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#### Abstract

A holomorphic function $b$ mapping the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ into itself induces a family of measures $\tau_{\alpha},|\alpha|=1$, on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ by means of Herglotz's Theorem. This family of measures defines the Aleksandrov operator $A_{b}$ by means of the formula $A_{b} f(\alpha)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\zeta) d \tau_{\alpha}(\zeta)$, at least for continuous $f$. This operator preserves the smoothness classes determined by regular majorants, and is seen to be compact on these classes precisely when none of the measures $\tau_{\alpha}$ has an atomic part. In the process, a duality theorem for smoothness classes is proved, improving a result of Shields and Williams, and various theorems about composition operators on weighted Bergman spaces are extended to spaces arising from regular weights.


## 1. Introduction

If $K$ is a compact Hausdorff space and $\mathcal{X}$ is a Banach space, there is a one-to-one correspondence between bounded linear operators $T: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C(K)$ and weak* continuous functions $\tau: K \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ given by the formula

$$
T x(k)=\tau(k)(x)
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\tau(k)=T^{*}\left(\delta_{k}\right)
$$

where $\delta_{k} \in C(K)^{*}$ is the functional of evaluation at $k$, and $T^{*}: C(K)^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ is the adjoint of $T$. By a classical theorem (see [6]) $T$ is weakly compact if and only if $\tau$ is weakly continuous, and compact if and only if $\tau$ is norm continuous. According to the Riesz representation theorem, $C(K)^{*}$ can be identified with $M(K)$, the space of regular Borel measures on $K$, and then $\delta_{k}$ denotes the unit point mass at $k \in K$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{X}=C(L)$ for another compact Hausdorff space $L$, then $T^{*}(\mu)$ is a measure on $L$ for each measure $\mu$ on $K$. In particular, the map $\tau$ assigns a measure $\tau(k)$ on $L$ to each point $k \in K$.

[^0]Specializing to the case $K=L=\mathbb{T}$, where $\mathbb{T}$ is the unit circle, there is a one-to-one correspondence between bounded operators $T$ on $C=C(\mathbb{T})$ and weak* continuous functions $\tau$ from $\mathbb{T}$ to $M=M(\mathbb{T})$. An interesting class of operators arises from the family of Aleksandrov measures induced by a holomorphic map $b$ of the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ into itself. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, the analytic function $\frac{\alpha+b(z)}{\alpha-b(z)}$ has positive real part $\frac{1-|b(z)|^{2}}{|\alpha-b(z)|^{2}}$, which, by Herglotz's Theorem, is the Poisson integral of a positive measure $\tau_{\alpha}$ on $\mathbb{T}$. Thus,

$$
\frac{1-|b(z)|^{2}}{|\alpha-b(z)|^{2}}=\int_{\mathbb{T}} P_{z}(\zeta) d \tau_{\alpha}(\zeta)
$$

where $P_{z}(\zeta)=\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|\zeta-z|^{2}}$ is the Poisson kernel. Evidently,

$$
\left\|\tau_{\alpha}\right\|=\int_{\mathbb{T}} d \tau(\zeta)=\frac{1-|b(0)|^{2}}{|\alpha-b(0)|^{2}} \leq \frac{1+|b(0)|}{1-|b(0)|}
$$

with equality when $\alpha$ and $b(0)$ have the same argument. It follows that the Aleksandrov operator

$$
A_{b}(f(\alpha))=\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\zeta) d \tau_{\alpha}(\zeta)
$$

takes continuous functions to bounded functions. As Aleksandrov [1] remarks, the mapping $\alpha \rightarrow \tau_{\alpha}$ is weak* continuous, and consequently $A_{b}$ takes continuous functions to continuous functions. Applying $A_{b}$ to the constant function 1 shows that

$$
\left\|A_{b}\right\|=\frac{1+|b(0)|}{1-|b(0)|}
$$

In a different direction Sarason [16] showed how to extend the composition operator $C_{b} f=f \circ b$ to act on $M$. Indeed, if $\mu$ is a positive measure on $\mathbb{T}$, its Poisson integral $u(z)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} P_{z}(\zeta) d \mu(\zeta)$ is a positive harmonic function. Thus $u \circ b$ is also a positive harmonic function, and hence, by Herglotz's Theorem, the Poisson integral of some positive measure $\nu$. Sarason defines $C_{b} \mu=\nu$, and extends $C_{b}$ to all of $M$ by means of the Jordan decomposition of measures. Noting that $C_{b} \delta_{\alpha}=\tau_{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, it is easy to see that $\left\|C_{b}\right\|_{M}=\sup _{K}\left\|\tau_{\alpha}\right\|=\frac{1+|b(0)|}{1-|b(0)|}$. Among other results, Sarason showed that $C_{b}\left(L^{1}\right) \subset L^{1}$, and that $C_{b}$ is compact on $M$ if and only if $C_{b}(M) \subset L^{1}$, which means that each of the measures $\tau_{\alpha}$ is absolutely continuous. He further showed [17] that if $C_{b}$ is weakly compact on $L^{1}$, it is also compact. It is now known that this compactness is equivalent to compactness of $C_{b}$ acting on any $H^{P}$ space $(0<p<\infty)$. Proofs of this can be found in [20] or [3], where the absolute continuity condition is shown to be equivalent to J. H. Shapiro's function theoretic characterization of compactness on $H^{2}$ (see [19]). Sarason also showed that the formal adjoint $C_{b}^{*}$ takes continuous functions to continuous functions. This observation coincides with Aleksandrov's observation that $A_{b}(C) \subset C$, where $C$ denotes the space of continuous functions on $\mathbb{T}$,
since it is easy to see that $C_{b}: M \rightarrow M$ is the adjoint operator to $A_{b}: C \rightarrow C$ (see [4]). When restricted to analytic functions, the duality between $A_{b}$ and $C_{b}$ breaks down in a minor way unless $b(0)=0$. Indeed, if $f \in A$, where $A$ is the disk algebra, then $A_{b} f$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ with a pole of order at most one at $b(0)$ and with residue $f(0) b(0)$. Hence $A_{b} f$ is analytic if and only if $f(0)=0$ or $b(0)=0$. It follows that the rank-one perturbation $\tilde{A}_{b}$ of $A_{b}$ given by

$$
\tilde{A}_{b} f(\alpha)=A_{b} f(\alpha)-f(0) \frac{b(0)}{\alpha-b(0)}
$$

maps $A$ into itself. On the other hand, Bourdon and Cima [2] showed that $C_{b}$ maps the space $K$ of Cauchy-Stieltjes transforms into itself, and it is wellknown that $K$ can be identified in a canonical way with the dual $A^{*}$ of the disk algebra. It is shown in [4] that $C_{b}: K \rightarrow K$ is the adjoint of $\tilde{A}_{b}: A \rightarrow A$. In order to simplify the subsequent discussion, it will be assumed from now on that $b(0)=0$, so that $\tilde{A}_{b}=A_{b}$.

It turns out that not only does $A_{b}$ preserve continuity, but it also preserves smoothness in the following sense. A majorant is a nonnegative, continuous, increasing function $\omega$ on $[0, \infty)$ with $\omega(0)=0$. The majorant $\omega$ is Dini regular if there is a positive constant $\alpha$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{\omega(t)}{t} d t \leq \alpha \omega(\delta)
$$

for all $\delta>0$, and is said to satisfy the $b_{p}$ condition if there is a positive constant $\beta$ such that

$$
\delta \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^{1+p}} d t \leq \beta \omega(\delta)
$$

for all $\delta>0$. A majorant is regular if it is both Dini regular and satisfies the $b_{1}$ condition.

Let $\Lambda_{\omega}$ be the collection of functions $f \in C$ whose modulus of continuity

$$
\omega(f, \delta)=\sup \left\{\left|f(\zeta)-f\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)\right|:\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right| \leq \delta\right\}
$$

is majorized by $\omega(\delta)$. With the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\omega}=\|f\|_{\infty}+\sup _{\delta} \frac{\omega(f, \delta)}{\omega(\delta)}
$$

it is easy to see (and well known) that $\Lambda_{\omega}$ is a Banach algebra.
Theorem 1. Let $\omega$ be a regular majorant. Then $A_{b}\left(\Lambda_{\omega}\right) \subset \Lambda_{\omega}$ and indeed $A_{b}$ is a bounded operator on $\Lambda_{\omega}$.

The proof of this theorem will rely on the identification of $A_{\omega}=\Lambda_{\omega} \cap A$ with the dual space of a certain weighted Bergman space. The theorem will then be seen to be a consequence of Littlewood's Subordination Principle
[5]. Known arguments about the compactness of composition operators on Bergman spaces will then lead to the next theorem.

THEOREM 2. The operator $A_{b}$ is compact on $\Lambda_{\omega}$ if and only if $\tau_{\alpha}$ has no atomic part for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$.

Specifically, $A_{\omega}$ is isomorphic to the dual of the weighted Bergman space $A^{1}(\psi)$, where $\psi(r)=\omega\left(1-r^{2}\right) /\left(1-r^{2}\right)$. In general $f \in A^{p}(\psi)$ if and only if the integral

$$
\iint_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{p} \psi(|z|) d x d y
$$

is finite. The following more general theorem will be obtained.
Theorem 3. Let $\psi(r)=\omega\left(1-r^{2}\right) /\left(1-r^{2}\right)$ where $\omega$ is a regular majorant. Then the composition operator $C_{b}$ is bounded on the Bergman space $A^{p}(\psi)$ for $0<p<\infty$, and is compact if and only if $b$ has no angular derivatives.

It was shown by R. Nevanlinna [14] that $b$ has an angular derivative in the sense of Carathéodory at $\beta \in \mathbb{T}$ if and only if $\tau_{\alpha}(\{\beta\})>0$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. This should be contrasted with the compactness condition for $C$, which is equivalent to the absolute continuity of $\tau_{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha$.

## 2. Preliminaries

For a majorant $\omega$, set

$$
\omega_{*}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\omega(s)}{s} d s
$$

and

$$
\omega^{*}(t)=t \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(s)}{s^{2}} d s
$$

Then $\omega$ is Dini regular if $\omega_{*}(t) \leq \alpha \omega(t)$ for some $\alpha>0$ and all $t \geq 0$, and satisfies the $b_{1}$ condition if $\omega^{*}(t) \leq \beta \omega(t)$ for some $\beta>0$ and all $t \geq 0$. The majorant $\omega$ is regular if it is Dini regular and satisfies the $b_{1}$ condition.

The regularity assumption derives its importance from the following two inequalities, whose proofs can be found in [11]. For a function $f$ analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, let $M_{\infty}(f, r)=\sup \{|f(z)|:|z|=r\}$. If $f$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, with modulus of continuity $\omega(\delta)=\omega(f, \delta)$, then

$$
M_{\infty}\left(f^{\prime}, r\right)=O\left(\omega^{*}(1-r) /(1-r)\right) \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 1^{-} .
$$

On the other hand, if $M_{\infty}\left(f^{\prime}, r\right)=O(\omega(1-r) /(1-r))$, and if $\omega_{*}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, the function $f$ extends to a continuous function on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ having modulus of continuity

$$
\omega(f, \delta)=O\left(\omega(\delta)+\omega_{*}(\delta)\right) \quad \text { as } \delta \rightarrow 0
$$

These two inequalities combine to yield the following known propositions.

Proposition 1. Let $\omega$ be a regular majorant, and let $f$ be analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. Then $f \in A_{\omega}$ if and only if

$$
M_{\infty}\left(f^{\prime}, r\right)=O(\omega(1-r) /(1-r))
$$

Proposition 2. Let $\omega$ be a regular majorant, and let $u$ be a real valued function in $\Lambda_{\omega}$. Then the harmonic conjugate $\tilde{u}$ also belongs to $\Lambda_{\omega}$.

The proof of the second proposition depends on the fact that the derivative of the analytic function $f=u+i \tilde{u}$ is given by the integral formula

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i t}}{\left(e^{i t}-z\right)^{2}} u\left(e^{i t}\right) d t=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i t}}{\left(e^{i t}-z\right)^{2}}\left(u\left(e^{i t}\right)-u\left(e^{i s}\right)\right) d t
$$

and it is this formula that is used to prove the first inequality above. The same methods produce the following theorem about Toeplitz operators (cf. [11]).

TheOrem 4. Let s be a bounded analytic function on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$, and let

$$
T_{\bar{s}} f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\overline{s\left(e^{i t}\right)} f\left(e^{i t}\right)}{e^{i t}-z} d t
$$

Then $T_{\bar{s}}$ is a bounded operator on $A_{\omega}$.
Corresponding results hold for the subspaces $\Lambda_{\omega, 0}$ of $\Lambda_{\omega}$ and $A_{\omega, 0}$ of $A_{\omega}$ consisting of those functions $f$ which satisfy $\omega(f, \delta)=o(\omega(\delta))$.

Two regular majorants $\omega_{1}(t)$ and $\omega_{2}(t)$ are equivalent if there are positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ such that

$$
c_{1} \omega_{1}(t) \leq \omega_{2}(t) \leq c_{2} \omega_{2}(t)
$$

Clearly, equivalent majorants determine the same spaces of functions, with equivalent norms. The author would like to thank the referee for suggesting the following proposition and its proof. It leads to significant simplification of the subsequent arguments. The original approach followed the methods found in [12] and [7].

Proposition 3. If $\omega$ is a regular majorant, there exists an equivalent regular majorant $\omega_{1}$ and exponents $0<\nu<\mu<1$ such that $t^{-\mu} \omega_{1}(t)$ increases to $\infty$ and $t^{-\nu} \omega_{1}(t)$ decreases to 0 as $t$ decreases to 0 .

Proof. Choose $A$ so that $\log A>\max \left(\alpha, \beta^{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\omega_{*}(A t)=\int_{0}^{A t} \frac{\omega(s)}{s} d s \geq \omega(t) \int_{t}^{A t} \frac{1}{s} d s=\omega(t) \log A
$$

Thus, $(1+\delta) \omega(t) \leq \omega(A t)$ for some positive $\delta$. Next, there is an $s, t<s<A t$, such that

$$
\frac{\omega(s)}{s} \log A=\frac{\omega(s)}{s} \int_{t}^{A t} \frac{1}{u} d u \leq \int_{t}^{A t} \frac{\omega(u)}{u^{2}} d u \leq \frac{\omega^{*}(t)}{t} \leq \beta \frac{\omega(t)}{t}
$$

Now, since $s<A t$,

$$
\frac{\omega(A t)}{A t}=\omega(A t) \int_{A t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^{2}} d u \leq \int_{A t}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(u)}{u^{2}} d u \leq \frac{\omega^{*}(s)}{s}
$$

It follows that

$$
\frac{\omega(A t)}{A t} \leq \frac{\omega^{*}(s)}{s} \leq \beta \frac{\omega(s)}{s} \leq \frac{\beta^{2}}{\log A} \frac{\omega(t)}{t}=(1-\eta) \frac{\omega(t)}{t}
$$

say, where $\eta>0$. Thus $\omega(A t) \leq A(1-\eta) \omega(t)$.
Now for each integer $n$ let $\omega_{1}\left(A^{n}\right)=\omega\left(A^{n}\right)$ and let $\log \omega_{1}$ be a linear function of $\log t$ between $A^{n}$ and $A^{n+1}$. Clearly, $\omega$ and $\omega_{1}$ are equivalent. Choosing $\mu, 0<\mu<1$, so that $A^{\mu}<1+\delta$, shows that

$$
A^{\mu(n+1)} \omega\left(A^{-(n+1)}\right)<A^{\mu n}(1+\delta) \omega\left(A^{-(n+1)}\right) \leq A^{\mu n} \omega\left(A^{-n}\right)
$$

so that the first assertion holds, at least at the special points $A^{n}$. Similarly, if $0<\nu<1$ and $A^{1-\nu}(1-\eta)<1$,

$$
A^{\nu n} \omega\left(A^{-n}\right) \leq A^{1-\nu}(1-\eta) A^{\nu(n+1)} \omega\left(A^{-(n+1)}<A^{\nu(n+1)} \omega\left(A^{-(n+1)}\right)\right.
$$

A simple computation shows that the derivative of $t^{-a} \log \omega_{1}(t)$ with respect to $\log t$ on $\left(A^{n}, A^{n+1}\right)$ is equal to $\log \left(\frac{\omega_{1}\left(A^{n+1}\right)}{\omega_{1}\left(A^{n}\right)}\right) / \log A-a$. The inequality $(1+\delta) \omega\left(A^{n}\right) \leq \omega\left(A^{n+1}\right)$ shows that this derivative is greater than $\log (1+\delta) / \log A-a$ which is greater than 0 for $a=\mu$. Similarly, the inequality $\omega\left(A^{n+1}\right) \leq A(1-\eta) \omega\left(A^{n}\right)$ shows that this derivative is smaller than $(\log A(1-\eta)) / \log A-a$ which is less than 0 for $a=\nu$. This completes the proof.

This approach can be used to construct regular majorants with various properties. For example if $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an increasing sequence such that

$$
0<a=\frac{1}{\log 2} \liminf _{n \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{\omega_{n+1}}{\omega_{n}}<\frac{1}{\log 2} \limsup _{n \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{\omega_{n+1}}{\omega_{n}}=b<1
$$

the regular majorant given by $\omega\left(2^{n}\right)=\omega_{n}$, etc., satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 3 only if $0<\mu<a$ and $b<\nu<1$. This answers a question posed to the author by John R. Cannon.

It is easy to show that a majorant $\omega$ satisfying the conclusions of Proposition 3 must be regular. In the remainder of this paper it will be assumed that the regular majorant $\omega$ satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 3 with exponents $\mu$ and $\nu$.

## 3. Duality

For a regular majorant $\omega$, the results of the preceding section allow the identification of the space $A_{\omega}$ with a space of analytic functions of restricted growth. Specifically, if $\phi(r)$ is positive and continuous for $0 \leq r<1$ with $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \phi(r)=0, A_{\infty}(\phi)$ will denote the space of functions $f$ analytic in the unit disk and satisfying the growth condition

$$
\sup _{0 \leq r<1} M_{\infty}(f, r) \phi(r)<\infty
$$

with the norm $\|f\|_{\phi}$ of $f$ set equal to the quantity on the left-hand side of the above inequality. This space has a subspace $A_{0}(\phi)$ consisting of those functions $f$ in $A_{\infty}(\phi)$ which satisfy

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} M_{\infty}(f, r) \phi(r)=0
$$

The codimension-one subspace of functions $f \in A_{\omega}$ which vanish at the origin is then identified with $A_{\infty}(\phi)$ under the correspondence of $f$ with $f^{\prime}$, when $\phi(r)=\left(1-r^{2}\right) / \omega(1-r)$. Similarly, $A_{0}(\phi)$ corresponds to the subspace $A_{\omega, 0}$ of functions vanishing at the origin.

Rubel and Shields [15] showed that under rather general conditions $A_{\infty}(\phi)$ is isomorphic to the second dual of $A_{0}(\phi)$, and subsequently Shields and Williams [21] identified the intervening dual space under more restrictive hypotheses on $\phi$. To describe this, first consider a positive continuous function $\psi(r)$ for $0<r \leq 1$ with

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \psi(r) d r<\infty
$$

For $f$ analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ define

$$
\|f\|_{\psi}=\int_{0}^{1} M_{1}(f, r) \psi(r) d r
$$

where

$$
M_{1}(f, r)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right| d t
$$

Then $A^{1}(\psi)$ will be the Banach space of analytic functions $f$ with $\|f\|_{\psi}<\infty$.
A function $\phi$ as above will be called normal if there exist $k>\epsilon>0$ and $r_{0}<1$ such that

$$
\frac{\phi(r)}{(1-r)^{\epsilon}} \downarrow 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\phi(r)}{(1-r)^{k}} \uparrow \infty \quad\left(r_{0} \leq r, r \rightarrow 1^{-}\right)
$$

The functions $(\phi, \psi)$ then form a normal pair if there is $\alpha>k-1$ such that

$$
\phi(r) \psi(r)=\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \quad(0 \leq r<1)
$$

If $(\phi, \psi)$ is a normal pair, there is a pairing between $A_{\infty}(\phi)$ and $A^{1}(\psi)$ given by

$$
(f, g)=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} f(z) g(\bar{z}) \phi(|z|) \psi(|z|) d x d y, \quad f \in A_{\infty}(\phi), g \in A^{1}(\psi) .
$$

For $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in A_{\infty}(\phi)$ and $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in A^{1}(\psi)$, this pairing is also given by

$$
(f, g)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n} b_{n} n!}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) \cdots(\alpha+n+1)},
$$

with the series converging in the sense of Abel. In particular, when $\alpha=0$, the pairing is

$$
(f, g)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n} b_{n}}{n+1}
$$

Theorem 2 of [21] then asserts that the dual space $A_{0}(\phi)^{*}$ is isomorphic to $A^{1}(\psi)$, and that $A^{1}(\psi)^{*}$ is isomorphic to $A_{\infty}(\phi)$.

When $\omega$ is a regular majorant, the function $\phi(r)=\left(1-r^{2}\right) / \omega\left(1-r^{2}\right)$ is normal, and so the duality result of Shields and Williams holds between $A_{\infty}(\phi)$ and $A^{1}(\psi)$ with $\psi(r) \phi(r)=1$. It will be more convenient to use the dual pairing

$$
(f, g)=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} f(z) \bar{z} g(\bar{z}) \phi(|z|) \psi(|z|) d x d y
$$

The analysis of Shields and Williams carries over. Moreover, in terms of coefficients the pairing is

$$
(f, g)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n} b_{n+1}}{n+1},
$$

where, as above, the series converges in the sense of Abel. If $h(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}$ $\in A_{\omega}$, its derivative belongs to $A_{\infty}(\phi)$ and the dual pairing

$$
\langle h, g\rangle=c_{0} b_{0}+\left(h^{\prime}, g\right)=c_{0} b_{0}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1) c_{n+1} b_{n+1}}{n+1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} b_{n}
$$

leads to the following theorem.
Theorem 5. Let $\omega(r)$ be a regular majorant. If $\psi(r)$ is given by the formula $\psi(r)=\left(1-r^{2}\right) / \omega\left(1-r^{2}\right)$, the space $A^{1}(\psi)$ is isomorphic to the dual space of $A_{\omega, 0}$, and in turn has dual space isomorphic to $A_{\omega}$. For $f(z)=$ $\sum_{b y}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in A_{\omega}$ and $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in A^{1}(\psi)$, the dual pairing is given

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n},
$$

where the series converges in the sense of Abel.

## 4. Aleksandrov operators

Throughout this section $b$ will denote a holomorphic function mapping the unit disk into itself which fixes the origin. Theorem 1 now admits a simple proof based on duality and Littlewood's subordination principle in the form

$$
M_{1}(f \circ b, r) \leq M_{1}(f, r)
$$

The next lemma is immediate.
LEMMA 6. Let $\psi(r)$ be a positive, increasing, integrable function on $0 \leq$ $r<1$. Then the composition operator $C_{b}$ is bounded on $A^{1}(\psi)$.

If $\omega$ is a regular majorant and $\psi(r)=\omega\left(1-r^{2}\right) /\left(1-r^{2}\right)$, then $\psi(r)$ satisfies the hypotheses of the lemma. Indeed,

$$
\psi(r)=\frac{\omega\left(1-r^{2}\right)}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)}=\left(\frac{1}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{1-\nu} \frac{\omega\left(1-r^{2}\right)}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\nu}}
$$

is increasing, since each factor on the right is. Thus $C_{b}$ is a bounded operator on the dual space $A^{1}(\psi)$.

As noted in the introduction, it was shown in [4] that $A_{b}$ is the formal adjoint of $C_{b}$, when the dual pairing is given by

$$
(f, g)=\sum f_{n} \overline{g_{n}}
$$

With respect to the pairing used in this paper, $C_{b^{*}}$ is the formal adjoint of $A_{b}$, where $b^{*}(z)=\overline{b(\bar{z})}$. In particular, $A_{b}$ is bounded on polynomials in $A_{\omega}$. In [16] Sarason observed that if $b(0)=0$, the adjoint of $C_{b}$ takes polynomials of degree $n$ into polynomials of degree at most $n$. Since the polynomials are dense in $A_{\omega, 0}$, it follows that $A_{b}$ is a bounded operator on $A_{\omega, 0}$, and hence also on $A_{\omega}$ since the latter space is isomorphic to the second dual of $A_{\omega, 0}$.

If $f \in \Lambda_{\omega}$ and $f$ is real valued, according to Proposition 2 its harmonic conjugate $\tilde{f}$ also belongs to $\Lambda_{\omega}$. Hence $F=f+i \tilde{f}$ belongs to $A_{\omega}$. Applying $A_{b}$ to $F$ and taking the real part shows that $A_{b} f \in \Lambda_{\omega}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 5. Carleson measures and compactness

Because operators are compact if and only if their adjoints are, Theorem 2 will follow from the corresponding theorem for the composition operator $C_{b}$ acting on $A^{1}(\psi)$, where $\psi(r)=\omega\left(1-r^{2}\right) /\left(1-r^{2}\right)$. Actually a somewhat more general result is true. For $0<p<\infty$, let $A^{p}(\psi)$ denote the space of functions analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ for which

$$
\|f\|_{p, \psi}^{p}=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{p} \psi(|z|) d x d y<\infty
$$

For $1 \leq p<\infty$, this is a Banach space and for all $p$ it is an $F$-space. Littlewood's principle again guarantees that $C_{b}$ is a bounded operator on each of these spaces.

Theorem 7. Let $\omega$ be a regular majorant, and let $\psi(r)=\omega\left(1-r^{2}\right) /(1-$ $\left.r^{2}\right)$. Then the composition operator $C_{b}$ is compact on $A^{p}(\psi)$ if and only if $b$ has no angular derivatives in the sense of Carathéodory.

The proof of this theorem will use extensions of known arguments involving the Carleson measures for these spaces. The Carleson measure aspects will be treated in this section, and angular derivatives will be considered in the next.

A positive regular Borel measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is a Carleson measure for $A^{p}(\psi)$ if there is a constant $C$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{p} d \mu \leq C\|f\|_{p, \psi}^{p}
$$

for every $f \in A^{p}(\psi)$. As the following theorem indicates, Carleson measures admit a geometric characterization. This theorem, whose proof will be given below, is an extension of known results.

TheOrem 8. Let $I$ be an arc of the unit circle and let $R(I)$ denote the Carleson square on $I$. Thus $z \in R(I)$ if and only if $1-|z|<|I|$ and $z /|z| \in I$, where $|I|$ denotes the normalized length of $I$. If $\omega$ is a regular majorant and $\psi(r)=\omega\left(1-r^{2}\right) /\left(1-r^{2}\right)$, the positive regular Borel measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is a Carleson measure for $A^{p}(\psi)$ if and only if

$$
\mu(R(I)) \leq C|I| \omega(|I|)
$$

for all arcs $I$ on the unit circle. When this happens, the constant $C$ and the Carleson measure constant are proportional. Moreover, the embedding of $A^{p}(\psi)$ into $L^{p}(\mu)$ is compact if and only if for every $\epsilon>0$ there is a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\mu(R(I)) \leq \epsilon|I| \omega(|I|)
$$

whenever $|I|<\delta$.
For each holomorphic function $b$ mapping the unit disk into itself there is an associated positive regular Borel measure $\mu_{b}$ determined by the change of variables formula

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}} u(\zeta) d \mu_{b}(\zeta)=\iint_{\mathbb{D}} u \circ b(z) \psi(|z|) d x d y
$$

Since

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(\zeta)|^{p} d \mu_{b}(\zeta)=\iint_{\mathbb{D}}|f \circ b(z)|^{p} \psi(|z|) d x d y
$$

for each $f \in A^{p}(\psi)$, it follows from the boundedness of $C_{b}$ on $A^{p}(\psi)$ that $\mu_{b}$ is a Carleson measure for each space $A^{p}(\psi)$.

Now any operator $T$ from $A^{p}(\psi)$ to a Banach space $X$ is compact if and only if $\left\|T f_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ for every bounded sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)$ in $A^{p}(\psi)$ which converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. If $\mu_{b}$ satisfies the last condition of Theorem 8 , the composition operator $C_{b}$ is compact on $A^{p}(\psi)$. Indeed, given $\epsilon>0$, choose $\delta>0$ so that $\mu_{b}(R(I))<\epsilon|I| \omega(|I|)$ whenever $|I|<\delta$. Let $\mu_{1}$ be the restriction of $\mu_{b}$ to the disk $|z| \leq 1-\delta$ and let $\mu_{2}=\mu_{b}-\mu_{1}$. If $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is a bounded sequence in $A^{p}(\psi)$ which converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of the unit disk, then

$$
\left\|C_{b} f_{n}\right\|_{p, \psi}^{p}=\int\left|f_{n}\right|^{p} d \mu_{1}+\int\left|f_{n}\right|^{p} d \mu_{2}
$$

The first integral on the right tends to 0 because $\mu_{1}$ is supported on a compact set, and the second does not exceed $c \epsilon^{p}$ for some constant $c$. It follows that $C_{b}$ is compact.

The following norm estimate will be needed for the proof of the converse and again in the proof of Theorem 8. The argument comes from [21]. The expression $A \approx B$ means there are two positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ such that $c_{1} \leq A / B \leq c_{2}$.

Proposition 4. Let $k_{a}(z)=(1-\bar{a} z)^{-2 / p}$ for $a \in \mathbb{D}$. Then

$$
\left\|k_{a}\right\|_{p, \psi} \approx \frac{\omega\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)}{1-|a|^{2}} \quad \text { as }|a| \rightarrow 1
$$

Proof. First note that

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|k_{a}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta=\frac{1}{1-|a|^{2} r^{2}}
$$

It follows that

$$
\left\|k_{a}\right\|_{p, \psi}^{p}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1-|a|^{2} r^{2}} \frac{\omega\left(1-r^{2}\right)}{1-r^{2}} d r
$$

Since

$$
\frac{1}{1-|a|^{2} r^{2}} \frac{\omega\left(1-r^{2}\right)}{1-r^{2}} \approx \frac{1}{1-|a| r} \frac{\omega(1-r)}{1-r}
$$

it is enough to estimate the integral of the latter expression. Now, integrating by parts gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1-\rho r} \frac{\omega(1-r)}{1-r} d r & =\omega_{*}(1)+\rho \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{(1-\rho r)^{2}} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\omega(1-s)}{1-s} d s d r \\
& =\omega_{*}(1)+\rho \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\omega_{*}(1-r)}{(1-\rho r)^{2}} d r \\
& \approx \omega_{*}(1)+\rho \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\omega(1-r)}{(1-\rho r)^{2}} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\omega$ is increasing,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\omega(1-r)}{(1-\rho r)^{2}} d r & \leq \rho \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\omega(1-\rho r)}{(1-\rho r)^{2}} d r \\
& =\int_{1-\rho}^{1} \frac{\omega(u)}{u^{2}} d u \\
& \leq \frac{\omega^{*}(1-\rho)}{1-\rho} \\
& \leq \beta \frac{\omega(1-\rho)}{1-\rho}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is half of what is needed.
To obtain the lower estimate, note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\omega(1-r)}{(1-\rho r)^{2}} d r & =\rho \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-r)^{\mu}}{(1-\rho r)^{2}} \frac{\omega(1-r)}{(1-r)^{\mu}} d r \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-r)^{\mu} \omega(1-\rho r)}{(1-\rho r)^{2+\mu}} d r \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \omega(1-\rho) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-r)^{\mu}}{(1-\rho r)^{2+\mu}} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

when, say, $1 / 2<\rho<1$. The inequality then follows from the simple estimate

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-\rho r)^{-m}(1-r)^{\gamma} d r \geq c(1-\rho)^{1+\gamma-m}
$$

which is valid for $\gamma<1$ and $m>\gamma+1$.
Suppose $p=1$ (the argument for other values of $p$ is similar). For each nonzero $a \in \mathbb{D}$, let $I_{a}$ be the arc of the circle with length $\left|I_{a}\right|=1-|a|$ and center $a /|a|$. The functions $f_{a}=\frac{\left|I_{a}\right|}{\omega\left(\left|I_{a}\right|\right)} k_{a}$ are norm bounded, while the estimate $|1-\bar{a} z| \leq c(1-|a|)$ for $z \in R\left(I_{a}\right)$ shows that $\left|f_{a}(z)\right| \geq C /\left(\left|I_{a}\right| \omega\left(\left|I_{a}\right|\right)\right)$ for $z \in R\left(I_{a}\right)$. In particular, if $\mu$ is a Carleson measure for $A^{1}(\psi)$, then

$$
\mu\left(R\left(I_{a}\right)\right)=\int_{R\left(I_{a}\right)} d \mu \leq c\left|I_{a}\right| \omega\left(\left|I_{a}\right|\right) \int_{R\left(I_{a}\right)}\left|f_{a}\right| d \mu \leq c^{\prime}\left|I_{a}\right| \omega\left(\left|I_{a}\right|\right)
$$

and the geometric condition of Theorem 8 holds for $\mu$.
If the last condition of Proposition 8 fails for the measure $\mu$, there is an $\eta>0$ and a sequence of arcs $I_{n}$ with $\left|I_{n}\right| \rightarrow 0$ and such that $\mu\left(R\left(I_{n}\right)\right) \geq$ $\eta\left|I_{n}\right| \omega\left(\left|I_{n}\right|\right)$ for each $n$. If $a_{n}$ is the point with $1-\left|a_{n}\right|=\left|I_{n}\right|$ and with $a_{n} /\left|a_{n}\right|$ the center of $I_{n}$, then the functions $f_{a_{n}}$ tend to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$, but the above estimate shows that

$$
\int\left|f_{a_{n}}\right| d \mu \geq C \eta \quad \text { for all } n
$$

and so the embedding of $A^{1}(\psi)$ in $L^{1}(\mu)$ cannot be compact.
The easiest way to show that $\mu$ is a Carleson measure for $A^{p}(\psi)$ if $\mu(R(I)) \leq$ $C|I| \omega(|I|)$ is to use a method of Luecking [10]. This method uses a family of sets $E(a), a \in \mathbb{D}$, with the following properties:
(i) $\overline{E(a)} \subset \mathbb{D}$;
(ii) $\operatorname{area}\left(E^{*}(a)\right) \leq c_{1}$ area $(E(a))$, with $E^{*}(a)=\cup\{E(b): E(b) \cap E(a) \neq \emptyset\}$;
(iii) $\psi(b) \leq c_{2} \psi(a)$ for all $b \in E(a)$.

If the functions $f \in A^{p}(\psi)$ satisfy a mean value inequality

$$
|f(z)|^{p} \leq \frac{c_{3}}{\operatorname{area}(E(a))} \int_{E(a)}|f(z)|^{p} d x d y,
$$

Luecking proves that $\mu$ is a Carleson measure for $A^{p}(\psi)$ provided $\mu(E(a)) \leq$ $c_{4} \int_{E(a)} \psi(z) d x d y$ for each $a \in \mathbb{D}$.

In the current setting it is enough to let $E(a)$ be the hyperbolic disk with center $a$ and fixed radius $r$. The mean value inequality and the properties (i) and (ii) are known to hold in this case. For the third property, if $E(a)=\{b$ : $\left.\left|\frac{a-b}{1-\bar{b} b}\right|<r\right\}$, then

$$
\frac{|a|-r}{1-|a| r} \leq|b| \leq \frac{|a|+r}{1+|a| r} .
$$

Since

$$
1-\left|\frac{|a|+r}{1+|a| r}\right|^{2}=\frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)\left(1-r^{2}\right)}{(1+|a| r)^{2}} \geq\left(1-|a|^{2}\right) \frac{1-r}{1+r}
$$

it follows that $\left(1-|b|^{2}\right) \geq c\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)$ for all $b \in E(a)$. On the other hand,

$$
1-\left|\frac{|a|-r}{1-|a| r}\right|^{2}=\frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)\left(1-r^{2}\right)}{(1-|a| r)^{2}} \leq\left(1-|a|^{2}\right) \frac{1+r}{1-r},
$$

so $1-|b|^{2} \leq c\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)$ for all $b \in E(a)$, and thus $\omega\left(1-|b|^{2}\right) \leq c^{\prime} \omega\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)$ for some constant $c^{\prime}$ depending only on $c$ and $\omega$. This establishes (iii).

Finally, each $E(a)$ is contained in a Carleson square $R(I)$, where $|I|$ is proportional to $1-|a|$. Thus $\mu(E(a)) \leq \mu(R(I)) \leq C|I| \omega(|I|)$. On the other hand, $E(a)$ contains a rectangle

$$
R(a)=\{z:|\arg z-\arg a|<\kappa(1-|a|), \quad \kappa(1-|a|)<1-|z|<(1-|a|)\}
$$

where $1>\kappa>0$ depends only on $r$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{E(a)} \psi(z) d x d y & \geq \int_{R(a)} \psi(z) d x d y \\
& \geq c_{5}(1-|a|) \int_{\kappa(1-|a|)}^{1-|a|} \frac{\omega(t)}{t} d t \\
& \geq c_{6}(1-|a|) \omega(\kappa(1-|a|))
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, $\omega(\kappa(1-|a|)) \geq \kappa^{\prime} \omega(|I|)$, where $\kappa^{\prime}$ depends only on $\kappa$. Thus the condition on $\mu$ follows.

Arguing as above, it is not difficult to see that when $\mu$ is a Carleson measure for $A^{p}(\psi)$, the embedding of $A^{p}(\psi)$ into $L^{p}(\mu)$ is compact if and only if

$$
\mu(E(a))=o((1-|a|) \omega(1-|a|))
$$

as $|a| \rightarrow 1$. The compactness results can be summarized as follows.
Proposition 5. The following are equivalent:
(i) $C_{b}$ is compact on $A^{p}(\psi)$ for some $0<p<\infty$;
(ii) $C_{b}$ is compact on $A^{p}(\psi)$ for all $0<p<\infty$;
(iii) $\mu_{b}(R(I))=o(|I| \omega(|I|))$ as $|I| \rightarrow 0$.

## 6. Angular derivatives

Since Proposition 5 shows that compactness of $C_{b}$ on $A^{p}(\psi)$ does not depend on $p \in(0, \infty)$, it will be enough to consider $A^{2}(\psi)$. The proof of Theorem 2 will be completed by showing directly that compactness of $C_{b}$ is equivalent to the nonexistence of angular derivatives. The argument is an adaptation to the current setting of an argument of J. H. Shapiro.

First suppose that $b$ has an angular derivative. Then there is a sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{D}$ with $a_{n} \rightarrow \alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\phi\left(a_{n}\right) \rightarrow \beta \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\frac{1-\left|\phi\left(a_{n}\right)\right|}{1-\left|a_{n}\right|} \rightarrow d \in$ $(0, \infty)$. It follows that

$$
\frac{d}{2}\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|\right) \leq 1-\left|\phi\left(a_{n}\right)\right| \leq 2 d\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|\right)
$$

for large $n$. Arguing as in [18, Chapter 3], let $f_{n}=k_{a_{n}} /\left\|k_{a_{n}}\right\|_{2, \psi}$. Note that $C_{b}^{*} k_{a}=k_{b(a)}$, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|C_{b}^{*} f_{n}\right\|_{2, \psi}^{2} & =\frac{\left\|k_{b\left(a_{n}\right)}\right\|_{2, \psi}^{2}}{\left\|k_{a_{n}}\right\|_{2, \psi}^{2}} \\
& \approx \frac{1-\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}{1-\left|b\left(a_{n}\right)\right|^{2}} \frac{\omega\left(1-\left|b\left(a_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\right)}{\omega\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and this is bounded away from 0 for large $n$. Now suppose that $C_{b}$ is compact, and, passing to a subsequence if necessary, that $C_{b}^{*} f_{n} \rightarrow g$. Let $p$ be a polynomial. Then

$$
\langle g, p\rangle=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle C_{b}^{*} f_{n}, p\right\rangle=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f_{n}, C_{b} p\right\rangle=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{p\left(b\left(a_{n}\right)\right)}}{\left\|k_{a_{n}}\right\|_{2, \psi}}
$$

Since $p$ is bounded and $\left\|k_{a_{n}}\right\|_{2, \psi} \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\langle g, p\rangle=0$ for all polynomials $p$. Since the polynomials are dense in $A^{2}(\psi)$ it follows that $g=0$, and so $\left\|C_{b}^{*} f_{n}\right\|_{2, \psi} \rightarrow 0$. This is a contradiction, so if $C_{b}$ is compact, $b$ has no angular derivatives.

Now suppose that $b$ has no angular derivatives. To begin with, $A^{2}(\psi)$ admits an equivalent norm

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}, \psi}^{2}=|f(0)|^{2}+\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \Psi_{0}(|z|) d x d y
$$

where (cf. [5, p. 133])

$$
\Psi_{0}(r)=\int_{r}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} \psi(t) d t d s
$$

A simple argument shows that $\Psi_{0}(r) \approx(1-r) \omega(1-r)$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{0}(r) & =\int_{r}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} \frac{\omega\left(1-t^{2}\right)}{1-t^{2}} d t d s \\
& \approx \int_{r}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} \frac{\omega(1-t)}{1-t} d t d s \\
& =\int_{r}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} \frac{\omega(1-t)}{(1-t)^{\nu}} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{1-\nu}} d t d s \\
& \leq \int_{r}^{1} \frac{\omega(1-s)}{(1-s)^{\nu}} \int_{s}^{1} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{1-\nu}} d t d s \\
& =\frac{1}{\nu} \int_{r}^{1} \omega(1-s) d s \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\nu}(1-r) \omega(1-r)
\end{aligned}
$$

An analogous argument produces the lower estimate. Thus $\Psi_{0}(r)$ can be replaced by $\Psi(r)=(1-r) \omega(1-r)$ in the equivalent norm.

Using $\Psi(r)$ in the equivalent norm, there is a change of variables formula

$$
\iint_{\mathbb{D}}\left|(f \circ b)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \Psi(|z|) d x d y=\iint_{b(\mathbb{D})}\left|f^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} M_{b}(w) d u d v
$$

where

$$
M_{b}(w)=\sum_{b(z)=w} \Psi(|z|)
$$

see $[5, \mathrm{p} .36]$. Since $b(0)=0$, the Schwarz Lemma shows that $|w| \leq|z|$ whenever $w=b(z)$, and hence $1-|z| \leq 1-|w|$. Suppose $w \neq 0$ and let $z_{0}$ be
the value of $z$ of least modulus with $b(z)=w$. Then, because $\omega$ is increasing,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{b}(w) & =\sum_{b(z)=w}(1-|z|) \omega(1-|z|) \\
& \leq \omega\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|\right) \sum_{b(z)=w}(1-|z|) \\
& \leq \omega(1-|w|) \sum_{b(z)=w}(1-|z|) \\
& \leq \omega(1-|w|) \sum_{b(z)=w} \log \frac{1}{|z|}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $1-|z| \leq \log 1 /|z|$. Now Littlewood's Inequality (see [18, p. 187]) asserts that

$$
\sum_{b(z)=w} \log \frac{1}{|z|} \leq \log \frac{1}{|w|}
$$

so $M_{b}(w) \leq \omega(1-|w|) \log 1 /|w|$. Hence there is a constant $C$ such that $M_{b}(w) \leq C \Psi(|w|)$ if $|w|>1 / 2$. On the other hand, $M_{b}(w)$ is clearly bounded if $|w| \leq 1 / 2$. It follows that $C_{b}$ is compact if $M_{b}(w)=o(\Psi(|w|))$, as $|w| \rightarrow 1$. To see this, it is enough to show that $\left\|C_{b} f_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}, \psi} \rightarrow 0$ if $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is a bounded sequence in $A^{2}(\psi)$ which converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. But given $\epsilon>0$ there is a $\delta>0$ such that $M_{b}(w)<\epsilon$ whenever $1-|w|<\delta$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|C_{b}\left(f_{n}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{D}, \psi}^{2}= & \left|f_{n}(0)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{b(\mathbb{D})}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} M_{b}(w) d u d v \\
\leq & \left|f_{n}(0)\right|^{2}+\frac{C}{\pi} \iint_{|w| \leq 1-\delta}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d u d v \\
& \quad+\frac{\epsilon}{\pi} \iint_{b(\mathbb{D})}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} \Psi(w) d u d v \\
\leq & \left|f_{n}(0)\right|^{2}+\frac{C}{\pi} \iint_{|w| \leq 1-\delta}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d u d v+\epsilon\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}, \psi}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the first two terms clearly tend to 0 , it follows that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|C_{b}\left(f_{n}\right)\right\|_{2, \psi}=$ 0 and so $C_{b}$ is compact.

If $b$ has no angular derivatives, then

$$
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{1-|b(z)|}{1-|z|}=\infty
$$

Otherwise there is a sequence $z_{n} \in \mathbb{D}$ with $\left|z_{n}\right| \rightarrow 1$ such that $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{1-|b(z)|}{1-|z|}=$ $a<\infty$, say. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, it may be supposed that $z_{n} \rightarrow \alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ and $b\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow \beta \in \mathbb{T}$. Then the Julia-Carathéodory Theorem shows that $b$ has an angular derivative at $\alpha$. Hence, given $\epsilon>0$ there is a
$\delta>0$ such that $1-|z|<\epsilon(1-|w|)$ whenever $|w|>1-\delta$ and $b(z)=w$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{b}(w) & =\sum_{b(z)=w}(1-|z|) \omega(1-|z|) \\
& \leq \omega\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|\right) \sum_{b(z)=w} 1-|z| \\
& \leq C \omega(\epsilon(1-|w|))(1-|w|) \\
& \leq \frac{\omega(\epsilon(1-|w|))}{\omega(1-|w|)} \Psi(w),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z_{0}$ is the value of $z$ of least modulus such that $b(z)=w$ and the second inequality follows from Littlewood's Inequality. Finally, since $\omega(t) / t^{\nu}$ is increasing, it is easy to see that $\omega(\epsilon t) / \omega(t) \leq \epsilon^{\nu}$. This completes the proof.

## 7. Concluding remarks

It would, of course, be of interest to see a proof of Theorem 1 that does not use duality as in the present paper. As remarked in the introduction, $A_{b}$ is compact on $C$ if and only if the function $\alpha \rightarrow \tau_{\alpha}$ is norm continuous. If this function has modulus of continuity $\omega(t)$, then $\omega\left(C_{b} f, t\right) \leq C \omega(t)$ for every $f \in C$, and so $A_{b}(C) \subset \Lambda_{\omega}$. This suggests a different approach to smoothness. On the other hand, there are inner functions $b$ without angular derivatives, and so the corresponding operator $A_{b}$ is compact on the spaces $\Lambda_{\omega}$, even though all of the measures $\tau_{\alpha}$ are singular, and the function $\alpha \rightarrow \tau_{\alpha}$ has no point of continuity for the norm topology on $M$.

If $\alpha(z)=\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$, then $\alpha$ is a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{T}$ onto itself. It is clear that $\alpha$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition

$$
\left|\alpha\left(e^{i(t+\delta)}\right)-\alpha\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| \leq \kappa \delta
$$

for $\delta>0$. Consequently, if $f \in \Lambda_{\omega}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f\left(\alpha\left(e^{i(t+\delta)}\right)\right)-f\left(\alpha\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right| & \leq C_{f} \omega\left(\left|\alpha\left(e^{i(t+\delta)}\right)-\alpha\left(e^{i t}\right)\right|\right) \\
& \leq C_{f} \omega(\kappa \delta) \leq C_{f} C^{\prime} \omega(\delta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the restriction $b(0)=0$ is inconsequential.
Finally, it is clear that the analysis here can be carried over to spaces of functions whose smoothness is determined by an integral modulus of continuity. The details will be left to others.
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