

TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES ASSOCIATED WITH m -HYPERCONVEXITY

BY
D. K. OATES

1. Introduction

Let $m \geq 3$ be a cardinal number and let $S(x, r)$ denote the cell

$$\{y : \|x - y\| \leq r\}$$

in a real normed linear space N . The space N is said to be m -hyperconvex [1] if every pairwise-intersecting family \mathfrak{F} of cells in N with $\text{card } \mathfrak{F} < m$ has non-empty intersection. The m -hyperconvex normed spaces are exactly those spaces N for which the continuous linear operator T in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{T} & N \\ \cap & & \\ M & & \end{array}$$

has a norm-preserving extension to M whenever $\dim M < m$.

In the case $m > \text{card } N$ the m -hyperconvex normed spaces were characterised in [6] as the spaces $C(S)$ consisting of all continuous real-valued functions on an extremally disconnected compact Hausdorff space S . It was shown further in [1], for a general m , that the m -hyperconvex spaces which are of the form $C(X)$ for some compact Hausdorff space X are those for which X has the topological property $Q(m, m)$. This is the case $m = n$ of the following:

DEFINITIONS. Let X be a topological space, and let m and n be cardinal numbers with $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 3$.

(a) A pair $(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v})$ of disjoint non-empty open subsets of X is a (m, n) -pair if

$$\mathfrak{u} = \bigcup\{\mathfrak{u}_i : i \in I\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{v} = \bigcup\{\mathfrak{v}_j : j \in J\},$$

where $\mathfrak{u}_i, \mathfrak{v}_j$ are open for all i and j , $\text{cl } \mathfrak{u}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{u}$ for all i , $\text{cl } \mathfrak{v}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{v}$ for all j , $\text{card } I < m$ and $\text{card } J < n$.

(b) The space X has *property* $Q(m, n)$ if each (m, n) -pair $(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v})$ satisfies $\text{cl } \mathfrak{u} \cap \text{cl } \mathfrak{v} \neq \emptyset$.

The present paper considers m -hyperconvex Banach spaces with $m \geq 5$, and the spaces are required to have at least one extreme point on their unit cells. The main result is that every such space is isometrically isomorphic to a normed space of the form $A(K)$, consisting of all real continuous affine functions on a Choquet simplex K with the property that the set EK of ex-

treme points of K satisfies $Q(m, m)$ in its structure topology. This topology, introduced by Effros in [4], has for its non-trivial closed sets the intersections with EK of the closed faces of K .

We recall from [1] that every m -hyperconvex normed space with $m > \aleph_0$ is complete.

2. Interpolation properties in partially ordered spaces

(2.1) DEFINITIONS. Let V be a partially ordered vector space.

(a) V has the (m, n) -interpolation property, (m, n) -Int, if for every two non-empty subsets A and B of V with $\text{card } A < m$, $\text{card } B < n$ and $a \leq b$ for all a in A and b in B , there exists v in V with $a \leq v \leq b$ for all a in A and b in B .

(b) When V has an order unit, V will satisfy the bounded (m, n) -interpolation property $B(m, n)$ -Int if the property in (a) holds when the sets A and B are bounded in the order-unit norm of V .

In the above, ∞ will denote "a cardinal number strictly greater than $\text{card } V$ ". It is clear that (n, m) -Int is equivalent to (m, n) -Int. If V has an order unit and m and n are finite, then $B(m, n)$ -Int and (m, n) -Int are equivalent. Also V is a lattice if and only if it has $(3, \infty)$ -Int and V has the Riesz decomposition property if and only if it has $(3, 3)$ -Int.

(2.2) LEMMA. Let V be a partially ordered space with order-unit e and the order-unit norm. Let $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 3$ be cardinal numbers.

(a) If V is $(m + n - 1)$ -hyperconvex, then it has the bounded (m, n) -interpolation property.

(b) If V has the (m, n) -interpolation property, then it is $(m \wedge n)$ -hyperconvex.

Proof. (a) Let V be $(m + n - 1)$ -hyperconvex. Let A and B be bounded subsets of V with $\text{card } A < m$, $\text{card } B < n$ and $a \leq b$ for all a in A and b in B , and put

$$t = \sup \{ \|x - y\| : x, y \in A \cup B \}.$$

Consider the family

$$\mathfrak{F} = \{ S(a + te, t) : a \in A \} \cup \{ S(b - te, t) : b \in B \}.$$

We have in all cases that $\text{card } \mathfrak{F} < m + n - 1$

From $0 \leq b - a \leq te$ we obtain

$$-2te \leq (b - te) - (a + te) \leq -te$$

which shows that

$$\| (b - te) - (a + te) \| \leq 2t \quad \text{and} \quad S(a + te, t) \cap S(b - te, t) \neq \emptyset.$$

Also if a and c are in A , then

$$\| (a + te) - (c + te) \| = \| a - c \| \leq t,$$

showing that

$$S(a + te, t) \cap S(c + te, t) \neq \emptyset.$$

Similarly

$$S(b - te, t) \cap S(d - te, t) \neq \emptyset$$

when b and d are points of B .

Since V is $(m + n - 1)$ -hyperconvex there exists

$$v \in \bigcap \{S(a + te, t) : a \in A\} \cap \bigcap \{S(b - te, t) : b \in B\}.$$

For all a in A and b in B , we have

$$-te \leq v - a - te \quad \text{and} \quad v - b + te \leq te,$$

showing that $a \leq v \leq b$. Hence V has the bounded (m, n) -interpolation property.

(b) Suppose V has the (m, n) -interpolation property and let

$$\{S(x_i, r_i) : i \in I, \text{card } I < m \wedge n\}$$

be a pairwise-intersecting family of cells in V . Consider the sets

$$A = \{x_i + r_i e : i \in I\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{x_j - r_j e : j \in I\}.$$

For each i and j , $x_j - r_j e \leq x_i + r_i e$. Since $\text{card } A < m$ and $\text{card } B < n$ there exists v in V with

$$x_j - r_j e \leq v \leq x_i + r_i e \quad \text{for all } i \text{ and } j.$$

This shows that

$$\bigcap \{S(x_i, r_i) : i \in I, \text{card } I < m \wedge n\} \neq \emptyset,$$

and that V is $(m \wedge n)$ -hyperconvex.

(2.3) COROLLARY. *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) V is 5-hyperconvex,
- (b) V has $(3, 3)$ -Int,
- (c) V has (m, n) -Int for all m and n with $3 \leq m \leq \aleph_0$ and $3 \leq n \leq \aleph_0$,
- (d) V is m -hyperconvex for all m with $5 \leq m \leq \aleph_0$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2(a), (a) \Rightarrow (b). We may show by induction that for all finite $m, n \geq 3$

$$(m, n)\text{-Int} \Rightarrow (m, n + 1)\text{-Int}.$$

This shows (b) \Rightarrow (c). That (c) \Rightarrow (d) now follows from Lemma 2.2(b) and the implication (d) \Rightarrow (a) is trivial.

(2.4) COROLLARY. *Let V be a partially ordered normed space with order-unit and the order-unit norm. Then for any cardinal $m \geq 5$,*

V has the (m, m) -interpolation property

$\Rightarrow V$ is m -hyperconvex

$\Rightarrow V$ has the bounded (m, m) -interpolation property.

Proof. The first implication is a consequence of Lemma 2.2(b). The second implication follows in the case of finite m from Corollary 2.3. In the case $m \geq \aleph_0$, we observe that $2m - 1 = m$ and use Lemma 2.2(a).

The following result, part of [7, Theorem 4.7], relates the above to our as yet un-ordered 5-hyperconvex normed spaces.

(2.5) PROPOSITION. *Let N be a 4-hyperconvex normed space whose unit cell U has an extreme point e . When N is partially ordered by the cone $\mathbf{R}^+(e + U)$, the order-unit norm derived from e coincides with the original norm.*

3. The property $Q(m, n)$

Let m and n be cardinal numbers with $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 3$. We shall prove that if a Choquet simplex is such that $A(K)$ has the bounded (m, n) -interpolation property, then EK has the property $Q(m, n)$ in the structure topology. This then gives a representation theorem for m -hyperconvex Banach spaces whose unit cells possess an extreme point.

The following known results (3.1)–(3.5) concerning Choquet simplexes will be required. For further details see [2], [4], [8].

(3.1) THEOREM (Edwards [3]). *Let K be a compact convex set in a locally convex Hausdorff space, and let C be the set of lower semicontinuous concave real functions on K .*

The following are equivalent:

- (i) *K is a Choquet simplex;*
- (ii) *For all f and g with $-f, g$ in C and $f \leq g$, there exists a in $A(K)$ with $f \leq a \leq g$;*
- (iii) *$A(K)$ has $(3, 3)$ -Int;*
- (iv) *$A(K)$ has the Riesz decomposition property.*

(3.2) COROLLARIES. *Let F and G be closed faces of a Choquet simplex K .*

(a) (Urysohn's Lemma for simplexes) *If $F \cap G = \emptyset$, there exists a in $A(K)$ with*

$$0 \leq a \leq e, a|_F = 0 \text{ and } a|_G = 1.$$

(b) *The set $H = \text{co}(F \cup G)$ is a closed face of K and*

$$H \cap EK = (F \cup G) \cap EK.$$

Proof. (a) Apply Edwards' Theorem with $f = \chi_G$ and $g = e - \chi_F$, where χ_G and χ_F are the characteristic functions of F and G .

(b) The last assertion and the fact that H is closed follow by elementary arguments.

It remains to show that H is a face of K . Suppose k is a point in $EK \setminus (F \cup G)$. By part (a), there exist f_k and g_k in $A(K)$ with $0 \leq f_k \leq e, 0 \leq g_k \leq e, f_k(k) = g_k(k) = 1$ and $f_k(F) = g_k(G) = \{0\}$.

Now let δ_k be the function with

$$\delta_k(x) = 0 \ (x \neq k), \quad \delta_k(k) = 1.$$

The functions $f = \delta_k$ and $g = f_k \wedge g_k$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.1, and so there exists h_k in $A(K)$ with

$$h_k(k) = 1, \quad h_k|_{(F \cup G)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq h_k \leq e.$$

The sets

$$H_k = \{x \in K : h_k(x) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad H' = \bigcap \{H_k : k \in EK \setminus (F \cup G)\}$$

are closed faces of K containing H . But $H' \cap EK = H \cap EK$, and so $H' = H$ and H is a face of K .

Corollary 3.2(b) gives directly the non-trivial part of the proof that the structure topology is a topology. We recall that with the structure topology EK is compact, but may not be Hausdorff. With the relative topology as a subset of K , EK is a Hausdorff space.

(3.3) PROPOSITION. *Let K be a Choquet simplex. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) EK is closed in K ;
- (ii) EK is a Hausdorff space in the structure topology;
- (iii) the relative topology and the structure topology of K coincide;
- (iv) $A(K)$ is a lattice;
- (v) $A(K) \cong C(EK)$.

The following is a consequence of Lemma 4.3 of [5].

(3.4) PROPOSITION. *Let V be a partially ordered vector space with order-unit e and the order-unit norm. Let K be the positive face of the unit cell in the dual space V^* . If V is complete, then it is isometrically isomorphic to $A(K)$, where K is taken with the relative weak*-topology.*

(3.5) THEOREM. *Let N be a 5-hyperconvex Banach space whose unit cell has an extreme point e . Then N is isometrically isomorphic to a space $A(K)$ where K is a Choquet simplex.*

Proof. Since N is 4-hyperconvex, Proposition 2.5 shows that it may be regarded as a partially ordered normed space with order-unit e and with the order unit norm coinciding with the original norm. By Proposition 3.4, using the completeness of N , N is isometrically isomorphic to $A(K)$, where K is the positive face of the unit cell in N^* , with the relative weak*-topology.

By Corollary 2.3, N has the (3, 3)-Int property, so by Theorem 3.1 K is a simplex.

(3.6) THEOREM. *Let K be a Choquet simplex. If $A(K)$ has the bounded (m, n) -interpolation property $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 3$, then the set EK has property $Q(m, n)$ in the structure topology.*

Proof. Let

$$\mathfrak{u} = \bigcup \{ \mathfrak{u}_i : i \in I \} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{v} = \bigcup \{ \mathfrak{v}_j : j \in J \}$$

be a (m, n) -pair in the structure topology of EK .

Since $\text{cl } \mathfrak{u}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{u}$ for all i in I , the sets $\text{cl } \mathfrak{u}_i$ and $EK \setminus \mathfrak{u}$ are disjoint closed sets. By Corollary 3.2(a) there exist functions f_i in $A(K)$ with

$$0 \leq f_i \leq e, \quad f_i | \text{cl } \mathfrak{u}_i = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f_i | (EK \setminus \mathfrak{u}) = 0.$$

Similarly, for each j in J , there exists g_j in $A(K)$ with

$$0 \leq g_j \leq e, \quad g_j | \text{cl } \mathfrak{v}_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g_j | (EK \setminus \mathfrak{v}) = 1.$$

The sets $A = \{f_i : i \in I\}$ and $B = \{g_j : j \in J\}$ satisfy the requirements of property $B(m, n)$ -Int, since $f_i \leq g_j$ for all i in I and j in J , $\text{card } A < m$, $\text{card } B < n$, and $A \cup B \subseteq S(0, 1)$. Thus there exists h in $A(K)$ with $f_i \leq h \leq g_j$ for all i in I and j in J .

Now $h(\mathfrak{u}) = 1$ for u in \mathfrak{u} and $h(\mathfrak{v}) = 0$ for v in \mathfrak{v} , so that the sets $h^{-1}(\{1\})$ and $h^{-1}(\{0\})$ are disjoint closed faces of K containing \mathfrak{u} and \mathfrak{v} respectively. This shows that in the structure topology the closures $\text{cl } \mathfrak{u}$ and $\text{cl } \mathfrak{v}$ are disjoint and EK has property $Q(m, n)$.

(3.7) THEOREM. *Let $m \geq 5$. If N is a m -hyperconvex Banach space whose unit cell has an extreme point, then N is isometrically isomorphic to a space $A(K)$, where K is a Choquet simplex such that EK satisfies $Q(m, m)$ in the structure topology.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.5, N is of the form $A(K)$ for a suitable Choquet simplex K . By Corollary 2.4 it has property $B(m, m)$ -Int and the result now follows from Theorem 3.6.

(3.8) PROPOSITION. *Let $m \geq 5$ and suppose that N is a m -hyperconvex Banach space whose unit cell has an extreme point e .*

(a) *If N is isometrically isomorphic to $C(X)$ where X is a compact Hausdorff space, then X satisfies $Q(m, m)$.*

(b) *If N is a lattice under the natural ordering given by e , then the set EK is closed in K and satisfies $Q(m, m)$.*

Proof. Let K be the simplex given by Theorem 3.7. In case (b), $A(K)$ is a lattice and by Proposition 3.3, $A(K) \cong C(EK)$, where EK is closed in K . In case (a), X is homeomorphic to EK with the relative topology. Using Proposition 3.3 again, the two topologies on EK coincide. So since EK satisfies $Q(m, m)$ in its structure topology, EK and hence X satisfy $Q(m, m)$ in their induced topologies.

REFERENCES

1. N. ARONSZAJN AND P. PANITCHPAKDI, *Extensions of uniformly continuous transformations and hyperconvex metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math., vol. 6 (1956), pp. 405-439.

2. H. BAUER, *Silovscher rand und Dirichletsche problem*, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble, vol. 11 (1961), pp. 89–136.
3. D. A. EDWARDS, *Séparation des fonctions réelles définis sur un simplexe de Choquet*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, vol. 261 (1965), pp. 2798–2800.
4. E. G. EFFROS, *Structure in simplexes*, Acta Math., vol. 117 (1967), pp. 103–121.
5. R. V. KADISON, *Transformations of states in operator theory and dynamics*, Topology, vol. 3, suppl. 2 (1965), pp. 177–198.
6. J. L. KELLEY, *Banach spaces with the extension property*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 72 (1952), pp. 323–326.
7. J. LINDENSTRAUSS, *Extension of compact operators*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., no. 48, 1964.
8. M. ROGALSKI, *Espaces de Banach ordonnés, simplexes, frontières de Šilov et problème de Dirichlet*, Seminaire Choquet (Initiation a l'Analyse) 56 annee, 1965–66, No. 12.

UNIVERSITY OF EXETER
EXETER, ENGLAND