Illinois Journal of Mathematics Volume 45, Number 3, Fall 2001, Pages 851–863 S 0019-2082

UPPER BOUNDS FOR THE FIRST EIGENVALUE OF THE OPERATOR L_r AND SOME APPLICATIONS

HILÁRIO ALENCAR, MANFREDO DO CARMO, AND FERNANDO MARQUES

ABSTRACT. We obtain upper bounds for the first eigenvalue of the linearized operator L_r of the *r*-mean curvature of a compact manifold immersed in a space of constant curvature δ . By the same method, we obtain an upper bound for the first eigenvalue of the stability operator associated to L_r when $\delta < 0$.

1. Introduction

Let M^n be a compact, connected, orientable Riemannian manifold, isometrically immersed in a simply connected space form $\overline{M}^{n+1}(\delta)$, with constant sectional curvature δ . We obtain upper bounds for the first eigenvalue of some elliptic operators defined on M (see below). In 1988, Heintze [H] proved that

$$\lambda_1^{\Delta} \le n\delta + n \max H_1^2$$

for manifolds immersed in a hyperbolic space ($\delta < 0$) and that

$$\lambda_1^{\Delta} \le n\delta + \frac{n}{\operatorname{vol} M} \int_M H_1^2$$

for manifolds immersed in a sphere ($\delta > 0$), contained in a convex ball of radius $r \leq \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{\delta}}$ (if $\delta > 0$). Here λ_1^{Δ} denotes the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on M and H_1 denotes the mean curvature. (In fact, Heintze considered as ambient spaces Riemannian manifolds with curvature bounded above by δ .) The latter inequality was obtained by Reilly [R] in 1977 for manifolds immersed in Euclidean space ($\delta = 0$), and generalized to arbitrary δ by El Soufi and Ilias [ESI] in 1992. In all of these estimates, equality holds precisely when M is a geodesic sphere of \overline{M} . Both El Soufi and Ilias [ESI] and Heintze [H] applied these bounds to obtain the stability theorems of Barbosa and do Carmo [B-dC] for immersions in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , and of Barbosa, do Carmo,

©2001 University of Illinois

Received June 19, 2000; received in final form April 30, 2001.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C42, 53A10.

The authors were partially supported by CNPq. The second author was partially supported by FAPERJ.

and Eschenburg [B-dC-E] for immersions in S^{n+1} and H^{n+1} . (The restriction $r \leq \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{\delta}}$ in the case of S^{n+1} in [H] is stronger than that in [B-dC-E].)

We first introduce some notation. Consider the elementary symmetric functions S_r (r = 1, ..., n) of the principal curvatures and the *r*-mean curvatures

$$H_r = \frac{S_r}{\binom{n}{r}}$$

Let A be the second fundamental form associated to a globally defined normal unit vector field N. We define an operator L_r by

$$L_r f = \operatorname{div}(P_r \nabla_M f),$$

where $\nabla_M f$ stands for the gradient of f in M and P_r denotes the classical Newton transformation defined inductively by

$$P_0 = I,$$

$$P_r = S_r I - A P_{r-1}.$$

Each P_r is a self-adjoint operator whose trace is $c(r)H_r$, where $c(r) = (n - r)\binom{n}{r}$ (see [B-C, Lemma 2.1]). Note that $L_0 = \Delta$.

In general, the operator L_r is not elliptic and some conditions are necessary to ensure the presence of ellipticity. However, in the theorems below, the hypotheses will guarantee that L_r is elliptic; see, for instance, the remarks made at the beginning of the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Thus we can consider the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{L_r}$ of L_r . This is the object we study here.

Assume that S_{r+1} is constant. Following [B-C] we say that the immersion is r-stable if $I_r(f) \ge 0$ for any $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\int_M f \, dM = 0$, where

$$I_r(f) = -\int_M f\left\{ L_r(f) + \left[\frac{n}{r+1} c(r) H_1 H_{r+1} - c(r+1) H_{r+2} + \delta c(r) H_r \right] f \right\}.$$

In 1993, Alencar, do Carmo, and Rosenberg [A-dC-R, Theorem 1.1] proved that if H_{r+1} is positive (but not necessarily constant) on M, then

$$\lambda_1^{L_r} \int_M H_r \le c(r) \int_M H_{r+1}^2,$$

for manifolds immersed in Euclidean space, and equality holds if and only if M is a sphere. They applied this result to obtain the theorem of Barbosa and do Carmo [B-dC] and a theorem of Alencar, do Carmo, and Colares [A-dC-C]. They also proved that an immersion of a hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} wth H_{r+1} constant is *r*-stable if and only if M is a sphere. In 1995, Grosjean [G1] obtained sharp integral bounds for $\lambda_1^{L_r}$ of immersions in any space form $\overline{M}(\delta)$, under the additional hypothesis of convexity of the immersion.

In this paper, inspired by Heintze's work [H], we obtain sharp upper bounds for $\lambda_1^{L_r}$ without the convexity hypothesis, in both the hyperbolic and spherical spaces. We prove the following theorems:

THEOREM 1.1. Let M^n be a compact manifold isometrically immersed in $\overline{M}^{n+1}(\delta)$, with $\delta < 0$. If $H_{r+1} > 0$ on M, then

$$\lambda_1^{L_r} \le \delta c(r) \min H_r + c(r) \frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r},$$

and equality holds if and only if M is a geodesic sphere.

THEOREM 1.2. Let M^n be a compact manifold isometrically immersed in $\overline{M}^{n+1}(\delta)$, with $\delta > 0$, and suppose M is contained in a convex ball of radius $\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{\delta}}$. If $H_{r+1} > 0$ on M, then

$$\lambda_1^{L_r} \le \left(\delta c(r) + c(r) \frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r^2}\right) \frac{\int H_r}{\operatorname{vol} M},$$

and equality holds if and only if M is a geodesic sphere.

Furthermore, using the same techniques, we obtain an upper bound for the first eigenvalue of the operator $L_r - q$, where

$$q = c(r+1)H_{r+2} - \frac{nc(r)}{r+1}H_1H_{r+1} - \delta c(r)H_r.$$

From this the *r*-stability theorem for manifolds immersed in a hyperbolic space, proved in 1997 by Barbosa and Colares [B-C], will follow. (In fact, in [B-C] the *r*-stability theorem was proved for any manifold $\overline{M}^{n+1}(\delta)$.)

To state this theorem, we need some notation. We denote by s_{δ} the solution of the differential equation $y'' + \delta y = 0$, with the initial conditions y(0) = 0, y'(0) = 1. Set $c_{\delta} = s'_{\delta}$; then $c'_{\delta} = -\delta s_{\delta}$ and $c^2_{\delta} + \delta s^2_{\delta} = 1$. We have $s_{\delta}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\delta}} \sinh(\sqrt{-\delta}t)$ and $c_{\delta}(t) = \cosh(\sqrt{-\delta}t)$ in the case $\delta < 0$, and $s_{\delta}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \sin(\sqrt{\delta}t), c_{\delta}(t) = \cos(\sqrt{\delta}t)$ in the case $\delta > 0$. Note that if $\delta = 0$, $s_{\delta}(t) = t$.

We will prove the following result:

THEOREM 1.3. If $\delta < 0$ and $H_{r+1} > 0$, there exists a point $p_0 \in \overline{M}$ such that, if $d = d(p_0, \cdot)$ denotes the distance function from p_0 in \overline{M} , then

$$\lambda_1(L_r - q) \le c(r) \frac{\int_M \left(\frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r} - H_1 H_{r+1}\right) s_{\delta}^2(d)}{\int_M s_{\delta}^2(d)},$$

and equality holds precisely when M is a geodesic sphere.

As a consequence, we obtain:

COROLLARY 1.4 ([B-C]). The only r-stable compact immersed hypersurfaces in a hyperbolic space, with constant $H_{r+1} > 0$, are the geodesic spheres.

REMARK 1.5. After writing this paper, we received a preprint of J.F. Grosjean (see [G2]) who obtained, independently, the results presented here.

We want to thank the referee for useful suggestions.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we use the notations defined in the Introduction. Let M^n and $\overline{M}^{n+1}(\delta)$ be as before, let $p_0 \in \overline{M}$, and let $d = d(p_0, \cdot)$ be the distance function from p_0 in \overline{M} . Let x_i (i = 1, ..., n + 1) be the normal coordinates centered in p_0 , with respect to some orthonormal basis in $T_{p_0}\overline{M}$. We denote by ∇ and ∇_M the gradients taken in \overline{M} and M, respectively.

LEMMA 2.1. Suppose $x \in \overline{M}$. Assume $x \in B\left(p_0, \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\delta}}\right)$ in the case $\delta > 0$. If $u, v \in T_x \overline{M}$ and v is orthogonal to ∇d , then

$$\frac{s_{\delta}^2(d)}{d^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\langle \nabla x_i, u \rangle \langle \nabla x_i, v \rangle \right) = \langle u, v \rangle.$$

Proof. The map

$$L_{\tilde{x}} = \left(d \exp_{p_0}\right)_{\tilde{x}} \colon T_{p_0}\overline{M} \to T_x\overline{M},$$

where $\exp_{p_0}(\tilde{x}) = x$, is a linear isomorphism. Using $\langle \nabla x_i, u \rangle = (L_{\tilde{x}}^{-1}u)(x_i)$, we obtain

$$\frac{s_{\delta}^2(d)}{d^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\langle \nabla x_i, u \rangle \langle \nabla x_i, v \rangle \right) = \frac{s_{\delta}^2(d)}{d^2} \langle L_{\tilde{x}}^{-1}(u), L_{\tilde{x}}^{-1}(v) \rangle.$$

Since $L_{\tilde{x}}$ is a radial isometry, $L_{\tilde{x}}^{-1}(v)$ is tangent to the sphere of radius $|\tilde{x}|$ in $T_{p_0}\overline{M}$. Further, $L_{\tilde{x}}^{-1}(u) = \tilde{w} + \tilde{r}$, where \tilde{w} is tangent and \tilde{r} is orthogonal to this sphere in $T_{p_0}\overline{M}$. Hence, $\langle L_{\tilde{x}}^{-1}(u), L_{\tilde{x}}^{-1}(v) \rangle = \langle \tilde{w}, \tilde{v} \rangle$, where $\tilde{v} = L_{\tilde{x}}^{-1}(v)$. Let $\gamma \colon [0, d] \to \overline{M}$ be the normalized geodesic with

$$\gamma(0) = p, \quad \gamma(d) = x, \quad \gamma'(0) = \frac{\tilde{x}}{|\tilde{x}|},$$

where $|\tilde{x}| = d$. Let $J_v(t)$, $J_w(t)$ be Jacobi fields along γ such that

$$J_{v}(0) = J_{w}(0) = 0 \quad J'_{v}(0) = \frac{\tilde{v}}{|\tilde{v}|}, \quad J'_{w}(0) = \frac{\tilde{w}}{|\tilde{w}|}.$$

Since \overline{M} has constant sectional curvature,

(1)
$$\langle J_v(d), J_w(d) \rangle = \frac{s_{\delta}^2(d)}{|\tilde{v}| |\tilde{w}|} \langle \tilde{v}, \tilde{w} \rangle.$$

Recall also that

$$J_{v}(t) = \left(d \exp_{p_{0}}\right)_{t\frac{\tilde{x}}{d}} \left(t\frac{\tilde{v}}{|\tilde{v}|}\right), \quad J_{w}(t) = \left(d \exp_{p_{0}}\right)_{t\frac{\tilde{x}}{d}} \left(t\frac{\tilde{w}}{|\tilde{w}|}\right).$$

Hence, $\langle J_v(d), J_w(d) \rangle = \frac{d^2}{|\tilde{v}| |\tilde{w}|} \langle v, w \rangle$, where $w = L_{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{w})$, and using (1), we obtain $\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{s_{\delta}^2}{d^2} \langle \tilde{v}, \tilde{w} \rangle$. Thus

$$\frac{s_{\delta}^2}{d^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \langle \nabla x_i, u \rangle \langle \nabla x_i, v \rangle = \frac{s_{\delta}^2}{d^2} \langle \tilde{v}, \tilde{w} \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle,$$

which concludes the proof.

Define the position vector X of M^n in $\overline{M}^{n+1}(\delta)$ with respect to p_0 by $X = s_{\delta}(d) \nabla d$. Denote by X^T the component of X tangent to M; i.e., $X^T = s_{\delta}(d) \nabla_M d$. Observe that

$$\nabla_M c_\delta = -\delta X^T.$$

LEMMA 2.2. With the above notation,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left\langle P_r \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right), \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right) \right\rangle + \delta \left\langle P_r X^T, X^T \right\rangle = c(r) H_r.$$

Proof. Using the fact that P_r is self-adjoint, we obtain

$$\left\langle P_r \nabla_M \frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i, \nabla_M \frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right\rangle = \frac{x_i^2}{d^2} \left(c_{\delta} - \frac{s_{\delta}}{d} \right)^2 \left\langle P_r \nabla_M d, \nabla_M d \right\rangle + 2 \frac{x_i s_{\delta}}{d^2} \left(c_{\delta} - \frac{s_{\delta}}{d} \right) \left\langle P_r \nabla_M d, \nabla_M x_i \right\rangle + \frac{s_{\delta}^2}{d^2} \left\langle P_r \nabla_M x_i, \nabla_M x_i \right\rangle.$$

Since $\sum_{i} x_i \nabla x_i = d \nabla d$ and

$$\langle P_r \nabla_M d, \nabla_M x_i \rangle = \langle P_r \nabla_M d, \nabla x_i \rangle,$$

because $P_r \nabla_M d$ is tangent to M, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left\langle P_r \nabla_M \frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i, \nabla_M \frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right\rangle$$
$$= \left(c_{\delta}^2 - \frac{s_{\delta}^2}{d^2} \right) \cdot \left\langle P_r \nabla_M d, \nabla_M d \right\rangle + \frac{s_{\delta}^2}{d^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left\langle P_r \nabla_M x_i, \nabla_M x_i \right\rangle$$

Further

$$\delta \langle P_r X^T, X^T \rangle = \delta s_{\delta}^2 \langle P_r \nabla_M d, \nabla_M d \rangle.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left\langle P_r \nabla_M \frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i, \nabla_M \frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right\rangle + \delta \langle P_r X^T, X^T \rangle$$
$$= \frac{s_{\delta}^2}{d^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \langle P_r \nabla_M x_i, \nabla_M x_i \rangle + \left(1 - \frac{s_{\delta}^2}{d^2}\right) \langle P_r \nabla_M d, \nabla_M d \rangle.$$

Now let $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in T_p M$ be an orthonormal basis such that e_n lies in the direction of $\nabla_M d$ (if $\nabla_M d \neq 0$). Then there are numbers λ and μ satisfying $e_n = \lambda \nabla d + \mu e_n^*$, where e_n^* is a unit vector orthogonal to ∇d . From this we easily obtain $\nabla_M d = \lambda e_n$ and $(e_n^*)^T = \mu e_n$.

A simple calculation gives

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left\langle P_r \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right), \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right) \right\rangle + \delta \langle P_r X^T, X^T \rangle \\ &= \frac{s_{\delta}^2}{d^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\langle \nabla x_i, P_r e_j \rangle \langle \nabla x_i, e_j \rangle \right) + \frac{s_{\delta}^2}{d^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \langle P_r \nabla_M x_i, e_n \rangle \langle \nabla_M x_i, e_n \rangle \\ &+ \left(1 - \frac{s_{\delta}^2}{d^2} \right) \lambda^2 \langle P_r e_n, e_n \rangle. \end{split}$$

Since e_j is orthogonal to ∇d for all j = 1, ..., n-1, we can apply Lemma 2.1 and obtain

(2)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left\langle P_r \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right), \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right) \right\rangle + \delta \langle P_r X^T, X^T \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \langle P_r e_j, e_j \rangle + \frac{s_{\delta}^2}{d^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \langle P_r \nabla_M x_i, e_n \rangle \langle \nabla_M x_i, e_n \rangle$$
$$+ \left(1 - \frac{s_{\delta}^2}{d^2} \right) \lambda^2 \langle P_r e_n, e_n \rangle.$$

Observing that, for any $x \in \overline{M}$ and any $u \in T_x \overline{M}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \langle \nabla x_i, u \rangle \langle \nabla x_i, \nabla d \rangle = \langle u, \nabla d \rangle,$$

we obtain, after some manipulation,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \langle P_r \nabla_M x_i, e_n \rangle \langle \nabla_M x_i, e_n \rangle = \lambda \langle P_r e_n, \nabla d \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \langle \nabla x_i, P_r e_n \rangle \langle \nabla x_i, \mu e_n^* \rangle.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 again, because e_n^* is orthogonal to ∇d , the right-hand side of the last equation is equal to

$$\lambda \langle P_r e_n, \nabla d \rangle + \frac{d^2}{s_{\delta}^2(d)} \mu \langle P_r e_n, e_n^* \rangle.$$

Substituting this in (2), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left\langle P_r \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right), \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right) \right\rangle + \delta \langle P_r X^T, X^T \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^n \langle P_r e_j, e_j \rangle = \operatorname{trace}(P_r) = c(r) H_r. \quad \Box$$

LEMMA 2.3. If $H_{r+1} > 0$ and $c_{\delta} \ge 0$, then

$$\frac{\int_M c_\delta}{\int_M s_\delta} \le \frac{\max H_{r+1}}{\min H_r}.$$

Proof. Recall that if $H_{r+1} > 0$, then $H_j > 0$, where $1 \le j \le r$ (see [B-C, Proposition 3.2]). Recall also Minkowski's formula (see [A-C])

$$\int_{M} [H_r c_{\delta} + H_{r+1} \langle X, N \rangle] = 0.$$

Then, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\int_{M} H_{r}c_{\delta} = -\int_{M} H_{r+1}\langle X, N \rangle \leq \int_{M} H_{r+1}|X|$$
$$= \int_{M} H_{r+1}s_{\delta} \leq (\max H_{r+1}) \int_{M} s_{\delta}.$$

Since $c_{\delta} \geq 0$, we also have $(\min H_r) \int_M c_{\delta} \leq \int_M H_r c_{\delta}$. From these inequalities we obtain

$$(\min H_r) \int_M c_\delta \le (\max H_{r+1}) \int_M s_\delta.$$

This concludes the proof.

3. Proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and Corollary 1.4

We are now in a position to prove the upper bounds for the first eigenvalue of L_r . Our proofs use the Rayleigh quotient, applied with suitable test functions.

In all proofs, $p_0 \in \overline{M}$ will be a point such that

$$\int_M \frac{s_\delta(d)}{d} x_i = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n+1),$$

where $d = d(p_0, \cdot)$. The existence of such a point, assuming that M lies in a convex ball of \overline{M} , can be verified by a standard argument. Namely, if M lies in a convex ball B, then

$$Y_q = \int_M \frac{s_\delta(d(q, p))}{d(q, p)} \exp_q^{-1}(p) dp \in T_q \overline{M}$$

defines a vector field in a neighborhood of B which, at the boundary, points towards the interior of B. Thus, Y has a zero in B, and if we take p_0 as this zero, then p_0 has the required property. Note that if B has radius less than $\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{\delta}}$, then M lies in a ball of radius $< \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\delta}}$ around p_0 . As a consequence, $c_{\delta} \ge 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $H_{r+1} > 0$ and M is compact, L_r is elliptic (see [B-C, Proposition 3.2]).

Using the Rayleigh quotient with the test functions $\frac{s_{\delta}(d)}{d}x_i$, we obtain

(3)
$$\lambda_1^{L_r} \int_M s_{\delta}^2 = \lambda_1^{L_r} \int_M \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i\right)^2 \\ \leq \int_M \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left\langle P_r \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i\right), \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i\right) \right\rangle \\ = c(r) \int_M H_r - \delta \int_M \langle P_r X^T, X^T \rangle,$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 2.2.

From Stokes' theorem it follows that

$$\int_{M} fL_{r}g + \langle P_{r}\nabla_{M}f, \nabla_{M}g \rangle = 0.$$

Applying this with $f = g = c_{\delta}$ and using the relation $\nabla_M c_{\delta} = -\delta X^T$, we obtain

$$\delta \int_M \langle P_r X^T, X^T \rangle = -\frac{1}{\delta} \int_M c_\delta L_r(c_\delta).$$

Hence,

$$\int_{M} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left\langle P_r \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right), \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right) \right\rangle = c(r) \int_{M} H_r + \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{M} c_{\delta} L_r(c_{\delta}).$$

It is known that (see [A-C, Lemma 1])

$$L_r(c_{\delta}) = -\delta \left[c(r) H_r c_{\delta} + c(r) \langle X, N \rangle H_{r+1} \right].$$

From this and the inequality

$$-\langle X, N \rangle \le |X| = s_{\delta},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left\langle P_r \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right), \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right) \right\rangle &\leq \delta c(r) \int_M s_{\delta}^2 H_r - c(r) \int_M c_{\delta} \langle X, N \rangle H_{r+1} \\ &\leq \delta c(r) \int_M s_{\delta}^2 H_r + c(r) \int_M c_{\delta} s_{\delta} H_{r+1} \\ &\leq \delta c(r) \int_M s_{\delta}^2 H_r + c(r) \max H_{r+1} \int_M c_{\delta} s_{\delta}. \end{split}$$

If $\delta \leq 0$, it is also known that (see Lemma 2.8 in [H])

$$\int_M s_\delta \int_M s_\delta c_\delta \le \left(\int_M s_\delta^2\right) \int_M c_\delta.$$

Using this inequality and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$(4) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left\langle P_r \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right), \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right) \right\rangle \\ \leq \delta c(r) \int_M s_{\delta}^2 H_r + c(r) \frac{(\max H_{r+1})^2}{\min H_r} \int_M s_{\delta}^2 \\ \leq \delta c(r) (\min H_r) \int_M s_{\delta}^2 + c(r) \frac{(\max H_{r+1}^2)}{\min H_r} \int_M s_{\delta}^2$$

By applying (3), we obtain

$$\lambda_1^{L_r} \int_M s_{\delta}^2 \le \delta c(r)(\min H_r) \int_M s_{\delta}^2 + c(r) \frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r} \int_M s_{\delta}^2$$

Dividing both sides by $\int_M s_\delta^2$ gives the desired estimate.

If equality holds, then we necessarily have

$$-\langle X, N \rangle = |X||N|,$$

and this implies that ∇d is orthogonal to M. Thus, d is constant on M, and therefore M is a geodesic sphere around p_0 .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since $H_{r+1} > 0$ and M is contained in a convex ball, L_r is again an elliptic operator (see [B-C, Proposition 3.2]). Put

$$c = \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} M} \int_M c_{\delta}, \quad \text{so} \quad \int_M \frac{(c_{\delta} - c)}{\sqrt{\delta}} = 0.$$

Recall that $s_{\delta}(d) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \sin(\sqrt{\delta}d)$ and $c_{\delta}(d) = \cos(\sqrt{\delta}d)$, so |c| < 1.

Using the Rayleigh quotient with $\frac{s_{\delta}(d)}{d}x_i$ and $\frac{c_{\delta}-c}{\sqrt{\delta}}$ as test functions, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \lambda_1^{L_r} & \int_M \left[s_{\delta}^2 + \frac{(c_{\delta} - c)^2}{\delta} \right] \\ & \leq \int_M \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left\langle P_r \nabla_M \frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i, \nabla_M \frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right\rangle + \int_M \left\langle P_r \nabla_M \left(\frac{c_{\delta} - c}{\sqrt{\delta}} \right), \nabla_M \left(\frac{c_{\delta} - c}{\sqrt{\delta}} \right) \right\rangle \\ & = \int_M \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left\langle P_r \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right), \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right) \right\rangle + \delta \langle P_r X^T, X^T \rangle \right] = c(r) \int H_r, \end{split}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 2.2.

Further, a direct calculation gives

$$\int_M \left[s_{\delta}^2 + \frac{(c_{\delta} - c)^2}{\delta} \right] = \frac{1}{\delta} (\operatorname{vol} M)(1 - c^2).$$

Thus,

(5)
$$\lambda_1^{L_r} \le \left(\frac{1}{1-c^2}\right) \delta \frac{c(r)}{\operatorname{vol} M} \int_M H_r.$$

We next prove that

$$\frac{1}{1-c^2} \le 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r^2}.$$

By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$c^{2} = \frac{1}{(\operatorname{vol} M)^{2}} \left(\int_{M} c_{\delta} \right)^{2} \le \frac{1}{(\operatorname{vol} M)^{2}} \left(\frac{\max H_{r+1}}{\min H_{r}} \right)^{2} \left(\int_{M} s_{\delta} \right)^{2},$$

and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$\left(\int_M s_\delta\right)^2 \le \left(\int_M s_\delta^2\right) \operatorname{vol} M.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} &(1-c^2)\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta}\frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r^2}\right)\\ &\geq 1+\frac{1}{\delta}\frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r^2}-\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} M}\frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r^2}\int_M s_{\delta}^2-c^2\cdot\frac{1}{\delta}\frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r^2}\\ &\geq 1+\frac{1}{\delta}\frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r^2}-\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} M}\frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r^2}\int_M s_{\delta}^2-\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} M}\int_M c_{\delta}^2\right)\frac{1}{\delta}\frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r^2}\\ &= 1+\frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r^2}\left(\frac{1}{\delta}-\frac{1}{\delta\operatorname{vol} M}\int_M \left(\delta s_{\delta}^2+c_{\delta}^2\right)\right)=1, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Hence,

$$\frac{1}{1-c^2} \le 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r^2}.$$

From (5), we have

$$\lambda_1^{L_r} \le \left(\delta + \frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r^2}\right) c(r) \frac{\int H_r}{\operatorname{vol} M}.$$

If equality holds, we also have equality in Lemma 2.3, so $-\langle X, N \rangle = |X||N|$ and therefore ∇d is orthogonal do M. Hence, d is constant on M, and therefore M is a geodesic sphere around p_0 .

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Using the Rayleigh quotient for the operator $L_r - q$, with $\frac{s_{\delta}}{d}x_i$ as test functions, we obtain

(6)
$$\lambda_1(L_r - q) \int_M s_{\delta}^2 \leq \int_M \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i\right) \left[-L_r\left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i\right) + q\left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i\right) \right] \\ = \int_M \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left\langle P_r \nabla_M\left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i\right), \nabla_M\left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i\right) \right\rangle + \int_M q s_{\delta}^2.$$

By (4) we have

$$\int_{M} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left\langle P_r \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right), \nabla_M \left(\frac{s_{\delta}}{d} x_i \right) \right\rangle$$
$$\leq \delta c(r) \int_{M} s_{\delta}^2 H_r + c(r) \frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r} \int_{M} s_{\delta}^2.$$

Applying this to (6), we obtain

$$\lambda_1(L_r - q) \int_M s_{\delta}^2 \le c(r) \left(\int_M s_{\delta}^2 \right) \frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r} + c(r+1) \int_M H_{r+2} s_{\delta}^2 - \frac{nc(r)}{r+1} \int_M H_1 H_{r+1} s_{\delta}^2.$$

Since $H_{r+2} \leq H_1 H_{r+1}$, with equality at umbilical points (see [A-dC-R, p. 392]), we obtain

$$\lambda_1(L_r - q) \int_M s_{\delta}^2 \le c(r) \left(\int_M s_{\delta}^2 \right) \frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r} - c(r) \int_M H_1 H_{r+1} s_{\delta}^2,$$

because $c(r+1) - \frac{nc(r)}{r+1} = -c(r)$. Dividing both terms by $\int_M s_{\delta}^2$, the desired inequality follows. The case when equality holds is handled in the same way as in the previous cases. \Box

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Let M^n be a compact hypersurface, immersed in $\overline{M}^{n+1}(\delta)$, where $\delta < 0$, with $H_{r+1} > 0$ and constant. Suppose M is r-stable, that is to say,

$$\int_M f(-L_r + q)(f) \ge 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \int_M f = 0.$$

Taking for f an eigenfunction of $L_r - q$ belonging to $\lambda_1^{L_r - q}$, we obtain

$$\lambda_1^{L_r-q} \int_M f^2 \ge 0,$$

and thus

(7)
$$\lambda_1^{L_r-q} \ge 0$$

Also, if $H_{r+1} > 0$, then $H_j > 0$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, r$ (see [B-C, Proposition 3.1]) and $H_r \ge H_{r+1}^{\frac{r}{r+1}}$ (see [M-R, Lemma 1]). Hence,

(8)
$$\frac{\max H_{r+1}^2}{\min H_r} \le \frac{H_{r+1}^2}{H_{r+1}^{\frac{r}{r+1}}} = H_{r+1}^{\frac{r+2}{r+1}}.$$

Since $H_1 \ge H_{r+1}^{\frac{1}{r+1}}$, it follows that

(9)
$$H_{r+1}^{\frac{r+2}{r+1}} - H_1 H_{r+1} \le 0,$$

with equality at umbilical points.

By (7), (8), (9) and Theorem 1.3, we conclude that $H_{r+1}^{\frac{r+2}{r+1}} - H_1 H_{r+1} = 0$ everywhere, and so M is a geodesic sphere.

References

- [A-C] H. Alencar and A. G. Colares, Integral formulas for the r-mean curvature linearized operator of a hypersurface, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 16 (1998), 203–220.
- [A-dC-C] H. Alencar, M. Do Carmo, and A. G. Colares, Stable hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature, Math. Z. 213 (1993), 117–131.
- [A-dC-R] H. Alencar, M. Do Carmo, and H. Rosenberg, On the first eigenvalue of the linearized operator of the r-th mean curvature of a hypersurface, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 11 (1993), 387–391.
- [B-C] L. Barbosa and A. G. Colares, Stability of hypersurfaces with constant r-mean curvature, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 15 (1997), 277–297.
- [B-dC] L. Barbosa and M. Do Carmo, Stability of hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature, Math. Z. 195 (1984), 339–353.
- [B-dC-E] L. Barbosa, M. Do Carmo, and J. Eschenburg, Stability of hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in Riemannian manifolds, Math. Z. 197 (1988), 123–138.
- [ESI] A. El-Soufi and S. Ilias, Une inegalité du type Reilly pour les sous-varietés de l'space hyperbolique, Comment. Math. Helv. 67 (1992), 167–181.
- [G1] J.-F. Grosjean, Majoration de la première valeur propre de certains opérateurs elliptiques naturels sur les hypersurfaces des espaces formes et applications, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 321 (1995), 323–326.

- [G2] , Estimations extrinséques de la première valeur propre d'opérateurs elliptiques définis sur des sous-variétés, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 330 (2000), 807–810.
- [H] E. Heintze, *Extrinsic upper bounds for* λ_1 , Math. Ann. **280** (1988), 389–402.
- [M-R] S. Montiel and A. Ros, Compact hypersurfaces: the Alexandrov theorem for higher order mean curvatures, Differential Geometry (B. Lawson and K. Tenenblat, eds.), Pitman Monographs, vol. 52, Longman Scientific and Technical, Essex, 1991, pp. 279–296.
- [R] R. Reilly, On the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact submanifolds of Euclidean space, Comment. Math. Helv. 52 (1977), 525–533.

Hilário Alencar, Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Alagoas, 57072-900, Maceió - AL, Brazil

E-mail address: hilario@mat.ufal.br

Manfredo do Carmo, Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), Estrada Dona Castorina 110, 22460-320, Rio de Janeiro - RJ, Brazil

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{manfredo@impa.br}$

Fernando Marques, Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853-4089, USA

E-mail address: marques@polygon.math.cornell.edu