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#### Abstract

For an infinite compact Abelian group $G$ and $1<$ $p<2$, it was shown in [9] that there exists a $L^{p}(G)$ multiplier which is not completely bounded. In this note, we show that in infinite every locally compact Abelian group $G$ there is a $L^{p}(G)$ multiplier which is not completely bounded.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a locally compact Abelian group and $\hat{G}$ be its dual. A bounded linear operator $T$ on $L^{P}(G), 1 \leq p<\infty$, is called a $L^{p}$-multiplier if $T$ commutes with translation operator $\tau_{x}$ for each $x \in G$. We will denote the space of all $L^{p}$-multipliers by $M_{p}(G)$. It is well known that $T \in M_{p}(G)$ corresponds to a symbol $m \in L^{\infty}(\hat{G})$ such that $\widehat{T f}=m \hat{f}$ for all $f \in L^{1}(G) \cap L^{2}(G)$. Sometimes we prefer to work with symbol $m$ in place of $T$.

We now briefly recall the natural operator space structure on $L^{p}(X)$-spaces, where $X$ is a $\sigma$-finite measure space. For details see [9, Chapter 2].

A $C^{*}$-algebra has a canonical operator space structure. We consider this canonical operator space structure on $L^{\infty}(X)$. The operator space structure on $L^{1}(X)$ is inherited from the dual of $L^{\infty}(X)$. By [3], with this operator space structure we have $L^{1}(X)^{*}=L^{\infty}(X)$ complete isometrically. Now by [9] the couple $\left(L^{\infty}(X), L^{1}(X)\right)$ is compatible for operator space interpolation. We consider $L^{p}(X)=\left(L^{\infty}(X), L^{1}(X)\right)_{\frac{1}{p}}$ with the operator space structure as the interpolating operator space structure from [9].

If a $L^{p}$ multiplier $T$ is completely bounded in the above mentioned operator space structure of $L^{p}$, we call this a $c b$-multiplier on $L^{p}(G)$. We will denote the space of all $c b$-multipliers on $L^{p}(G)$ by $M_{p}^{c b}(G)$. Throughout this paper, we will assume $G$ to be infinite.

We will be repeatedly using following important result of Pisier [9] which provides a characterization of completely bounded maps on $L^{p}(G), 1 \leq p<\infty$.

Proposition 1.1. [9] Let $S_{p}$ be the space of Schatten p-class operators on $l_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. A linear map $T: L^{p}(X) \rightarrow L^{p}(X)$ is completely bounded if and only if the mapping $T \otimes I_{S_{p}}$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(X, S_{p}\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\|T\|_{c b}=\left\|T \otimes I_{S_{p}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X, S_{p}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(X, S_{p}\right)} .
$$

Using above result one can see that $M_{p}^{c b}(G)=M_{p}(G)$ for $p=1$ or 2 . A natural question arises what happens for $1<p<2$. It was shown in [9, Proposition 8.1.3] that for a compact Abelian group $G$ and for $1<p<2$, the inclusion $M_{p}^{c b}(G) \subsetneq M_{p}(G)$ is strict. The purpose of this note is to show that this inclusion is strict for any locally compact Abelian group.

In [9, Proposition 8.1.3], an explicit construction of $m \in M_{p}(\mathbb{T}) \backslash M_{p}^{c b}(\mathbb{T})$ is provided for circle group $\mathbb{T}$. We briefly describe the construction below. Let $1<p<2$ and $\Lambda=\left\{3^{2 i}+3^{2 j+1}: i, j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Then $\Lambda$ is a $\Lambda_{p^{\prime}}$ set in the sense that for any $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ whose Fourier transform is supported in $\Lambda$, we have $\|f\|_{p^{\prime}} \leq C_{p^{\prime}}\|f\|_{2}$ for some constant $C_{p^{\prime}}$ depending on $p^{\prime}$. It is well known that for $S_{p}, p \neq 2$ the canonical basis $\left(e_{i j}\right)$ is not an unconditional basis. Furthermore, it is shown (see [9, Lemma 8.1.5]) that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist complex scalars $\left\{z_{i j}: i, j=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$ and an element $x=\sum_{i, j} x_{i j} e_{i j}$ in the unit ball of $S_{p}, p \neq 2$, such that $\left\|\sum_{i, j} z_{i j} x_{i j} e_{i j}\right\|_{S_{p}}=n^{\left|\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right|}$. Define $m$ on $\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
m(n)= \begin{cases}z_{i j} & \text { if } n=3^{2 i}+3^{2 j+1} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Since $\Lambda$ is a $\Lambda_{p^{\prime}}$ set, we have $m \in M_{p}(\mathbb{T})$. However, the choice of $\left(z_{i j}\right)$ and from Proposition 1.1 it follows that $m \notin M_{p}^{c b}(\mathbb{T})$. Same conclusion can be drawn for compact Abelian group with the help of $\Lambda_{p}$ sets.

For non-compact locally compact Abelian group $G$, we will approach the problem of strict inclusion via suitable transference techniques. In order to explain this explicitly in the case of $G=\mathbb{R}$, we need following terminology.

Definition 1.2. Let $\phi_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{2 n} \chi_{[-n, n]} * \chi_{[-n, n]}(x)$. A function $m \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is said to be normalized (with respect to $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ ) if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\hat{\phi}_{n} * m\right)(x)=$ $m(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

In particular, a bounded continuous function is always normalized. Our main result in this note is the following theorem, which is $c b$ version of deLeeuw's theorem [5].

Theorem 1.3. Let $m$ be normalized and $m \in M_{p}^{c b}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\left.m\right|_{\mathbb{Z}} \in M_{p}^{c b}(\mathbb{T})$ with $\left\|T_{\left.m\right|_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right\|_{c b} \leq\left\|T_{m}\right\|_{c b}$.

Theorem 1.3 provides an explicit construction of $\tilde{m} \in M_{p}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash M_{p}^{c b}(\mathbb{R})$. Consider the multiplier $m \in M_{p}(\mathbb{T}) \backslash M_{p}^{c b}(\mathbb{T})$ described above. We extend $m$
to $\mathbb{R}$ as a piece-wise linear continuous function $\tilde{m}$. Since $\tilde{m}$ is bounded and continuous it is normalized with respect to $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ (as in Definition 1.2) and $\tilde{m} \in$ $M_{p}(\mathbb{R})$ (see [8]). If $\tilde{m} \in M_{p}^{c b}(\mathbb{R})$, then by Theorem 1.3 we have $m \in M_{p}^{c b}(\mathbb{T})$. This contradicts the construction of $m$ and hence $\tilde{m} \in M_{p}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash M_{p}^{c b}(\mathbb{R})$.

In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1.3 and in Section 3 we will show strict inclusion $M_{p}^{c b}(G) \subsetneq M_{p}(G)$ for arbitrary non-compact locally compact Abelian group.

## 2. Transference of $c b$ multipliers

Our main tool in this paper is a transference result (Theorem 2.1) for $c b$ multipliers. This is a $c b$ version of transference couple result by Berkson, Paluszynki and Weiss [2]. Techniques adopted to prove our result is along the same line as in [2] with appropriate use of Proposition 1.1.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $G$ be an amenable group and $X$ a $\sigma$-finite measure space. Let $R, S: G \rightarrow \mathcal{C B}\left(L^{p}(X)\right)$ satisfy the following conditions:
(i) for each $f \in L^{p}(X), u \mapsto R_{u} f$ and $u \mapsto S_{u} f$ are strongly continuous maps.
(ii) $C_{R}=\sup _{u \in G}\left\|R_{u}\right\|_{c b}<\infty$ and $C_{S}=\sup _{u \in G}\left\|S_{u}\right\|_{c b}<\infty$.
(iii) $S_{u} R_{v}=R_{u v}$ and $S_{u} S_{v}=S_{u v}$ for all $u, v \in G$.

Let $k \in L^{1}(G)$ have compact support. Consider the operator $H_{k}$ on $L^{p}(X)$ defined by

$$
H_{k} f(\cdot)=\int_{G} k(u) R_{u^{-1}} f d u(\cdot)
$$

If $N_{p}(k)$ denotes the cb-norm of the convolution operator $F \mapsto k * F$ on $L^{p}(G)$, then we have $H_{k}$ is a cb map on $L^{p}(X)$ and $\left\|H_{k}\right\|_{c b} \leq C_{S} C_{R} N_{p}(k)$.

Proof. In view of Proposition 1.1 in order to show that $H_{k}$ is a $c b$ map on $L^{P}(X)$, we need to show $H_{k} \otimes I$ is a bounded map on $L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)$ where $I$ is the identity map on $S_{p}$.

It is easy to see that $\left(S_{v^{-1}} \otimes I\right)\left(S_{v} \otimes I\right)\left(H_{k} \otimes I\right)=H_{k} \otimes I$ on $L^{p}(G) \otimes S_{p}$. For $l \in \mathbb{N},\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{l} \subseteq C_{c}(X)$ and $\left\{h_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{l} \subseteq S_{p}$ we have

$$
\left(S_{v} \otimes I\right)\left(H_{k} \otimes I\right)\left(\sum_{n} f_{n} \otimes h_{n}(x)\right)=\sum_{n} \int_{G} k(u) R_{v u^{-1}} f_{n}(\cdot) d u \otimes h_{n}(x)
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(H_{k} \otimes I\right)\left(\sum_{n} f_{n} \otimes h_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)}^{p} \\
& \quad \leq C_{s}^{p}\left\|\sum_{n} \int_{G} k(u) R_{v u^{-1}} f_{n}(\cdot) d u \otimes h_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)}^{p} \quad(\text { by Proposition 1.1) } \\
& \quad=C_{s}^{p} \int_{X}\left\|\sum_{n} \int_{G} k(u) R_{v u^{-1}} f_{n}(\cdot) d u \otimes h_{n}(x)\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above inequality is true for all $v \in G$. For a suitable neighborhood $V$ of identity in $G$, which we will choose later, we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(H_{k} \otimes I\right)\left(\sum_{n} f_{n} \otimes h_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)}^{p} \\
& \quad \leq C_{s}^{p} \frac{1}{|V|} \int_{X} \int_{V}\left\|\sum_{n} \int_{G} k(u) R_{v u^{-1}} f_{n}(\cdot) d u \otimes h_{n}(x)\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} d v d x \\
& \quad \leq C_{s}^{p} \frac{1}{|V|} \int_{X} \int_{G}\left\|\sum_{n} \int_{G} k(u) R_{v u^{-1}} f_{n}(x) d u h_{n}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} d v d x \\
& \quad \leq C_{s}^{p} \frac{1}{|V|} \int_{X} \int_{G}\left\|\sum_{n}\left(k * F_{n}(\cdot, x) \otimes h_{n}\right)(v)\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} d v d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F_{n}(v, x)=\chi_{V K^{-1}}(v) R_{v} f_{n}(x)$ and $K$ is the support of $k$. Observe that $F_{n}(\cdot, x) \in L^{p}(G)$ for a.e. $x$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| & \left(H_{k} \otimes I\right)\left(\sum_{n} f_{n} \otimes h_{n}\right) \|_{L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)}^{p} \\
& \leq C_{s}^{p} \frac{1}{|V|} \int_{X} N_{p}(k)^{p} \int_{G}\left\|\sum_{n}\left(F_{n}(\cdot, x) \otimes h_{n}\right)(v)\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} d v d x \\
& =C_{s}^{p} \frac{1}{|V|} N_{p}(k)^{p} \int_{G} \int_{X}\left\|\sum_{n} F_{n}(v, x) h_{n}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} d x d v \\
& =C_{s}^{p} \frac{1}{|V|} N_{p}(k)^{p} \int_{G} \int_{X}\left\|\sum_{n} \chi_{V K^{-1}}(v) R_{v} f_{n}(x) h_{n}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} d x d v \\
& =C_{s}^{p} \frac{1}{|V|} N_{p}(k)^{p} \int_{G} \chi_{V K^{-1}}(v) \int_{X}\left\|\sum_{n} R_{v} f_{n}(x) h_{n}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} d x d v \\
& =C_{s}^{p} \frac{1}{|V|} N_{p}(k)^{p} \int_{G} \chi_{V K^{-1}}(v)\left\|\left(R_{v} \otimes I\right) \sum_{n} f_{n} \otimes h_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)}^{p} d v \\
& \leq C_{s}^{p} C_{R}^{p} \frac{1}{|V|} N_{p}(k)^{p}\left|V K^{-1}\right|\left\|\sum_{n} f_{n} \otimes h_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)}^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Last inequality follows from Proposition 1.1. Since $G$ is amenable, for every $\varepsilon>0$ and compact set $K$ we can choose a neighborhood $V$ of identity such that $\frac{\left|V K^{-1}\right|}{|V|}<1+\varepsilon$. Hence, $\left\|\left(H_{k} \otimes I\right)\left(\sum_{n} f_{n} \otimes h_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)} \leq C_{s} C_{R} N_{p}(k) \| \sum_{n} f_{n} \otimes$ $h_{n} \|_{L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)}$.

We will need following lemmas to prove Theorem 1.3. These results are analogue of usual $L^{p}$ multipliers and can be of independent interest.

Lemma 2.2. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\hat{G}}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \hat{f}_{n}^{\prime}(\xi) h_{n}^{\prime}\right)\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} m(\xi) \hat{f}_{n}(\xi) h_{n}\right) d \xi\right| \\
& \quad \leq c_{m}\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} f_{n} \otimes h_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)}\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} f_{n}^{\prime} \otimes h_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}\left(S_{p^{\prime}}\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $f_{n}, f_{n}^{\prime} \in C_{c}(G)$ and for all $h_{n} \in S_{p}, h_{n}^{\prime} \in S_{p^{\prime}}, n=1,2, \ldots, N$, where $c_{m}$ is a constant which does not depend on $N$ then $m \in M_{p}^{c b}(G)$.

Proof. The result follows from the density of the elements $\sum_{n=1}^{N} f_{n} \otimes$ $h_{n}, N \in \mathbb{N}, f_{n} \in C_{c}(G), h_{n} \in S_{p}$ in $L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)$ and duality of $L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)$ and $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(S_{p^{\prime}}\right)$.

Lemma 2.3. If $m \in M_{p}^{c b}(G)$ the $\tau_{y} m \in M_{p}^{c b}(G)$ and $\left\|T_{\tau_{y} m}\right\|_{c b}=\left\|T_{m}\right\|_{c b}$.
Proof. Observe that

$$
\left\|\sum_{n} e^{i y \cdot} \cdot f_{n} \otimes h_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)}^{p}=\left\|\left(\sum_{n} f_{n} \otimes h_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\hat{G}}\left\langle\sum_{n} m(\xi-y) \hat{f}_{n}(\xi) h_{n}, \sum_{n} \hat{f}_{n}^{\prime}(\xi) h_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle d \xi\right| \\
& \quad=\left|\int_{\hat{G}}\left\langle\sum_{n} m(\xi) \widehat{e^{i y \cdot} \cdot f_{n}}(\xi) h_{n}, \sum_{n} \widehat{e^{i y \cdot} \cdot f_{n}^{\prime}}(\xi) h_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle d \xi\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|T_{m}\right\|_{c b}\left\|\sum_{n} e^{i y \cdot} \cdot f_{n} \otimes h_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)}^{p}\left\|\sum_{n} e^{i y \cdot} \cdot f_{n}^{\prime} \otimes h_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(S_{p^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|T_{m}\right\|_{c b}\left\|\sum_{n} f_{n} \otimes h_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)}^{p}\left\|\sum_{n} f_{n}^{\prime} \otimes h_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(S_{p^{\prime}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by Lemma 2.2, we have $\left\|T_{\tau_{y} m}\right\|_{c b}=\left\|T_{m}\right\|_{c b}$.
Lemma 2.4. Let $k \in L^{1}(\hat{G})$ and $m \in M_{p}^{c b}(G)$ then $k * m \in M_{p}^{c b}(G)$ with $\left\|T_{k * m}\right\|_{c b} \leq\|k\|_{1}\left\|T_{m}\right\|_{c b}$.

Proof. We will use Lemma 2.2 to conclude that $k * m \in M_{p}^{c b}(G)$. Now for any finite sum we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\hat{G}}\left\langle\sum_{n} k * m(\xi) \hat{f}_{n}(\xi) h_{n}, \sum_{n} \hat{f}_{n}^{\prime}(\xi) h_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle d \xi\right| \\
& \quad=\left|\int_{\hat{G}} \int_{\hat{G}} k(\eta)\left\langle\sum_{n} m(\xi-\eta) \hat{f}_{n}(\xi) h_{n}, \sum_{n} \hat{f}_{n}^{\prime}(\xi) h_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle d \eta d \xi\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq\|k\|_{1}\left\|T_{m}\right\|_{c b}\left\|\sum_{n} f_{n} \otimes h_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S_{p}\right)}\left\|\sum_{n} f_{n}^{\prime} \otimes h_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(S_{p^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

The last inequality follows from Lemma 2.3.
Proof of the following result follows from dominated convergence theorem and Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\left\{m_{n}\right\} \subset M_{p}^{c b}(G)$ such that $\lim _{n} m_{n}(x)=m(x)$ a.e. If $\left\|T_{m_{n}}\right\|_{c b} \leq C<\infty$ then $m \in M_{p}^{c b}(G)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We will first prove it for $m=\hat{k}$, where $k \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, and has compact support.

Define $R: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(L^{p}(\mathbb{T})\right)$ as $R_{x} f(u)=f(u-x)$, where $x-u$ is interpreted as sum modulo 1. Taking $S=R$, the pair $(R, S)$ satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1. Hence, the operator $H_{k}$ defined in Theorem 2.1 is a $c b$ map. Observe that for $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{H_{k} f}(n) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} k(x) \widehat{R_{-x} f}(n) d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} k(x) e^{-2 \pi i x n} \hat{f}(n) d x \\
& =\left.m\right|_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) \hat{f}(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now prove the result for a general normalized multiplier $m$. Let $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ be as in Definition 1.2. Let $m_{n}(x)=\hat{\phi}_{n} * m(x)$. By Lemma 2.4 we have $m_{n} \in$ $M_{p}^{c b}(\mathbb{R})$. Also, $\lim _{n} m_{n}(x)=m(x) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. As $\left\|\hat{\phi}_{n}\right\|_{1}=1$ we have $\left\|T_{m_{n}}\right\|_{c b} \leq$ $\left\|T_{m}\right\|_{c b}$.

Let $\psi \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\psi \geq 0$ with compact support and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) d x=1$. We define $h_{n}(x)=n \psi(n x)$. Clearly $\hat{h}_{n}(x)=\hat{\psi}(x / n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consider the sequence $\tilde{k}_{n}=\left(m_{n} \hat{h}_{n}\right)^{\vee}$. Since $m_{n} \hat{h}_{n} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and their Fourier transform has compact support we conclude $\tilde{k}_{n} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ with compact support. Hence, from our earlier observation $\left.\hat{\tilde{k}}_{n}\right|_{\mathbb{Z}} \in M_{p}^{c b}(\mathbb{T})$ with uniform $c b$ norm. Now $\hat{\tilde{k}}_{n}(x) \rightarrow$ $m(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, by Lemma 2.5 we have $\left.m\right|_{Z} \in M_{p}^{c b}(\mathbb{T})$.

## 3. $c b$ homomorphism theorem for locally compact Abelian group

In this section, we address the problem of strict inclusion $M_{p}^{c b}(G) \subsetneq M_{p}(G)$ for non-compact locally compact Abelian group $G$. We will achieve this by transferring the known result on compact Abelian group to this set up. As in Section 2 the main ingredient here is the following $c b$ version of multiplier homomorphism theorem [6], [1].

Theorem 3.1. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two locally compact Abelian groups. Suppose $m \in M_{p}^{c b}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and is continuous. If $\pi: \hat{G}_{2} \rightarrow \hat{G}_{1}$ be a continuous homomorphism, then $m \circ \pi \in M_{p}^{c b}\left(G_{2}\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\|m \circ \pi\|_{c b} \leq \|\left. m\right|_{c b}
$$

Suppose $\pi: \hat{G}_{2} \rightarrow \hat{G}_{1}$ is a continuous homomorphism. Observe that such a $\pi$ will induce a continuous homomorphism $\hat{\pi}$ from $G_{1}$ to $G_{2}$ namely, $\hat{\pi}(x)(\gamma)=$ $\pi(\gamma)(x) \forall x \in G_{1}, \gamma \in G_{2}$. Now for $f \in L^{p}\left(G_{2}\right)$ we define $R: G_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(L^{p}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)$ by $R_{u} f(x)=f(\hat{\pi}(u) x)$. Taking $R=S$ the pair $(R, S)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1. Arguing exactly in the same fashion as in Theorem 1.3 we have Theorem 3.1 if $m=\hat{k}$ for some $k \in L^{1}\left(G_{1}\right)$ having compact support. We can get the result for general $m$ if there exists an approximate identity $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}$ in $L^{1}\left(G_{1}\right)$ satisfying following conditions:
(1) $\phi_{i} \geq 0$ and $\int_{G_{1}} \phi_{i}(x) d x=1 \quad \forall i \in I$.
(2) $\hat{\phi}_{i} \in C_{c}\left(\hat{G}_{1}\right) \forall i \in I$.
(3) $\lim _{i} \hat{\phi}_{i}(\gamma)=1$ and the convergence is uniform on all compact subsets of $\hat{G}_{1}$.
Existence of such an approximate identity $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}$ is guaranteed in [7, Theorem 33.12].

Now we consider our problem of constructing an $L^{p}(G)$ multiplier which is not a $c b$ multiplier. Consider a discrete subgroup $K$ of $\hat{G}$. Then $H=\hat{K}$ is compact Abelian. Therefore, we can get a $L^{p}(H)$ multiplier $\phi$ which is not $c b$. By a construction of Cowling [4], we can extend $\phi$ continuously to a function $\psi \in M_{p}(G)$ such that $\left.\psi\right|_{K}=\phi$. If $\psi \in M_{p}^{c b}(G)$, then by Theorem 3.1 we have $\phi \in M_{p}^{c b}(H)$ which is a contradiction. Hence, for every locally compact Abelian group $G$, the inclusion $M_{p}^{c b} \subsetneq M_{p}(G)$ is strict.
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