

EMBEDDING SPACES

BY

R. LASHOF

0. Introduction

In a recent paper [10], Helen Robinson gives an improvement of a theorem of Dax relating smooth and topological embeddings of manifolds in the metastable range. Their result is also a consequence of the techniques of Morlet [9]. In this paper we extend the results of Robinson using Morlet's idea of relating embeddings and immersions, and recent results of Millett on *PL*-immersions [8]. What we show is that in a range of dimensions above the metastable (Corollary 3 of Theorem A) the obstructions to deforming higher homotopy groups of topological embeddings to smooth embeddings lie in the Haefliger knot groups. We also relate topological and piecewise linear (*PL*) embeddings. In Section 2, we relate *PL* embeddings to the space of maps, extending a result of Lusk [6]. For a range of dimensions, this reduces the computation of the homotopy groups of spaces of topological, piecewise linear and smooth embeddings to a purely homotopy problem.

1. The relationship between smooth and topological embeddings

Let $(M^n, \partial M) \subset (N^n, \partial N)$ be smooth manifolds, M compact (with possibly $\partial M = \emptyset$, $\partial N = \emptyset$). Let $E^t(M, N)$ (resp. $E^d(M, N)$) be the space of locally flat topological (resp. smooth) embeddings rel ∂ . These may be treated as spaces with the *C-O* topology (resp. C^∞ -topology) or as Δ -sets (see Appendix for a detailed discussion). $\text{Im}^t(M, N)$ (resp. $\text{Im}^d(M, N)$) will be the corresponding spaces of immersions rel ∂ . Also $\text{Maps}(M, N)$ will be the space of continuous maps rel ∂ . Let T be a closed normal tube of M in N , and \hat{T} an open normal tube containing T , defined with respect to some metric on N . Then $E^t(T, N)$ (resp. $E^d(T, N)$) will denote the space of locally flat (smooth) embeddings of T in N rel $T \cap \partial N$; and similarly for $E(T, \hat{T})$. Finally, let $E(T, \hat{T} \text{ mod } M)$ be the subspace of $E(T, \hat{T})$ of embeddings fixed on $M \cup (T \cap \partial N)$. We assume $n \geq 5$ throughout this paper.

By the isotopy extension theorem (see [2]) the restriction map $E(T, N) \rightarrow E(M, N)$ is a fibration (i.e., $E(T, N)$ is a fibre space over a union of components of $E(M, N)$) with fibre $E(T, N \text{ mod } M)$. In either category, $E(T, \hat{T} \text{ mod } M)$ is a deformation retract of $E(T, N \text{ mod } M)$. Thus (up to homotopy equivalence) the following are fibrations in both categories:

$$\begin{aligned} & E(T, \hat{T} \text{ mod } M) \rightarrow E(T, N) \rightarrow E(M, N), \\ \text{(a)} \quad & E(T, \hat{T} \text{ mod } M) \rightarrow E(T, \hat{T}) \rightarrow E(M, \hat{T}). \end{aligned}$$

Received May 15, 1975.

Likewise the restriction map $\text{Im}(T, N) \rightarrow \text{Im}(M, N)$ is a fibration with fibre $\text{Im}(T, N \bmod M)$. Since M is compact, an immersion of T in N fixed on M is an embedding in a neighborhood of M , and $E(T, \quad \bmod M)$ is a deformation retract of $\text{Im}(T, N \bmod M)$. Thus (up to homotopy equivalence) the following are fibrations in both categories:

$$(b) \quad \begin{aligned} E(T, \hat{T} \bmod M) &\rightarrow \text{Im}(T, N) \rightarrow \text{Im}(M, N), \\ E(T, \hat{T} \bmod M) &\rightarrow \text{Im}(T, \hat{T}) \rightarrow \text{Im}(M, \hat{T}). \end{aligned}$$

Writing $\pi_j^{t/d}(E(M, N))$ for $\pi_j(E^t(M, N), E^d(M, N))$, etc., we have (cf. [9]):

THEOREM A (t/d).

$$\pi_j^{t/d}(\text{Im}(M, N)) \cong \pi_j^{t/d}(E(M, N)) \simeq \pi_j^{t/d}(E(M, T)), \quad j > 0.$$

Proof. By (a) and (b) we have in both categories:

$$(c) \quad \pi_j(E(T, N), E(T, \hat{T})) \simeq \pi_j(E(M, N), E(M, \hat{T})), \quad j > 0,$$

$$(d) \quad \pi_j(\text{Im}(T, N), \text{Im}(T, \hat{T})) \simeq \pi_j(\text{Im}(M, N), \text{Im}(M, \hat{T})), \quad j > 0,$$

$$(e) \quad \pi_j(\text{Im}(T, N), E(T, N)) \simeq \pi_j(\text{Im}(M, N), E(M, N)), \quad j > 0.$$

By Theorem 3.1 of [1],

$$(f) \quad \pi_j^{t/d}(E(T, N)) \simeq \pi_j^{t/d}(\text{Im}(T, N)) \text{ and } \pi_j^{t/d}(E(T, \hat{T})) \simeq \pi_j^{t/d}(\text{Im}(T, \hat{T})),$$

$j > 0.$

Thus if we can prove

$$(g) \quad \pi_j^{t/d}(\text{Im}(T, \hat{T})) \simeq \pi_j^{t/d}(\text{Im}(T, N)), \quad j > 0,$$

the result will follow. In fact, by (e) and (f),

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_j(\text{Im}^t(M, N), E^t(M, N)) &\simeq \pi_j(\text{Im}^t(T, N), E^t(T, N)) \\ &\simeq \pi_j(\text{Im}^d(T, N), E^d(T, N)) \\ &\simeq \pi_j(\text{Im}^d(M, N), E^d(M, N)), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\pi_j^{t/d}(\text{Im}(M, N)) \simeq \pi_j^{t/d}(E(M, N)), \quad j > 0,$$

proving the first isomorphism.

By (f) and (g), $\pi_j^{t/d}(E(T, N)) \simeq \pi_j^{t/d}(E(T, \hat{T}))$, and by (c),

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_j(E^t(M, N), E^t(M, \hat{T})) &\simeq \pi_j(E^t(T, N), E^t(T, \hat{T})) \\ &\simeq \pi_j(E^d(T, N), E^d(T, \hat{T})) \\ &\simeq \pi_j(E^d(M, N), E^d(M, \hat{T})), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\pi_j^{t/d}(E(M, N)) \simeq \pi_j^{t/d}(E(M, \hat{T})), \quad j > 0,$$

proving the second isomorphism.

Proof of (g). If τN denotes the tangent bundle of N , we have by the covering homotopy property a fibration in both categories:

$$(h) \quad R_0(\tau T, \tau \dot{T}) \rightarrow R(\tau T, \tau N) \rightarrow \text{Maps}(M, N),$$

where $R(\tau T, \tau N)$ is the space of bundle monomorphisms and $R_0(\tau T, \tau \dot{T})$ are bundle monomorphisms over the inclusion. Similarly,

$$(h') \quad R_0(\tau T, \tau \dot{T}) \rightarrow R(\tau T, \tau \dot{T}) \rightarrow \text{Maps}(M, \dot{T}).$$

Thus

$$(i) \quad \pi_j^{t/d}(R(\tau T, \tau N)) \simeq \pi_j^{t/d}(R_0(\tau T, \tau \dot{T})) \simeq \pi_j^{t/d}(R(\tau T, \tau \dot{T})), \quad j > 0.$$

Since by the Immersion Theorem (see [1]), we have in both categories

$$(j) \quad \pi_j(R(\tau T, \tau N)) \simeq \pi_j(\text{Im}(T, N)) \text{ and } \pi_j(R(\tau T, \tau \dot{T})) \simeq \pi_j(\text{Im}(T, \dot{T})), \quad \text{all } j,$$

(g) follows.

Example. Taking $M = D^p$, we have $T = D^n$, and since $E^t(D^p, D^n)$ is contractible by the Alexander trick, we get (up to homotopy equivalence) the fibrations

$$(1) \quad E^d(D^p, D^n) \rightarrow E^d(D^p, N) \rightarrow E^t(D^p, N)$$

$$(2) \quad E^d(D^p, D^n) \rightarrow \text{Im}^d(D^p, D^n) \rightarrow \text{Im}^t(D^p, D^n).$$

COROLLARY 1 (t/d). $\pi_j^{t/d}(E(M, N)), j > 0$, depends only on M and its normal bundle ν in N .

Let $V_{n,p}^t$ and $V_{n,p}^d \approx O(n)/O(n-p)$ be the topological and smooth Stiefel manifolds of germs of embeddings of R^p in R^n . Let $V_{n,p}^{t/d}$ be the homotopy theoretic fibre of the inclusion $V_{n,p}^d \rightarrow V_{n,p}^t$.

COROLLARY 2 (t/d). *The fibre of $E^d(M, N) \rightarrow E^t(M, N)$ is the space of sections $\Gamma(K(\nu))$ of a fibre space $K(\nu)$ over M with fibre $V_{n,p}^{t/d}$.*

Proof. Since by Theorem A,

$$\pi_j^{t/d}(\text{Im}(M, \dot{T})) = \pi_j^{t/d}(E(M, \dot{T})) = \pi_j^{t/d}(E(M, V)),$$

we need to find the fibre of $\text{Im}^d(M, \dot{T}) \rightarrow \text{Im}^t(M, \dot{T})$. By [4], $\text{Im}(M, \dot{T})$ is the space of sections of a fibre space over M with fibre $V_{n,p}$, and the result follows.

Remark. Corollary 2 means, for example, that the obstructions to deforming a class in $\pi_j(E^t(M, N))$ into a class in $\pi_j(E^d(M, N))$ lie in

$$H^i(\sum^{j-1} (M/\partial M), \pi_i(V_{n,p}^{t/d})),$$

where if $\partial M = \emptyset, M/\partial M = M \cup pt$.

Applying the proof of Theorem A with $E^{pl}(M, N)$ in place of $E^d(M, N)$ we obtain for M and N piecewise linear manifolds:

THEOREM A (t/pl).

$$\pi_j^{t/pl}(\text{Im}(M, N)) \simeq \pi_j^{t/pl}(E(M, N)) \simeq \pi_j^{t/pl}(E(M, \hat{T})), \quad j > 0.$$

We also get a similar theorem for pl/d or rather pd/d , $pd =$ piecewise smooth. To use these theorems we need information on $V_{n,p}$.

THEOREM (Haefliger-Millett [8]). *If $n - p \geq 3$,*

$$\pi_i(V_{n,p}^{pl}) \rightarrow \pi_i(G_n, G_{n-p})$$

is an epimorphism for $i = 2n - p - 3$ and an isomorphism for $i < 2n - p - 3$.

Remark. Millett's proof applies equally well to the topological category, so that their theorem holds for $V_{n,p}^t$.

Now taking $M = D^p$, $N = D^n$ in Theorem A (t/pl) and using the fact that $E^t(D^p, D^n)$ and $E^{pl}(D^p, D^n)$ are trivial by the Alexander trick, we have $\pi_j^{t/pl}(\text{Im}(D^p, D^n)) = 0$. Since $\text{Im}(D^p, D^n) = \Omega^p(V_{n,p})$ we get $\pi_j(V_{n,p}^{pl}) \rightarrow \pi_j(V_{n,p}^t)$ is an isomorphism for $j > p$. Combining this with the Haefliger-Millett Theorem we have:

PROPOSITION (t/pl). *If $n - p \geq 3$, $V_{n,p}^{pl} \rightarrow V_{n,p}^t$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Remark. If $p = n - 1$, $V_{n,p}^{pl} = PL_n$ and $V_{n,p}^t = Top_n$ and by [5], $Top_n/PL_n \approx K(Z_2, 3)$, $n \geq 5$.

By the argument of Corollary 2 with E^{pl} in place of E^d , this gives the theorem of Morlet, Rourke-Sanderson, Kirby-Siebenmann.

COROLLARY 2 (t/pl). *If $n - p \geq 3$, $E^{pl}(M, N) \rightarrow E^t(M, N)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

(That the components map surjectively follows from the taming theorem, Theorem 5.51 of [11].)

Remark. If $p = n - 1$, $\pi_j(E^{pl}(M, N)) \rightarrow \pi_j(E^t(M, N))$ is a monomorphism if $j \geq 3$ and an epimorphism if $j \geq 4$.

Next for $V_{n,p}^{t/d}$ we have by the Haefliger-Millett Theorem:

PROPOSITION (t/d). *If $n - p \geq 3$, $\pi_{j-1}(V_{n,p}^{t/d}) = \pi_j(G, O, G_{n-p})$ for $j < 2n - p - 3$.*

Hence Corollary 2 implies:

THEOREM (Dax, Robinson). $\pi_j^{t/d}(E(M, N)) = 0$ for $j \leq 2n - 3p - 3$.

Proof. $\pi_i(G, O, G_{n-p}) = 0$ for $i \leq 2n - 2p - 3$ by [7]. Now $j \leq 2n - 3p - 3$ implies $j + p \leq 2n - 2p - 3 < 2n - p - 3$. Also $3p \leq 2n - 3$ implies the components are surjective.

More generally we have:

COROLLARY 3. *For $0 < j < 2n - 2p - 3$ the obstructions to the existence of a lift of a class in $\pi_j(E^t(M, N))$ to $\pi_j(E^d(M, N))$ and to the uniqueness of the lifts of a class in $\pi_{j-1}(E^t(M, N))$ lie in $\pi_{j+k}(G, O, G_{n-p}), 0 \leq k \leq p$.*

Now let $CE(M, N)$ (resp. $C \text{ Im } (M, N)$) be the space of embeddings (immersions) $f: M \times I \rightarrow N \times I$ such that $f|_{M \times 0 \cup \partial M \times 1} = \text{inclusion}$ and $f^{-1}(N \times 1) = M \times 1$. Then by the same argument as in Theorem A we have:

THEOREM A (C).

$$\pi_j^{t/d}(C \text{ Im } (M, N)) \simeq \pi_j^{t/d}(CE(M, N)) \simeq \pi_j^{t/d}(CE(M, \hat{T})), \quad j > 0.$$

(Similarly for t/pl and pd/d .)

COROLLARY 1 (C). $\pi_j^{t/d}(CE(M, N)), j > 0$, depends only on M and its normal bundle ν in N .

Let $P(X, Y)$ be paths in X beginning at the base point and ending in Y .

COROLLARY 2 (C). *The fibre of $CE^d(M, N) \rightarrow CE^t(M, N)$ is the space of sections $\Gamma(L(\nu))$ of a fibre space $L(\nu)$ over M with fibre $P(V_{n+1, p+1}^{t/d}, V_{n, p}^{t/d})$.*

COROLLARY 3 (C). *If $n - p \geq 3, \pi_j^{t/d}(CE(M, N)) = 0$ for $j < 2n - 2p - 3$.*

Remark. One may of course go from information on $\pi_j^{t/d}(E(M, N))$ or $\pi_j^{t/d}CE(M, N)$ to information on $V_{n, p}^{t/d}$, as we did for $V_{n, p}^{t/pl}$. In fact, one may obtain Millett's improvement of Haefliger's theorem this way. Also using information on stability, i.e., on when $\pi_j CE^d(M, N) \rightarrow \pi_j CE^d(M \times I, N \times I)$ is an isomorphism. We get information on when

$$\pi_j(V_{n+1, p+1}^t, V_{n, p}^t) \rightarrow \pi_{j+1}(V_{n+2, p+2}^t, V_{n+1, p+1}^t)$$

is an isomorphism. This last will appear in a forthcoming paper on stability.

2. The space of PL embeddings

Let M^p, N^n be compact PL manifolds, $n - p \geq 3$.

THEOREM (Casson, Haefliger, Sullivan [12]). *Let*

$$f: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (N, \partial N)$$

be a map such that $f|_{\partial M}$ is a PL embedding and f is $(2p - n + 1)$ -connected. Then f is homotopic rel ∂M to a PL embedding.

Now assume $(M^p, \partial M) \subset (N^n, \partial N)$ and let $\tilde{E}^{pl}(M, N)$ be the Δ -set of block embeddings of M in N [2], with base point the inclusion. This is a Kan Δ -set and $\pi_j(\tilde{E}^{pl}(M, N)) =$ concordance classes of PL embeddings $\phi: D^j \times M \rightarrow D^j \times N$ such that $\phi \mid \partial(D^j \times M) =$ inclusion. By the above theorem we have:

THEOREM B. *If $\pi_i(N, M) = 0$ for $i \leq t$, then*

$$\pi_j(\text{Maps}(M, N), \tilde{E}^{pl}(M, N)) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < j \leq n + r - 2p - 1.$$

Write $\pi_j^{\text{rel}}(E^{pl}(M, N)) = \pi_j(\tilde{E}^{pl}(M, N), E^{pl}(M, N))$. The following theorem is essentially due to Millett [8] (see remarks below).

THEOREM C. *Let $M^p = D^p \cup$ handles of dimension greater than l , and let N^n be a k -connected ($k \leq n - 4$ if $\pi_1(\partial N) \neq 0$). Then*

$$\pi_j^{\text{rel}}(E(M, N)) = 0 \quad \text{for } j \leq n + \hat{k} - p - 2, \hat{k} = \inf(k, n - p + l - 1).$$

Proof. (a) By Theorem 2.8 of [2],

$$\pi_j^{\text{rel}}(E(D^q, N)) = 0 \quad \text{for } j \leq n + k - q - 2 \quad (k \leq n - 4 \text{ if } \pi_1(\partial V) \neq 0).$$

(b) By Theorem 3.20 of [8], $\pi_j^{\text{rel}}(E(D^q \times S^{p-q}, D^q \times S^{n-q})) = 0$ for $j \leq 2n - p - q - 3$.

From the fibrations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(c)} \quad E(D^q \times D^{p-q}, D^q \times D^{n-q}) &\rightarrow E(D^q \times S^{p-q}, D^q \times S^{n-q}; \text{mod } D^q \times 0) \\ &\rightarrow E(D^q \times R^{p-q}, D^q \times R^{n-q}; \text{mod } D^q \times 0), \\ E(D^q \times S^{p-q}, D^q \times S^{n-q}; \text{mod } D^q \times 0) &\rightarrow E(D^q \times S^{p-q}, D^q \times S^{n-q}) \\ &\rightarrow E(D^q, D^q \times S^{n-q}), \end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding fibrations for \tilde{E} we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_j^{\text{rel}}(E(D^q \times R^{p-q}, D^q \times R^{n-q}; \text{mod } D^q \times 0)) \\ \simeq \pi_j^{\text{rel}}(E(D^q \times S^{p-q}, D^q \times S^{n-q} \text{ mod } D^q \times 0)) \\ \simeq \pi_j^{\text{rel}}(E(D^q \times S^{p-q}, D^q \times S^{n-q})) \quad \text{for } j < 2n - 2q - 3, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\pi_j^{\text{rel}}(E(D^q \times D^{p-q}, D^q \times D^{n-q})) = \pi_j^{\text{rel}}(D^p, D^n) = 0,$$

and

$$\pi_j^{\text{rel}}(E(D^q, D^q \times S^{n-q})) = 0 \quad \text{for } j \leq 2n - 2q - 3$$

by (a).

(d) By (b) and (c): For $p > q$,

$$\pi_j^{\text{rel}}(E(D^q \times R^{p-q}, D^q \times R^{n-q}; \text{mod } D^q \times 0)) = 0 \quad \text{for } j \leq 2n - p - q - 3.$$

Now $M - \hat{D}^p = \partial M \cup$ handles of dimension $\leq p - l - 1$. Let $q = \dim$ of lowest dim handle in this decomposition ($q = 0$ if $\partial M = \emptyset$). Let $M' =$

M – open normal tube of D^q in M , and $N' = N$ – open normal tube of D^q in N . Then we have the fibrations

$$(e) \quad E(M, N; \text{mod } D^q) \rightarrow E(M, N) \rightarrow E(D^q, N),$$

$$E(M', N') \rightarrow E(M, N; \text{mod } D^q) \rightarrow E(D^q \times R^{p-q}, D^q \times R^{n-q}; \text{mod } D^q \times 0)$$

and similarly for \tilde{E} .

(f) By (a) and (d),

$$\pi_j^{\text{rel}} E(M', N') \rightarrow \pi_j^{\text{rel}} (E(M, N; \text{mod } D^q) \rightarrow \pi_j^{\text{rel}} E(M, N))$$

is surjective for $j \leq n + k' - q - 2$, $k' = \inf(k, n - p - 1)$.

Further $\pi_j(N') = \pi_j(N - D^q) = 0$ for $j \leq \inf(k, n - q - 2)$. Hence it follows by induction on the dimensions of the handles that

(g) $\pi_j(E(D^p, N_0)) \rightarrow \pi_j(E(M, N))$ is surjective for

$$j \leq n + k' - p + l - 1 = n + (k' + l + 1) - p - 2,$$

where $N_0 = N$ – open normal tube of $(M - \dot{D}^p)$ in N .

Further, $\pi_j(N_0) = 0$ for $j \leq k'' = \inf(k, n - p + l - 1)$.

Applying (a) to $E(D^p, N_0)$ we get $\pi_j(E(D^p, N_0)) = 0$ for $j \leq n + k'' - p - 2$, and

$$(h) \quad \pi_j(E(M, N)) = 0 \quad \text{for } j \leq n + \hat{k} - p - 2,$$

$$\hat{k} = \inf(k' + l + 1, k'')$$

$$= \inf(k + l + 1, n - p + l, k, n - p + l - 1)$$

$$= \inf(k, n - p + l - 1).$$

Remarks. (i) This differs from Millett's result in [8] in three respects:

(1) He assumes $M = D^p \cup$ handles of dim between $l + 1$ and $p - l - 1$ if $\partial M \neq \emptyset$, and the foregoing union a p -handle if $\partial M = \emptyset$.

(2) He omits the condition $k \leq n - 4$ if $\pi_1(\partial V) \neq 0$. This is because he claims that (a) holds without this condition.

(3) His result states that $\pi_j^{\text{rel}}(E(M, N)) = 0$ for $j \leq n + r - p - 2$, $r = \inf(k, n - p - 1)$.

(ii) If M is an l -connected manifold, then M satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem, provided $l \leq p - 4$ if $\pi_1(\partial M) \neq 0$.

By Theorems B and C we have:

THEOREM D. *If $\pi_i(N) = 0$ for $i \leq k$ ($k \leq n - 4$ if $\pi_1(\partial N) \neq 0$), $\pi_i(M) = 0$ for $i \leq l$, and $\pi_i(N, M) = 0$ for $i \leq r$, then*

$$\pi_j(\text{Maps}(M, N), E(M, N)) = 0$$

$$\text{for } 0 < j \leq n + t - p - 2, t = \inf(r - p + 1, k, n + l - p + 1).$$

Theorem B may be improved in the sense that $\pi_j(\tilde{E}^{pl}(M, N))$ may be obtained, up to extensions, from homotopy data for all $j \geq 1$. The result is more complicated (and even less computable) than Maps (M, N) . (cf. [8].)

Let $\mathcal{H}(N)$ be the space of homotopy equivalences of N fixed on ∂N . One may also consider the Δ -set $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(N)$ of block homotopy equivalences. However, the singular complex of $\mathcal{H}(N)$ is a deformation retract of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(N)$ and we will suppress the symbol \sim . Let $W = \overline{N - T}$. Let S be the frontier of T , i.e., the normal sphere bundle of M in N . Let T_1 be the closure of \hat{T} , $T_1 = T \cup S \times I^1$. Then as in [2] we have the fibrations:

- (a) $\tilde{A}(N \text{ mod } M) \rightarrow \tilde{A}(N) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(M, N)$.
- (b) $\tilde{A}(W) \rightarrow \tilde{A}(N \text{ mod } M) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(T, N \text{ mod } M) \simeq \tilde{E}(T, \hat{T} \text{ mod } M)$.
- (a') $\tilde{A}(T_1 \text{ mod } M) \rightarrow \tilde{A}(T_1) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(M, \hat{T}) = \tilde{E}(M, T_1)$.
- (b') $\tilde{A}(S \times I) \rightarrow \tilde{A}(T_1 \text{ mod } M) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(T, \hat{T} \text{ mod } M)$.

Thus we have since (b') is a subbundle of (b):

$$(c) \quad \pi_i(\tilde{A}(W)/\tilde{A}(S \times I)) \simeq \pi_i(\tilde{A}(N \text{ mod } M)/\tilde{A}(T_1 \text{ mod } M)), \quad \text{all } i > 0.$$

Now using that (a') is a subbundle of (a), we get, using (c):

$$(d) \quad \rightarrow \pi_i(\tilde{A}(W)/\tilde{A}(S \times I)) \rightarrow \pi_i(\tilde{A}(N)/\tilde{A}(T_1)) \rightarrow \pi_i(\tilde{E}(M, N), \tilde{E}(M, \hat{T})) \\ \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}(\tilde{A}(W)/\tilde{A}(S \times I)) \rightarrow$$

is exact, $i > 1$.

Now Theorem 3.5 (5) of [0] gives a (natural) exact sequence:

$$(e) \quad \rightarrow L_{n+i+1}^s(\pi) \rightarrow \pi_i^{\mathcal{H}/PL} \tilde{A}(N) \rightarrow [\Sigma^i(N/\partial N), G/PL] \rightarrow L_{n+i}^s(\pi), \quad i > 0, \text{ where } \pi = \pi_1(N), L_k^s(\pi) \text{ is the Wall surgery group, and } N/\partial N = N \cup pt \text{ if } \partial N = \emptyset.$$

Taking the sequence (e) with W in place of N , and using the inclusion $(N, \partial N) \rightarrow (N, T \cup \partial N)$ we get a map of the W sequence into the N sequence and hence

$$(f) \quad \pi_i^{\mathcal{H}/PL}(\tilde{A}(N)/\tilde{A}(W)) \simeq [\Sigma^i(T \cup \partial N)/\partial N, G/PL], \quad i > 0.$$

Applying the same argument to T_1 and $S \times I$, we get

$$(f') \quad \pi_i^{\mathcal{H}/PL}(\tilde{A}(T_1)/\tilde{A}(S \times I)) \simeq [\Sigma^i(T \cup \partial T_1/\partial T_1), G/PL], \quad i > 0.$$

Since $T \cup \partial T_1/\partial T_1 = T \cup \partial N/\partial N$, we have

$$(g) \quad \pi_i^{\mathcal{H}/PL}(\tilde{A}(T_1)/\tilde{A}(S \times I)) \simeq \pi_i^{\mathcal{H}/PL}(\tilde{A}(N)/\tilde{A}(W)), \text{ or} \\ \pi_i^{\mathcal{H}/PL}(\tilde{A}(W)/\tilde{A}(S \times I)) \simeq \pi_i^{\mathcal{H}/PL}(\tilde{A}(N)/\tilde{A}(T_1)).$$

Hence from (d) we get,

$$(h) \quad \rightarrow \pi_i(\mathcal{H}(W), \mathcal{H}(S \times I)) \rightarrow \pi_i(\mathcal{H}(N), \mathcal{H}(T_1)) \rightarrow \pi_i(\tilde{E}(M, N), \tilde{E}(M, \hat{T})) \\ \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}(\mathcal{H}(W), \mathcal{H}(S \times I)) \rightarrow$$

is exact, $i > 1$.

¹ That is, \hat{T} is an open normal tube containing T .

Now by Theorem B,

$$\pi_j(\text{Maps}(M, T_1), \tilde{E}(M, T_1)) = 0, \quad j > 0,$$

and

$$\pi_j(\tilde{E}(M, T_1)) = \pi_j(\text{Maps}(M, T_1)) = \pi_j \mathcal{H}(M), \quad j > 0.$$

This gives²:

THEOREM B'.

$$\begin{aligned} &\rightarrow \pi_i(\mathcal{H}(W), \mathcal{H}(S \times I)) \rightarrow \pi_i(\mathcal{H}(N), \mathcal{H}(T_1)) \\ &\rightarrow \pi_i(\tilde{E}(M, N), \mathcal{H}(M)) \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}(\mathcal{H}(W), \mathcal{H}(S \times I)) \rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

is exact, $i > 1$.

Finally, by Theorem C we have:

THEOREM D'. *Theorem B' holds with $E(M, N)$ in place of $\tilde{E}(M, N)$ for*

$$1 < i < n + \hat{k} - p - 2, \quad \hat{k} = \inf(k, n - p + l - 1),$$

where N is k -connected, and M is l -connected.

We also note (see [8]),

THEOREM D''. *If N is k -connected and M is l -connected,*

$$\pi_j CE(M, N) = 0 \quad \text{for } j \leq n + \hat{k} - p - 3, \quad \hat{k} = \inf(k, n - p + l - 1).$$

Final Remark. Consider the case where N is noncompact, but ∂N is compact (or empty) and N has only a finite number of tame ends. It follows from Siebenmann's thesis (Princeton) that if $X \subset N$ is compact, there exists a compact submanifold K , $X \subset K \subset N$, such that $\pi_i(N, K) = 0$ for $i \leq n - 3$. It follows that Theorem B holds for such N provided $r \leq n - 4$. Likewise Theorem C holds. Thus Theorem D holds under the above assumptions. Similarly, Theorem D''. Finally, Theorem D' holds under these assumptions provided we interpret $\mathcal{H}(W)$ and $\mathcal{H}(N)$ as homotopy equivalences with compact support.

Appendix. Spaces of topological embeddings

Let $(M, \partial M) \subset (N, \partial N)$ be a compact locally flat submanifold of the compact manifold N with $n - m \geq 3$. Let $\mathcal{E}^t(M, N)$ be the space of topological embeddings $f: M \rightarrow N$ with $f^{-1}(\partial N) = \partial M$ and $f|_{\partial M} = \text{inclusion}$ and with the C^0 topology. Let $\mathcal{E}_{LF}^t(M, N)$ be the subspace of locally flat embedding. Finally let $E^t(M, N)$ be the semisimplicial complex for which a p -simplex is an embedding $g: \Delta^p \times M \rightarrow \Delta^p \times N$ such that:

- (a) g commutes with projection onto Δ^p .

² Note that by definition $\tilde{E}(M, T_1) = \tilde{E}(M, \overset{\circ}{T})$.

- (b) $g^{-1}(\Delta^p \times \partial N) = \Delta^p \times \partial M$.
- (c) $g \mid \Delta^p \times \partial M = \text{inclusion}$.
- (d) g satisfies the locally isotopy extension condition: For each $(t, x) \in \Delta^p \times M$, there exists a neighborhood U of t in Δ^p , a neighborhood V of x in M , and an embedding $h: U \times V \times R^{m-n} \rightarrow U \times N$ commuting with projection onto U and with $h \mid U \times V \times 0 = g \mid U \times V$.

Then we have the obvious inclusions $E^t(M, N) \subset S\mathcal{E}_{LF}^t(M, N) \subset S\mathcal{E}^t(M, N)$ where S denotes the singular complex.

THEOREM 1. *If $n - m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 5$, the above inclusions are homotopy equivalences.*

This theorem follows from the results of Cernavskii (Topological embeddings of manifolds, Soviet Math. Dokl., vol 10 (1969), pp. 1037-1041), which in turn depend on work of Homma, Bryant and Seebeck, R. D. Edwards and Richard T. Miller. That the first inclusion is a homotopy equivalence follows immediately from Cernavskii's isotopy extension theorem for the space $\mathcal{E}_{LF}^t(M, N)$; i.e., isotopy extension implies local isotopy extension; so in fact $E^t(M, N) = S\mathcal{E}_{LF}^t(M, N)$ for $n \geq 5, n - m \geq 3$.

For the second inclusion we need the following:

LEMMA. *Let (X, A) be a pair of metric spaces. If A is dense in X and locally p -connected at points of X for $0 \leq p \leq n$, then $\pi_q(X, A) = 0$ for $0 \leq q \leq n - 1$.*

DEFINITION. A is locally p connected at points of X if for any $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $g: S^p \rightarrow A$ with $d(g(s), x) < \delta$ for $s \in S^p$; then g extends to $\bar{g}: D^{p+1} \rightarrow A$ with $d(\bar{g}(s), x) < \varepsilon$ for $s \in D^{p+1}$.

Thus the fact that $S\mathcal{E}_{LF}^t(M, N) \rightarrow S\mathcal{E}^t(M, N)$ is a homotopy equivalence follows from the lemma and the result of Cernavskii et al:

THEOREM 2. *If $n - m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 5$, $\mathcal{E}_{LF}^t(M, N)$ is dense in $\mathcal{E}^t(M, N)$ and is locally p connected at points of $\mathcal{E}^t(M, N)$ for all p .*

(Actually Cernavskii states a slightly weaker result than this in the above reference, but as pointed out by Edwards and Miller, Notices A.M.S., vol 19 (1972), A-467, by using the stronger form of Bryant and Seebeck's engulfing lemma the stronger statement above holds.)

Also we note that Corollary 2 (t/pl) and Theorem 1 above imply:

THEOREM 3. *If M and N are compact PL manifolds with $n \geq 5$ and $n - m \geq 3$ then $E^{pl}(M, N) \rightarrow S\mathcal{E}^t(M, N)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Theorem 3 is also claimed by R. Stern. The above argument depends crucially on ideas of Richard Miller, and indeed Miller has proved Theorem 3 for M an arbitrary finite PL space of codimension 4 (Fiber preserving equivalence—to appear in Trans. AMS).

Conversely, Theorem 3 and Theorem 1 imply Corollary 2 (t/pl).

REFERENCES

0. P. ANTONELLI, D. BURGHELEA, AND P. KAHN, *The concordance-homotopy groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 215, Springer, New York,
1. D. BURGHELEA AND R. LASHOF, *The homotopy type of the space of diffeomorphisms*, Trans. AMS, vol. 196 (1974), pp. 1–50.
2. D. BURGHELEA, R. LASHOF, AND M. ROTHENBERG, *On the homotopy groups of automorphisms of manifolds*, Springer Lecture Notes, to appear.
3. J-P. DAX, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A-B, vol. 264 (1967), pp. A499–A502.
4. A. HAEFLIGER AND V. POENARU, *La classification des immersions combinatoires*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math., vol. 23 (1964), pp. 75–91.
5. R. KIRBY AND L. SIEBENMANN, *On the triangulation of manifolds and the Hauptvermutung*, Bull. AMS, vol. 75 (1969), pp. 242–249.
6. E. LUSK, *Homotopy groups of spaces of embeddings*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 18 (1974), pp. 147–159.
7. R. MILGRAM, *On the Haefliger knot groups*, Bull. AMS, vol. 78 (1972), pp. 861–865.
8. K. MILLETT, *Piecewise-linear embeddings of manifolds*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 19 (1975), pp. 354–369.
9. C. MORLET, *Plongements et Automorphismes de Variétés*, Notes Cours Pecot, Collège de France, 1969.
10. H. ROBINSON, *Continuous and differentiable embeddings in the metastable range*, J. London Math. Soc., vol. 8 (1974), pp. 139–141.
11. T. B. RUSHING, *Topological embeddings*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
12. C. T. C. WALL, *Surgery on compact manifolds*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS