APPLICATIONS OF A COMPARISON THEOREM FOR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

BY

KURT KREITH

In [1] the author applied a comparison theorem of Swanson [2] to derive criteria for the positivity of the Green's function associated with second order elliptic operators. For the special case of self-adjoint operators, similar criteria were established for the positivity of the Robin's functions associated with mixed boundary conditions. The latter results were based on a comparison theorem of the author [3].

The purpose of this paper is to extend the comparison theorem of [3] to cover a class of non self-adjoint equations and to use this comparison theorem to improve substantially on Theorem 2 of [1]. It will also be shown that a variational principle and the strong maximum principle for elliptic equations can be derived from this comparison theorem.

Let L be an elliptic operator with real coefficients defined by

(1)
$$Lu = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + cu$$

in a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Our comparison theorem will deal with functions u(x) and v(x) which are, respectively, solutions of the boundary value problems

(2)
$$Lu = 0 \text{ in } D, \quad \partial u / \partial v + \sigma u = 0 \text{ on } \partial D,$$

and

(3)
$$Lv + pv = 0$$
 in D , $\frac{\partial v}{\partial v} + \tau v = 0$ on ∂D .

In (2) we allow $-\infty < \sigma(x) \le +\infty$, where $\sigma(x_0) = +\infty$ is used to denote the boundary condition $u(x_0) = 0$. Similar notation will be adhered to for (3). It is assumed that the boundary problems (2) and (3) are sufficiently regular so that certain resolvents for L and L + pI can be represented as integral operators. Specifically, in the case of (2), we assume the existence of a constant K such that for $\gamma \ge K$ the boundary value problem

(4)
$$Lu + \gamma u = f \text{ in } D, \quad \partial u / \partial v + \sigma u = 0 \text{ on } \partial D,$$

can be solved in the form

$$u(x) = \int_D G_{\sigma}(x, \xi; \gamma) f(\xi) d\xi.$$

Here $G_{\sigma}(x, \xi; \gamma)$ is the Robin's function for (4), having the following charac-

Received September 10, 1968.

teristic properties: for each $\xi_0 \in D$, G satisfies

 $LG + \gamma G = 0 \text{ in } D \ (x \neq \xi_0), \qquad \partial G / \partial \nu + \sigma G = 0 \text{ on } \partial D;$

and for each fixed $x_0 \in D$, G satisfies

 $L^*G + \gamma G = 0 \text{ in } D \ (\xi \neq x_0), \qquad \partial G/\partial \nu + (\sigma + \sum b_i \partial \nu/\partial x_i)G = 0 \text{ on } \partial D,$

where $\partial \nu / \partial x_i$ denotes the cosine of the angle between the exterior normal ν and the positive x_i -axis. The Robin's function associated with

(5)
$$Lv + (p + \gamma)v = f \text{ in } D, \quad \partial v/\partial v + \tau v = 0 \text{ on } D$$

has analogous properties and will be denoted by $H_{\tau}(x, \xi; \gamma)$. Our comparison theorem is as follows.

THEOREM 1. Suppose u(x) and v(x) are solutions of (2) and (3) respectively and that u(x) is positive in D. If $p(x) \leq 0$ in D and $-\infty < \tau(x) \leq \sigma(x) \leq +\infty$ on ∂D , then either v(x) changes sign in D or else v(x) is a constant multiple of u(x).

Before proceeding with the proof, it will be useful to recall some results of [4] where Theorem 1 is proven in the special case $\sigma(x) \equiv +\infty$. Given (2), it is possible to choose K sufficiently large so that $G_{\sigma}(x, \xi; \gamma)$ will be positive in $D \times D$ for all $\gamma \geq K$ and, even more important, that the resolvent $(L + \gamma I)^{-1}$ defined on $\mathfrak{L}^2(D)$ by

$$(L + \gamma I)^{-1}f = \int_{D} G_{\sigma}(x, \xi)f(\xi) d\xi$$

is u_0 -positive in the sense of Krasnoselskii [5]. From this latter property it follows that $(L + \gamma I)^{-1}$ has exactly one normalized positive eigenfunction and that the corresponding eigenvalue is positive and larger than the absolute value of any other eigenvalue of $(L + \gamma I)^{-1}$. According to Theorem 2.6 of [4], the conclusions of Theorem 1 follow if one can show that for some $\gamma \geq K$,

(6)
$$H_{\tau}(x, \xi; \gamma) \geq G_{\sigma}(x, \xi; \gamma).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. From the results of [4] as described above, it is sufficient to establish (6). To that end we consider the identity

$$\int_{D_r} (vLu - uL^*v) \, dy = \int_{\partial D_r} \left[u \frac{\partial v}{\partial v} - v \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} + \sum b_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} uv \right] ds$$

where D_r is the domain D with spheres of radius r deleted about two points y = x and $y = \xi$. Setting $v(y) = G_{\sigma}(x, y; \gamma)$ and $u(y) = H_{\tau}(y, \xi; \gamma)$ and letting $r \to 0$ we get

$$\int_{D} \left[G_{\sigma} L H_{\tau} - H_{\tau} L^{*} G_{\sigma} \right] dy$$

=
$$\int_{\partial D} \left[H_{\tau} \frac{\partial G_{\sigma}}{\partial \nu} - G_{\sigma} \frac{\partial H_{\tau}}{\partial \nu} + \sum b_{i} \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x_{i}} H_{\tau} G_{\sigma} \right] ds$$

+
$$H_{\tau}(x, \xi; \gamma) - G_{\sigma}(x, \xi; \gamma).$$

^

Using the characteristic properties of G_{σ} and H_{τ} , this reduces to

$$\int_{D} - pH_{\tau}G_{\sigma}\,dy = \int_{\partial D} (\tau - \sigma)H_{\tau}G_{\sigma}\,ds + H_{\tau} - G_{\sigma},$$

from which [6] follows readily.

Remarks. 1. If L is self-adjoint, Theorem 1 reduces to a special case of the comparison theorem of [2].

2. It is not strictly necessary to assume that u(x) is positive in D. If u(x) changes sign then the above argument can be applied on any nodal domain of u(x).

3. Whereas Swanson's comparison theorem [2] deals with two equations which differ in all the coefficients, the equations Lu = 0 and Lu + pu = 0 differ only in the last coefficient. This fact allows us to establish Theorem 1 under conditions which are in most instances substantially weaker than Swanson's.

As an immediate application of Theorem 1 we are able to strengthen Theorem 2 of [1] as follows.

THEOREM 2. Suppose the Robin's function $G_{\sigma}(x, \xi; \gamma)$ associated with (4) exists. If there exists a solution of $Lv + \gamma v = 0$ which is positive in D and satisfies $\partial v/\partial v + \tau v = 0$ on ∂D with $\tau \leq \sigma$, then $G_{\sigma}(x, \xi; \gamma)$ is non-negative in $D \times D$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $G(x_0, \xi_0) < 0$ for some $(x_0, \xi_0) \in D \times D$. Since $\lim_{x \to \xi_0} G(x, \xi_0; \gamma) = +\infty$, there exists a proper sub-domain $D_0 \subset D$ (not containing ξ_0) such that $G(x, \xi_0; \gamma) < 0$ for $x \in D_0$, $G(x, \xi_0; \gamma) = 0$ for $x \in \partial D_0 \cap D$ and $\partial G/\partial \nu + \sigma G = 0$ for $x \in \partial D_0 \cap \partial D$. Applying Theorem 1 in D_0 with $p(x) \equiv 0$ leads to the conclusion that v(x) changes sign in D_0 . This contradiction shows that $G(x, \xi; \gamma)$ is non-negative in $D \times D$.

Remarks. 1. Setting $\sigma(x) \equiv +\infty$, one obtains a substantially stronger result than Theorem 2 of [1].

2. As in Theorem 2 of [1], one can formulate a similar theorem in case $L^* v = 0$ has a solution which is positive in D.

As a second application of Theorem 1, we consider the eigenvalue problem

(7)
$$Lv = \lambda v \text{ in } D, \quad v = 0 \text{ on } \partial D,$$

under the additional assumption that the real part of the spectrum of (7) is bounded below. Applying the spectral mapping theorem to (7) and to the related u_0 -positive operator $(L + \gamma I)^{-1}$ for a sufficiently large γ , it follows that (7) has a real eigenvalue which is simple, is smaller than all other real eigenvalues, and corresponds to an eigenfunction which is positive in D. In case L is self-adjoint, it is well known [6, p. 409] that λ_1 is a strictly decreasing function of the domain D. The following theorem asserts a similar result for the more general case of (7). **THEOREM 3.** Let λ_1 be the smallest real eigenvalue of (7) and λ'_1 be the smallest real eigenvalue of

(7')
$$Lu = \lambda u \text{ in } D', \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial D'.$$

If D' is a proper subdomain of D, then $\lambda'_1 > \lambda_1$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $\lambda'_1 \leq \lambda_1$. Then we can apply Theorem 1 with $\sigma(x) \equiv +\infty$ to the eigenfunctions u_1 and v_1 satisfying

$$Lu_1 - \lambda'_1 u_i = 0$$
 and $Lv_1 - \lambda_1 v_1 = 0$

in D', respectively. By Theorem 1 we conclude that v_1 changes sign in D' or is a constant multiple of u_1 . Since both of these conclusions contradict the known properties of v_1 , it follows that $\lambda'_1 > \lambda_1$.

As a final application of Theorem 1 we give a simple proof of a strong maximum principle for elliptic equations.

THEOREM 4. Let u(x) be a solution of

(8)
$$-\sum \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + \sum b_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + cu = 0$$

in a closed domain \overline{D} in which $c(x) \ge 0$. If u(x) attains its positive maximum at some $x_0 \in D$, then u(x) is constant in some neighborhood of x_0 .

Proof. If u(x) attains its positive maximum at x_0 , then there exists a neighborhood D' of x_0 , $D' \subset D$, in which u(x) is positive and for which we have $\partial u/\partial v + \sigma u = 0$ on $\partial D'$ with $\sigma(x) \ge 0$ on $\partial D'$. We consider also the boundary value problem

(9)
$$-\sum \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right) + \sum b_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} = 0 \text{ in } D', \qquad \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial D'$$

which has the obvious non-trivial solution v = constant. Applying Theorem 1 to u(x) and v(x) = constant and noting that v does not change sign in D', we conclude that u is a constant multiple of v in D'.

Remarks. 1. By the unique continuation principle it is possible to conclude that $u(x) \equiv \text{constant in } D$.

2. Using comparison theorems for sub-solutions and super-solutions such as those of Swanson [7], one can also obtain a maximum principle for solutions of differential inequalities.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. K. KREITH, Criteria for positive Green's functions, Illinois J. Math., vol. 12 (1968), pp. 475-478.
- C. A. SWANSON, A comparison theorem for elliptic differential equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 17 (1966), pp. 611–616.
- 3. K. KREITH, A strong comparison theorem for self-adjoint elliptic equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 19 (1968), pp. 989–990.

- 4. ——, Sturmian theorems and positive resolvents, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 139 (1969), pp. 319-327.
- 5. M. A. KRASNOSELSKII, Positive solutions of operator equations, P. Noordhoff, 1964.
- 6. R. COURANT AND D. HILBERT, Methods of mathematical physics, vol. 1, Interscience, New York, 1953.
- 7. C. A. SWANSON, An identity for elliptic equations with applications, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 134 (1968), pp. 325–333.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA DAVIS, CALIFORNIA