

CONGRUENCES FOR ${}_3F_2$ HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS OVER FINITE FIELDS

KEN ONO AND DAVID PENNISTON

ABSTRACT. We present congruences for Greene’s ${}_3F_2$ hypergeometric functions over finite fields, which relate values of these functions to a simple polynomial in the characteristic of the field.

In the 1980’s, J. Greene [G1][G2] initiated a study of finite field hypergeometric functions, and he found that they satisfy a variety of properties analogous to those of their classical counterparts. Recent works by S. Ahlgren and K. Ono [A-O][O] and M. Koike [K] have illustrated that certain special values of these functions are congruent to Apéry type numbers modulo the characteristic of the finite field. Here we present congruences of a different type which relate the values of these functions to a simple polynomial in the characteristic.

We begin by recalling Greene’s definition. As usual, let $GF(p)$ denote the finite field with p elements. We extend all characters χ of $GF(p)^*$ to $GF(p)$ by setting $\chi(0) := 0$. If A and B are two characters of $GF(p)$, then we denote the normalized Jacobi sum by

$$(1) \quad \binom{A}{B} := \frac{B(-1)}{p} J(A, \bar{B}) = \frac{B(-1)}{p} \sum_{x \in GF(p)} A(x) \bar{B}(1-x).$$

DEFINITION 1. If A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n and B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n are characters of $GF(p)$, then Greene’s hypergeometric function ${}_{n+1}F_n \left(\begin{matrix} A_0, & A_1, & \dots, & A_n \\ & B_1, & \dots, & B_n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right)_p$ is defined by

$${}_{n+1}F_n \left(\begin{matrix} A_0, & A_1, & \dots, & A_n \\ & B_1, & \dots, & B_n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right)_p := \frac{p}{p-1} \sum_{\chi} \binom{A_0\chi}{\chi} \binom{A_1\chi}{B_1\chi} \dots \binom{A_n\chi}{B_n\chi} \chi(x),$$

where the summation is over all characters χ of $GF(p)$.

Received January 24, 2001; received in final form July 17, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11T24.

The first author thanks the National Science Foundation, the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, and the David and Lucile Packard Foundation.

We restrict our attention to the ${}_{n+1}F_n \left(\begin{matrix} \phi_p, & \phi_p, & \dots, & \phi_p \\ \epsilon_p, & \dots, & \epsilon_p \end{matrix} \middle| \lambda \right)_p$ functions, where ϕ_p denotes the Legendre symbol modulo p and ϵ_p is the trivial character; for convenience we denote these functions by ${}_{n+1}F_n(\lambda)_p$. S. Ahlgren and the first author [A-O] proved one of F. Beukers' Apéry number supercongruences by explicitly evaluating all of the ${}_4F_3(1)_p$ and relating these values to the zeta function of a specific Calabi-Yau manifold. They also showed that if p is an odd prime, then [A-O, Theorem 3]

$${}_4F_3(1)_p \equiv -1 - p^{13} - p^{14} \pmod{32}.$$

Here we obtain many such congruences for ${}_3F_2(\lambda)_p$. For example, if $p \neq 2, 3, 5, 11$, then

$$(2) \quad {}_3F_2 \left(\frac{2673}{2048} \right)_p \equiv \phi_p(-2)(1+p^{-1}+p^{-2}) \equiv \phi_p(-2)(1+p^2+p^3) \pmod{20}.$$

We begin by defining groups G_i , functions $\lambda_i(s)$ and sets S_i as in Table 1 below.

If p is prime and n is a nonzero integer, then $\text{ord}_p(n)$ shall denote the power of p dividing n ; we extend ord_p to \mathbb{Q} in the obvious way.

THEOREM 1. *For each i in Table 1, define N_i by*

$$N_i := \begin{cases} 2|G_i| & \text{if } 4 \nmid |G_i|, \\ 4|G_i| & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $s \in \mathbb{Q} - S_i$ and $p \geq 5$ is a prime for which

$$\text{ord}_p(\lambda_i(s)(\lambda_i(s) - 4)) = \text{ord}_p(N_i) = 0,$$

then

$${}_3F_2 \left(\frac{4}{4 - \lambda_i(s)} \right)_p \equiv \phi_p(\lambda_i^2(s) - 4\lambda_i(s)) (1 + p^{-1} + p^{-2}) \pmod{N_i}.$$

REMARK. Example (2) is obtained by letting $s = 3/4$ when $i = 14$ in Theorem 1.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

If $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0, 4\}$, define the elliptic curve $E(\lambda)/\mathbb{Q}$ by the equation

$$(3) \quad E(\lambda) : y^2 = (x - 1) \left(x^2 + \frac{4 - \lambda}{\lambda} \right).$$

The point $(1, 0)$ is a point of order 2 on $E(\lambda)$. (Note that this curve is isomorphic over \mathbb{Q} to the curve (16) in [O].) This elliptic curve has discriminant

$$(4) \quad \Delta(E(\lambda)) := 1024\lambda^{-3}(\lambda - 4)$$

TABLE 1

i	G_i	$\lambda_i(s)$	S_i
1	$\mathbb{Z}2$	s	$0, 4, \frac{9}{2}$
2	$\mathbb{Z}2 \times \mathbb{Z}2$	$\frac{4}{1-s^2}$	$0, \pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{3}$
3	$\mathbb{Z}4$	$\frac{(8s+1)^2}{16s^2}$	$0, -\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{16}$
4	$\mathbb{Z}2 \times \mathbb{Z}4$	$\frac{4(16s^2+1)^2}{(4s+1)^2(4s-1)^2}$	$0, \pm \frac{1}{4}$
5	$\mathbb{Z}2 \times \mathbb{Z}4$	$-\frac{(16s^2-24s+1)^2}{16s(4s-1)^2}$	$0, \frac{1}{4}$
6	$\mathbb{Z}2 \times \mathbb{Z}8$	$\frac{(4096s^8+8192s^7+6144s^6+2048s^5+512s^4+256s^3+96s^2+16s+1)^2}{256(4s+1)^4(2s+1)^4s^4}$	$0, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}$
7	$\mathbb{Z}2 \times \mathbb{Z}8$	$-\frac{4(2048s^8+4096s^7+3072s^6+1024s^5-128s^4-256s^3-96s^2-16s-1)^2}{(8s^2+8s+1)(8s^2-1)(8s^2+4s+1)^2(4s+1)^4}$	$0, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}$
8	$\mathbb{Z}2 \times \mathbb{Z}8$	$\frac{(8192s^8+16384s^7+12288s^6+4096s^5+256s^4-256s^3-96s^2-16s-1)^2}{256s^4(8s^2+8s+1)(8s^2-1)(8s^2+4s+1)^2(2s+1)^4}$	$0, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}$
9	$\mathbb{Z}8$	$\frac{(8s^4-16s^3+16s^2-8s+1)^2}{16(s-1)^4s^4}$	$0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$
10	$\mathbb{Z}6$	$-\frac{(3s^2-6s-1)^2}{16s^3}$	$0, -1, -\frac{1}{9}$
11	$\mathbb{Z}2 \times \mathbb{Z}6$	$\frac{(s^4-12s^3+30s^2+228s-759)^2}{128(s-5)^3(s-3)(s+3)}$	$1, \pm 3, 5, 9$
12	$\mathbb{Z}2 \times \mathbb{Z}6$	$-\frac{(s^4-12s^3+30s^2-156s+393)^2}{128(s-1)^3(s-9)(s-3)}$	$1, \pm 3, 5, 9$
13	$\mathbb{Z}2 \times \mathbb{Z}6$	$\frac{4(s^4-12s^3+30s^2+36s-183)^2}{(s-5)^3(s-1)^3(s-9)(s+3)}$	$1, \pm 3, 5, 9$
14	$\mathbb{Z}10$	$\frac{(2s^2-2s+1)^2(4s^4-12s^3+6s^2+2s-1)^2}{16(s-1)^5(s^2-3s+1)s^5}$	$0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$
15	$\mathbb{Z}12$	$\frac{(24s^8-96s^7+216s^6-312s^5+288s^4-168s^3+60s^2-12s+1)^2}{16(s-1)^6(3s^2-3s+1)^2s^6}$	$0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$

and j -invariant

$$(5) \quad j(E(\lambda)) := \frac{256(\lambda - 3)^3}{\lambda - 4}.$$

If p is a prime such that $\text{ord}_p(\Delta(E(\lambda))) = 0$, then $E(\lambda)$ is an elliptic curve when considered over $GF(p)$; in this case we say that $E(\lambda)$ has *good reduction at p* , and define ${}_3a_2(p; \lambda)$ by

$$(6) \quad {}_3a_2(p; \lambda) = p + 1 - |E(\lambda)_p|,$$

where $|E(\lambda)_p|$ denotes the order of the Mordell-Weil group of $E(\lambda)$ over $GF(p)$.

Extending a result of J. Greene and D. Stanton [G-S], the first author proved the following theorem in [O].

THEOREM 2. *If $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0, 4\}$ and $p \geq 5$ is a prime for which $\text{ord}_p(\lambda(\lambda - 4)) = 0$, then*

$${}_3F_2 \left(\frac{4}{4 - \lambda} \right)_p = \frac{\phi_p(\lambda^2 - 4\lambda)({}_3a_2(p; \lambda)^2 - p)}{p^2}.$$

Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2 and the following elementary proposition regarding the numbers ${}_3a_2(p; \lambda) \pmod{N}$ when $E(\lambda)$ is the twist of an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} whose Mordell-Weil group has a torsion subgroup of order N .

PROPOSITION 3. *Suppose that E/\mathbb{Q} is an elliptic curve for which $j(E) = j(E(\lambda)) \neq 1728$, and assume the torsion subgroup of E/\mathbb{Q} has even order N . Let $N' = 2N$ if $4 \nmid N$, and $N' = 4N$ if $4 \mid N$. If $p \geq 5$ is a prime for which E has good reduction and*

$$\text{ord}_p(\lambda(\lambda - 4)) = \text{ord}_p(N) = 0,$$

then

$${}_3F_2 \left(\frac{4}{4 - \lambda} \right)_p \equiv \phi_p(\lambda^2 - 4\lambda)(1 + p^{-1} + p^{-2}) \pmod{N'}.$$

Proof. By (4), the condition that the odd prime p satisfies $\text{ord}_p(\lambda(\lambda - 4)) = 0$ implies that $E(\lambda)$ is an elliptic curve over $GF(p)$. Since $j(E) = j(E(\lambda))$, E is a twist of $E(\lambda)$ (see Proposition 1.4 (b) in Chapter III of [Si]).

We claim that in fact E is a quadratic twist of $E(\lambda)$. To see this, note first that if $j(E) \neq 0, 1728$, then our claim is given by Proposition 5.4(i) in Chapter X of [Si]. In case $j(E) = 0$, we know that E is given by an equation of the form $E : y^2 = x^3 - b$, $b \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}$. Since N is even, $b = c^3$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}$ (so the point $(c, 0)$ has order 2). The same argument holds for $E(\lambda)$; indeed, one can check (since $\lambda = 3$ in this case) that $E(\lambda)$ is given by the equation $y^2 = x^3 - \frac{8}{27}$. Therefore E is the $\frac{3c}{2}$ -quadratic twist of $E(\lambda)$.

If E_p denotes the curve E considered over $GF(p)$, this implies that

$$(7) \quad {}_3a_2(p; \lambda) = \pm(p + 1 - |E_p|).$$

Now, the fact that $\text{ord}_p(N) = 0$ gives that the reduction map $E \rightarrow E_p$ is injective on the torsion points of E/\mathbb{Q} (see Proposition 3.1 (b) in Chapter VII of [Si]), and hence

$$|E_p| \equiv 0 \pmod{N}.$$

Therefore, by (7) we find that

$${}_3a_2(p; \lambda) \equiv \pm(p + 1) \pmod{N}.$$

The claim now follows easily from Theorem 2. □

Proof of Theorem 1. We prove Theorem 1 in the case when $i = 10$ (i.e., $G_{10} = \mathbb{Z}_6$); the remaining cases follow *mutatis mutandis*. Notice that the groups G_i are exactly those which occur as torsion subgroups of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} and have an element of order 2 (see, for example, Theorem 7.5 in Chapter VIII of [Si]).

Kubert [Ku] has shown that any elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} having a rational point of order 6 can be given by an equation of the form

$$(8) \quad E : y^2 + (1 - s)xy - (s^2 + s)y = x^3 - (s^2 + s)x^2,$$

where $s \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0, -1, -1/9\}$. This curve has discriminant

$$(9) \quad \Delta(E) = s^6(s + 1)^3(9s + 1),$$

and its j -invariant is

$$j(E) = \frac{(9s^4 + 12s^3 + 30s^2 + 12s + 1)^3}{s^6(s + 1)^3(9s + 1)}.$$

Setting this equal to $j(E(\lambda))$, we obtain one rational solution $\lambda = \lambda_{10}(s)$, which is given in the table. By Proposition 3, for every $s \in \mathbb{Q} - S_{10}$ and every prime $p \geq 5$ for which $\text{ord}_p(\lambda_{10}(s)(\lambda_{10}(s) - 4)) = 0$ and E has good reduction at p , we have

$${}_3F_2 \left(\frac{4}{4 - \lambda_{10}(s)} \right) \equiv \phi_p(\lambda_{10}(s)^2 - 4\lambda_{10}(s))(1 + p^{-1} + p^{-2}) \pmod{12}.$$

Since

$$\lambda_{10}(s)(\lambda_{10}(s) - 4) = \frac{(3s^2 - 6s - 1)^2(9s + 1)(s + 1)^3}{256s^6},$$

by (9) we find that all the potential odd primes of bad reduction for E already have the property that $\text{ord}_p(\lambda_{10}(s)(\lambda_{10}(s) - 4)) \neq 0$. This completes the proof when $i = 10$.

Theorem 1 is obtained by arguing as above for all the possible torsion subgroups of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} containing a rational point of order 2. All such curves have a convenient parametrization as in (8), and they are listed in Table 3 of [Ku]. □

REFERENCES

- [A-O] S. Ahlgren and K. Ono, *A Gaussian hypergeometric series evaluation and Apéry number congruences*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **518** (2000), 187–212.
- [G1] J. Greene, *Character sum analogues for hypergeometric and generalized hypergeometric functions over finite fields*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Minnesota, 1984.
- [G2] ———, *Hypergeometric series over finite fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **301** (1987), 77–101.
- [G-S] J. Greene and D. Stanton, *A character sum evaluation and Gaussian hypergeometric series*, J. Number Theory **23** (1986), 136–148.
- [K] M. Koike, *Hypergeometric series over finite fields and Apéry numbers*, Hiroshima Math. J. **22** (1992), 461–467.
- [Ku] D. Kubert, *Universal bounds on the torsion of elliptic curves*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **33** (1976), 193–237.
- [O] K. Ono, *Values of Gaussian hypergeometric series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 1205–1223.
- [Si] J. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

KEN ONO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WI 53706, USA

E-mail address: `ono@math.wisc.edu`

DAVID PENNISTON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FURMAN UNIVERSITY, GREENVILLE, SC 29613, USA

E-mail address: `david.penniston@furman.edu`