Illinois Journal of Mathematics Volume 49, Number 1, Spring 2005, Pages 259-263 S 0019-2082

## CURVATURE BOUNDS VIA RICCI SMOOTHING

## VITALI KAPOVITCH

ABSTRACT. We give a proof of the fact that the upper and the lower sectional curvature bounds of a complete manifold vary at a bounded rate under the Ricci flow.

Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a complete Riemannian manifold with  $|\sec(M)| \leq 1$ . Consider the Ricci flow of g given by

(1) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g = -2\operatorname{Ric}(g).$$

It is known (see [Ham82], [Shi89]) that (1) has a solution on [0, T] for some T > 0. It is also known (see [BMOR84], [Shi89]) that the solution smoothes out the metric. Namely,  $g_t$  satisfies

(2) 
$$e^{-c(n)t}g \le g_t \le e^{c(n)t}g, \quad |\nabla - \nabla_t| \le c(n)t, \quad |\nabla^m R_{ijkl}(t)| \le c(n,m,t)$$

Moreover, by [Shi89], the sectional curvature of g(t) satisfies

$$|K_{g_t}| \le C(n, T).$$

This result proved to be a very useful technical tool in many situations and in particular in the theory of convergence with two-sided curvature bounds (see [CFG92], [Ron96], [PT99], etc). However, it turns out that in applications to convergence with two-sided curvature bounds, in addition to the above properties, it is often convenient to know that sup  $K_{g_t}$  and inf  $K_{g_t}$  also vary at the bounded rate and, in particular, that the upper and the lower curvature bounds for  $g_t$  are almost the same as those for g for sufficiently small t. For example, it is very useful to know that if  $g_0$  has pinched positive [Ron96] or negative ([Kan89], [BK]) curvature, then  $g_t$  has almost the same pinching.

This fact has apparently been known to some experts and it was used without a proof by various people (see, e.g., [Kan89]). A careful proof was given in [Ron96] in the case of a compact manifold M. To the best of our knowledge, no proof exists in the literature in the case of a noncompact manifold M. The purpose of this note is to rectify this situation. To this end we prove:

©2005 University of Illinois

Received June 20, 2004; received in final form September 27, 2004.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C20.

This work was supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-0204187.

## VITALI KAPOVITCH

PROPOSITION. In the above situation one has

 $\inf K_q - C(n, T)t \le K_{q_t} \le \sup K_q + C(n, T)t.$ 

*Proof.* Throughout the proof we will denote by C various constants depending only on n, T. The proof in [Ron96] relies on the maximum principle applied to the evolution equation for the curvature tensor Rm, which can be computed to have the form [Shi89]

(4) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}R_{ijkl} = \Delta R_{ijkl} + P(\mathrm{Rm}),$$

where P(Rm) is a quadratic polynomial in Rm. However, in the noncompact case the maximum principle can not be applied directly. We will use a local version of the maximum principle often employed in [Shi89]. Let  $\chi \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function satisfying

(1)  $\chi \ge 0$  and is nonincreasing, (2)  $\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ for } x \le 1, \\ \text{nonincreasing for } 1 \le x \le 2, \\ 0 \text{ for } x \ge 2, \end{cases}$ 

(3)  $|\chi''(x)| \le 8,$ (4)  $|(\chi'(x))^2/\chi(x)| \le 16.$ 

Fix  $z \in M$  and let  $d_z(x,t) = d_{g_t}(x,z)$  be the distance with respect to  $g_t$ . Put  $\xi_z(x,t) = \chi(d_z(x,t))$ . Using the properties of  $\chi$  we obtain

- (i)  $0 \le \xi_z \le 1$ ,
- (ii)  $|\nabla \xi_z| \leq C$ ,
- (iii)  $\Delta \xi_z \ge C$  in the barrier sense,
- (iv)  $|\nabla \tilde{\xi}_z|^2 / |\xi_z| \le C$ ,
- (v)  $|\partial \xi_z(x,t)/\partial t| \le C$ ..

To see (iii), we compute

$$\Delta \xi_z = \chi''(d_z) |\nabla d_z|^2 + \chi'(d_z) \Delta d_z \ge C$$

because  $\chi' \leq 0$  and  $\Delta d_z \leq C$  for  $d_z \geq 1$  by the Laplace comparison for spaces with sec  $\geq -1$ . Finally, (v) holds by the evolution equation of the metric (1) and the estimate (3).

Assume for now that  $\sup K_{g_t} \ge 0$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Let  $\bar{A}(t) = \sup K_{g_t}$ and  $\bar{A}_z(t) = \max_{(x,\sigma)} \{K_{g_t}(x,\sigma)\xi_z(x,t)\}$ , where  $x \in M$ ,  $\sigma$  is a 2-plane at x. Clearly  $\bar{A}(t) = \sup_z \bar{A}_z(t)$ .

We want to show that  $\bar{A}'_z(t) \leq C$  independent of z, t. Fix  $t_0 \in [0, T]$  and let  $\phi_z(x, \sigma, t) = K_{g_t}(x, \sigma)\xi_z(x, t)$ . By a standard argument, it is enough to check that  $\frac{\partial \phi_z}{\partial t}(x_0, \sigma_0, t_0) \leq C$  for any point of maximum of  $\phi_z(\cdot, t_0)$ .

Let U, V be a basis of  $\sigma_0$  orthonormal with respect to  $g_{t_0}$ . Extend U, V to constant vector fields in normal coordinates at  $x_0$  with respect to  $g_{t_0}$ .

260

Let

$$\Phi_z(x,t) = K_{g_t}(x,U,V)\xi_z(x) = \frac{\operatorname{Rm}(t)(U,V,U,V)}{|U \wedge V|_{g_t}^2}\xi_z(x).$$

It is easy to see (cf. [Ron96]) that

(5) 
$$|U \wedge V(x_0)|_{g_t} \le C$$
,  $|\nabla |U \wedge V(x_0)|_{g_t}| \le C$ ,  $|\nabla^2 |U \wedge V(x_0)|_{g_t}| \le C$ .

By construction,  $\Phi_z(x, t_0)$  has a local maximum at  $x_0$  and we have

$$\frac{\partial \phi_z(x_0, \sigma_0, t_0)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \Phi_z(x_0, t_0)}{\partial t}.$$

Therefore  $\nabla \Phi_z(x_0, t_0) = 0$  and  $\Delta \Phi_z(x_0, t_0) \leq 0$ . We compute

(6) 
$$\frac{\partial \Phi_z(x_0, t_0)}{\partial t} = \Delta \Phi_z(x_0, t_0)$$
$$- \operatorname{Rm}(x_0, t_0)(U, V, U, V)\xi_z(x_0, t_0)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{1}{|U \wedge V|^2}\right)$$
$$- 2\nabla \operatorname{Rm}(x_0, t_0)(U, V, U, V)\nabla\left(\frac{\xi_z(x_0, t_0)}{|U \wedge V|^2}\right)$$
$$- \operatorname{Rm}(x_0, t_0)(U, V, U, V)\Delta\left(\frac{\xi_z(x_0, t_0)}{|U \wedge V|^2}\right)$$
$$- \frac{P(\operatorname{Rm}(x_0, t_0))\xi_z(x_0, t_0)}{|U \wedge V|^2} - K_{g_t}(x, U, V)\frac{\partial \xi_z(x_0, t_0)}{\partial t}.$$

We claim that the right-hand side is bounded above by C. The only terms that need explaining are the third and the fourth summands. Let

$$f(x) = \frac{\xi_z(x, t_0)}{|U \wedge V|^2}.$$

To see that the third term is bounded we observe that  $\nabla \Phi_z(x_0, t_0) = 0$  yields

$$\nabla \operatorname{Rm}(x_0, t_0)(U, V, U, V)f(x_0) + \operatorname{Rm}(x_0, t_0)(U, V, U, V)\nabla f(x_0) = 0,$$
  
$$\nabla \operatorname{Rm}(x_0, t_0)(U, V, U, V) = -\frac{\nabla f(x_0)}{f(x_0)} \operatorname{Rm}(x_0, t_0)(U, V, U, V),$$

and hence

$$|\nabla \operatorname{Rm}(x_0, t_0)(U, V, U, V)\nabla f(x_0)| \le C$$

by the property (iv) of  $\xi_z$  above. The fourth term is bounded because

$$\Delta f = \Delta \xi_z(x_0) \frac{1}{|U \wedge V|^2} + 2\nabla \xi_z(x_0) \nabla \left(\frac{1}{|U \wedge V|^2}\right)$$
$$+ \xi_z(x_0) \Delta \left(\frac{1}{|U \wedge V|^2}\right) \ge C$$

by (5) and the property (iii) of  $\xi_z$ . Thus by (6) we have

$$\frac{\partial \phi_z}{\partial t}(x_0, \sigma_0, t_0) = \frac{\partial \Phi_z(x_0, t_0)}{\partial t} \le C$$

Therefore  $\bar{A}'_z(t) \leq C$  for all  $z \in M, t \in [0,T]$  and hence  $\bar{A}'(t) \leq C$  for all  $t \in [0,T]$ . This concludes the proof in the case  $\sup K_{g_t} \geq 0$ . The general case can be easily reduced to this one by replacing the function  $K_{g_{t_0}}(x,\sigma)$  by  $K_{g_{t_0}}(x,\sigma) + C$ . The argument for  $\inf K_{g_t}$  is the same except that there we can actually always assume that  $\inf K_{g_t} \leq 0$  since otherwise the manifold M is compact and our statement is known by [Ron96].

REMARK 1. By changing the cutoff function  $\xi_z(\cdot)$  to  $\chi(d(\cdot, z)/R)$  in the proof of Proposition we see that the same proof actually shows that the *local* maximum and minimum of the curvature vary linearly. Namely, under condition of the Proposition, for any R > 0 there exists C = C(T, R) such that for any  $z \in M$  we have

$$\inf_{B(z,R)} K_g - C(n, R, T)t \le K_{g_t}|_{B(z,R)} \le \sup_{B(z,R)} K_g + C(n, R, T)t$$

However, as constructed,  $C(n, R, T) \to \infty$  as  $R \to 0$ .

REMARK 2. A slightly more careful examination of the proof of Proposition shows that the local rate of change of the curvature bounds is proportional to the local absolute curvature bounds, i.e.,

$$\bar{A}'_z(t) \le C(n,T) \cdot \sup_{x \in B(z,2)} |\operatorname{Rm}(x)|.$$

In particular, if  $(M^n, g)$  is asymptotically flat, then so is  $(M^n, g_t)$  and it has the same curvature decay rate as  $(M^n, g)$ .

## References

- [BK] I. Belegradek and V. Kapovitch, Classification of negatively pinched manifolds with amenable fundamental groups, Preprint, 2004; available at http://front. math.ucdavis.edu/math.DG/0402268.
- [BMOR84] J. Bemelmans, Min-Oo, and E. A. Ruh, Smoothing Riemannian metrics, Math. Z. 188 (1984), 69–74. MR 767363 (85m:58184)
- [CFG92] J. Cheeger, K. Fukaya, and M. Gromov, Nilpotent structures and invariant metrics on collapsed manifolds, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1992), 327–372. MR 1126118 (93a:53036)
- [Ham82] R. S. Hamilton, Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, J. Differential Geom. 17 (1982), 255–306. MR 664497 (84a:53050)
- [Kan89] M. Kanai, A pinching theorem for cusps of negatively curved manifolds with finite volume, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 107 (1989), 777–783. MR 937856 (90b:53048)
- [PT99] A. Petrunin and W. Tuschmann, Diffeomorphism finiteness, positive pinching, and second homotopy, Geom. Funct. Anal. 9 (1999), 736–774. MR 1719602 (2000k:53031)

262

| [Ron96] | X. Rong, On the fundamental groups of manifolds of positive sectional curva- |  |
|---------|--|--|
|         | ture, Ann. of Math. (2) <b>143</b> (1996), 397–411. MR 1381991 (97a:53067)   |  |
|         |  |  |

[Shi89] W.-X. Shi, Deforming the metric on complete Riemannian manifolds, J. Differential Geom. 30 (1989), 223–301. MR 1001277 (90i:58202)

EINSTEINSTRASSE 62, MATHEMATISCHES INSITITUT, 48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY E-mail address: kapowitc@math.uni-muenster.de