MODULAR NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS WITH EMBEDDING DIMENSION EQUAL TO THREE

AURELIANO M. ROBLES-PÉREZ AND JOSÉ CARLOS ROSALES

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give explicit descriptions of all numerical semigroups, generated by three positive integer numbers, that are the set of solutions of a Diophantine inequality of the form $ax \mod b \leq x$.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of nonnegative integer numbers. A numerical semigroup is a subset S of \mathbb{N} such that it is closed under addition, $0 \in S$ and $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ is finite. If $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\langle A \rangle$ the submonoid of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ generated by A, that is,

 $\langle A \rangle = \{\lambda_1 a_1 + \dots + \lambda_n a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, a_1, \dots, a_n \in A, \text{ and } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

It is well known (see [7], [8]) that $\langle A \rangle$ is a numerical semigroup if and only if $gcd\{A\} = 1$, where gcd means greatest common divisor.

Let S be a numerical semigroup and let X be a subset of S. We say that X is a system of generators of S if $S = \langle X \rangle$. In addition, if no proper subset of X generates S, then we say that X is a minimal system of generators of S. Every numerical semigroup admits a unique minimal system of generators and, moreover, such system has finitely many elements (see [2], [7], [8]). The cardinal of this system is known as the embedding dimension of S and it is denoted by e(S). On the other hand, if $X = \{n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_e\}$ is a minimal system of generators of S, then n_1, n_2 are known as the multiplicity and the ratio of S, and the first of them is denoted by m(S). Let us observe that m(S) is the least positive integer of S.

Let m, n be integers such that $n \neq 0$. We denote by $m \mod n$ the remainder of the division of m by n. Following the notation of [9], we say that a

©2012 University of Illinois

Received March 20, 2009; received in final form March 9, 2010.

Both authors were supported by MTM2007-62346, MEC (Spain), and FEDER funds.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11D75, 20M14. Secondary 13H10.

proportionally modular Diophantine inequality is an expression of the form

$$(1.1) ax \mod b \le cx,$$

where a, b, c are positive integers. We call a, b, and c the factor, the modulus, and the proportion of the inequality, respectively. Let S(a, b, c) be the set of integer solutions of (1.1). Then S(a, b, c) is a numerical semigroup (see [9]) that we call proportionally modular numerical semigroup (PM-semigroup).

Let x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_q be a sequence of integers. We say that it is arranged in a convex form if one of the following conditions is satisfied,

- (1) $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_q;$
- (2) $x_1 \ge x_2 \ge \cdots \ge x_q;$
- (3) there exists $h \in \{2, \ldots, q-1\}$ such that $x_1 \ge \cdots \ge x_h \le \cdots \le x_q$.

As a consequence of [11, Theorem 31] (see its proof and [11, Corollary 18]), we have an easy characterization for PM-semigroups.

LEMMA 1.1. A numerical semigroup S is a PM-semigroup if and only if there exists a convex arrangement n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_e of its set of minimal generators that satisfies the following conditions,

- (1) $gcd\{n_i, n_{i+1}\} = 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, e-1\}$,
- (2) $(n_{i-1} + n_{i+1}) \equiv 0 \mod n_i \text{ for all } i \in \{2, \dots, e-1\}.$

A modular Diophantine inequality (see [10]) is an expression of the form

$$(1.2) ax \mod b \le x,$$

that is, it is a proportionally modular Diophantine inequality with proportion equal to one. A numerical semigroup is a *modular numerical semigroup* (M-*semigroup*) if it is the set of integer solutions of a modular Diophantine inequality. Therefore, every M-semigroup is a PM-semigroup, but the reciprocal is false. In effect, from [9, Example 26], we have that the numerical semigroup $\langle 3, 8, 10 \rangle$ is a PM-semigroup, but is not an M-semigroup.

Let us observe that it is easy to determine whether or not a numerical semigroup is a PM-semigroup via the previous characterization. On the other hand, this question is more complicated for M-semigroups. In [10], there is an algorithm to give the answer to this problem, but we have not got a good characterization for M-semigroups.

The purpose of this paper is to give explicit descriptions of all M-semigroups with embedding dimension equal to three. The content is summarized in the following way. After a section of preliminaries, in Section 3 we use the idea of numerical semigroup associated to an interval (see [9]) and give three families of M-semigroups with embedding dimension equal to three in an explicit way. In Section 4, we will prove that every M-semigroup with embedding dimension equal to three belongs to one of these families. Finally, in Section 5 we give another description by fixing the multiplicity and the ratio of the numerical semigroup.

2. Preliminaries

Let α, β be two positive rational numbers with $\alpha < \beta$ and let T be the submonoid of $(\mathbb{Q}_0^+, +)$ generated by the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$. Here we denote by \mathbb{Q} the set of rational numbers and by \mathbb{Q}_0^+ the set of nonnegative rational numbers. In [9], it is shown that $T \cap \mathbb{N}$ is a PM-semigroup and that every PM-semigroup is of this form. We will refer to $T \cap \mathbb{N}$ as the PM-semigroup associated to the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$, and it will be denoted by $S([\alpha, \beta])$. As a reformulation of [9, Corollary 9], we have the following result.

Lemma 2.1.

(1) Let c < a < b be positive integers. Then

$$\{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid ax \mod b \le cx\} = \mathcal{T} \cap \mathbb{N},\$$

where T is the submonoid of $(\mathbb{Q}_0^+, +)$ generated by $[\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-c}]$.

(2) Conversely, let a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 be positive integers such that $\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2}$ and let T be the submonoid of $(\mathbb{Q}_0^+, +)$ generated by $[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2}]$. Then

$$\mathbf{T} \cap \mathbb{N} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_1 b_2 x \operatorname{mod} b_1 b_2 \le (a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1) x \right\}.$$

Since the inequality $ax \mod b \leq cx$ has the same set of solutions as the inequality $(a \mod b)x \mod b \leq cx$, we will always assume that a < b. Besides, if $c \geq a$, then $\{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid ax \mod b \leq cx\} = \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, the condition c < a < b imposed in the previous lemma is not restrictive.

A sequence of rational numbers $\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2} < \cdots < \frac{b_p}{a_p}$ is a *Bézout sequence* if $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_p$ are positive integers such that $a_i b_{i+1} - a_{i+1} b_i = 1$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$. The fractions $\frac{b_1}{a_1}$ and $\frac{b_p}{a_p}$ are the *ends* of the sequence and p is the *length* of the sequence. We will say that a Bézout sequence is proper if $a_i b_{i+h} - a_{i+h} b_i \ge 2$ for all $h \ge 2$ such that $i, i+h \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$.

The next result is [11, Theorem 12]. It shows the relation between Bézout sequences and PM-semigroups.

LEMMA 2.2. Let
$$\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2} < \dots < \frac{b_p}{a_p}$$
 be a Bézout sequence. Then
 $S\left(\left[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_p}{a_p}\right]\right) = \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p \rangle.$

The following result is part of [3, Theorem 2.7].

LEMMA 2.3. Let a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 be positive integers such that $gcd\{a_1, b_1\} = gcd\{a_2, b_2\} = 1$ and $\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2}$. Then there exists a unique proper Bézout sequence with ends $\frac{b_1}{a_1}$ and $\frac{b_2}{a_2}$.

Let us observe that in [3] it is given an algorithm to compute the unique proper Bézout sequence with ends $\frac{b_1}{a_1}$ and $\frac{b_2}{a_2}$.

We will say that two fractions $\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2}$ are *adjacent* if

$$\frac{b_2}{a_2+1} < \frac{b_1}{a_1}$$
 and either $a_1 = 1$ or $\frac{b_2}{a_2} < \frac{b_1}{a_1-1}$.

The next result is [11, Theorem 20].

LEMMA 2.4. Let $\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2} < \cdots < \frac{b_p}{a_p}$ be a proper Bézout sequence with adjacent ends. Then $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p\}$ is the minimal system of generators of the PM-semigroup $S([\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_p}{a_p}])$.

We finish this section with a remark about the definition of PM-semigroup. In [12], it is shown that we can consider any type of interval for such definition. In fact, by [12, Proposition 5], we have that $S(I) = T \cap \mathbb{N}$ is a PM-semigroup if T is the submonoid of $(\mathbb{Q}_0^+, +)$ generated by any (not necessarily closed) interval I with positive rational numbers as ends.

3. Three families of M-semigroups

The aim of this section is to prove Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and 3.6 in order to obtain M-semigroups with embedding dimension equal to three.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let m_1, m_2 be integers greater than or equal to three such that $gcd\{m_1, m_2\} = 1$. Then $S = \langle m_1, m_2, m_1m_2 - m_1 - m_2 \rangle$ is an M-semigroup and e(S) = 3.

Proof. Because $\gcd\{m_1, m_2\} = 1$, there exist two positive integers u, v such that $\frac{m_1}{u} < \frac{m_2}{v}$ is a Bézout sequence. By a straightforward computation, it is easy to see that $\frac{m_1m_2-m_1-m_2}{m_2u-u-v} < \frac{m_1}{u} < \frac{m_2}{v} < \frac{m_1m_2-m_1-m_2}{m_1v-v-u}$ is also a Bézout sequence. Let us have $a = m_2u - u - v$ and $b = m_1m_2 - m_1 - m_2$. It is clear that $\frac{m_1m_2-m_1-m_2}{m_2u-u-v} = \frac{b}{a}$ and $\frac{m_1m_2-m_1-m_2}{m_1v-v-u} = \frac{b}{a-1}$. By Lemma 2.2, we have that $S = S([\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-1}])$ and, by Lemma 2.1, S is an M-semigroup. Since $\frac{b}{a} < \frac{m_2}{v}$ are adjacent fractions, we conclude from Lemma 2.4 that e(S) = 3.

The following result is deduced from [6, Corollary 6, Proposition 9].

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let λ, d, d' be integers greater than or equal to 2 such that $gcd\{d, d'\} = gcd\{\lambda, d + d'\} = 1$. Then $S = \langle \lambda d, d + d', \lambda d' \rangle$ is an M-semigroup with e(S) = 3.

If S is a numerical semigroup, then the largest integer that does not belong to S is called the *Frobenius number* of S (see [5]) and denoted here by F(S). A numerical semigroup S is symmetric if $x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus S$ implies $F(S) - x \in S$ (as it is usual, \mathbb{Z} is the set of integers). This type of semigroups has been widely studied and has relevance in Algebraic Geometry because they are those numerical semigroups whose semigroup ring is Gorenstein (see [4]). As a result of the study in [6], we have that the family of numerical semigroups given by Proposition 3.2 is precisely the family of all symmetric M-semigroups with embedding dimension equal to three.

Before showing the third family of M-semigroups, we need some lemmas. Firstly, we remember that, if a, b are two positive integers, then we denote by $a \mid b$ that a divides b.

LEMMA 3.3. Let a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 be positive integers such that $gcd\{a_1, b_1\} = gcd\{a_2, b_2\} = 1$, $\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2}$, and $a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 = q$. Let t be a positive integer. If $gcd\{b_1, b_2\} = 1$ and $q \mid (tb_1 + b_2)$, then $q \mid (ta_1 + a_2)$.

Proof. If $q \mid (tb_1 + b_2)$, then there exists a positive integer k such that $tb_1 + b_2 = kq$. Therefore, $t = \frac{kq-b_2}{b_1}$, and consequently $ta_1 + a_2 = \frac{q(ka_1-1)}{b_1}$.

Because $gcd\{b_1, q\} = gcd\{b_1, a_1b_2 - a_2b_1\} = gcd\{b_1, a_1b_2\} = gcd\{b_1, b_2\} = 1$ and $\frac{q(ka_1-1)}{b_1}$ is an integer, then $b_1 \mid (ka_1 - 1)$. Now we conclude that $q \mid (ta_1 + a_2)$.

Let us observe that the previous lemma is not true in general if $gcd\{b_1, b_2\} \neq 1$. In fact, if we consider $\frac{8}{5} < \frac{10}{3}$, then q = 26, $26 \mid (2 * 8 + 10)$, and $26 \not| (2 * 5 + 3)$.

LEMMA 3.4. Let m_1, m_2 be positive integers such that $gcd\{m_1, m_2\} = 1$. Let q be a divisor of $gcd\{m_2 - 1, m_1 + m_2\}$. Then $S = \langle m_1, \frac{m_1 + m_2}{q}, m_2 \rangle$ is an M-semigroup.

Proof. Let us have $b = \frac{m_2-1}{q}m_1$. Since $\gcd\{m_1, m_2\} = 1$, then there exist $s, t \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $sm_2 - tm_1 = q$. So, let us have $a = \frac{m_2-1}{q}s$. In order to finish the proof, we will show that $S = S([\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-1}])$.

In fact, by Lemma 3.3 and an easy computation, it is clear that $\frac{m_1}{s} < \frac{(m_1+m_2)/q}{(s+t)/q} < \frac{m_2}{t} < \frac{b}{a-1}$ is a Bézout sequence. Moreover, $\frac{b}{a} = \frac{m_1}{s}$. By Lemma 2.2, we deduce that $S = S([\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-1}])$.

Finally, by Lemma 2.1, S is an M-semigroup.

In the next result, we will see for what values of q the M-semigroups described in the previous lemma have embedding dimension equal to three.

LEMMA 3.5. Let m_1, m_2 be positive integers such that $gcd\{m_1, m_2\} = 1$. Let q be a divisor of $gcd\{m_2 - 1, m_1 + m_2\}$. Then $S = \langle m_1, \frac{m_1 + m_2}{q}, m_2 \rangle$ has embedding dimension equal to three if and only if $2 \leq q < \min\{m_1, m_2\}$.

Proof. (*Necessity*) We have that $q \ge 2$. Let us suppose that $q \ge \min\{m_1, m_2\}$. Because $q \mid (m_2 - 1)$, then $q < m_2$. Moreover, $\gcd\{m_2 - 1, m_1 + m_2\} = \gcd\{m_2 - 1, m_1 + 1\}$. Therefore, $q = m_1 + 1$. Consequently, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $m_2 = k(m_1 + 1) + 1$. Thus $S = \langle m_1, k + 1, m_2 \rangle = \langle m_1, k + 1 \rangle$, which is a contradiction to the fact that e(S) = 3.

$$\square$$

(Sufficiency) Let us have s, t as in the proof of the previous lemma. Then $\frac{m_1}{s} < \frac{(m_1+m_2)/q}{(s+t)/q} < \frac{m_2}{t}$ is a Bézout sequence with adjacent ends. By Lemma 2.4, we conclude that e(S) = 3.

As an immediate consequence of Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, we have the last result of this section.

PROPOSITION 3.6. Let m_1, m_2 be positive integers such that $gcd\{m_1, m_2\} = 1$. Let q be a divisor of $gcd\{m_2 - 1, m_1 + m_2\}$ such that $2 \le q < \min\{m_1, m_2\}$. Then $S = \langle m_1, \frac{m_1 + m_2}{q}, m_2 \rangle$ is an M-semigroup with embedding dimension equal to three.

4. All M-semigroups with embedding dimension equal to three

In this section, we will see that every M-semigroup with embedding dimension equal to three belongs to one of the families described in Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and 3.6.

Let us remember (see Lemma 2.1) that a numerical semigroup S is an Msemigroup if and only if there exist two integers a, b ($2 \le a < b$) such that $S = S([\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-1}])$. We begin with Proposition 4.6, where we prove that, if $gcd\{a, b\} = gcd\{a-1, b\} = 1$ and e(S) = 3, then S is one of the M-semigroups described in Proposition 3.1.

Let us denote by $]\alpha, \beta [= \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \alpha < x < \beta\}$, that is, the opened interval with ends α and β . From [12, Proposition 8, Theorems 11 and 20], we deduce the following result.

LEMMA 4.1. Let a, b be integers such that $2 \le a < b$ and $gcd\{a, b\} = gcd\{a-1, b\} = 1$. Then

- (1) $\mathcal{S}(]\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-1}[) = \mathcal{S}([\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-1}]) \setminus \{b\}.$
- (2) b is the Frobenius number of $S(]\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-1}[)$.
- (3) $S(]\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-1}[)$ is a symmetric numerical semigroup.

The next result is a consequence of [10, Proposition 29].

LEMMA 4.2. Let a, b be integers such that $2 \le a < b$ and $gcd\{a, b\} = gcd\{a-1, b\} = 1$. Then b is the largest minimal generator of $S = S([\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-1}])$. Moreover, b = F(S) + m(S).

If S is a numerical semigroup and $n \in S \setminus \{0\}$, then the Apéry set of n in S (see [1]) is the set $\operatorname{Ap}(S, n) = \{s \in S \mid s - n \notin S\}$. It is well known (see [7], [8]) that $\operatorname{Ap}(S, n) = \{\omega(0) = 0, \omega(1), \dots, \omega(n)\}$, where $\omega(i)$ is the least element in S congruent to i modulo n. It is evident that $\max\{\operatorname{Ap}(S, n)\} = \operatorname{F}(S) + n$ and that, if $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_p$ is the minimal system of generators of S, then $\{m_2, \dots, m_p\} \subseteq \operatorname{Ap}(S, m_1)$. LEMMA 4.3. Let S be a symmetric numerical semigroup with $m(S) \ge 3$ and let $\{m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_p\}$ be its minimal system of generators. Then $m_p < F(S)$.

Proof. Let us have $\omega \in \operatorname{Ap}(S, m_1)$. Then $\omega - \operatorname{m}(S) = \omega - m_1 \notin S$. Because S is symmetric, then $\operatorname{F}(S) - \omega + m_1 \in S$. Moreover, since $(\operatorname{F}(S) - \omega + m_1) - m_1 = \operatorname{F}(S) - \omega \notin S$, then $\omega' = \operatorname{F}(S) - \omega + m_1 \in \operatorname{Ap}(S, m_1)$.

Now, let us have $\omega = m_p$. Then $F(S) - m_p + m_1 = \omega' \in Ap(S, m_1)$. If $\omega' \neq 0$, we have the conclusion. In other case, $m_p = F(S) + m_1$ and $F(S) - m_2 + m_1 = m_p - m_2 \in Ap(S, m_1)$, which is a contradiction to the fact that $\{m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_p\}$ is a minimal system of generators. \Box

From this result it follows the next lemma.

LEMMA 4.4. Let S be a numerical semigroup with minimal system of generators given by $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_p$. If $p \ge 3$, $m_p = F(S) + m_1$, and $S \setminus \{m_p\}$ is symmetric, then $S \setminus \{m_p\} = \langle m_1, \ldots, m_{p-1} \rangle$.

Proof. Let us observe that $F(S \setminus \{m_p\}) = m_p$. Moreover, it is obvious that $\langle m_1, \ldots, m_{p-1} \rangle \subseteq S \setminus \{m_p\}$. Let us suppose that there exists $x \in S \setminus \{m_p\}$ such that $x \notin \langle m_1, \ldots, m_{p-1} \rangle$. Then, by Lemma 4.3, $x < m_p$. Therefore, such x must be a minimal generator of S, in contradiction with the hypothesis. \Box

The next one is a classic result by Sylvester [13].

LEMMA 4.5. Let m_1, m_2 be positive integers such that $gcd\{m_1, m_2\} = 1$. Then $F(\langle m_1, m_2 \rangle) = m_1m_2 - m_1 - m_2$.

We are now ready to prove the announced result.

PROPOSITION 4.6. Let $S = S([\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-1}])$ be a numerical semigroup such that $gcd\{a,b\} = gcd\{a-1,b\} = 1$ and e(S) = 3. Then there exist two integers m_1, m_2 greater than or equal to three such that $gcd\{m_1, m_2\} = 1$ and $S = \langle m_1, m_2, m_1m_2 - m_1 - m_2 \rangle$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, we deduce that there exist two integers m_1, m_2 greater than or equal to three such that $m_1 < m_2 < b$ is the minimal system of generators of S. By Lemma 4.1, we know that $S \setminus \{b\}$ is symmetric. Therefore, by Lemma 4.4, we have that $S \setminus \{b\} = \langle m_1, m_2 \rangle$ and, by Lemma 4.1 again, that $b = F(\langle m_1, m_2 \rangle)$. We finish the proof using Lemma 4.5.

Our next aim will be Proposition 4.9, where we prove that, if $gcd\{a, b\} \neq 1$, $gcd\{a-1, b\} \neq 1$, and e(S) = 3, then $S = S([\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-1}])$ is one of the M-semigroups described in Proposition 3.2.

The following result is [11, Lemma 4].

LEMMA 4.7. Let a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, x, y be positive integers such that $\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2}$. Then $\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{x}{y} < \frac{b_2}{a_2}$ if and only if $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{\lambda b_1 + \mu b_2}{\lambda a_1 + \mu a_2}$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. LEMMA 4.8. Let $\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2} < \frac{b_3}{a_3}$ be a proper Bézout sequence. If $q = a_1b_3 - a_3b_1$, then $a_2 = \frac{a_1+a_3}{a}$ and $b_2 = \frac{b_1+b_3}{a}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.7, there exist three positive integers λ, μ, t such that $a_2 = \frac{\lambda a_1 + \mu a_3}{t}$ and $b_2 = \frac{\lambda b_1 + \mu b_3}{t}$. Because $\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2}$ and $\frac{b_2}{a_2} < \frac{b_3}{a_3}$ are Bézout sequences, then $\mu q = t$ and $\lambda q = t$. Therefore, $\lambda = \mu$ and the conclusion is obvious.

Now, we can prove the above mentioned result.

PROPOSITION 4.9. Let $S = S([\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-1}])$ be a numerical semigroup such that $gcd\{a,b\} = d \neq 1$, $gcd\{a-1,b\} = d' \neq 1$, and e(S) = 3. Then there exists an integer λ greater than or equal to two such that $S = \langle \lambda d, d + d', \lambda d' \rangle$ and $gcd\{d, d'\} = gcd\{\lambda, d + d'\} = 1$.

Proof. By straightforward computations, $\frac{b/d}{a/d} < \frac{b/d'}{(a-1)/d'}$ are adjacent fractions and $\frac{a}{d}\frac{b}{d'} - \frac{a-1}{d'}\frac{b}{d} = \frac{b}{dd'}$. Since $S = S([\frac{b/d}{a/d}, \frac{b/d'}{(a-1)/d'}])$ and e(S) = 3, we apply Lemma 2.2 to deduce that $\frac{b}{dd'} \neq 1$. Moreover, by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, there exist two positive integers x, y such that $\frac{b/d}{a/d} < \frac{x}{y} < \frac{b/d'}{(a-1)/d'}$ is a proper Bézout sequence. By Lemma 4.8, it follows that x = d + d'. Finally, by Lemma 2.2, if $\lambda = \frac{b}{dd'}$, then $S = \langle \lambda d, d + d', \lambda d' \rangle$.

In the following proposition we show that, if $gcd\{a, b\} \neq 1$, $gcd\{a-1, b\} = 1$, and e(S) = 3, then $S = S([\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-1}])$ is one of the M-semigroups described in Proposition 3.6. Before this, we remember [11, Lemma 17].

LEMMA 4.10. Let
$$\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2} < \dots < \frac{b_p}{a_p}$$
 be a proper Bézout sequence. Then
 $\max\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p\} = \max\{b_1, b_p\}.$

PROPOSITION 4.11. Let $S = S([\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-1}])$ be a numerical semigroup such that $gcd\{a,b\} = d \neq 1$, $gcd\{a-1,b\} = 1$, and e(S) = 3. Then there exist three positive integers m_1, m_2, q such that $gcd\{m_1, m_2\} = 1$, q is a divisor of $gcd\{m_2-1, m_1+m_2\}$, $2 \leq q < \min\{m_1, m_2\}$, and $S = \langle m_1, \frac{m_1+m_2}{q}, m_2 \rangle$.

Proof. Firstly, by Lemma 4.10, if $\frac{b/d}{a/d} < \frac{b_1}{a_1} < \cdots < \frac{b_e}{a_e} < \frac{b}{a-1}$ is a proper Bézout sequence, then $b_e \leq b$. Consequently, by an easy computation, we have that $\frac{b/d}{a/d} < \frac{b_1}{a_1} < \cdots < \frac{b_e}{a_e}$ is a proper Bézout sequence with adjacent ends.

From this observation, Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, and that e(S) = 3, we deduce that there exists a proper Bézout sequence of the form $\frac{b/d}{a/d} < \frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2} < \frac{b}{a-1}$. Because $b_2\frac{a}{d} - a_2\frac{b}{d} = \frac{1}{d}(b_2 + (a-1)b_2 - a_2b) = \frac{b_2-1}{d}$, by Lemma 4.8, we have that $b_1 = \frac{b/d+b_2}{(b_2-1)/d}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, $S = \langle \frac{b}{d}, \frac{b/d+b_2}{(b_2-1)/d}, b_2 \rangle$. Finally, since $\gcd\{b_2, b\} = 1$, then $\gcd\{b_2, \frac{b}{d}\} = 1$ and, taking $q = \frac{b_2-1}{d}$, we finish the proof using Lemma 3.5. By [10, Lemma 3], we know that $S([\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-1}]) = S([\frac{b}{b+1-a}, \frac{b}{b-a}])$. It is obvious that $gcd\{a, b\} = gcd\{b-a, b\}$ and $gcd\{a-1, b\} = gcd\{b+1-a, b\}$. Therefore, if $S = S([\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-1}])$ is a numerical semigroup such that $gcd\{a, b\} = 1$, $gcd\{a-1, b\} = d \neq 1$, and e(S) = 3, and if a' = b + 1 - a, then $S = S([\frac{b}{a'}, \frac{b}{a'-1}])$ with $gcd\{a', b\} = d \neq 1$, $gcd\{a'-1, b\} = 1$, and e(S) = 3. In consequence, S is one of the M-semigroups described in Proposition 3.6 too.

We summarize the results of Section 3 and Section 4 in the next theorem.

THEOREM 4.12. S is an M-semigroup with e(S) = 3 if and only if it is one of the following types.

- (T1) $S = \langle m_1, m_2, m_1 m_2 m_1 m_2 \rangle$ where m_1, m_2 are integers greater than or equal to three such that $gcd\{m_1, m_2\} = 1$.
- (T2) $S = \langle \lambda d, d + d', \lambda d' \rangle$ where λ, d, d' are integers greater than or equal to two such that $gcd\{d, d'\} = gcd\{\lambda, d + d'\} = 1$.
- (T3) $S = \langle m_1, \frac{m_1+m_2}{q}, m_2 \rangle$ where m_1, m_2, q are positive integers such that $gcd\{m_1, m_2\} = 1, q$ is a divisor of $gcd\{m_2 1, m_1 + m_2\}$, and $2 \le q < \min\{m_1, m_2\}$.

A natural question that arises after Theorem 4.12 is whether the three types are disjoint. Let us see the answer.

- (1) If we take $m_1 = m'_1m'_2 m'_1 m'_2$, $m_2 = m'_2$, and $q = m'_2 1$ in the third type, then we obtain the first one.
- (2) If $gcd\{m_1, m_2\} = 1$, it is clear that $gcd\{m_1, m_1m_2 m_1 m_2\} = gcd\{m_2, m_1m_2 m_1 m_2\} = 1$. Therefore, there is not any relation between the first two types.
- (3) Let us suppose, without loss of generality, that d < d' and $m_1 < m_2$. Then $\lambda d < d + d' < \lambda d'$ or $d + d' < \lambda d < \lambda d'$ in the second type, and $m_1 < \frac{m_1 + m_2}{q} < m_2$ or $\frac{m_1 + m_2}{q} < m_1 < m_2$ in the third one.

If we consider $m_1 = \lambda d$ and $m_2 = \lambda d'$, then $gcd\{m_1, m_2\} = \lambda$. On the other hand, if we consider $\frac{m_1+m_2}{q} = \lambda d$ and $m_2 = \lambda d'$, then $m_1 = \lambda(qd - d')$, and consequently $\lambda | gcd\{m_1, m_2\}$. Because $gcd\{m_1, m_2\} = 1$, we conclude that there is no relation between the last two types.

Therefore, S is an M-semigroup with embedding dimension equal to three if and only if it is (T2) or (T3). Moreover, this is a disjoint classification.

5. Multiplicity and ratio fixed

Let $\{n_1 < n_2 < n_3\}$ be the minimal system of generators of a numerical semigroup S. The aim of this section is to describe all M-semigroups with embedding dimension equal to three when we fix the multiplicity and the ratio of S, that is, when n_1 and n_2 are fixed. In what follows, we will suppose that n_1, n_2 are integers such that $3 \le n_1 < n_2$ and $gcd\{n_1, n_2\} = 1$. Moreover, to simplify the notation we will use the following sets: * $A(n_1) = \{2, \dots, n_1 - 1\};$ * $A(n_1, n_2) = \{\lceil \frac{2n_2}{n_1} \rceil, \dots, n_2 - 1\};$ * $D(n) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } k \mid n\}.$

Here, if $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $\lceil q \rceil = \min\{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid q \leq z\}$.

Since an M-semigroup is a PM-semigroup, by Lemma 1.1, we have two cases.

- (1) $S = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle$ such that $n_1 < n_2 < n_3$ and $(n_1 + n_3) \equiv 0 \mod n_2$. Since e(S) = 3, then $n_3 = kn_2 n_1$ with $k \in A(n_1)$.
- (2) $S = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle$ such that $n_1 < n_2 < n_3$ and $(n_2 + n_3) \equiv 0 \mod n_1$. Since e(S) = 3, then $n_3 = tn_1 n_2$ with $t \in A(n_1, n_2)$.

REMARK 5.1. It is easy to show that S is a PM-semigroup such that e(S) = 3 if and only if it has a minimal system of generators given by one of the previous cases. Moreover, both of these cases coincide if and only if $k = n_1 - 1$ and $t = n_2 - 1$, that is, when we consider the M-semigroup of type (T1) given by $S = \langle n_1, n_2, n_1 n_2 - n_1 - n_2 \rangle$.

The main result of this section is Theorem 5.6. We need some preliminary lemmas in order to prove it.

LEMMA 5.2. Let $S = \langle n_1, n_2, kn_2 - n_1 \rangle$ be a numerical semigroup such that $k \in A(n_1)$. Then S is (T2) if and only if $k \mid n_1$.

Proof. If $k \mid n_1$, then S is (T2) for $d = \frac{n_1}{k}$, $d' = n_2 - \frac{n_1}{k}$, and $\lambda = k$.

For the opposite implication, let us suppose, without loss of generality, that d < d'. Then we have two possibilities to relate $(n_1, n_2, kn_2 - n_1)$ and (λ, d, d') . The first one is given by $n_1 = \lambda d$, $n_2 = d + d'$, and $kn_2 - n_1 = \lambda d'$. This election is valid if $k(d + d') - \lambda d = \lambda d'$, and consequently if $\lambda = k$. We conclude that $k \mid n_1$.

The second choice is $n_1 = d + d'$, $n_2 = \lambda d$, and $kn_2 - n_1 = \lambda d'$. In this case, $k\lambda d - (d + d') = \lambda d$, and then $d + d' = \lambda(kd - d')$. But this is not possible because we need that $gcd\{\lambda, d + d'\} = 1$.

LEMMA 5.3. Let $S = \langle n_1, n_2, kn_2 - n_1 \rangle$ be a numerical semigroup such that $k \in A(n_1) \setminus \{n_1 - 1\}$. Then S is (T3) if and only if $k \mid (n_1 - 1)$ or $k \mid (n_1 + 1)$.

Proof. On the one hand, if $k \mid (n_1 - 1)$, then S is (T3) for $m_1 = kn_2 - n_1$, $m_2 = n_1$, and q = k. On the other hand, if $k \mid (n_1 + 1)$, then S is (T3) for $m_1 = n_1$, $m_2 = kn_2 - n_1$, and q = k.

For the opposite implication, let us suppose, without loss of generality, that $m_1 < m_2$, but we accept that $q \mid (m_1 - 1)$ or $q \mid (m_2 - 1)$. Then we have two possibilities to relate $(n_1, n_2, kn_2 - n_1)$ and (m_1, m_2, q) . The first one is given by $n_1 = m_1$, $n_2 = \frac{m_1 + m_2}{q}$, and $kn_2 - n_1 = m_2$. This option is valid if $k \frac{m_1 + m_2}{q} - m_1 = m_2$, and consequently if q = k. If $q \mid \gcd\{m_2 - 1, m_1 + m_2\}$, since $\gcd\{m_2 - 1, m_1 + m_2\} = \gcd\{n_1 + 1, kn_2\}$, we conclude that $k \mid (n_1 + 1)$.

If $q \mid \gcd\{m_1 - 1, m_1 + m_2\}$, since $\gcd\{m_1 - 1, m_1 + m_2\} = \gcd\{n_1 - 1, kn_2\}$, we conclude that $k \mid (n_1 - 1)$.

The second choice is $n_1 = \frac{m_1+m_2}{q}$, $n_2 = m_1$, and $kn_2 - n_1 = m_2$. But then we have $q = \frac{(k+1)n_2}{n_1} - 1$, which is not possible because $gcd\{n_1, n_2\} = 1$ and $k \le n_1 - 2$.

Using similar arguments to those of Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3, we have the next two lemmas.

LEMMA 5.4. Let $S = \langle n_1, n_2, tn_1 - n_2 \rangle$ be a numerical semigroup such that $t \in A(n_1, n_2)$. Then S is (T2) if and only if $t \mid n_2$.

LEMMA 5.5. Let $S = \langle n_1, n_2, tn_1 - n_2 \rangle$ be a numerical semigroup such that $t \in A(n_1, n_2) \setminus \{n_2 - 1\}$. Then S is (T3) if and only if $t \mid (n_2 - 1)$ or $t \mid (n_2 + 1)$.

From Remark 5.1 and Lemmas 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, and 5.5, we deduce the announced theorem.

THEOREM 5.6. Let n_1, n_2, n_3 be integers such that $3 \le n_1 < n_2 < n_3$, $gcd\{n_1, n_2\} = 1$, and $n_3 \notin \langle n_1, n_2 \rangle$. Then $\langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle$ is an M-semigroup if and only if n_3 belongs to one of the following sets.

(1)
$$B_1 = \{kn_2 - n_1 \mid k \in A(n_1) \cap [D(n_1 - 1) \cup D(n_1) \cup D(n_1 + 1)]\}.$$

(2)
$$B_2 = \{tn_1 - n_2 \mid t \in A(n_1, n_2) \cap [D(n_2 - 1) \cup D(n_2) \cup D(n_2 + 1)]\}$$

Moreover, $B_1 \cap B_2 = \{n_1n_2 - n_1 - n_2\}.$

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank the referee for his/her helpful suggestions that have improved the correctness of this paper.

References

- R. Apéry, Sur les branches superlinéaires des courbes algébriques, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 222 (1946), 1198–1200. MR 0017942
- [2] V. Barucci, D. E. Dobbs and M. Fontana, Maximality properties in numerical semigroups and applications to one-dimensional analytically irreducible local domains, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 598 (1997). MR 1357822
- [3] M. Bullejos and J. C. Rosales, Proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities and the Stern-Brocot tree, Math. Comp. 78 (2009), 1211–1226. MR 2476582
- [4] E. Kunz, The value-semigroup of a one-dimensional Gorenstein ring, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 25 (1970), 748–751. MR 0265353
- [5] J. L. Ramírez Alfonsín, The Diophantine Frobenius problem, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2005. MR 2260521
- [6] J. C. Rosales, Symmetric modular Diophantine inequalities, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), 3417–3421. MR 2240650
- [7] J. C. Rosales and P. A. García-Sánchez, Finitely generated commutative monoids, Nova Science Publishers, New York, 1999. MR 1694173
- [8] J. C. Rosales and P. A. García-Sánchez, Numerical semigroups, Developments in Mathematics, vol. 20, Springer, New York, 2009. MR 2549780
- [9] J. C. Rosales, P. A. García-Sánchez, J. I. García-García and J. M. Urbano-Blanco, Proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities, J. Number Theory 103 (2003), 281– 294. MR 2020273

- [10] J. C. Rosales, P. A. García-Sánchez and J. M. Urbano-Blanco, Modular Diophantine inequalities and numerical semigroups, Pacific J. Math. 218 (2005), 379–398. MR 2218353
- [11] J. C. Rosales, P. A. García-Sánchez and J. M. Urbano-Blanco, The set of solutions of a proportionally modular Diophantine inequality, J. Number Theory 128 (2008), 453–467. MR 2389850
- [12] J. C. Rosales and J. M. Urbano-Blanco, Opened modular numerical semigroups, J. Algebra 306 (2006), 368–377. MR 2271340
- [13] J. J. Sylvester, *Problem 7382*, Mathematical questions, with their solutions, from The Educational Times, vol. 41 (W. J. C. Miller, ed.), Francis Hodgson, London, 1884, p. 21.

Aureliano M. Robles-Pérez, Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071-Granada, Spain

E-mail address: arobles@ugr.es

José Carlos Rosales, Departamento de Álgebra, Facultad de Ciencias, Univer-

sidad de Granada, 18071-Granada, Spain

E-mail address: jrosales@ugr.es