## STABLE OPERATIONS ON COMPLEX K-THEORY

BY

## J. F. Adams and F. W. Clarke

#### 1. Introduction

Let **K** be the spectrum representing classical (periodic) complex K-theory. A stable operation (of degree zero) on complex K-theory should then correspond to an element of the K-cohomology group  $K^0(K)$ ; equivalently, it should correspond to a map of spectra  $f: K \to K$ . (It will be convenient if the word "map" means a homotopy class, and is restricted to maps of degree zero.) Two maps from **K** to **K** are well known:  $\Psi^1$ , the identity map, and  $\Psi^{-1}$ , the map induced by complex conjugation. One may then form integral linear combinations  $\lambda \Psi^1 + \mu \Psi^{-1}$ , where  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It has been conjectured, and some have tried to prove, that in this way one obtains all the maps from **K** to **K**. Although some of our colleagues have found it hard to believe, we will show that this conjecture is false; there are uncountably many maps from **K** to **K**. We deduce this from a result which has other applications in K-theory.

# 2. Study of K-homology

Let  $K_*(K)$  be the K-homology of the spectrum K. It has been sufficiently described by Adams, Harris, and Switzer [3]; but these authors omit the following fundamental result.

THEOREM 2.1.  $K_*(K)$ , considered as a left module over  $\pi_*(K)$ , is free on a countably infinite set of generators (of degree zero).

Because of the structure of  $\pi_*(\mathbf{K})$ , any graded module  $M_*$  over  $\pi_*(\mathbf{K})$  which is zero in odd degrees satisfies  $M_* \cong \pi_*(\mathbf{K}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M_0$ . So Theorem 2.1 will follow from the following result.

THEOREM 2.2.  $K_0(K)$  is a free abelian group on a countably infinite set of generators.

In order to prove this, recall that according to [3] we have an embedding  $K_0(K) \subset K_0(K) \otimes Q = Q[w, w^{-1}]$  where  $w = u^{-1}v$  (u and v being as in [3]). Let F(n, m) be the intersection of  $K_0(K)$  with the Q-module generated by  $w^n$ ,  $w^{n+1}, \ldots, w^m$ .

LEMMA 2.3. F(n, m)/F(n, m - 1) and F(n, m)/F(n + 1, m) are free abelian groups of rank 1.

*Proof.* We give the proof for F(n, m)/F(n, m-1); the proof for F(n, m)/F(n+1, m) is parallel.

An element of F(n, m) may be written in the form  $\sum_{n \le r \le m} c_r w^r$ , where the coefficients  $c_r$  lie in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We can define an embedding

$$F(n, m)/F(n, m-1) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$$

by sending  $\sum_{n \le r \le m} c_r w^r$  to the coefficient  $c_m$  of  $w^m$ . We wish to determine the image I of this embedding. It is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and clearly contains  $\mathbb{Z}$ , since  $w^m$  belongs to F(n, m). The result will follow if we show that there is an integer M such that the image I is contained in  $(1/M)\mathbb{Z}$ . We prove this by localization; it will be sufficient to prove the following.

(i) For each prime p there is a power  $p^e$  such that

$$I \subset (1/p^e)\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$$

(where  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  means the localization of  $\mathbf{Z}$  at p, as usual.)

(ii) For all but a finite number of primes p we can take  $p^e = 1$ .

So let p be a prime. Then in  $K^0(K; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$  we have an element  $\Psi^k$  for each integer k prime to p; and we have  $\langle \Psi^k, w^r \rangle = k^r$ . Let r run over the range  $n \le r \le m$ , and let k run over an equal number of distinct integers  $k_m$ ,  $k_{m+1}, \ldots, k_m$  prime to p; then the matrix with entries  $k^n$  is nonsingular, for we will show that its determinant  $\Delta$  is nonzero. In fact, by removing from  $\Delta$  a factor  $(k_n k_{n+1} \cdots k_m)^n$ , we obtain a Vandermonde determinant, which is nonzero because  $k_n, k_{n+1}, \ldots, k_m$  are distinct. We can therefore choose coefficients  $\lambda_k$  in  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  such that

$$\left\langle \sum_{k} \lambda_{k} \Psi^{k}, w^{r} \right\rangle = \left| \begin{matrix} 0 & \text{if } n \leq r < m \\ \Delta & \text{if } r = m. \end{matrix} \right.$$

In particular, for any element  $x = \sum_{n \le r \le m} c_r w^r$  in F(n, m) we have

$$\left\langle \sum_{k} \lambda_{k} \Psi^{k}, x \right\rangle = \Delta c_{m}.$$

But certainly we have  $\langle \sum_k \lambda_k \Psi^k, x \rangle \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ ; therefore  $c_m \in (1/\Delta)\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ . Moreover, for  $p-1 \geq m-n+1$  we can arrange for  $\Delta$  to be nonzero mod p, for we can arrange for  $k_n, k_{n+1}, \ldots, k_m$  to be distinct mod p. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. This follows immediately from Lemma 2.3. Suppose, as an inductive hypothesis, that we have found a base for F(n, m); we may also suppose that the base contains m - n + 1 elements. Then Lemma 2.3 allows one to extend the base to a base for F(n, m + 1) or F(n - 1, m); we may also assert that this base contains m - n + 2 elements. The induction does start, because the case n = m of Lemma 2.3 is to be interpreted as saying that F(n, n) is a free abelian group of rank 1. (The proof even shows that F(n, n) has a base consisting of the element  $w^n$ .) It is natural to arrange the induction so that

alternate steps increase m and decrease n, but the induction may be conducted in any way provided that  $m \to +\infty$  and  $n \to -\infty$ . The induction constructs a base for  $\bigcup F(n, m) = \mathbb{K}_0(\mathbb{K})$ . This proves Theorem 2.2, and Theorem 2.1 follows.

## 3. Maps from K to K

These are described by the following result.

THEOREM 3.1. The Kronecker product gives an isomorphism

$$K^*(K) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\pi_*(K)}(K_*(K), \pi_*(K)).$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Theorem 2.1, by using the universal coefficient theorem in K-theory. The basic ideas for the proof of such a theorem were given by Atiyah [4], but in the context of the Künneth theorem for spaces. A discussion in the context of the universal coefficient theorem for spectra is given in [1]; it lacks a treatment of the convergence of the spectral sequence, but this may be supplied from the indications given in [2].

COROLLARY 3.2.  $K^{1}(K) = 0$ ;  $K^{0}(K)$  is uncountable.

This follows immediately from Theorems 2.1 and 3.1.

COROLLARY 3.3.  $\mathbf{K}^0(\mathbf{K})$  contains maps not of the form  $\lambda \Psi^1 + \mu \Psi^{-1}$ , where  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

This follows immediately from Corollary 3.2.

We will now show how to construct a map which is not of the form  $\lambda \Psi^1 + \mu \Psi^{-1}$ . For a map of the form  $\phi = \lambda \Psi^1 + \mu \Psi^{-1}$  we have

$$\langle \phi, 1 \rangle = \lambda + \mu, \quad \langle \phi, w \rangle = \lambda - \mu;$$

so  $\langle \phi, 1 \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle \phi, w \rangle = 0$  imply  $\phi = 0$ , and in particular  $\langle \phi, w^2 \rangle = 0$ . Let h be the composite

$$F(0, 2) \longrightarrow F(0, 2)/F(0, 1) \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z},$$

where the isomorphism comes from Lemma 2.3; then we have h(1) = 0, h(w) = 0 but  $h(w^2) \neq 0$ . (In fact calculation shows that  $h(w^2) = \pm 24$ , but this is irrelevant.) We will now extend h to an element of

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{K}_{0}(\mathbf{K}), \mathbf{Z}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\pi_{*}(\mathbf{K})}^{0}(\mathbf{K}_{*}(\mathbf{K}), \pi_{*}(\mathbf{K})).$$

In fact, according to the proof of Theorem 2.2, a base of F(0, 2) may be extended to a base of  $K_0(K)$ , and so h may be extended over  $K_0(K)$  by giving it arbitrary values on the remaining basis elements. Applying Theorem 3.1, we obtain a map  $\phi \in K^0(K)$  such that  $\langle \phi, 1 \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle \phi, w \rangle = 0$  but  $\langle \phi, w^2 \rangle \neq 0$ ; this map  $\phi$  is not of the form  $\lambda \Psi^1 + \mu \Psi^{-1}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- J. F. ADAMS, Lectures on generalized cohomology, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 99, Springer 1969, especially pp. 1-45.
- Algebraic topology in the last decade, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 22, Amer. Math. Soc., 1971, especially p. 11.
- 3. J. F. ADAMS, A. S. HARRIS, AND R. M. SWITZER, Hopf algebras of cooperations for real and complex K-theory, Proc. London Math. Soc., vol. 23 (1971), pp. 385-408.
- 4. M. F. ATIYAH, Vector bundles and the Künneth formula, Topology, vol. 1 (1962), pp. 245-248.

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY
CAMBRIDGE, ENGLAND

University College of Swansea Swansea, Wales