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It is a well-known fact that if a sufficiently differentiable function $f$ on $R^{n}=\left\{\left\langle t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right\rangle: t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right.$ real $\}, n \geqq 2$, satisfies the wave equation

$$
\square f=\partial^{2} f / \partial t_{1}^{2}-\partial^{2} f / \partial t_{2}^{2}-\cdots-\partial^{2} f / \partial t_{n}^{2}=0
$$

and $f=\partial f / \partial t_{1}=0$ on the disk $t_{1}=a_{1},\left(t_{2}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(t_{n}-a_{n}\right)^{2} \leqq \beta^{2}$, when $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ are real and $\beta>0$, then $f=0$ throughout the double conical region

$$
\left|t_{1}-a_{1}\right|+\left[\left(t_{2}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(t_{n}-a_{n}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \leqq \beta
$$

The same conclusion holds if $P(\square) f=0$ where $P$ is a polynomial of degree $k$ with real roots and $f=\partial f / \partial t_{1}=\cdots=\partial^{2 k-1} f / \partial t_{1}^{2 k-1}=0$ on the disk.
The solutions of $P(\square) f=0$ which are tempered distributions can be characterized as the Fourier transforms of tempered distributions concentrated in the finitely many hyperboloids $x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-\cdots-x_{n}^{2}=($ root of $P) / 4 \pi^{2}$, which may involve derivatives perpendicular to a hyperboloid only to a degree up to one less than the multiplicity of the corresponding root of $P$.
The object of this paper is to prove that if a tempered distribution $T=T\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ is, in a suitable sense, of faster than exponential decrease as $\left|x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-\cdots-x_{n}^{2}\right|^{1 / 2} \rightarrow \infty$, its Fourier transform is determined throughout each double conical region as described above by its values arbitrarily near the corresponding disk. A somewhat misformulated version of this result appeared in my doctoral dissertation at Princeton University, written while on a National Science Foundation Cooperative Fellowship (1961-62). Thanks are due to Professors G. A. Hunt and Edward Nelson for reading several earlier drafts and making helpful comments.

For any $n$-tuple $z=\left\langle z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right\rangle$ of complex numbers, $n \geqq 2$, we will let

$$
{ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}=z_{2}^{2}+\cdots+z_{n}^{2}, \quad \text { and }\|z\|^{2}=z_{1}^{2}-{ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2} .
$$

Let $Q\left(R^{n}\right), n \geqq 2$, be the space of $C^{\infty}$ complex-valued functions $f$ on $R^{n}$ such that for some $\beta>0$, there is for every $m>0 \mathrm{a} K>0$ such that

$$
|f(x)|=\left|f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\right| \leqq K \exp \left(\beta\left|\|x\|^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}\right) /\left(1+x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}\right)^{m}
$$

for all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$, with every partial derivative of $f$, of any order, satisfying the same conditions, possibly with different values of $K$. We define a pseudotopology in $Q$ as follows: $f_{k} \rightarrow 0$ in $Q$ if and only if $\beta$ and $K$ can be chosen independently of $k$ (the latter for each partial derivative and $m>0$ ), and

[^0]for each $q \geqq 0, M>0$, and nonnegative integers $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n}$,
$$
\left(1+x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}\right)^{q} \partial^{|p|} f_{k} / \partial x_{1}^{p_{1}} \cdots \partial x_{n}^{p_{n}} \rightarrow 0
$$
ask $k \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $\left|\|x\|^{2}\right| \leqq M$, where $|p|=p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n}$. Let $Q^{\prime}$ be the space of linear functionals on $Q$ continuous for the given pseudotopology.

Since L. Schwartz's space $\mathcal{S}$ is contained in $Q$ and has a finer (pseudo) topology, and $s$ is dense in $Q$ for the latter's pseudotopology, each member of $Q^{\prime}$ defines a tempered distribution which in turn determines it uniquely, so that the two may be identified. Roughly speaking, a tempered distribution will belong to $Q^{\prime}$ if and only if it decays as $\left|\|x\|^{2}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ faster than $\exp \left(-\beta\left|\|x\|^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)$ for any $\beta>0$.

Theorem. For any $T \in Q^{\prime}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ real numbers and $\beta>0$, if $T g=0$ for all $g \in Q$ which are Fourier transforms of distributions with support in $t_{1}=a_{1},\left(t_{2}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(t_{n}-a_{n}\right)^{2} \leqq \beta^{2}$, then $T f=0$ whenever $f \in Q$ is the Fourier transform of a distribution with support in

$$
\left|t_{1}-a_{1}\right|+\left[\left(t_{2}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(t_{n}-a_{n}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \leqq \beta
$$

Proof. Since multiplication by $\exp \left(2 \pi i\left(a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} x_{n}\right)\right)$ takes $Q^{\prime}$ onto itself, it suffices to treat the case $a_{1}=a_{2}=\cdots=a_{n}=0$. Let $D_{\beta}$ be the set where $t_{1}=0$ and $t_{2}^{2}+\cdots+t_{n}^{2} \leqq \beta^{2}$, and $C_{\beta}$ the set where $\left|t_{1}\right|+\left(t_{2}^{2}+\cdots+t_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq \beta$. Let $F_{\beta}$ be the class of distributions with support in $D_{\beta}, G_{\beta}$ the $C^{\infty}$ functions with support in $C_{\beta}$, and $\widetilde{F}_{\beta}$ and $\widetilde{G}_{\beta}$ respectively their Fourier transforms.

We shall show that in the pseudotopology of $Q$, the closure of $\widetilde{F}_{\beta} \cap Q$ contains $\widetilde{G}_{\beta}$, and then that the closure of $\widetilde{G}_{\beta}$ contains all members of $Q$ which are Fourier transforms of distributions with support in $C_{\beta}$, by regularization.

Lemma. $\quad \widetilde{F}_{\beta}$ is the set of functions $g\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ of the form

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{N} g_{r}\left(x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{r}
$$

for some $N<\infty$ (depending on $g$ ), where each $g_{r}$ belongs to $S^{\prime}$ and can be extended to an entire function of $n-1$ complex variables $z_{j}=x_{j}+i y_{j}$, $j=2, \cdots, n$, such that

$$
g_{r}\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) \exp \left(-2 \pi \beta\left(\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

is uniformly bounded.
Proof. If $g_{r}\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ is entire, belongs to $s^{\prime}$, and is bounded as indicated, then by the generalized Paley-Wiener theorem [1, tome II, Ch. VII, Section 8, p. 127] its inverse Fourier transform $T_{r}$ has support in the cube $\left|t_{j}\right| \leqq \beta, j=2, \cdots, n$. Taking orthogonal transformations of the $x_{j}$ and $t_{j}$ we obtain an intersection of cubes which is exactly $D_{\beta}$. Hence the finite
sum of tensor products

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{N} T_{r}\left(t_{2}, \cdots, t_{n}\right) \otimes(2 \pi i)^{-r} d^{r} \delta\left(t_{1}\right) / d t_{1}^{r}
$$

has support in $D_{\beta}$; its Fourier transform is $g$.
The converse is an easy consequence of the characterization of distributions with support in a subspace [1, tome I, Ch. III, Section 10, Théorème XXXVI] and the generalized Paley-Wiener theorem. (It will not actually be used later.) This completes the proof of the lemma.

Given $f \in \widetilde{G}_{\beta}$, let $f_{j k}, k=0, \cdots, 2 j-1, \quad j=1,2, \cdots$, be such that

$$
f_{j}\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{2 j-1} f_{j k}\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) z_{1}^{k}
$$

is equal to $f\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ together with its first $j-1$ partial derivatives with respect to $z_{1}$ on the set $z_{1}^{2}={ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2} \neq 0$, and with the first $2 j-1$ derivatives with respect to $z_{1}$ on $z_{1}^{2}={ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}=0 . \quad f$ is an entire function of $n$ complex variables, so that each $f_{j k}\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ is uniquely defined for any complex $z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}$. It follows from a known interpolation formula [2, Section 3.1, formula (5), p. 50] that
$f_{j}(z)=f_{j}\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$

$$
=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)} \frac{\left[\left(\zeta^{2}-{ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right)^{j}-\left(\|z\|^{2}\right)^{j}\right] f\left(\zeta, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) d \zeta}{\left(\zeta^{2}-{ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right)^{j}\left(\zeta-z_{1}\right)}
$$

where $\Gamma\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ is any rectifiable simple closed curve in the complex plane with both points $\pm\left({ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ in its interior. For small changes of $z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}$, the curve $\Gamma$ need not change, so that each $f_{j k}$ is locally analytic and hence an entire function of $n-1$ complex variables.

To find the exponential type of the $f_{j k}$, let $R\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ be a rectangle with sides 2 and $2+\left.\left.2\right|_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}$ containing the points $\pm\left({ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and at distance at least 1 from both points. $R\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ will clearly serve as $\Gamma\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$.

Since $f \epsilon \widetilde{G}_{\beta}$, there is a $K>0$ such that

$$
\left|f\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)\right| \leqq K \exp \left(2 \pi \beta \max \left(\left|z_{1}\right|,\left(\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)\right)
$$

for any $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}$. At each point $\zeta$ on any $R\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$,

$$
|\zeta| \leqq 2+\left(\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

so for some $L>0$

$$
\left|f\left(\zeta, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)\right| \leqq L \exp \left[2 \pi \beta\left(\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]
$$

for any $z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}$ and $\zeta$ on $R\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$.
Thus, since $\left(\zeta^{2}-{ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right)^{j}-\left(\|z\|^{2}\right)^{j}$ is divisible by $\zeta-z_{1}$ and

$$
\left|\zeta-\left({ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right|\left|\zeta+\left(2|z|_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right| \geqq 1
$$

for $\zeta$ on $R\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$, we find, after collecting terms in $z_{1}^{k}$ and allowing for the length of $R\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$, that $f_{j k}$ belongs to $\widetilde{F}_{\beta+\delta}$ by the lemma for every $\delta>0$ and $k=0, \cdots, 2 j-1$, so $f_{j} \in \tilde{F}_{\beta}$.

Let us now show that the $f_{j}$ converge to $f$ in $Q$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. It will be convenient first of all to prove that for any $M \geqq 1, f_{j}\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ converges uniformly to $f\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ on the set $V_{M}$ of all $z=\left\langle z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right\rangle$ satisfying the following four conditions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\|z\|^{2}\right| \leqq M ; \quad\left|\operatorname{Im} z_{r}\right| \leqq \sqrt{ } M, \quad r=1, \cdots, n \\
\operatorname{Re}\left(_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right) \leqq-M ; \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\operatorname{Im}\left({ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right)\right| \leqq M
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that for $\left\langle z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right\rangle \in V_{M}$,

$$
\left(f-f_{j}\right)\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)} \frac{\left(\|z\|^{2}\right)^{j} f\left(\zeta, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) d \zeta}{\left(\zeta^{2}-{ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right)^{j}\left(\zeta-z_{1}\right)}
$$

where $S\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ is a rectangle with sides $4 \sqrt{ } M$ and $4 \sqrt{ } M+\left.\left.2\right|_{2}\right|_{\left.z\right|_{n} ^{2}} ^{\left.\right|^{1 / 2}}$, containing the two points $\pm\left(2|z|_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and at distance at least $2 \sqrt{ } M$ from both points, since for $\zeta$ on or outside $S\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\left|\zeta^{2}-{ }_{2}\right| z\right|_{n} ^{2}\left|=\left|\zeta-\left(2|z|_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right|\right| \zeta+\left({ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \mid \\
& \geqq 2 \sqrt{ } M \max \left(2 \sqrt{ } M,\left.\left.\right|_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}\right) \geqq 4 M
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, for one thing, $z_{1}$ lies inside $S\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ (which is necessary for the validity of the integral formula for $f-f_{j}$, although not for the previous formula for $f_{j}$ ). Also, for $\zeta$ on $S\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ with $\left\langle z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right\rangle \in V_{M}$ for some $z_{1}$,

$$
\left|f\left(\zeta, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)\right| \leqq H \exp (2 \pi \beta(n+4) \sqrt{ } M)
$$

where $H$ is the $L_{1}$ norm of the function whose Fourier transform is $f$, since for $\left\langle t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right\rangle \in C_{\beta}$ we have

$$
\left|\exp \left(2 \pi i\left(\zeta t_{1}+\sum_{r=2}^{n} t_{j} z_{j}\right)\right)\right| \leqq \exp (2 \pi \beta(|\operatorname{Im} \zeta|+(n-1) \sqrt{ } M)
$$

and $|\operatorname{Im} \zeta| \leqq 5 \sqrt{ } M$ since $\operatorname{Re}\left(_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right) \geqq-M$ and $\left|\operatorname{Im}\left({ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right)\right| \leqq M$ imply $\left|\operatorname{Im}\left({ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right|<3 \sqrt{ } M$.

Furthermore, we have

$$
\left|\zeta-z_{1}\right| \geqq\left|\zeta \mp\left(2_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right|-\left| \pm\left({ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}-z_{1}\right| \geqq 2 \sqrt{ } M-\sqrt{ } M=\sqrt{ } M
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid\left(f-f_{j}\right) & \left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) \mid \\
& \leqq \frac{M^{j}\left(16 \sqrt{ } M+\left.\left.4\right|_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}\right) H \exp (2 \pi \beta(n+4) \sqrt{ } M}{2 \pi\left[2 \sqrt{ } M \max \left(2 \sqrt{ } M,\left.\left.\right|_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)\right]^{j} \sqrt{ } M} \\
& \leqq 16 \sqrt{ } M H \exp (2 \pi \beta(n+4) \sqrt{ } M) / 4^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $\left\langle z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right\rangle \in V_{M}$.

Thus for any $f \in \widetilde{G}_{\beta}$ we have defined a specific sequence $\left\{f_{j}\right\}$ of members of $\widetilde{F}_{\beta}$ converging to $f$ uniformly on each set $V_{M}$. If $P$ is a polynomial in $n-1$ variables, $P\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) f \in \widetilde{G}_{\beta}$, and $\left[P\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) f\right]_{j}=P\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) f_{j}$. Hence these functions converge likewise on $V_{M}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ to $P\left(z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) f$.

For any real $X=\left\langle x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\rangle \in V_{M}$ and $\alpha=1, \cdots, n$ there is a circle $C_{\alpha}(x)$ in the complex plane of radius $\min \left(\sqrt{ } M / 2 n, M / 4 n\left|x_{\alpha}\right|\right)$ centered at $x_{\alpha}$ such that if $z_{r} \in C_{r}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right), r=1, \cdots, n$, then $\left\langle z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right\rangle \in V_{2 M}$. For $z_{\alpha}$ on $C_{\alpha}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ we have $\left|z_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha}\right| \leqq \sqrt{ } M / 2 n$, and hence

$$
0 \leqq x_{\alpha}^{2} \leqq 4 \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{\alpha}^{2}\right)+4 M \text { and }\left|x_{\alpha}\right| \leqq 1+2 M+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{\alpha}^{2}\right)
$$

Since $\operatorname{Re} z_{1}^{2} \leqq 2 M+\operatorname{Re}\left({ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right)$ everywhere in $V_{2 M}$, it follows that for any $\alpha=1, \cdots, n$,

$$
\left|x_{\alpha}\right| \leqq 1+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(2|z|_{n}^{2}\right)+2 n M \leqq\left|1+2 n M+2\left(2|z|_{n}^{2}\right)\right|
$$

so that

$$
n \max \left(2 / \sqrt{ } M, 4\left|x_{\alpha}\right| / M\right) \leqq n\left|4+8 n M+8\left(2|z|_{n}^{2}\right)\right|
$$

(recall that $M \geqq 1$ ). Since for any nonnegative integer $s$ there is an $H_{s}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(4+8 n M+8\left({ }_{2}|z|_{n}^{2}\right)\right) n\right|^{s} \mid & \left(f-f_{j}\right)\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) \mid \\
& \leqq 16 \sqrt{ }(2 M) H_{s} \exp (2 \pi \beta(n+4) \sqrt{ }(2 M)) / 4^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $\left\langle z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right\rangle \in V_{2 M}$, it follows using multiple Cauchy integrals over the circles $C_{\alpha}$ that for any nonnegative integer $q$ and differential $D^{p}=\partial^{|p|} / \partial x_{1}^{p_{1}} \cdots \partial x_{n}^{p_{n}},\left(1+x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}\right)^{q} D^{p}\left(f-f_{j}\right)$ converges to 0 uniformly for $\left|\|x\|^{2}\right| \leqq M$, taking $s=q+|p|$.

It also follows that for any $f \in \widetilde{G}_{\beta} \subset Q, m>0$, and $p=\left\langle p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n}\right\rangle$, there are constants $A$ and $B$ such that for $\left|\|x\|^{2}\right| \geqq 1$,
$\left|D^{p}\left(f-f_{j}\right)\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\right| \leqq A \exp \left(B\left|\|x\|^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}\right) /\left(1+x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}\right)^{m}$, and a $C>0$ such that for $\left|\|x\|^{2}\right|<1$,

$$
\left|D^{p}\left(f-f_{j}\right)\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\right| \leqq C /\left(1+x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}\right)^{m}
$$

Hence for some $D>0$ (depending on $f, p$, and $m$ )
$\left|D^{p}\left(f-f_{j}\right)\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\right| \leqq D \exp \left(B\left|\|x\|^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}\right) /\left(1+x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}\right)^{m}$
for all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$, so that the required conditions of uniform boundedness are satisfied, and $f_{j} \rightarrow f$ in the pseudotopology of $Q$.

Now let $S\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right)=S(t)$ be any distribution with support in $C_{\beta}$ whose Fourier transform $\widetilde{S}(x)=\widetilde{S}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ is a function belonging to $Q$. Let $S_{k}(t)=S((1+1 / k) t), k=1,2, \cdots$, so that $\widetilde{S}_{k}(x)=\widetilde{S}(k x /(k+1))$. It is easily seen that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{S}_{k}=\widetilde{S}$ in the pseudotopology of $Q$. Now let $\left\{h_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of $C^{\infty}$ functions with supports shrinking to $\{0\}$, converging to $\delta$ in the topology of $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$. Then for any fixed $k, h_{m} * S_{k} \in G_{\beta}$ for $m$
large enough, so that $T\left(\tilde{h}_{m} \widetilde{S}_{k}\right)=0$. But $\tilde{h}_{m} \widetilde{S}_{k} \rightarrow \widetilde{S}_{k}$ in $Q$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ since for any $p=\left\langle p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n}\right\rangle, D^{p}\left(\tilde{h}_{m} \widetilde{S}_{k}\right) \rightarrow D^{p} \widetilde{S}_{k}$ uniformly on compact sets, and $D^{q} \tilde{h}_{m}$ is bounded uniformly in $m$ for any $q=\left\langle q_{1}, \cdots, q_{n}\right\rangle$, so that $D^{p}\left(\tilde{h}_{m} \widetilde{S}_{k}\right)$, after being expanded as a finite sum by Leibniz's rule, is seen to approach 0 at $\infty$ faster than $\left(1+x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}\right)^{-r}$ for any $r>0$ on each set $\left|\|x\|^{2}\right| \leqq M$, uniformly in $m$. Thus $T\left(\widetilde{S}_{k}\right)=0$ for all $k$, so $T(\widetilde{S})=0$, Q.E.D.
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