

## ON PROBLEMS BY BAER AND KULIKOV USING $V = L$

LUTZ STRÜNGMANN

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $T$  be a torsion abelian group and  $\lambda$  a cardinal. Among all torsion-free abelian groups  $H$  of rank less than or equal to  $\lambda$  satisfying  $\text{Ext}(H, T) = 0$  a group  $G$  is called  $\lambda$ -universal for  $T$  if it is universal with respect to group-embedding. We show that in Gödel's constructible universe ( $V = L$ ) there always exists a  $\lambda$ -universal group for  $T$  if  $T$  has only finitely many non-trivial bounded  $p$ -components. This answers a question by Kulikov in the affirmative. Moreover, we prove that in  $V = L$  for a large class of torsion-free abelian groups  $G$  there exists a completely decomposable group  $C$  such that  $\text{Ext}(G, T') = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Ext}(C, T') = 0$  for any torsion abelian group  $T'$ . This is related to a question of Baer.

### Introduction

In 1936 R. Baer [B] asked for a characterization of all pairs of torsion-free abelian groups  $G$  and torsion abelian groups  $T$  satisfying  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$ . This is a simpler version of the problem of characterizing the pairs  $G$  and  $T$  such that any mixed abelian group  $M$  with torsion subgroup  $T$  and torsion-free quotient  $M/T \cong G$  has to split, i.e., that  $M \cong T \oplus G$  in a canonical way. Baer himself [B] gave such a characterization for countable  $G$ . The question was first considered again by Wallutis and the author [SW] who, in the framework of cotorsion theories that are singly cogenerated by a torsion-free abelian group  $G$  (as introduced by Salce [S]), introduced the class  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  of all torsion abelian groups  $T$  satisfying  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$ . The characterization of the class  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  for torsion-free abelian groups  $G$  is closely related to Griffith's solution of the Baer problem [G], which in this terminology can be stated as follows: A torsion-free abelian group  $G$  is free if and only if  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  is the class of all torsion groups, i.e.,  $G$  is free if and only if every mixed abelian group  $M$  with  $M/t(M) \cong G$  splits. For rational groups  $R \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ , and hence for completely decomposable groups  $C$ , a complete description was obtained for  $\mathcal{TC}(R)$  and

---

Received July 9, 2001; received in final form November 18, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20K15, 20K20, 20K35, 20K40. Secondary 18E99, 20J05.

The author was supported by a MINERVA fellowship.

$\mathcal{TC}(C)$ , respectively (see [SW]). Moreover, a necessary and sufficient criterion was given for a class of torsion abelian groups  $\mathfrak{C}$  to be of the form  $\mathcal{TC}(C)$  for some completely decomposable group  $C$ . A similar result was obtained by the author [St] for rational groups instead of completely decomposable groups, and it was shown that any finite rank torsion-free abelian group  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(R)$  for some rational group  $R$ . In Section 2 of this paper we will prove that the criterion from [SW] is satisfied for a large class of torsion-free abelian groups, assuming Gödel's universe of constructibility. Hence, for a group  $G$  in this class, we have  $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(C)$  for some completely decomposable group  $C$ . Since  $\mathcal{TC}(C)$  is well understood, this gives a characterization of  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  for torsion-free abelian groups  $G$  in this class. We are not able to show that in  $V = L$  every torsion-free abelian group is of this kind, but we formulate a conjecture (" $\mathcal{TC}$ -Conjecture") stating that this is in fact the case.

In Section 3 we consider Kulikov's problem on the existence of  $\lambda$ -universal groups. As defined in the abstract, a torsion-free abelian group  $G$  is  $\lambda$ -universal for a torsion abelian group  $T$  and a cardinal  $\lambda$  if  $G$  is of rank less than or equal to  $\lambda$ ,  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$ , and every torsion-free abelian group  $H$  of rank less than or equal to  $\lambda$  satisfying  $\text{Ext}(H, T) = 0$  embeds into  $G$ . Clearly, the existence of a  $\lambda$ -universal group  $G$  for  $T$  answers the question which torsion-free groups  $H$  (of cardinality at most  $\lambda$ ) satisfy  $\text{Ext}(H, T) = 0$ : namely, these groups are precisely the subgroups of  $G$ . Kulikov [KN] asked if for uncountable  $\lambda$  and arbitrary  $T$  there is always a  $\lambda$ -universal group for  $T$ . We first deal with the case when  $\lambda$  is a positive integer or  $\omega$ , and we obtain satisfactory classification results in this case. Moreover, we show that in  $V = L$  for every  $\lambda$  and every torsion abelian group  $T$  with only finitely many non-trivial bounded  $p$ -components there is always a  $\lambda$ -universal group for  $T$ .

Our notations are standard; notations that are not explained here can be found in [F] or [EM]. All groups under consideration are abelian. The set of primes is denoted by  $\Pi$ . All rational groups  $R \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  are assumed to contain the element 1. Moreover, we identify rational groups with their types since this does not cause any confusion. However, if  $S$  and  $R$  are rational groups, we write  $S \subseteq R$  if we mean set inclusion and  $S \leq R$  if we mean inequality as types.

## 1. Preliminaries

We first recall a definition from [SW].

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Let  $G$  be a (torsion-free) group. By  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  we denote the class of all torsion groups  $T$  such that  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$ .

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  is closed under taking epimorphic images and contains all finite groups. Moreover, a torsion group  $T$  is in  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  if and only if its reduced part is in  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ .

We shall need the following lemma, which is well known. For the convenience of the reader we provide a proof.

First recall that a basic subgroup  $B$  of a torsion group  $T$  is the direct sum  $B = \bigoplus_{p \in \Pi} B_p$  of basic subgroups  $B_p$  of the  $p$ -components  $T_p$ ; for each prime  $p$ ,  $B_p$  is a direct sum of cyclic  $p$ -groups,  $B_p$  is a pure subgroup of  $T_p$ , and the quotient  $T_p/B_p$  is divisible (see [F]).

**LEMMA 1.2.** *Let  $T$  be a torsion group and  $B \subseteq T$  a basic subgroup of  $T$ . Then, for any group  $G$ ,  $T$  is an element of  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  if and only if  $B$  is.*

*Proof.* The short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow T \rightarrow T/B \rightarrow 0$  induces the exact sequence  $\text{Ext}(G, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(G, T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(G, T/B) = 0$ , where the last term is zero since  $T/B$  is divisible. Thus  $\text{Ext}(G, B) = 0$  implies  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$ , i.e., if  $B \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  then  $T \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ .

Conversely, assume  $T \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ . By [F, Theorem 36.1]  $B$  is an epimorphic image of  $T$  and thus also belongs to  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ .  $\square$

It is well known that the functor  $\text{Ext}(G, -)$  is closed under taking epimorphic images, but in general not closed under taking (pure) subgroups. However, if we restrict ourselves to the class  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ , then this property holds.

**LEMMA 1.3.** *Let  $G$  be any group and  $T$  a torsion group. Then  $T \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  if and only if  $T' \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  for all pure subgroups  $T'$  of  $T$  such that  $|T'| \leq |G|$ . Moreover,  $T' \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  for all pure subgroups  $T'$  of  $T$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $T \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  and let  $T'$  be a pure subgroup of  $T$ . Choose a basic subgroup  $B'$  of  $T'$ . Then  $B'$  is pure in  $T$ , and by [F, Corollary 36.2]  $B'$  is an epimorphic image of  $T$ . Thus  $B' \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ , and hence  $T' \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  by Lemma 1.2. Conversely, assume that  $T \notin \mathcal{TC}(G)$ , but  $T' \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  for all pure subgroups  $T'$  of  $T$  of cardinality less than or equal to  $|G|$ . Since  $T \notin \mathcal{TC}(G)$ ,  $T$  is infinite. Let

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow T \xrightarrow{\text{id}_T} M \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \longrightarrow 0$$

be a non-splitting short exact sequence. For  $g \in G$  choose  $m_g \in \varphi^{-1}(\{g\})$  and put  $M' = \langle m_g : g \in G \rangle \subseteq M$ . Note that  $|M'| = |G|$ . By [F, Proposition 26.2] there exists a pure subgroup  $M^* \subseteq M$  such that  $M' \subseteq M^*$  and  $|M^*| = |M'| = |G|$ . We obtain the short exact sequence

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow T' \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{T'}} M^* \xrightarrow{\varphi|_{M^*}} G \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $T' = M^* \cap T$ . Since  $T'$  is pure in  $T$  the sequence splits and we obtain  $\psi \in \text{Hom}(G, M^*)$  such that  $\varphi|_{M^*} \circ \psi = \text{id}_G$ . Thus also  $\varphi \circ \psi = \text{id}_G$  and therefore (1.1) splits, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

To conclude this section we recall some of the basic results obtained in [St, Theorem 2.5] and [SW, Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 3.7], which we shall need in the next sections. For a torsion-free group  $G$  we denote by  $\text{OT}(G)$  its *outer type* (see [A, page 84]).

**PROPOSITION 1.4** ([St], [SW]). *Let  $G$  be a torsion-free group and  $T$  a reduced torsion group with  $T_p$  its  $p$ -component (where  $p$  is a prime). Then we have:*

- (i) *If  $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $T \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied, where  $r_p = \chi_p^G(1)$ :*
  - (a)  $T_p$  is bounded for all  $p$  such that  $r_p = \infty$ .
  - (b)  $T_p = 0$  for almost all  $p$  such that  $r_p \neq 0$ .
- (ii) *If  $G$  is countable, then there exists a completely decomposable group  $C$  such that  $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(C)$ .*
- (iii) *If  $G$  is of finite rank, then  $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(\text{OT}(G))$ .*

## 2. Characterization of $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ in $V = L$

In [B] Baer asked to characterize  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  for all torsion-free groups  $G$ . For countable groups Proposition 1.4 gives a satisfactory description of  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  since the structure of  $\mathcal{TC}(C)$  is well understood for completely decomposable groups  $C$  (see [SW]). In this section we shall show that assuming  $V = L$  a large class of torsion-free groups  $G$  satisfy  $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(C)$  for some completely decomposable group  $C$ .

We first recall Theorem 3.6 from [SW], which characterizes the classes of torsion groups that are of the form  $\mathcal{TC}(C)$  for some completely decomposable group  $C$ .

**THEOREM 2.1** ([SW]). *Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a class of torsion groups. Then  $\mathfrak{C} = \mathcal{TC}(C)$  for some completely decomposable group  $C$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $\mathfrak{C}$  contains all torsion cotorsion groups.
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{C}$  is closed under epimorphic images.
- (iii) For all primes  $p$ ,  $\bigoplus_{n \in \omega} \mathbb{Z}(p^n) \in \mathfrak{C}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{C}$  contains all  $p$ -groups.
- (iv) If  $P$  is an infinite set of primes, then  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}(p) \in \mathfrak{C}$  if and only if  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} T_p \in \mathfrak{C}$  for all  $p$ -groups  $T_p \in \mathfrak{C}$ .
- (v) If  $P$  is an infinite set of primes such that  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}(p) \notin \mathfrak{C}$ , then there exists an infinite subset  $P'$  of  $P$  such that  $\bigoplus_{p \in X} \mathbb{Z}(p) \notin \mathfrak{C}$  for all infinite  $X \subseteq P'$ .

Note that, by Proposition 1.4, for countable torsion-free groups  $G$ ,  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  satisfies all conditions of Theorem 2.1. Moreover, it was shown in [SW, Corollary 3.9] that under the assumption  $V = L$  Theorem 2.1(iii) is always satisfied for  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  when  $G$  is a torsion-free group.

LEMMA 2.2 ( $V = L$ , [SW]). *Let  $G$  be a torsion-free group and let  $p$  be any prime number. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  contains all  $p$ -groups.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  contains  $\bigoplus_{n \in \omega} \mathbb{Z}(p^n)$ .
- (iii)  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \otimes G$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module.

Hence, Theorem 2.1(iii) holds for  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ .

The following example of a Shelah group shows that Lemma 2.2 does not hold in ZFC (see [SW, Lemma 3.10]).

EXAMPLE 2.3 ( $\text{MA} + \neg \text{CH}$ , [SW]). For any prime number  $p$  there exists a non-free  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module  $G$  of cardinality  $\aleph_1$  such that  $\text{Ext}(G, \bigoplus_{n \in \omega} \mathbb{Z}(p^n)) = 0$ .

Next we prove that Theorem 2.1(iv) holds under the assumption  $V = L$ . We need the following basic lemma on the vanishing of  $\text{Ext}$  (see [ET, Lemma 1]).

LEMMA 2.4 ([ET]). *Let  $T$  be a torsion group. Suppose that the torsion-free group  $G$  is the union of a continuous ascending chain of subgroups  $G_\alpha$  ( $\alpha < \lambda$ ) such that  $T \in \mathcal{TC}(G_0)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{TC}(G_{\alpha+1}/G_\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ . Then  $T \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ .*

Using Lemma 1.3 and Theorem XII.1.15 from [EM] it is now easy to prove that a torsion-free group  $G$  satisfies  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$  (where  $T$  is a torsion group) if and only if  $G$  is the union of a continuous well-ordered ascending chain  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$  of subgroups ( $G_0 = 0$ ) such that  $|G_\alpha| < |G|$  and  $\text{Ext}(G_{\alpha+1}/G_\alpha, T) = 0$  for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ . But we can do even better using results from [BFS, Theorem 3.1].

PROPOSITION 2.5 ( $V = L$ ). *Let  $G$  be a torsion-free group of infinite rank and  $T$  a torsion group. Then  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$  if and only if  $G$  is the union of a continuous well-ordered ascending chain  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$  of subgroups ( $G_0 = 0$ ) such that  $G_{\alpha+1}/G_\alpha$  is countable,  $|G_\alpha| < |G|$ , and  $\text{Ext}(G_{\alpha+1}/G_\alpha, T) = 0$  for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of [BFS, Theorem 3.1] carries over verbatim to the present situation. All one has to do is to replace the property of being Whitehead by the condition  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.6 ( $V = L$ ). *Let  $G$  be a torsion-free group and let  $T$  be a torsion group. If  $G$  is of singular cardinality  $\lambda$ , then  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Ext}(H, T) = 0$  for all  $H \subset G$  of smaller cardinality than  $\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* See [E, Theorem 5.5] or the proof of [BFS, Theorem 3.1] which uses Shelah's Singular Compactness Theorem [Sh]. The notion of freeness is defined as follows:  $G$  is “free” if and only if there exists a chain  $\{G_\alpha\}$  of the type described in Proposition 2.5. It is readily checked that this definition satisfies the assumptions of Shelah's Singular Compactness Theorem.  $\square$

We remark that Proposition 2.6 does not hold in ZFC; see [SS1].

THEOREM 2.7 ( $V = L$ ). *Let  $G$  be a torsion-free abelian group. If  $P$  is an infinite set of primes, then  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}(p) \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  if and only if  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} T_p \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  for all  $p$ -groups  $T_p \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ . In particular, Theorem 2.1(iv) holds for  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* We first note that we only have to prove that  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}(p) \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  implies  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} T_p \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  for all  $p$ -groups  $T_p \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ ; the converse implication is trivial.

We use induction on the cardinality of  $G$ . If  $G$  is countable, then the claim is true by Proposition 1.4. Hence assume that  $\lambda = |G|$  is greater than or equal to  $\aleph_1$ . If  $\lambda$  is singular, then  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}(p) \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  implies that  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}(p) \in \mathcal{TC}(H)$  for all subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  of smaller cardinality. Moreover,  $\mathcal{TC}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{TC}(H)$ . Hence the induction hypothesis implies that  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} T_p \in \mathcal{TC}(H)$  for all  $p$ -groups  $T_p \in \mathcal{TC}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{TC}(H)$ . Thus Proposition 2.6 shows that  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} T_p \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  for all  $p$ -groups  $T_p \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ . Finally, assume that  $\lambda$  is regular. Fix  $T_p \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  for  $p \in P$ . By Lemma 1.3, we may assume without loss of generality that  $T_p$  is of cardinality less than or equal to  $\lambda$ . Let  $G = \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} G_\beta$  be an appropriate  $\lambda$ -filtration of  $G$  of the type described in Proposition 2.5, i.e., such that  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}(p) \in \mathcal{TC}(G_{\alpha+1}/G_\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ . Similarly, for each  $p \in P$  choose  $\lambda$ -filtrations  $G = \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} G_{\beta,p}$  of  $G$  such that  $T_p \in \mathcal{TC}(G_{\alpha+1,p}/G_{\alpha,p})$  for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ . It is well known that for each  $p \in P$  there is a cub  $D_p$  of  $\lambda$  such that  $G_\beta = G_{\beta,p}$  for all  $\beta \in D_p$ . Since  $\lambda = cf(\lambda) > \aleph_0$ , the intersection  $D = \bigcap_{p \in P} D_p$  is still a cub in  $\lambda$ . Thus, for  $\alpha < \gamma \in D$  we have  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}(p) \in \mathcal{TC}(G_\gamma/G_\alpha)$  and  $T_p \in \mathcal{TC}(G_\gamma/G_\alpha)$  for all  $p \in P$ . Therefore, by the induction hypothesis, for  $\alpha < \gamma \in D$  we obtain  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} T_p \in \mathcal{TC}(G_\gamma/G_\alpha)$ . Hence, by [EM, Proposition XII.1.14] or Lemma 2.4, we have  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} T_p \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ .  $\square$

Note that Theorem 2.7 already implies that for a large class of torsion-free groups  $G$  there exists a completely decomposable group  $C$  such that  $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(C)$ , if we assume  $V = L$ . In fact, this holds for all torsion-free groups  $G$  satisfying Theorem 2.1(v).

However, we consider condition (v) in  $V = L$ . We will prove that the smallest torsion-free group  $G$  violating Theorem 2.1(v) (if it exists) must be of size  $\aleph_1$  in  $V = L$ .

**THEOREM 2.8** ( $V = L$ ). *Let  $G$  be a torsion-free group and assume that for all groups  $H$  of size less than or equal to  $\aleph_1$  Theorem 2.1(v) is satisfied. If  $P$  is an infinite set of primes such that  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}(p) \notin \mathcal{TC}(G)$ , then there exists an infinite subset  $P'$  of  $P$  such that  $\bigoplus_{p \in X} \mathbb{Z}(p) \notin \mathcal{TC}(G)$  for all infinite  $X \subseteq P'$ . Hence Theorem 2.1(v) is satisfied for  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that the claim is not true and let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal cardinality. By assumption  $|G| = \lambda \geq \aleph_2$ , and for all groups  $H$  of smaller cardinality than  $\lambda$  Theorem 2.1(v) holds. If  $\lambda$  is singular, then, by Proposition 2.6,  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}(p) \notin \mathcal{TC}(G)$  implies that  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}(p) \notin \mathcal{TC}(H)$  for some subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  of smaller cardinality. Thus, by assumption, our claim holds for  $H$  and therefore also for  $G$ . Finally, assume that  $\lambda$  is regular. For any infinite subset  $X$  of  $P$  put  $T_X = \bigoplus_{p \in X} \mathbb{Z}(p)$ . Hence  $T_P \notin \mathcal{TC}(G)$ . Choose a  $\lambda$ -filtration  $G = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} G_\alpha$  such that  $T_P \notin \mathcal{TC}(G_{\alpha+1}/G_\alpha)$  if, for some  $\beta > \alpha$ ,  $T_P \notin \mathcal{TC}(G_\beta/G_\alpha)$ . Let  $W = \{P_\alpha : \alpha \in 2^{\aleph_0}\}$  be an enumeration of all infinite subsets of  $P$ . Note that  $|W| = 2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$  since we work in  $V = L$ . Since  $G$  is a counterexample to Theorem 2.1(v) for every  $P_\alpha \in W$ , there exists a set  $X_\alpha \in W$  such that  $X_\alpha \subseteq P_\alpha$  and  $T_{X_\alpha} \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ . Choose  $\lambda$ -filtrations  $G = \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} G_{\beta, \rho}$  of  $G$  for each  $P_\rho \in W$  ( $\rho < \aleph_1$ ) as in Proposition 2.5, such that  $T_{X_\rho} \in \mathcal{TC}(G_{\alpha+1, \rho}/G_{\alpha, \rho})$  for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ . For all  $\mu < \nu < \lambda$  we therefore have  $T_{X_\rho} \in \mathcal{TC}(G_{\nu, \rho}/G_{\mu, \rho})$ . It is well known that for each  $\rho < \aleph_1$  there is a cub  $D_\rho$  of  $\lambda$  such that  $G_{\beta, \rho} = G_\beta$  for all  $\beta \in D_\rho$ . Since  $\lambda = cf(\lambda) > \aleph_1$ , the intersection  $C = \bigcap_{\rho < \aleph_1} D_\rho$  is still a cub in  $\lambda$ . Assume that there exists  $\beta \in C$  such that  $T_P \notin \mathcal{TC}(G_{\beta+1}/G_\beta)$ . Since  $G_{\beta+1}/G_\beta$  is of smaller cardinality than  $G$ , there exists an infinite subset  $X \subseteq P$  such that  $T_Y \notin \mathcal{TC}(G_{\beta+1}/G_\beta)$  for all infinite subsets  $Y \subseteq X$ . Choose  $\beta + 1 \leq \gamma \in C$ . Then also  $T_Y \notin \mathcal{TC}(G_\gamma/G_\beta)$  for all infinite subsets  $Y \subseteq X$ . But this implies  $X = P_\rho$  for some  $P_\rho \in W$ , and hence  $T_{X_\rho} \in \mathcal{TC}(G_\gamma/G_\beta) = \mathcal{TC}(G_{\gamma, \rho}/G_{\beta, \rho})$ , which is a contradiction. Thus, for all  $\beta \in C$  we have  $T_P \in \mathcal{TC}(G_{\beta+1}/G_\beta)$ . Hence the relative  $\Gamma$ -invariant  $\Gamma_{T_P}(G)$  is equal to 0, and therefore, by [EM, Proposition XII.1.14],  $T_P \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.9** ( $V = L$ ). *Assume that for all torsion-free groups  $H$  of size less than or equal to  $\aleph_1$  there exists a completely decomposable group  $C_H$  such that  $\mathcal{TC}(H) = \mathcal{TC}(C_H)$ . Then all torsion-free groups  $G$  satisfy  $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(C)$  for some completely decomposable group  $C$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be any torsion-free group. By Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.7, conditions (i)–(iv) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied for  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ . Moreover, Theorem

2.8 shows that Theorem 2.1(v) is also satisfied. Hence, by Theorem 2.1,  $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(C)$  for some completely decomposable group  $C$ .  $\square$

As a corollary we obtain a special case of [EFS, Theorem C].

**COROLLARY 2.10** ( $V = L$ ). *Let  $G$  be a torsion-free abelian group. Then  $G$  is free if and only if  $\bigoplus_{p \in \Pi} \bigoplus_{n \in \omega} \mathbb{Z}(p^n) \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ . Hence there exists a countable test-group for freeness.*

*Proof.* If  $G$  is free, then trivially  $\bigoplus_{p \in \Pi} \bigoplus_{n \in \omega} \mathbb{Z}(p^n) \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ . Hence assume that  $\bigoplus_{p \in \Pi} \bigoplus_{n \in \omega} \mathbb{Z}(p^n) \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ . Since we assume  $V = L$ , we know that conditions (i)–(iv) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied for  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.1(iii),  $\bigoplus_{n \in \omega} \mathbb{Z}(p^n) \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  implies that  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  contains all  $p$ -groups for all primes  $p \in \Pi$ . Thus  $\bigoplus_{p \in \Pi} \mathbb{Z}(p) \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$  and Theorem 2.1(iv) imply that  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  contains all direct sums of arbitrary  $p$ -groups (for  $p \in \Pi$ ), and hence contains all torsion groups. Thus  $\mathcal{TC}(G)$  is the class of all torsion groups, and by Griffith's solution of the Baer problem [G] it follows that  $G$  is free.  $\square$

**REMARK 2.11.** The Example 2.3 of a Shelah group under Martin's axiom shows that the above corollary does not hold in ZFC.

We were not able to show that in  $V = L$  every torsion-free group  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(C)$  for some completely decomposable group  $C$ . Hence we conclude this section with a conjecture.

**CONJECTURE 2.12** ( $\mathcal{TC}$ -Conjecture). *In Gödel's constructible universe  $V = L$ , for every torsion-free group  $G$  there exists a completely decomposable group  $C$  such that  $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(C)$ .*

### 3. Kulikov's problem

Let  $T$  be any torsion group and  $\lambda$  any cardinal. A torsion-free group  $G$  of rank  $\lambda$  is called  $\lambda$ -universal for  $T$  if it satisfies  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$  and every torsion-free group  $H$  of rank less than or equal to  $\lambda$  satisfying  $\text{Ext}(H, T) = 0$  can be embedded into  $G$ . Kulikov [KN, Question 1.66] asked if, for arbitrary  $T$  and uncountable  $\lambda$ , there is always a  $\lambda$ -universal group. For a large class of torsion groups we will show that this is the case in  $V = L$ . Since, to the author's knowledge, there are no published results on Kulikov's question for countable or finite  $\lambda$ , we begin with the case when  $\lambda$  is an integer. We first note that it is easy to see that  $G$  is  $\lambda$ -universal for  $T$  if and only if  $G$  is  $\lambda$ -universal for the reduced part of  $T$ . Hence we may always assume that  $T$  is reduced. Recall that a group  $T$  is called *cotorsion* if  $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Q}, T) = 0$ .

**LEMMA 3.1.** *If  $T$  is a torsion cotorsion group, then for any cardinal  $\lambda$  there exists a  $\lambda$ -universal group  $G$  for  $T$ .*



*Proof.* Clearly the direct sum of  $\lambda$  copies of the rationals  $\mathbb{Q}$  forms a  $\lambda$ -universal group for  $T$  since every torsion-free group can be embedded into its divisible hull.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $T$  be a torsion group and let  $G$  be  $n$ -universal for  $T$  for some  $n > 0$ . Then  $G$  is homogeneous completely decomposable.*

*Proof.* Since  $G$  is of finite rank  $n$ , it follows from Proposition 1.4(iii) that the outer type  $R = OT(G)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{TC}(R) = \mathcal{TC}(G)$ , and hence  $\text{Ext}(R, T) = 0$ . Thus, by universality,  $\bigoplus_{i \leq n} R$  can be embedded into  $G$ . Therefore there exists a maximal linearly independent set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of elements of  $G$  having type greater than or equal to  $R$ . Thus the inner type  $IT(G)$  is greater than or equal to  $R$  (for the definition of inner type see [A, page 84]). Hence  $R = OT(G) = IT(G)$  and it follows from [A, Proposition 3.1.13] that  $G$  must be homogeneous completely decomposable of type  $R$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 3.3. *Let  $T$  be a torsion group and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists an  $n$ -universal group  $G$  for  $T$  if and only if  $T$  has only finitely many non-trivial bounded  $p$ -components. In this case  $G$  is completely decomposable.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $T$  is reduced. Assume that  $G$  is  $n$ -universal for  $T$  for some positive integer  $n$ . Then, by Lemma 3.2,  $G$  must be homogeneous completely decomposable, and hence  $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(S)$  for some rational group  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ . Assume that  $T$  has infinitely many bounded  $p$ -components, say  $T_p$  is bounded and non-trivial for  $p \in P$ , where  $P$  is an infinite set of primes. Then  $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Q}^{(p)}, T) = 0$  for all  $p \in P$ . Hence  $\mathbb{Q}^{(p)}$  embeds into  $G$  and thus, by Proposition 1.4(i),  $\chi_p^S(1) = \infty$  for all  $p \in P$ . But then, again by Proposition 1.4(i), we have  $\text{Ext}(S, T) \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $T$  can have only finitely many bounded non-trivial  $p$ -components.

Conversely, assume that  $T$  has only finitely many non-trivial bounded  $p$ -components. Let  $P = \{p \in \Pi : T_p \text{ is unbounded}\}$  and put  $R = \langle 1/p^\infty : p \notin P \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ . Then, by Proposition 1.4(i),  $C = \bigoplus_{i \leq n} R$  clearly satisfies  $\text{Ext}(C, T) = 0$ . Let  $G$  be any torsion-free group of rank less than or equal to  $n$  satisfying  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$ . We will show that  $G$  can be embedded into  $C$ . Since  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$  it follows that for any type  $S$  in the typeset of  $G$  we have  $\text{Ext}(S, T) = 0$ . Hence  $S \leq R$  since  $R$  is idempotent. Thus the tensor product  $G \otimes R$  is homogeneous of type  $R$ . Moreover, the short exact sequence

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0$$

with  $D$  torsion divisible induces the short exact sequence

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow G \otimes R \rightarrow D \otimes R \rightarrow 0,$$

and thus  $G$  is embeddable into  $G \otimes R$ . Note that  $D$  has non-trivial  $p$ -components only for  $p \notin P$ . Let  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$  with  $T_1$  finite and  $T_2 = \bigoplus_{p \in P} T_p$ .

Then  $\text{Ext}(G \otimes R, T) = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Ext}(G \otimes R, T_2) = 0$ . Applying the Hom-functor to the sequence (3.2) we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Ext}(D \otimes R, T_2) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(G \otimes R, T_2) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(G, T_2) \rightarrow 0,$$

and since  $D$  is  $q$ -divisible for all  $q \in P$  it follows that  $\text{Ext}(D \otimes R, T_2) = 0$ , and hence  $\text{Ext}(G \otimes R, T) = 0$ . By Proposition 1.4(iii) there exists a rational group  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\mathcal{TC}(G \otimes R) = \mathcal{TC}(S)$ . It follows that  $S \geq R$ , and since  $R$  is idempotent and  $\text{Ext}(G \otimes R, T) = 0$  we obtain  $S = R$ . Thus [St, Corollary 2.11] implies that  $G \otimes R$  is completely decomposable, and hence embeddable into  $C$ .  $\square$

In the case of  $\omega$ -universal groups the situation is more delicate.

**LEMMA 3.4.** *If  $T$  is a torsion group with only finitely many non-trivial bounded  $p$ -components, then there is an  $\omega$ -universal group  $C$  for  $T$  which is completely decomposable.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality assume that  $T$  is reduced torsion and has only finitely many non-trivial bounded  $p$ -components. As in the proof of Theorem 3.3 we define  $P = \{p \in \Pi : T_p \text{ is unbounded}\}$  and put  $R = \langle 1/p^\infty : p \notin P \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ . We will show that  $C = \bigoplus_{n \in \omega} R$  is  $\omega$ -universal for  $T$ . Let  $G$  be countable torsion-free such that  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$ . By the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 we see that  $G \otimes R$  is homogeneous of type  $R$  and that  $\text{Ext}(G \otimes R, T) = 0$ . By Proposition 1.4(ii) there exists a completely decomposable group  $H$  such that  $\mathcal{TC}(G \otimes R) = \mathcal{TC}(H)$ . It follows that  $S \leq R$  for all types  $S$  in the typeset of  $H$  since  $R$  is idempotent and  $\text{Ext}(G \otimes R, T) = 0$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{TC}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{TC}(H) = \mathcal{TC}(G \otimes R)$ . Moreover, by homogeneity we have  $\mathcal{TC}(G \otimes R) \subseteq \mathcal{TC}(R)$ , and hence  $\mathcal{TC}(G \otimes R) = \mathcal{TC}(R)$ . Griffith's solution of the Baer problem [G] then implies that  $G \otimes R$  is completely decomposable of type  $R$  and therefore embeds into  $C$ .  $\square$

If  $T$  has infinitely many non-trivial bounded  $p$ -components, then we can find at least a completely decomposable ( $< \omega$ )-universal group for  $T$ , i.e., a completely decomposable countable torsion-free group  $G$  satisfying  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$ , and every finite rank torsion-free group  $H$  such that  $\text{Ext}(H, T) = 0$  is embeddable into  $G$ .

**LEMMA 3.5.** *Let  $T$  be a torsion group. Then there exists a ( $< \omega$ )-universal group for  $T$  which is completely decomposable.*

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be torsion and define  $P_1$  to be the set of all primes  $p$  such that the  $p$ -component  $T_p$  of  $T$  is bounded but non-trivial. Moreover, let  $P_2$  contain all primes such that  $T_p = 0$ , and let  $P_3 = \Pi \setminus (P_1 \cup P_2)$ . For a finite subset  $Q \subseteq P_1$  we put

$$R_Q = \langle 1/p^\infty : p \in (Q \cup P_3) \rangle$$

and define  $C_Q = \bigoplus_{n \in \omega} R_Q$ . Finally, let  $C = \bigoplus_{Q \text{ (finite)} \subseteq P_1} C_Q$ . Then  $\text{Ext}(C, T) = 0$  by Proposition 1.4(i).

We will show that every finite rank torsion-free group  $H$  such that  $\text{Ext}(H, T) = 0$  is embeddable into  $C$ . Let  $H$  be such a group. Then, by Proposition 1.4(iii),  $\mathcal{TC}(H) = \mathcal{TC}(K)$  for some rational group  $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ . Note that  $K$  is the outer type of  $G$ . Thus, if  $S$  is in the typeset of  $H$  then, by Proposition 1.4(i), clearly  $S \leq R_{Q_S}$  for some finite subset  $Q_S$  of  $P_1$ . Let  $Q = \bigcup_{S \in \text{Tst}(H)} Q_S \subseteq P_1$ . Then  $Q$  must be finite, for otherwise  $\chi_p^K(1) = \infty$  for infinitely many primes  $p \in P_1$ , which is a contradiction since  $\text{Ext}(K, T) = 0$ . We let  $R = \sup\{R_Q, K\}$  and conclude that  $H \otimes R$  must be homogeneous of type  $R$ . Notice that  $R$  is idempotent and that  $\text{Ext}(R, T) = 0$ . We consider the short exact sequence

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $D$  is torsion divisible with non-trivial  $p$ -components for  $\chi_p^R(1) = \infty$ , say  $U = \{p \in \Pi : D_p \neq 0\}$ . Note that  $U \cap P_1$  is finite by the choice of  $R$ . By applying first the  $\otimes$ -functor and then the  $\text{Hom}$ -functor to (3.4) we obtain the short exact sequence

$$(3.5) \quad \text{Ext}(D \otimes H, T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H \otimes R, T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H, T) = 0.$$

From the elementary properties of  $\text{Ext}$  it follows that

$$\text{Ext}(D \otimes H, T) \cong \text{Ext} \left( \bigoplus_{p \in U \cap P_1} D_p \otimes H, \bigoplus_{p \in U \cap P_1} T_p \right).$$

By the choice of  $P_1$  and the finiteness of  $U \cap P_1$  we obtain that  $\bigoplus_{p \in U \cap P_1} T_p$ , and hence also  $\text{Ext}(D \otimes H, T)$ , is bounded. But  $\text{Ext}(H \otimes R, T)$  is divisible and an epimorphic image of  $\text{Ext}(D \otimes H, T)$ , and hence trivial. Thus  $\text{Ext}(H \otimes R, T) = 0$ . By Proposition 1.4(iii) there exists a rational group  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\mathcal{TC}(H \otimes R) = \mathcal{TC}(S)$ , and hence  $R \leq S$ . Note that  $S$  must be idempotent. Assume now that  $S > R$ . Then there exists  $p \in P_1$  such that  $\chi_p^S(1) = \infty$  and  $\chi_p^R(1) = 0$ . Since  $K \leq R$ , it follows that there exists an unbounded  $p$ -group  $T_1 \in \mathcal{TC}(H)$ . We now prove by induction on the rank of  $H$  that  $T_1 \in \mathcal{TC}(H \otimes R) = \mathcal{TC}(S)$ , which yields a contradiction. If  $H$  is of rank one, then clearly  $\chi_p^{H \otimes R}(1) < \infty$ , and hence  $\text{Ext}(H \otimes R, T_1) = 0$ . Assume that  $H$  is of rank  $n$  and choose a pure subgroup  $M$  of  $H$  of rank  $n - 1$ . Let  $N = H/M \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ . From the exact sequence

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow H \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain the short exact sequence

$$(3.7) \quad \text{Ext}(N \otimes R, T_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H \otimes R, T_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(M \otimes R, T_1) \rightarrow 0.$$

By the induction hypothesis,  $\text{Ext}(M \otimes R, T_1) = 0$ . Moreover, we have  $N \leq K$  since  $K = OT(G)$ , and therefore  $\text{Ext}(G, T_1) = 0$  implies  $\text{Ext}(K, T_1) = 0 = \text{Ext}(N, T_1)$ . Thus,  $\chi_p^N(1) < \infty$ , and hence also  $\chi_p^{N \otimes R}(1) < \infty$ . We

conclude that  $\text{Ext}(N \otimes R, T_1) = 0$ , and hence  $\text{Ext}(H \otimes R, T_1) = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $S = R$  and  $\mathcal{TC}(H \otimes R) = \mathcal{TC}(R)$ . By Griffith's solution of the Baer problem or [St, Corollary 2.11] it follows that  $H \otimes R$  is completely decomposable of type  $R$ . Hence  $H \subseteq H \otimes R$  embeds into  $C$ .  $\square$

Note that Lemma 3.2 cannot hold for  $\omega$ -universal groups, for if  $G$  is  $\omega$ -universal for  $T$  and  $H$  is countable torsion-free satisfying  $\text{Ext}(H, T) = 0$ , then  $G \oplus H$  is also  $\omega$ -universal for  $T$ . We can even show that no  $\omega$ -universal group for  $T$  (if it exists) can be completely decomposable if  $T$  has infinitely many non-trivial bounded  $p$ -components. Recall that a torsion-free group  $B$  is called a  $B_1$ -group if  $\text{Bext}(G, T) = 0$  for all torsion groups  $T$ .

LEMMA 3.6 ([SW]). *Let  $B$  be a  $B_1$ -group. Then we have  $\mathcal{TC}(B) = \mathcal{TC}(\bigoplus_{R \in \text{Tst}(B)} R)$ , where  $\text{Tst}(B)$  denotes the typeset of  $B$ .*

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let  $T$  be a torsion group with infinitely many non-trivial bounded  $p$ -components. Then there exists a countable torsion-free group  $G$  with  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$  such that  $G$  is not embeddable into any completely decomposable group  $C$  satisfying  $\text{Ext}(C, T) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is very similar to that given in [A, Example 3.4.2]. Hence we will only give a brief outline. Let  $P$  be the set of primes such that  $T_p$  is non-trivial and bounded. Let  $S$  be the set of words on the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$  and denote by  $\emptyset$  the trivial word. We divide  $P$  into two disjoint infinite subsets  $P_0$  and  $P_1$  and enumerate these by  $\omega$ , e.g.,  $P_i = \{p_{i,j} : j < \omega\}$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ). For  $s \in S$  let  $X_s = \langle 1/p_{i,j}^\infty : s(j) = i \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ . Then the family  $X_s$  ( $s \in S$ ) forms a tree, i.e., satisfies  $X_s = X_{s_0} \cap X_{s_1}$  for all  $s \in S$ , and clearly  $\text{Ext}(X_s, T) = 0$ . Moreover, if  $R$  is a type and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $s \in S$  with  $l(s) = n$  such that  $R \geq X_s$ , then  $\chi_p^R(1) = \infty$  for infinitely many primes  $p \in P$ , and hence  $\text{Ext}(R, T) \neq 0$ .

Now define, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $G_n = \bigoplus \{X_s : s \in S, l(s) = n\}$ , so that  $\text{Ext}(G_n, T) = 0$ , and let  $G$  be the direct limit of  $\{G_n, f_n : n \geq 1\}$ , where  $f_n : G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$ ,  $x \mapsto (x, x)$ . Then  $G$  is a  $B_1$ -group and the typeset  $\text{Tst}(G)$  of the group  $G$  is contained in the (even finite) meet closure of the sets  $X_s$ . Since  $\text{Ext}(X_s, T) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ , it follows by Lemma 3.6 that  $T \in \mathcal{TC}(\bigoplus_{s \in S} X_s) = \mathcal{TC}(G)$ , and thus  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$ . Finally, assume that  $G$  is a subgroup of a completely decomposable group  $C = \bigoplus_{i \in \omega} C_i$  satisfying  $\text{Ext}(C, T) = 0$ . Since  $G$  is reduced, we may assume that  $C$  is reduced. There exists  $m \geq 1$  such that  $X_\emptyset = \mathbb{Z} \subseteq C_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_m$ . Let  $0 \neq x \in X_\emptyset$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $x = \bigoplus \{x_s : l(s) = n\} \in G_n$  with each  $x_s \neq 0$ . Moreover,  $x_s = c(1, s) \oplus \cdots \oplus c(k, s)$  for some  $k \geq m$  and  $c(i, s) \in C_i$ . Hence,  $X_s = \text{type}(x_s) \leq \cap \{\text{type}(c(i, s)) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \leq \cap \{\text{type}(c(i, s)) : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ , and since  $x \neq 0$  there exists  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq m$  such that  $c(j, s) \neq 0$ . Thus, for every  $n \geq 1$  there exists  $s \in S$  with  $l(s) = n$

and  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq m$  such that  $X_s \leq C_j$ . By the choice of the sets  $X_s$  it follows that  $\text{Ext}(C_j, T) \neq 0$ , and we have reached a contradiction.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.8.** *If  $T$  has infinitely many non-trivial bounded  $p$ -components and  $\lambda$  is an infinite cardinal, then no  $\lambda$ -universal group  $G$  for  $T$  can be completely decomposable.*

To conclude this section we show that in  $V = L$  every torsion group with only finitely many non-trivial  $p$ -components has  $\lambda$ -universal groups for every  $\lambda$ . This contrasts the consistency result from [SS2], which shows that there is a model of ZFC in which for every torsion group  $T$  that is not cotorsion there is a class of cardinals  $\lambda$  such that there exist no  $\lambda$ -universal groups for  $T$ .

**THEOREM 3.9** ( $V = L$ ). *If  $T$  is a torsion group with only finitely many non-trivial bounded  $p$ -components and  $\lambda$  is a cardinal, then there is a  $\lambda$ -universal group  $C$  for  $T$  which is completely decomposable.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $T$  is reduced torsion and has only finitely many non-trivial  $p$ -components. We define  $P = \{p \in \Pi : T_p \text{ is unbounded}\}$  and put  $R = \langle 1/p^\infty : p \notin P \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ . Then, by Proposition 1.4(i),  $C = \bigoplus_\lambda R$  clearly satisfies  $\text{Ext}(C, T) = 0$ . We will show that  $C$  is  $\lambda$ -universal for  $T$ . Let  $G$  be torsion-free of cardinality less than or equal to  $\lambda$  and satisfying  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$ . Since  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$ , it follows that for any type  $S$  in the typeset of  $G$  we have  $\text{Ext}(S, T) = 0$ . Hence  $S \leq R$ , since  $R$  is idempotent. Thus the tensor product  $G \otimes R$  is homogeneous of type  $R$ . As in the proof of Theorem 3.3 it follows that  $\text{Ext}(G \otimes R, T) = 0$ . Since we do not know whether the  $\mathcal{TC}$ -Conjecture holds, we show directly that Theorem 2.1(v) holds for  $\mathcal{TC}(G \otimes R)$ . Assume that  $Q$  is an infinite set of primes such that  $\bigoplus_{p \in Q} \mathbb{Z}(p) \notin \mathcal{TC}(G \otimes R)$ . Then  $Q \setminus P$  must be infinite since  $T' = \bigoplus_{p \in Q \cap P} \mathbb{Z}(p)$  is an epimorphic image of  $T$ , and hence  $T' \in \mathcal{TC}(G \otimes R)$ . It follows that condition (v) of Theorem 2.1 holds for  $\mathcal{TC}(G \otimes R)$  since it holds for  $\mathcal{TC}(R)$ . Thus  $V = L$  and the results from Section 2 imply that there exists a completely decomposable group  $H$  such that  $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(H)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 3.4 we conclude that  $\mathcal{TC}(G \otimes R) = \mathcal{TC}(R)$ . Thus Griffith's solution of the Baer problem [G] implies that  $G \otimes R$  is completely decomposable of type  $R$  and therefore embeds into  $C$ .  $\square$

To the author's knowledge, it is not known whether under  $V = L$  for every torsion group  $T$  and every infinite cardinal  $\lambda$  there exists a  $\lambda$ -universal group for  $T$ . The author strongly conjectures that the answer is yes, but the techniques developed in this article are not sufficient to provide a complete solution. Therefore we pose the following open question.

QUESTION 3.10 ( $V = L$ ). *Let  $T$  be a torsion group (with infinitely many non-zero  $p$ -components) and  $\lambda$  an infinite cardinal. Does there exist a  $\lambda$ -universal group for  $T$ ?*

## REFERENCES

- [A] D.M. Arnold, *Abelian groups and representations of finite partially ordered sets*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 931, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [B] R. Baer, *The subgroup of the elements of finite order of an abelian group*, Ann. of Math. **37** (1936), 766–781.
- [BFS] T. Becker, L. Fuchs, and S. Shelah, *Whitehead modules over domains*, Forum Math. **1** (1989), 53–68.
- [E] P.C. Eklof, *Set-theoretic methods in homological algebra and abelian groups*, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures, vol. 69, Presses de L'Université de Montréal, Montréal, Que., 1980.
- [EFS] P. Eklof, L. Fuchs, and S. Shelah, *Baer modules over domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **332** (1990), 547–560.
- [EM] P.C. Eklof and A. Mekler, *Almost free modules. Set-theoretic methods*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [ET] P.C. Eklof and J. Trlifaj, *How to make Ext vanish*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **33** (2001), 41–51.
- [F] L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups. I, II*, Academic Press, New York, 1970, 1973.
- [GSW] R. Göbel, S. Shelah, and S.L. Wallutis, *On the lattice of cotorsion theories*, J. Algebra **238** (2001), 292–313.
- [G] P. Griffith, *A solution to the splitting mixed group problem of Baer*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (1969), 261–269.
- [KN] *The Kourovka Notebook—unsolved questions in group theory*, 14th edition, Russian Academy of Sciences Siberian Division, Novosibirsk, 1999.
- [S] L. Salce, *Cotorsion theories for abelian groups*, Conf. abelian groups and their relationship to the theory of modules (INDAM, Rome, 1977), Symposia Mathematica, vol. XXIII, Academic Press, London, 1979, pp. 11–32.
- [Sh] S. Shelah, *A compactness theorem for singular cardinals, free algebras, Whitehead problem and transversals*, Israel J. Math. **21** (1975), 319–349.
- [SS1] S. Shelah and L. Strüngmann, *A non-compactness theorem for abelian groups*, in preparation.
- [SS2] ———, *On Kulikov's problem and universal torsion-free abelian groups*, J. London Math. Soc., to appear.
- [St] L. Strüngmann, *Torsion groups in cotorsion classes*, to appear in Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico dell'Università di Padova **107** (2002).
- [SW] L. Strüngmann and S.L. Wallutis, *On the torsion groups in cotorsion classes*, Abelian groups, rings and modules (Perth, 2000), Contemp. Math., vol. 273, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001; pp. 269–283.

THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY, GIVAT RAM, JERUSALEM 91904, ISRAEL

Current address: Fachbereich 6 – Mathematik, University of Essen, 45117 Essen, Germany

E-mail address: Lutz.Struengmann@uni-essen.de