

# FINITE SEQUENCES OF GROUP ELEMENTS AND THEIR RELATION TO THE EXISTENCE, ORDER, AND INDEX OF SUBGROUPS

BY

EUGENE V. MARTIN AND WILLIAM A. MCWORTER, JR.

In [1] there appears the following problem due to Leo Moser:

(M) Let  $G$  be a group of order  $n$ . Then for every sequence  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  of  $n$  not necessarily distinct elements of  $G$ , there is a consecutive subsequence  $g_s, g_{s+1}, \dots, g_t$  ( $1 \leq s \leq t \leq n$ ) such that  $g_s g_{s+1} \cdots g_t = 1$ , the identity of  $G$ .

In this paper we extend and generalize this property of groups. A by-product of our results is a new criterion for a subset of a group to be a subgroup. The authors would like to thank the referee for his helpful suggestions and his proof of Theorem 2 which we present here.

Let  $G$  be a group (not necessarily finite) and  $K$  a subgroup of  $G$ . An  $n$ -sequence of  $G$  is a sequence  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  of  $n$  not necessarily distinct elements of  $G$ . A segment of an  $n$ -sequence  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  of  $G$  is a subsequence of the form  $g_s, g_{s+1}, \dots, g_t$  ( $1 \leq s \leq t \leq n$ ). An  $n$ -sequence  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  is said to be  $K$ -reducible if some segment  $g_s, g_{s+1}, \dots, g_t$  has its ordered product  $g_s g_{s+1} \cdots g_t$  in  $K$ . Otherwise the  $n$ -sequence  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  is said to be  $K$ -irreducible. A non-empty subset  $H$  of  $G$  is called  $K$ - $n$ -reducible if  $n$  is the least integer such that every  $n$ -sequence  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  of  $H$  is  $K$ -reducible.

In what follows we shall use the term segment to mean both the sequence  $g_s, g_{s+1}, \dots, g_t$  and its ordered product  $g_s g_{s+1} \cdots g_t$ . Which meaning is employed should be clear from the context.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $K$  a subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $[G:K] = n$  if and only if  $G$  is  $K$ - $n$ -reducible.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove:

- (a) if  $[G:K] = n$  then  $G$  is  $K$ - $r$ -reducible for some  $r \leq n$ ;
- (b) if  $G$  is  $K$ - $n$ -reducible then  $[G:K] \leq n$ .

Suppose  $[G:K] = n$ . Let  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  be an arbitrary  $n$ -sequence of  $G$ . Put  $b_i = g_1 \cdots g_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Suppose that for some  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $i \neq j$ , we have  $b_i^{-1}b_j$  in  $K$ . If  $i < j$ , then  $b_i^{-1}b_j = g_{i+1} \cdots g_j$ . If  $i > j$ , then  $b_i^{-1}b_j = (g_{j+1} \cdots g_i)^{-1}$ . In either case it follows that some segment of  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  is in  $K$ , whence  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  is  $K$ -reducible. Otherwise, for all  $i \neq j$  we have that  $b_i^{-1}b_j$  is not in  $K$ . This means that the right cosets  $b_j K$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) are all distinct. Hence one of them, say  $b_j K$ , is  $K$ . Thus the

---

Received October 24, 1966.

segment  $b_j$  is in  $K$  and again  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  is  $K$ -reducible. Hence  $G$  is  $K$ - $r$ -reducible for some  $r \leq n$ .

To prove (b), let  $g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}$  be  $K$ -irreducible,  $g_n = 1$  and let  $g$  be an arbitrary element of  $G$ . Then  $g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, g^{-1}$  is  $K$ -reducible and therefore there exists  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that  $g_i g_{i+1} \dots g_n g^{-1}$  belongs to  $K$ . Consequently  $g$  belongs to  $Kg_i \dots g_n$ , thus proving that  $[G:K] \leq n$ .

Reviewing the proof of Theorem 1 we can make the following observations, the first being a useful equivalent form of irreducibility.

(1) An  $n$ -sequence  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  of  $G$  is  $K$ -irreducible if and only if the initial (terminal) segments  $g_1 \dots g_i$  ( $g_i \dots g_n$ ) form a set of distinct representatives for  $n$  right ( $n$  left) cosets of  $K$  in  $G$ .

(2) If  $[K:1] = k$  and  $[G:K] = n$ , then there are precisely  $k^{n-1}(n-1)!$   $K$ -irreducible  $(n-1)$ -sequences  $g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}$  of  $G$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $G$  be a group,  $K$  a subgroup of  $G$ , and  $H$  a union of a finite number  $n$  of distinct right cosets of  $K$  in  $G$ . Then  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  if and only if  $H$  is  $K$ - $n$ -reducible.

*Proof.* If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H$  contains 1 and so contains  $K$ . Hence  $[H:K] = n$  and so, by Theorem 1,  $H$  is  $K$ - $n$ -reducible.

Conversely, suppose  $H$  is  $K$ - $n$ -reducible. We will show that  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . It suffices to prove that (a)  $K \subset H$  and that if  $h_1, \dots, h_r$  is an arbitrary  $K$ -irreducible sequence of  $H$  then (b) there exist  $h_{r+1}, \dots, h_{n-1}$  elements of  $H$  such that  $h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}$  is  $K$ -irreducible, and (c)  $(h_1 \dots h_r)^{-1}$  is an element of  $H$ . Indeed, assume (a) and (c) and let  $u, v \in H$ ; we will show that  $u^{-1}v \in H$  ((b) is necessary only for the proof of (c)). If  $u^{-1}v \in K$  then by (a),  $u^{-1}v \in H$ . If either  $u$  or  $v$  belongs to  $K$ , but not both, then as  $KH = H$ , either  $u^{-1}v \in H$  or  $v^{-1}u \in H$  and (c) implies that in both cases  $u^{-1}v \in H$ . Finally assume that none of  $u, v, u^{-1}v$  belongs to  $K$ ; then by (c),  $v^{-1} \in H$  and as  $v^{-1}, u$  is a  $K$ -irreducible sequence of  $H$ , (c) again implies that  $u^{-1}v \in H$ .

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a fixed set of representatives of the cosets of  $K$  belonging to  $H$ . To prove (a) and (b) let  $h_1, \dots, h_r, h_{r+1}, \dots, h_t$  be a longest  $K$ -irreducible sequence of  $H$  with the initial sequence  $h_1, \dots, h_r$  and let  $h_{t+1} = 1$ . Then the sequences  $h_1, \dots, h_t, x_i$  are  $K$ -reducible for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and for each  $i$  there exists  $s(i)$  such that  $h_{s(i)} \dots h_{t+1} x_i \in K$ . If  $i \neq j$  then  $s(i) \neq s(j)$  since  $x_i^{-1}x_j$  does not belong to  $K$ . Therefore if no  $x_i$  belongs to  $K$  then  $t \geq n$  and  $t \geq n-1$  if some  $x_i$  belongs to  $K$ . But by the assumptions  $t \leq n-1$ ; therefore  $t = n-1$  and some  $x_i$  belongs to  $K$ . This proves (a) and (b). Also it follows that  $s(i)$  is 1-1.

To prove (c) notice that since  $H$  is  $K$ - $n$ -reducible, the sequences  $x_i, h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}$  are  $K$ -reducible for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and consequently for each  $i$  there exists  $t(i)$  such that  $x_i h_0 \dots h_{t(i)} \in K$ , where  $h_0 = 1$ . Similarly to  $s(i)$ , also  $t(i)$  is 1-1. Therefore there exists  $x_i$  such that

$$x_i h_1 \dots h_r \in K \quad \text{or} \quad (h_1 \dots h_r)^{-1} \in Kx_i \subset H.$$

The proof of the theorem is complete.

In the statement of Theorem 2 we may replace  $H$  by a union of  $n$  left cosets of  $K$  in  $G$  since a trivial modification of the proof of Theorem 2 will yield a proof of the new statement. One looks at initial segments of a  $K$ -irreducible sequence rather than terminal ones.

**COROLLARY 2.1.** *Let  $H$  be a subset of a group  $G$  consisting of a finite number  $n$  of elements. Then  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  if and only if  $n$  is the least integer such that for every  $n$ -sequence  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  of elements of  $H$  some consecutive subsequence  $h_s, h_{s+1}, \dots, h_t$  ( $1 \leq s \leq t \leq n$ ) has its ordered product  $h_s h_{s+1} \cdots h_t = 1$ , the identity of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Reread Theorem 2 with  $K = 1$ .

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *If  $G$  is a finite group of order  $n$  and  $g \in G^*$ , then there exists a sequence  $g = g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}$  such that*

- (a)  $g_i g_{i+1} \cdots g_j \neq 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n - 1$ ,
- (b) if  $h \in G^*$  then there exists  $j$  such that  $g_1 \cdots g_j = h$ .

*Proof.* For (a), see the proof of Theorem 2, with  $K = 1$ . (b) follows from (a) which implies that all the initial segments of the above sequence are distinct elements of  $G^*$ .

#### REFERENCE

1. HANS ZASSENHAUS, *The theory of groups*, 2nd ed., New York, Chelsea, 1958.

TRAINING CORPORATION OF AMERICA, INC.  
THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY  
COLUMBUS, OHIO