

APPLICATIONS OF A COMPACTIFICATION FOR BOUNDED OPERATOR SEMIGROUPS

BY
KLAUS G. WITZ

Introduction and Summary

In this paper¹ a compactification for bounded semigroups of linear operators in a Banach space is studied and some applications to abstract ergodic theory and invariant means are given. In Sec. 1 the compactification in question is described and in Sec. 2 its ideal theory is developed. Sec. 3 contains a discussion of ergodic elements for arbitrary bounded, not necessarily "ergodic," operator semigroups and is very close in spirit to Eberlein [6]. The connection between the compactification and the convolution semigroup of means introduced by Day in [4] is established (in (4.3)) and the following theorem is proved: the space $m(\Sigma)$ of all bounded real functions on an abstract semigroup Σ with unit contains a largest right amenable right introverted subspace Z which, moreover, lies in every maximal right amenable subspace of $m(\Sigma)$.

The following notations will be used throughout: If B_1, B_2 are Banach spaces then B_1^* is the conjugate space of B_1 and $L(B_1, B_2)$ is the Banach space of all bounded linear operators of B_1 into B_2 ; if $S \subset L(B_1, B_2)$ and $x \in B_1$ then $O_S(x)$ is the orbit of x under S and defined by $O_S(x) = \{Ax : A \in S\}$. The closure of a set S is denoted by S^- , and composition is indicated by juxtaposition or brackets.

1. Compactification of a bounded operator semigroup

We need the following two devices.

I. Suppose X is a linear topological space and S is a semigroup (under composition) of continuous linear operators in X . Let S^- be the closure of S in the product space X^X . We have

- (i) S^- is a semigroup (under composition) of linear operators in X , and
- (ii) for fixed $A \in S$ and $B \in S^-$ the maps $F \rightarrow AF$ and $F \rightarrow FB$ ($F \in S^-$) are continuous in the product topology of X^X .

II. Suppose B is a Banach space. B can be regarded as a subspace of B^{**} and hence $L(B, B)$ can be regarded as a subspace of $L(B, B^{**})$. Let η be the mapping which takes each $U \in L(B, B^{**})$ into the function $F = \eta(U) \in L(B^*, B^*)$ defined by

Received August 19, 1963.

¹ Most of this research is part of the author's doctoral dissertation prepared in 1962 under the direction of Professor B. J. Pettis at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. The author wishes to thank Professor Pettis for his encouragement and advice.

$$(1) \quad (F\beta)x = (Ux)\beta \quad (x \in B, \beta \in B^*).$$

We have

(i) η is a linear isometry of $L(B, B^{**})$ onto $L(B^*, B^*)$ and its restriction to $L(B, B)$ is simply the adjoint operation which takes each $U \in L(B, B)$ into its adjoint $U^* \in L(B^*, B^*)$, and

(ii) η is a weak*-weak* operator² homeomorphism.

Both I and II are essentially known; the proofs are straightforward.

Now suppose B is a Banach space, η is the mapping defined in II, and S is a (uniformly) bounded semigroup lying in $L(B, B)$. Let A be the adjoint semigroup of S , $A = \eta(S)$, and let A^- be the closure of A in the product space X^X , where $X = B^*$ with the weak* topology. Then A^- is a weak* operator compact subset of $L(B^*, B^*)$ and, by I, a semigroup under composition. Define $S_0 = \eta^{-1}(A^-)$. Then $S \subset S_0$ and since η is already an anti-isomorphism of S onto A we can extend the multiplication from S to S_0 in such a way that η becomes an anti-isomorphism of S_0 onto A^- :

$$(2) \quad UV = \eta^{-1}(\eta(V)[\eta(U)]) \quad (U, V \in S_0).$$

The semigroup S_0 with multiplication as defined in (2) and the weak* topology is the desired compactification of S and has the following properties:

- (1.1) (i) S_0 is a closed (weak*) compact subset of $L(B, B^{**})$;
- (ii) S_0 is a semigroup and S is a (weak*) dense subsemigroup of S_0 , and
- (iii) if $U \in S$ and $V \in S_0$ then the mappings $W \rightarrow VW$ and $W \rightarrow WU$ ($W \in S_0$) are (weak*) continuous.

Observe:

(1.2) If $U, V \in S_0$ and $x \in B$ such that $Vx \in B$ then

$$(UV)x = U(Vx).$$

Proof. If U, V and x are as described then for each $\beta \in B^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} ((UV)x)\beta &= (\eta(UV)\beta)x = (\eta(V)\eta(U)\beta)x \\ &= (Vx)(\eta(U)\beta) = (\eta(U)\beta)(Vx) = U(Vx)\beta. \end{aligned}$$

A semigroup S of bounded linear operators in a Banach space B is *weakly almost periodic* (w.a.p.) iff for each $x \in B$, $0_S(x)^-$ is weakly compact. S is w.a.p. iff $S_0 \subset L(B, B)$ and in this case S_0 coincides with the compactification of S introduced by DeLeeuw and Glicksberg [5]. Our construction is seen to be a simple extension of their procedure to the case of an arbitrary bounded, not necessarily w.a.p. operator semigroup.

² A net U_α in $L(B, B^{**})$ converges to a point $U \in L(B, B^{**})$ in the weak* topology iff $(U_\alpha x)\beta \rightarrow (Ux)\beta$ ($x \in B, \beta \in B^*$). A net F_α in $(L(B^*, B^*))$ converges to point $F \in L(B^*, B^*)$ in the weak* operator topology iff $(F_\alpha \beta)x \rightarrow (F\beta)x$ ($\beta \in B^*, x \in X$).

2. Ideal theory

We now develop the ideal theory for the compactification semigroup S_0 constructed in the preceding section. In contrast to the situation in [5], Sec. 2, multiplication by V on the right, i.e., the mapping $U \rightarrow UV$ ($U \in S_0$), is not, in general, continuous if $V \in S_0$ and $V \in S$ (cf. (1.1), (ii)). However, in many cases of interest S_0 is convex and its essential structure is much simpler.

Let B, S , and S_0 be as in the preceding section. A *right [left] ideal* of S_0 is a subset I of S_0 such that $IS_0 \subset I$ [$S_0 I \subset I$].

(2.1) *There is a smallest closed two-sided ideal of S_0 .*

This ideal will be called the *kernel* of S_0 and denoted by $K(S_0)$.

(2.2) *Each closed right [left] ideal of S_0 contains a minimal closed right [left] ideal of S_0 . The minimal closed right [left] ideals are pairwise disjoint and lie in the kernel.*

In both (2.1) and (2.2) the existence of the ideals in question follows from the compactness of S_0 by the Hausdorff Maximal Principle.

(2.3) *If R is a minimal (closed) right ideal of S_0 and $U \in S_0$ then UR is again a minimal (closed) right ideal of S_0 , and if L is a minimal closed left ideal of S_0 and $U \in S$ then LU is again a minimal closed left ideal of S_0 .*

This is essentially Lemma 2.1 of Clifford [3].

(2.4) *A right ideal of S_0 is minimal closed iff it is minimal. The union of all minimal right ideals is a two-sided ideal K_c , the smallest two-sided ideal of S_0 .*

The first statement is proved in [5, p. 65], the second is Theorem 2.1 of Clifford [3].

(2.5) LEMMA. *The closure of a right [left] ideal of S_0 is again a right [left] ideal of S_0 .*

Proof. Suppose R is a minimal right ideal of S_0 and $U \in R^-$. Let U_α be a net in R such that $U_\alpha \rightarrow U$. If $V \in S$ then $U_\alpha V \rightarrow U_0 V$ so $UV \in R^-$; thus $US \subset R^-$ and hence $US_0 \subset R^-$. For left ideals the proof is even simpler.

In view of (2.5), $K_c^- = K(S_0)$, i.e., the minimal right ideals are dense in the kernel. For left ideals this can be somewhat improved.

(2.6) LEMMA. *If L is a minimal closed left ideal of S_0 then LS is dense in $K(S_0)$.*

Proof. Let L be as indicated and put $M = LS$. By (2.2), $M \subset K(S_0)$ so $M^- \subset K(S_0)$. To prove the reverse inclusion we show that M^- is a two-sided ideal. By (2.5), M^- is a left ideal. Given $U \in M^-$ there are nets $\{U_\alpha\}$ in L and $\{V_\alpha\}$ in S (both over the same index set) such that $U_\alpha V_\alpha \rightarrow U$.

For each $W \in S$ we have $(U_\alpha V_\alpha)W \rightarrow UW$ and $U_\alpha(V_\alpha W) \in M$ and therefore $UW \in M^-$. Thus $US \subset M^-$, hence $US_0 \subset M^-$, and since $U \in M^-$ was arbitrary, we are finished.

Let T be the convex hull of S . Then T is also a bounded semigroup and its compactification, T_0 , is convex.

(2.7) LEMMA. *Every minimal closed right [left] ideal of T_0 is convex.*

Proof. Suppose L is a minimal closed left ideal of T_0 and choose $V \in L$. Then $T_0 V$ is a convex left ideal of T_0 which lies in L and hence $(T_0 V)^- = L$. Thus L is the closure of a convex set and therefore convex. For right ideals the proof is even simpler.

The following theorem characterizes those right ideals of T_0 which are minimal.

(2.8) THEOREM. *A right ideal R of T_0 is minimal iff $AV = V(A, V \in R)$.*

Proof. Suppose R is a minimal right ideal of T_0 , take $A \in R$ and put $F_A = \{V : V \in T_0 \text{ and } AV = V\}$. F_A is the set of all fixed points of the continuous linear map $U \rightarrow AU$ ($U \in T_0$) and hence, by any one of the standard fixed point theorems, F_A is nonempty. If $V \in F_A$ we see from $AV = V$ and $A \in R$ that $V \in R$ so $F_A \subset R$. Since F_A is a right ideal of T_0 and R is minimal, $F_A = R$. Conversely, if R is a right ideal of T_0 so that $AV = V$ ($A, V \in R$) and if R' is a right ideal of T_0 lying in R , let $A \in R'$ and get $R' \supset R'T_0 \supset AR = R$.

The next result states that all minimal right ideals are essentially "congruent."

(2.9) THEOREM. *Suppose R_1 and R_2 are distinct minimal right ideals of T_0 and $V_0 \in R_2$. The mapping $\phi : U \rightarrow V_0 U$ is a convex-linear homeomorphism of R_1 and R_2 which does not depend on the choice of $V_0 \in R_2$.*

Proof. Suppose R_1, R_2, V , and ϕ are as described. The mapping ϕ is convex-linear and continuous and by (2.3), $\phi(R_1) = R_2$. Let $U_0 \in R_1$ and let ψ be the mapping $V \rightarrow U_0 V$ ($V \in R_2$). We have

$$\phi\psi(V) = V_0(U_0 V) = (V_0 U_0)V = V \quad (V \in R_2)$$

(by (2.8)); i.e., $\phi[\psi]$ is the identity map on R_2 , and similarly $\psi[\phi]$ is the identity map on R_1 . Therefore $\psi = \phi^{-1}$. If $V'_0 \in R_2$ and $\phi' : U \rightarrow V'_0 U$ ($U \in R_1$) then the same argument gives $\psi = (\phi')^{-1}$ so that $\phi' = \phi$.

A more precise picture of the multiplication operation in $K(T_0)$ is furnished by the next result.

(2.10) THEOREM. *If R is a minimal right and L a minimal closed left ideal of T_0 then $L \cap R$ is a singleton set. For fixed R the map $L \rightarrow L \cap R$ defines a 1-1 correspondence between all minimal closed left ideals of T_0 and all points of R .*

Proof. With R and L as in the first half of the theorem choose U and

$V \in L \cap R$. Since LU is a left ideal $\subset L$ we have $(LU)^- = L$ and hence there is a net $\{V_\alpha\}$ in LU such that $V_\alpha \rightarrow V$. Write $V_\alpha = W_\alpha U$ with $W_\alpha \in L$. Now $VV_\alpha \rightarrow VV = V$ (by (2.8)), but also for each α , $VV_\alpha = V(W_\alpha U) = (VW_\alpha)U = U$ (by (2.8) again). Thus $V = U$ and this proves the first half of the theorem. The essential assertion of the second half is that the union of all minimal right ideals (i.e., the set K_C of (2.4)) is a subset of the union of all minimal closed left ideals. Suppose R is a minimal closed right ideal of T_0 and $U \in R$. Let M be any minimal closed left ideal of T_0 and put $L = (MU)^-$. L is a closed left ideal of T_0 and since $R(MU) = (RM)U = \{U\}$, a singleton set, we have $RL = \{U\}$. Letting L_0 be any minimal closed left ideal of T_0 which lies in L we see that $\emptyset \neq RL_0 \subset RL = \{U\}$, so

$$RL_0 = \{U\} \subset L_0.$$

A left zero of T_0 is a degenerate right ideal, i.e., an element E of T_0 such that $EU = E$ ($U \in T_0$).

(2.11) *The following statements are equivalent:*³

- (i) T_0 has a left zero;
- (ii) $K(T_0)$ is the set of all left zeros of T_0 ;
- (iii) $K(T_0)$ is the only minimal (closed) left ideal of T_0 .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). The set of all left zeros of T_0 is a two-sided ideal which lies in $K(T_0)$; since it is also closed it coincides with $K(T_0)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let L be a minimal closed left ideal of T_0 and observe that $K(T_0) = K(T_0)L \subset L$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). The argument is similar to that for (2.8). Let $A \in T$ and put $F_A = \{U : U \in T_0 \text{ and } UA = U\}$. Again by fixed point theory F_A is nonempty, in fact it is a (closed) left ideal of T_0 and hence includes $K(T_0)$. Since $A \in T$ was arbitrary, (ii) is proved.

If E is a left zero of T_0 and $\{A_\alpha\}$ is a net in T such that $A_\alpha \rightarrow E$ then $(A_\alpha A - A_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ weak* ($A \in T$) and conversely if $\{A_\alpha\}$ is a net in T such that $(A_\alpha A - A_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ weak* ($A \in T$) then each cluster point of $\{A_\alpha\}$ is a left zero of T_0 . Thus left zeros of T_0 replace in our setting the nets of almost right invariant averages first introduced abstractly by Eberlein [6]⁴ (i.e., nets $\{A_\alpha\}$ in T satisfying $(A_\alpha A - A_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ weak* ($A \in T$)). A *partial right zero* of T_0 is an element E of T_0 such that $AE = E$ ($A \in T$). Partial right zeros of T_0 are related to nets of almost left invariant averages (i.e., nets $\{A_\alpha\}$ in T satisfying $(AA_\alpha - A_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ weak* ($A \in T$)) in the same manner as left zeros of T_0 are related to nets of almost right invariant averages. If partial right zeros of T_0 exist they form a (closed) right ideal of T_0 which must intersect $K(T_0)$; hence if, in addition, left zeros of T_0 exist then some

³ Cf. Theorem 1 of [3].

⁴ Eberlein considered more general averages A_α which satisfy both $(A_\alpha A - A_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ and $(AA_\alpha - A_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ ($A \in T$).

$E \in K(T_0)$ is both a left and partial right zero of T_0 and any net $\{A_\alpha\}$ in T such that $A_\alpha \rightarrow E$ is a net of two sided almost invariant averages. Under these circumstances S is said to be restrictedly weak* ergodic (Day [3]).

3. Ergodic elements

As a first application of the preceding ideas we indicate in this section how abstract ergodic theory⁵ is to some extent possible in an *arbitrary* bounded semigroup of linear operators in a Banach space (without any ergodicity assumptions on the semigroup). Essentially this consists in a consideration of the notion of an ergodic element.⁶ $S, B, T,$ and T_0 have the same meaning as in Section 2.

(3.1) THEOREM. *If $x \in B$ and $y \in B$ then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $y \in O_\tau(x)^-$ and $Fy = y (F \in S)$;
- (ii) *there is a closed left ideal L of T_0 such that*

$$Vx = y (V \in L).$$

If S is restrictedly weak* ergodic (so that by (2.11) $K(T_0)$ consists of all left zeros of T_0 and is the unique minimal closed left ideal of T_0), then condition (ii) of (3.1) simply means that each left zero of T_0 has the value y at x . If in this case $\{A_\alpha\}$ is a net of almost two sided invariant averages then (i) and (ii) of (3.1) are easily seen to be equivalent to

- (iii) $A_\alpha x$ clusters weakly at y ,

and this is Eberlein's ergodic theorem for a restrictedly weak* ergodic semigroup [6, Theorem 3.1]. We will not prove (3.1) but state and prove instead a small generalization.

(3.2) THEOREM. *If $x \in B$ and $y \in B^{**}$ then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) (a) *there is a net $\{A_\alpha\}$ in T such that $\beta(A_\alpha x) \rightarrow y(\beta) (\beta \in B^*)$ and (b) $y(\eta(U)\beta) = y(\beta) (U \in T_0, \beta \in B^*)$, where η is the map defined in I, Sec. 1;*
- (ii) *there is a closed left ideal L of T_0 such that*

$$Vx = y \tag{V \in L}.$$

If $y \in B$ then condition (i) of (3.2) reduces to condition (i) of (3.1). For in this case (i)(a) says that there is a net $\{A_\alpha\}$ in T such that $A_\alpha x \rightarrow y$ weakly and by the Mazur-Bourgin theorem this is equivalent to $y \in O_\tau(x)^-$; (i)(b) certainly implies $\beta(y) = (F^*\beta)y = \beta(Fy) (\beta \in B^*, F \in S)$, i.e., y is a fixed point of S , and conversely a fixed point of S is also a fixed point of T_0 . Thus (3.1) is a special case of (3.2).

⁵ Cf. [6, part I], and the summary in [3, p. 279].

⁶ Cf. [6, Definitions 3.1 and 8.1].

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Given $\{A_\alpha\}$ as in (i)(a) let $\{A_{\alpha'}\}$ be a subnet of $\{A_\alpha\}$ and let $A \in T_0$ such that $A_{\alpha'} \rightarrow A$. We still have $\beta(A_{\alpha'}x) \rightarrow y(\beta)$ ($\beta \in B^*$) and also $\beta(A_{\alpha'}x) \rightarrow (Ax)\beta$ ($\beta \in B^*$) and so $y = Ax$. Let

$$L = \{V : V \in T_0 \text{ and } Vx = y\};$$

we will show that L is a (closed) left ideal of T_0 . $A \in L$ so L is nonempty and if $U \in T_0$ and $V \in L$ then by the computation in (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} (UVx)\beta &= (Vx)(\eta(U)\beta) = y(\eta(U)\beta) \\ &= y(\beta) \end{aligned} \qquad (\beta \in B^*)$$

i.e., $UVx = y$ or $UV \in L$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Given L as in (ii) choose $V \in L$: any net $\{A_\alpha\}$ in T such that $A_\alpha \rightarrow V$ will do for (i)(a) and a computation like that in (i) \Rightarrow (ii) can be used to prove (i)(b).

We note (and will use tacitly below) that L in (ii) can be assumed minimal (i.e., a minimal closed left ideal of T_0).

If x, y , and L satisfy (i) and (ii) of (3.2) we will say that (x, y) is an *ergodic pair*, x being an *ergodic element* and y a *generalized fixed point*, and that L is *constant* ($= y$) at x , y being the *value which L assumes at x* . If S is restrictedly weak* ergodic then the set of all ergodic pairs is (the graph of) a function (because there is only one minimal closed left ideal), say p ; the set $\{x : x \in B, p(x) \in B\}$ is the “ergodic subspace” E of Eberlein [6], and the restriction of p to E is a projection of E onto the space of all fixed points of S ; thus p is a “ B^{**} valued projection” of the space of all ergodic elements onto the space of all generalized fixed points. If S is not assumed to be restrictedly weak* ergodic, (3.2) suggests that the minimal closed left ideals of T_0 can be used to regard the set of all ergodic pairs as the union of (the graphs of) B^{**} valued projections from spaces of ergodic elements onto spaces of generalized fixed points, in the following manner. Given a minimal closed left ideal L of T_0 let $D_L = \{x : x \in B \text{ and } L \text{ is constant at } x\}$ and let p_L be the map which takes each $x \in D_L$ into the value which L assumes at x . D_L is a closed linear subspace of B consisting only of ergodic elements and containing the fixed points of S . p_L is simply the restriction of any member of L to D_L , its range consists only of generalized fixed points, and its restriction to the space $D'_L = \{x : x \in D_L \text{ and } p_L(x) \in B\}$ is a projection of D'_L onto the space of all fixed points of S . In general there may be many minimal closed left ideals L and an element x of B may have many fixed points $p_L(x) \in O_T(x)^-$.

Two subspaces of B are of interest which can be regarded as defining more restricted notions of an ergodic element.

(3.3) THEOREM. *If $x \in B$ and L_0 is a fixed minimal closed left ideal of T_0 then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *for each $A \in T, Ax \in D_{L_0}$;*
- (ii) *for each minimal closed left ideal L of $T_0, x \in D_L$;*
- (iii) *for each $A \in T$ and each minimal closed left ideal L of $T_0, Ax \in D_L$.*

Proof. Let x and L_0 be as in the statement of the theorem.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) $Ax \in D_{L_0}$ iff $x \in D_{L_0 A}$ ($A \in T$) and so (i) amounts to $x \in D_L$ for each minimal closed left ideal of T_0 of the form $L_0 A$ ($A \in T$); that such ideals are dense in $K(T_0)$, see (2.6), is what essentially gives us (ii).

Suppose L is a minimal closed left ideal of T_0 and fix $V \in L$. By (2.6) there are nets $\{A_\alpha\}$ in T and $\{V_\alpha\}$ in L_0 , both over the same index set, such that $V_\alpha A_\alpha \rightarrow V$. Writing $y_\alpha = p_{L_0}(A_\alpha x)$ we have

$$(*) \quad y_\alpha(\beta) = (V_\alpha(A_\alpha x))\beta = (V_\alpha A_\alpha x)\beta \rightarrow (Vx)\beta \quad (\beta \in B^*).$$

Now take $U \in T_0$. From (*), with $\eta(U)\beta$ in place of β , we get

$$y_\alpha(\eta(U)\beta) \rightarrow (Vx)(\eta(U)\beta) = (UVx)\beta \quad (\beta \in B^*),$$

by the computation in the proof of (3.2). But since each y_α is a generalized fixed point ($y_\alpha = p_{L_0 A_\alpha}(x)$) we have

$$y_\alpha(\eta(U)\beta) = y_\alpha(\beta) \rightarrow (Vx)\beta \quad (\beta \in B^*).$$

Hence $UVx = Vx$. We have proved that the left ideal $T_0 V$ is constant $= Vx$ at x . Hence $(T_0 V)^-$ is constant $= Vx$ at x . Since $T_0 V \subset L$ we have $(T_0 V)^- = L$ and the proof is finished.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Given $A \in T$ repeat the argument of (i) \Rightarrow (ii), with Ax in place of x .

Let E be the intersection of all spaces D_L (L a minimal closed left ideal of T_0). E is an invariant⁷ closed linear subspace of B . Theorem 3.3 states that for each D_L the set $\{x : x \in B \text{ and for each } F \in S, Fx \in D_L\}$ coincides with E ; in other words, all the spaces D_L have one and the same largest invariant subspace, namely E . An interesting question is how the various spaces D_L are related to each other or how they might be generated from E .

(3.4) *If $x \in B$ and $y \in B^{**}$ then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $x \in E$ and all p_L (L a minimal closed left ideal of T_0) have the value y at x ;
- (ii) $x \in E$ and (x, y) is the only ergodic pair whose first term is x ;
- (iii) $K(T_0)$ is constant $= y$ at x .

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) and (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) are trivial and the converses follow from (2.6).

Let $E_0 = \{x : x \in E \text{ and } K(T_0) \text{ is constant at } x\}$. E_0 is an invariant closed linear subspace of E . If S is restrictedly weak* ergodic then $E = E_0 = D'_{K(T_0)}$.

4. Means on spaces of bounded functions on a semigroup

In this section it is shown (in (4.3)) that in a certain special case the compactification semigroup of Sec. 1 can be identified with the convolution semigroup of means on a space of bounded real-valued functions on an abstract semigroup (discussed first by Day in [4]). With this identification the

⁷ A linear subspace M of B is invariant under S iff $x \in M$ and $F \in S$ imply $Fx \in M$.

ergodic theorem (3.1) provides a partial extension (in (4.4)) of the Lorentz-Day theorem on almost convergent functions ([7, Sec. 1], and [4, Sec. 9]).

Notations and Terminology. Throughout the remainder of this paper Σ is a fixed semigroup with unit e , $m(\Sigma)$ is the Banach space of all bounded real valued functions on Σ with the sup norm, and r_σ ($\sigma \in \Sigma$) is the right shift operator induced on $m(\Sigma)$ by multiplication by σ on the right: $r_\sigma x(\tau) = x(\tau\sigma)$ ($x \in m(\Sigma)$, $\tau \in \Sigma$). A linear subspace X of $m(\Sigma)$ is *right invariant* iff $x \in X$ implies $r_\sigma x \in X$ ($\sigma \in \Sigma$), X is *admissible* iff X is right invariant, uniformly closed, and contains the constant functions, and X is *right introverted* iff $x \in X$ and $\beta \in m(\Sigma)^*$ imply that the function $\beta(r_\cdot x) : \sigma \rightarrow \beta(r_\sigma x)$ ($\sigma \in \Sigma$) is again in X . A *finite mean* is a convex combination of evaluation functionals. A *mean* on an admissible subspace X is a functional $\mu \in X^*$ such that $\|\mu\| = \mu(1) = 1$ (equivalently, $\|\mu\| = 1$ and $\mu \geq 0$); μ is *right invariant on X* iff $x \in X$ implies $\mu(x) = \mu(r_\sigma x)$ ($\sigma \in \Sigma$). The *convolution* of two means μ and ν on a right introverted admissible subspace X is the mean $\mu * \nu$ defined by $\mu * \nu(x) = \mu(\nu(r_\cdot x))$ ($x \in X$).

(4.1) LEMMA. *If X is an admissible subspace of $m(\Sigma)$ then the smallest right introverted admissible subspace of $m(\Sigma)$ which contains X , denoted by X^r , coincides with the closed linear span of $Z = \{\beta(r_\cdot X) : \beta \in m(\Sigma)^*, x \in X\}$.*

Proof. Writing β_e for the evaluation functional at e , the identity $\beta_e(r_\cdot x) = x$ ($x \in X$) shows that $X \subset Z$. Since Z is also right introverted, its closed linear span contains X^r . The reverse inclusion is clear.

(4.2) LEMMA. *If X is an admissible subspace of $m(\Sigma)$ then the set X_r defined by $X_r = \{x : x \in X \text{ and for each } \beta \in m(\Sigma)^*, \beta(r_\cdot x) \in X\}$ is the largest right introverted admissible subspace of $m(\Sigma)$ contained in X .*

Proof. Given X and X_r as in the statement of the lemma it is not hard to see that X_r is an admissible subspace of $m(\Sigma)$ which lies in X . By (4.1) and construction, $(X_r)^r \subset X$. But also by construction X_r contains every right introverted subspace of $m(\Sigma)$ which lies in X and hence it must contain $(X_r)^r$.

(4.3) THEOREM. *Suppose X is an admissible subspace of $m(\Sigma)$; let S be the semigroup of right shift operators r_σ ($\sigma \in \Sigma$) restricted to X ; put $T =$ the convex hull of S and $T_0 = T_0(X) =$ the compactification of T ; and let $M = M(X^r)$ be the set of means on X^r . Then there is a mapping ϕ of M onto T_0 such that*

- (a) ϕ is a convex-linear weak*-weak* homeomorphism and anti-isomorphism, and
- (b) corresponding elements $\mu \in M$ and $U = \phi(\mu) \in T_0$ satisfy

$$(1) \quad \mu(\beta(r_\cdot x)) = (Ux)\beta \quad (x \in X, \beta \in X^*).$$

Proof. Given $\mu \in M$ and using (4.1) and $|\mu(\beta(r_\cdot x))| \leq \|\beta\| \|x\|$ ($x \in X, \beta \in X^*$), formula (1) defines an element $U = \phi(\mu)$ of $L(X, X^{**})$.

The mapping ϕ is clearly convex-linear and weak*-weak* continuous and since it takes the evaluation functionals into right shift operators, it takes their weak* closed convex hull, namely M , onto T_0 . By (4.1) again, ϕ is 1-1 and hence, by compactness, ϕ is a homeomorphism. It remains to show that ϕ is an anti-isomorphism. Suppose $\mu, \nu \in M$; write $U = \phi(\mu)$ and $V = \phi(\nu)$, and choose $\beta \in X^*$ and $x \in X$. We must show that $(VUx)\beta = \mu * \nu(\beta(r.x))$. By definition of multiplication in T_0 (cf. Sec. 1) and formula (1),

$$(VUx)\beta = (\eta(U)\eta(V)\beta)x = (Ux)\gamma = \mu(\gamma(r.x))$$

where $\gamma = \eta(V)\beta \in X$. Thus we must show that $\gamma(r.x) = \nu(r.z)$ where $z = \beta(r.x)$. Fix $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Since $\beta(r.(r_\sigma x)) = r_\sigma z$ we have

$$\gamma(r_\sigma x) = (\eta(V)\beta)(r_\sigma x) = V(r_\sigma x)\beta = \nu(\beta(r.(r_\sigma x))) = \nu(r_\sigma z).$$

This completes the proof.

Theorems (3.1) and (4.3) together imply the following:

(4.4) THEOREM. *If $x \in m(\Sigma)$ and c is a real number then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *Some sequence of convex combinations of right translates of x converges uniformly to $c1$ (= the constant c function on Σ);*

(ii) *there is a closed left ideal L of $T_0(m(\Sigma))$ such that*

$$\mu(x) = c \quad (\mu \in \phi^{-1}(L));$$

(iii) *there is a closed left ideal L of $T_0(m(\Sigma))$ such that*

$$Ux = c1 \quad (U \in L).$$

Proof. By (3.1), (i) and (iii) are equivalent and by formula (1) of (4.3), with β_e (as in the proof of (4.1)) in place of β , (iii) implies (ii). It only remains to show that (ii) implies (iii). Let L be as in (ii) and let $U \in L$, with $\mu = \phi^{-1}(U)$. If β is a mean on $m(\Sigma)$ then

$$(2) \quad (Ux)\beta = \mu(\beta(r.x)) = \mu * \beta(x) = (VUx)\beta_e = c$$

where $V = \phi(\beta)$. If $\beta \in m(\Sigma)^*$ is arbitrary write $\beta = \lambda_1 \beta_1 - \lambda_2 \beta_2$ where $\lambda_i \geq 0$ and β_i is a mean on $m(\Sigma)$ ($i = 1, 2$); by (2),

$$(Ux)\beta = \lambda_1(Ux)\beta_1 - \lambda_2(Ux)\beta_2 = \lambda_1 c - \lambda_2 c = \beta(c1).$$

Therefore $Ux = c1$.

A mean μ on $m(\Sigma)$ is right invariant iff $\phi(\mu)$ is a left zero of $T_0(m(\Sigma))$. Thus if right invariant means on $m(\Sigma)$ exist they correspond to elements of the kernel of $T_0(m(\Sigma))$ (cf. (2.8)) and (ii) states that all right invariant means have the value c at x , i.e., x is an almost convergent function.

5. Right amenable subspaces

An admissible subspace X of $m(\Sigma)$ is *right amenable* iff there is a right invariant mean on X . In general $m(\Sigma)$ can have many different maximal

right amenable subspaces. The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem:

THEOREM. *There is a right introverted linear subspace Z of $m(\Sigma)$ such that*

- (a) *Z is right amenable;*
- (b) *Z contains every right introverted right amenable subspace of $m(\Sigma)$;*
- (c) *Z lies in every maximal right amenable subspace of $m(\Sigma)$.*

The proof will be given in several parts; the notations used are the same as those in Theorem (4.3).

Suppose X and Y are admissible subspaces of $m(\Sigma)$ and $X \subset Y$. Let p be the mapping which assigns to each $\mu \in M(Y^r)$ its restriction to X^r , $p(\mu) = \mu | X^r \in M(X^r)$. Then p is a weak* continuous convex-linear map of $M(Y^r)$ onto $M(X^r)$ which preserves convolution and can be transferred, via (4.3), to a mapping g of $T_0(Y)$ onto $T_0(X)$.

(5.1) *If $U \in T_0(Y)$, $x \in X$, and $\beta \in Y^*$, then*

$$(3) \quad (Ux)\beta = (g(U)x)\beta'$$

where $\beta' = \beta | X$.

Proof. By (4.3), with Y in place of X , equation (3) states that $\mu(\beta(r \cdot x) = \nu(\beta'(r \cdot x))$ where $\mu = \phi^{-1}(U)$ and $\nu = \mu | X^r$, and this is obvious.

The mapping g is the *canonical mapping* of $T_0(Y)$ onto $T_0(X)$; it is weak* continuous, convex-linear and a homomorphism and hence it maps (closed) ideals into (closed) ideals and minimal (closed) ideals onto minimal (closed) ideals.

Now let Z_0 be the set $\{x : x \in m(\Sigma) \text{ and every minimal right ideal of } T_0(m(\Sigma)) \text{ is constant at } x\}$. It is not hard to see that Z_0 is an admissible subspace of $m(\Sigma)$. Define $Z = (Z_0)_r$.

Proof of (a). Suppose R is a minimal right ideal of $T_0(Z)$. Writing g for the canonical map of $T_0(m(\Sigma))$ onto $T_0(Z)$, let R' be a minimal right ideal of $T_0(m(\Sigma))$ such that $g(R') = R$. If $z \in Z$ then R' is constant at z and hence, by (5.1), R is constant at z . Since $z \in Z$ was arbitrary, R is a singleton set. Thus $T_0(Z)$ contains a left zero and Z is right amenable.

Proof of (b). Suppose X is a right introverted right amenable subspace of $m(\Sigma)$ and let g be the canonical map of $T_0(m(\Sigma))$ onto $T_0(X)$. Suppose R is a minimal right ideal of $T_0(m(\Sigma))$ and choose $U_1, U_2 \in R$. We know that $g(R)$ is a singleton subset of $T_0(X)$ so $(g(U_1)x)\gamma = (g(U_2)x)\gamma$ ($x \in X, \gamma \in X^*$) and by (5.1) again this implies $(U_1x)\beta = (U_2x)\beta$ ($x \in X, \beta \in m(\Sigma)^*$). Thus $U_1x = U_2x$ ($x \in X$). Since $U_1, U_2 \in R$ and R itself were arbitrary we have proved that $X \subset Z_0$ and by (4.2) this means $X \subset Z$.

For the proof of (c) we need the following basic fact:

(5.2) **LEMMA.** *Suppose X is an admissible subspace of $m(\Sigma)$ and μ is a mean on X . Define $R(\mu) = \{U : U \in T_0(X) \text{ and } \phi^{-1}(U) | X = \mu\}$. Then μ is right invariant (on X) iff $R(\mu)$ is a right ideal of $T_0(X)$.*

Proof. Given X and μ as in the statement of the lemma, we have by (1) of (4.3) that $U \in R(\mu)$ iff $(Ux)\beta_e = \mu(x)$ ($x \in X$). If μ is right invariant choose $U \in R(\mu)$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and get

$$((Ur_\sigma)x)\beta_e = U(r_\sigma x)\beta_e = \mu(r_\sigma x) = \mu(x) \quad (x \in X),$$

i.e., $Ur_\sigma \in R(\mu)$; since $R(\mu)$ is closed and convex, this implies that $R(\mu)$ is a right ideal. The other implication can be proved by simply reversing the argument.

Proof of (c). Suppose X is a maximal right amenable subspace of $m(\Sigma)$ and μ is a mean on X . Let g be the canonical map of $T_0(m(\Sigma))$ onto $T_0(X)$. Since $R(\mu)$ is a right ideal of $T_0(X)$ (by (5.2)), there is a minimal right ideal R of $T_0(m(\Sigma))$ such that $g(R) \subset R(\mu)$. Let $\nu \in M(m(\Sigma))$ such that $\phi(\nu) \in R$. Then $\nu|Z$ is right invariant and $\nu|X (= \mu)$ is likewise and hence ν is right invariant on $(X + Z)^-$ ($=$ the closure of the vector sum of X and Z). Since X is a maximal right amenable subspace, this implies $Z \subset X$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. L. ALAOGU AND G. BIRKHOFF, *General ergodic theorems*, Ann. of Math. (2), vol. 42 (1940), pp. 293-309.
2. A. H. CLIFFORD, *Semigroups containing minimal ideals*, Amer. J. Math., vol. 70 (1948), pp. 521-526.
3. M. M. DAY, *Means for the bounded functions and ergodicity of the bounded representations of semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 69 (1950), pp. 276-291.
4. ———, *Amenable semigroups*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 1 (1957), pp. 509-544.
5. K. DELEEUW AND I. GLICKSBERG, *Applications of almost periodic compactifications*, Acta Math., vol. 105 (1961), pp. 63-97.
6. W. F. EBERLEIN, *Abstract ergodic theorems and weak almost periodic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 67 (1949), pp. 217-240.
7. G. G. LORENTZ, *A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences*, Acta Math., vol. 80 (1948), pp. 167-190.

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA