)$,
(ii) $\operatorname{depth}(S / I) \geq n-\ell(I)$.

Using Theorem 2.6, we provide an upper bound for the height of associated primes of a weakly polymatroidal ideal.

Corollary 2.9. Let $I$ be a weakly polymatroidal ideal of $S=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Then

$$
\max \{\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}(S / I)\} \leq \operatorname{arank}(I)
$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}(S / I)$ be given. By [1, Proposition 1.2.13], we have $\operatorname{depth}(S / I) \leq n-\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$, while by Theorem 2.6 we have $\operatorname{depth}(S / I) \geq n-$ $\operatorname{afrank}(I)$. This implies that $\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq \operatorname{afrank}(I)$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}(S / I)$ and completes the proof of the corollary.

## 3. Stanley depth of squarefree monomial ideals

Let $I \subset S$ be an arbitrary ideal. An element $f \in S$ is integral over $I$, if there exists an equation

$$
f^{k}+c_{1} f^{k-1}+\cdots+c_{k-1} f+c_{k}=0 \quad \text { with } c_{i} \in I^{i}
$$

The set of elements $\bar{I}$ in $S$ which are integral over $I$ is the integral closure of $I$. It is known that the integral closure of a monomial ideal $I \subset S$ is a monomial ideal generated by all monomials $u \in S$ for which there exists an integer $k$ such that $u^{k} \in I^{k}$ (see [2, Theorem 1.4.2]).

In [8], the author proposed the following conjecture regarding the Stanley depth of integrally closed monomial ideals.

Conjecture 3.1. Let $I \subset S$ be an integrally closed monomial ideal. Then $\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I) \geq n-\ell(I)$ and $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq n-\ell(I)+1$.

In this section we prove that Conjecture 3.1 is true for every squarefree monomial ideal which is generated in a single degree. Indeed we show that for every squarefree monomial ideal $I$ of the polynomial ring $S$, the inequalities $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq n-\operatorname{rank}(I)+1$ and $\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I) \geq n-\operatorname{rank}(I)$ hold (see Theorem 3.3).

First, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 3.2. Let $I$ be a squarefree monomial ideal. Then for every $1 \leq j \leq n$ we have $\operatorname{rank}\left(\left(I: x_{j}\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{rank}(I)$.

Proof. Let $G(I)=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$ be the set of minimal monomial generators of $I$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}$ are divisible by $x_{j}$ and $u_{t+1}, \ldots, u_{m}$ are not divisible by $x_{j}$, where $0 \leq t \leq m$. Put $v_{i}=u_{i} / x_{j}$, if $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $v_{i}=u_{i}$, if $t+1 \leq i \leq m$. For simplicity we assume that $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the exponent vector of $u_{i}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the exponent vector of $v_{i}(1 \leq i \leq m)$. To prove the assertion one just note that for every $k \neq j$ and every $1 \leq i \leq m$, the $k$ th component of $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}}$ are the same and for $k=j$, the $k$ th component of $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is always zero.

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this section.
THEOREM 3.3. Let $I$ be a squarefree monomial ideal of $S=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Then $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq n-\operatorname{rank}(I)+1$ and $\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I) \geq n-\operatorname{rank}(I)$.

Proof. Let $G(I)$ be the set of minimal monomial generators of $I$. We prove the assertions by induction on $n$. If $n=1$, then $I$ is a principal ideal and so we have $\operatorname{rank}(I)=1, \operatorname{sdepth}(I)=n$ and by $[7$, Theorem 1.1], $\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I)=n-1$. Therefore, in this case, there is nothing to prove.

We now assume that $n \geq 2$. Let $S^{\prime}=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be the polynomial ring obtained from $S$ by deleting the variable $x_{1}$ and consider the ideals $I^{\prime}=I \cap S^{\prime}$ and $I^{\prime \prime}=\left(I: x_{1}\right)$. If

$$
x_{1} \notin \bigcup_{u \in G(I)} \operatorname{Supp}(u),
$$

then by [7, Theorem 1.1] and [3, Lemma 3.6], we conclude that $\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I)=$ $\operatorname{sdepth}\left(S^{\prime} / I^{\prime}\right)+1$ and $\operatorname{sdepth}(I)=\operatorname{sdepth}\left(I^{\prime}\right)+1$. Therefore, using our induction hypothesis, we conclude that $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq n-\operatorname{rank}(I)+1$ and $\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I) \geq n-\operatorname{rank}(I)$. Hence we may assume that

$$
x_{1} \in \bigcup_{u \in G(I)} \operatorname{Supp}(u)
$$

Now $I=I^{\prime} S^{\prime} \oplus x_{1} I^{\prime \prime} S$ and $S / I=\left(S^{\prime} / I^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right) \oplus x_{1}\left(S / I^{\prime \prime} S\right)$ and therefore by the definition of Stanley depth we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq \min \left\{\operatorname{sdepth}_{S^{\prime}}\left(I^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right), \operatorname{sdepth}_{S}\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I) \geq \min \left\{\operatorname{sdepth}_{S^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime} / I^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right), \operatorname{sdepth}_{S}\left(S / I^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the generators of $I^{\prime \prime}$ belong to $S^{\prime}$. Therefore, our induction hypothesis implies that

$$
\operatorname{sdepth}_{S^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime} / I^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq(n-1)-\operatorname{rank}\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{sdepth}_{S^{\prime}}\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq(n-1)-\operatorname{rank}\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)+1
$$

Using Lemma 3.2 together with [7, Theorem 1.1] and [3, Lemma 3.6], we conclude that
$\operatorname{sdepth}\left(S / I^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{sdepth}_{S^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime} / I^{\prime \prime}\right)+1 \geq(n-1)-\operatorname{rank}\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)+1 \geq n-\operatorname{rank}(I)$ and
$\operatorname{sdepth}_{S}\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{sdepth}_{S^{\prime}}\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)+1 \geq(n-1)-\operatorname{rank}\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)+1+1 \geq n-\operatorname{rank}(I)+1$.
On the other hand, since

$$
x_{1} \in \bigcup_{u \in G(I)} \operatorname{Supp}(u),
$$

it follows that $\operatorname{rank}\left(I^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{rank}(I)-1$ and therefore by our induction hypothesis we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{sdepth}_{S^{\prime}}\left(I^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right) & \geq(n-1)-\operatorname{rank}\left(I^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right)+1 \geq(n-1)-(\operatorname{rank}(I)-1)+1 \\
& =n-\operatorname{rank}(I)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime} / I^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right) \geq n-\operatorname{rank}(I)$. Now the assertions follow by inequalities (1) and (2).

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 3.3, we conclude that Conjecture 3.1 is true for every squarefree monomial ideal which is generated in a single degree.

Corollary 3.4. Let $I$ be a squarefree monomial ideal of $S=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ which is generated in a single degree. Then $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq n-\ell(I)+1$ and $\operatorname{sdepth}(S / I) \geq n-\ell(I)$.
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