

ON FORMAL SPACES AND THEIR LOOP SPACE

BY
RENÉ RUCHTI¹

1. Introduction

In this paper we describe a method of fibering a simply connected CW-complex X over a certain product B of Eilenberg–MacLane-spaces $K(\mathbf{Q}, n_i)$. B is determined, essentially, by the rational Hurewicz morphism. The construction of this fibration uses the theory of minimal differential graded algebras, as outlined in Section 2. Our main result is Theorem 4.1 in Section 4: For a particular class of formal CW-complexes—including skeletons in products of Eilenberg–MacLane-spaces—we prove that the fiber F of our fibration has the rational homotopy type of a wedge of spheres. Since the projection of our fibration is surjective in rational homotopy it follows that the Poincaré series of the loop space ΩX for X in this class is rational, thus proving Serre’s conjecture for this class of spaces.

In Sections 5 and 6 we construct \mathcal{B} -free minimal resolutions of certain algebras of type \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I} , where \mathcal{B} is a free graded-commutative algebra. We iterate our method of fibering, i.e., we fibre F over a product B_1 of Eilenberg–MacLane-spaces etc. It turns out that the minimal model of the P.L.-De Rham complex of X , X in our particular class, is the direct limit of the minimal models of the P.L.-De Rham complex of spaces constructed by successively twisting together the spaces B, B_1, \dots (Theorem 6.2). We also outline how actually to compute the twistings in the corresponding twisted tensor products.

2. Algebraic preliminaries

Let \mathcal{A} be a *differential graded-commutative algebra* (DGA) over a field \mathbf{k} . In other words:

- (1) $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{A}^n$ is a graded vectorspace over \mathbf{k} together with a derivation $d: \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{n+1}$, $d \cdot d = 0$.
- (2) If $a \in \mathcal{A}^n$, $a' \in \mathcal{A}^{n'}$, then $a \cdot a' = (-1)^{nn'} a' \cdot a \in \mathcal{A}^{n+n'}$.

All DGA’s will be *connected* and *simply connected*, i.e., $\mathcal{A}^0 = \mathbf{k}$ and $\mathcal{A}^1 = 0$. The cohomology groups of \mathcal{A} are denoted by $H^n(\mathcal{A})$.

Let $f: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a morphism of DGA’s. There are defined *relative cohomology groups* $H^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ by taking the cohomology of the relative cochain complex $\{C^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), d\}$, where $C^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{A}^n \oplus \mathcal{B}^{n+1}$ with differential d given by

Received May 17, 1976. Received in revised form October 19, 1976.

¹ This research was supported by a grant of the Swiss National Fund for Scientific Research.

$d(a, b) = (da + f(b), -db)$. There is a long exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad \cdots \longrightarrow H^n(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{f^*} H^n(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{j} H^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{n+1}(\mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

j and δ being defined by $j(a) = (a, 0)$ and $\delta(a, b) = b$.

A DGA \mathcal{M} is called *minimal* if it is free as an algebra, i.e., the only relations in \mathcal{M} are those imposed by associativity and graded-commutativity, and if the differential of every element in \mathcal{M} is decomposable, i.e., $d\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^+ \cdot \mathcal{M}^+$, where $\mathcal{M}^+ = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{M}^n$. If $V = \sum_{n \geq 1} V^n$ denotes the graded vectorspace spanned by the generators of \mathcal{M} and if $P = P[\sum_{p \geq 1} V^{2p}]$ is the symmetric algebra generated by all even-degree elements and $E = E(\sum_{p \geq 1} V^{2p-1})$ the exterior algebra of all odd-degree elements, then \mathcal{M} can be written as

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{M} = S^*(V) = P \otimes E.$$

Let \mathcal{M} be minimal and denote by $\mathcal{M}(n-1) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ the subalgebra generated by all elements of degree $\leq n-1$. According to (2.1) there is a long exact sequence (with respect to the inclusion $i: \mathcal{M}(n-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$)

$$(2.3) \quad \cdots \longrightarrow H^n(\mathcal{M}(n-1)) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^n(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{j_n} H^n(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}(n-1)) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{n+1}(\mathcal{M}(n-1)) \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

$H^n(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}(n-1))$ is isomorphic to the vectorspace $\mathcal{M}^n(n)/\mathcal{M}^n(n-1)$ spanned by all generators of \mathcal{M} of dimension n [2].

With this identification in mind, let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be the subalgebra generated by $\sum_{n \geq 0} j_n(H^n(\mathcal{M}))$. It follows that each element in \mathcal{B} is closed and that the generators of \mathcal{M} can be chosen in such a way that \mathcal{B} is generated precisely by all closed generators. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be the subalgebra generated by \mathbf{k} and all nonclosed generators. \mathcal{F} is isomorphic to $\mathbf{k} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M}$ and the differential d in \mathcal{M} induces a differential d_0 in \mathcal{F} such that \mathcal{F} is a minimal DGA. It follows that \mathcal{M} can be written as twisted tensor product (over \mathbf{k}) with base \mathcal{B} and fibre \mathcal{F} :

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B} \otimes_t \mathcal{F},$$

where the twisting t is given by $d = d_0 + t$. We shall call (2.4) the *natural decomposition* of \mathcal{M} . A geometric interpretation of (2.4) will be given in the next section.

Remark 2.1. Let $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ be a morphism. In general, there is no morphism g , homotopic to f , which induces a morphism of the corresponding natural decompositions, so that, in general, $g(\mathcal{B}) \not\subseteq \mathcal{B}'$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a DGA. Up to isomorphism, there exists a unique minimal DGA $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ and a morphism $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, unique up to homotopy, such that $f^*: H^*(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{A})$ is an isomorphism. $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is called the *minimal model* of \mathcal{A} [2].

Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ be the natural decomposition of the minimal model of \mathcal{A} . f induces a morphism

$$(2.5) \quad f^*|_{\mathcal{B}}: H^*(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B} \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{A}).$$

In general, this morphism is not surjective. If \mathcal{A} is formal, i.e., if there exists a morphism of DGA's $H^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ inducing an isomorphism in cohomology, it follows that (2.5) is surjective and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})$, where $\mathcal{I} = \ker f^*|_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I} \cong H^*(\mathcal{A})$.

Remark 2.2. The definition of formality raises the following question: Suppose \mathcal{A} is formal and let \mathcal{F} be the fibre in the natural decomposition of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$. Is \mathcal{F} formal too? I conjecture that the answer is affirmative. A special case will be discussed in Sections 4, 5, and 6.

3. Natural fibrations

Let $f: X \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of CW-complexes and let

$$P(B, X) = \{(\omega, x) \mid \omega \text{ a path in } B \text{ such that } \omega(1) = f(x), x \in X\}.$$

The inclusion $x \in X \mapsto (\omega_x, x) \in P(B, X)$ is a homotopy equivalence, ω_x being the constant path at $f(x)$. The map $\pi: (\omega, x) \in P(B, X) \mapsto \omega(0) \in B$ is the projection in the fibration

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F & \longrightarrow & P(B, X) \\ & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & B \end{array}$$

where the fibre F is the total space of the induced fibration

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F & \longrightarrow & P(B) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array},$$

$P(B) \rightarrow B$ being the path fibration of B with fibre $\Omega(B)$.

Suppose X is simply connected and $H^*(X, \mathbf{Q})$ is finite dimensional in each degree. The P.L.-De Rham complex $\mathcal{A}(X)$ of X (with respect to a triangulation) is defined as follows [2], [7], [8]: Let σ be an n -simplex with barycentric coordinates (t_0, \dots, t_n) . A rational p -form ω_σ on σ is given by

$$\omega_\sigma = \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_p} dt_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dt_{i_p}, \quad dt_0 + \dots + dt_n = 0,$$

the $a_{i_1 \dots i_p}$'s being polynomials in t_0, \dots, t_n with \mathbf{Q} -coefficients. A rational p -form ω on X is a collection $\omega = \{\omega_\sigma\}$, σ ranging over all simplexes of the triangulation of X , such that the following compatibility condition holds: Let τ be a face of σ and $i: \tau \rightarrow \sigma$ the inclusion; then $i^*\omega_\sigma$ equals ω_τ as differential forms. Let $\mathcal{A}^p(X)$ be the \mathbf{Q} -vectorspace of all such p -forms and put $\mathcal{A}(X) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \mathcal{A}^p(X)$. Exterior multiplication and differentiation turns $\mathcal{A}(X)$ into a DGA, and there is an algebra isomorphism $H^*(\mathcal{A}(X)) \rightarrow H^*(X, \mathbf{Q})$ [2], [7], [8].

Let \mathcal{M} be the minimal model of $\mathcal{A}(X)$. In the long exact sequence (2.3), $H^n(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}(n-1))$ is isomorphic to the dual of the homotopy group $\pi_n(X)$ and

j_n is the dual of the Hurewicz morphism. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ be the natural decomposition of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ and let $B = \prod K(\mathbf{Q}, n_i)$ be a product of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces such that $H^*(B, \mathbf{Q}) \cong \mathcal{B}$. B is simply connected. Let $f: X \rightarrow B$ be a morphism inducing $f^* | \mathcal{B}$ (see (2.5)). The corresponding fibration (3.1) we shall call the *natural fibration* of X .

Morphism f induces the inclusion $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ and therefore a morphism between the corresponding long exact sequences (see (2.3)). In particular, f maps $H^n(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}(n-1))$ injectively into $H^n(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}(n-1))$. It follows that the projection π in (3.1) is surjective in rational homotopy whence $\pi_n(X, \mathbf{Q}) = \pi_n(F, \mathbf{Q}) \oplus \pi_n(B, \mathbf{Q})$, and therefore

$$\pi_n(\Omega X, \mathbf{Q}) = \pi_n(\Omega F, \mathbf{Q}) \oplus \pi_n(\Omega B, \mathbf{Q}).$$

Let $p(\Omega X) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (\dim H_n(\Omega X, \mathbf{Q})) \cdot t^n$ be the (rational) Poincaré series of ΩX . By a theorem in [5], the Hurewicz morphism induces an isomorphism of Hopf algebras $U(\pi_*(\Omega X, \mathbf{Q})) \cong H_*(\Omega X, \mathbf{Q})$, where $U(\pi_*(\Omega X, \mathbf{Q}))$ denotes the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $\pi_*(\Omega X, \mathbf{Q})$. This leads to:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $F \rightarrow P(B, X) \rightarrow B$ be the natural fibration of X . Then $p(\Omega X, \mathbf{Q}) = p(\Omega B, \mathbf{Q}) \cdot p(\Omega F, \mathbf{Q})$. In particular, if $p(\Omega F, \mathbf{Q})$ is a rational function, so is $p(\Omega X, \mathbf{Q})$.*

Remark 3.2. Let $g: X \rightarrow X'$ be a morphism and let B and B' be the base spaces of the natural fibrations of X and X' , respectively, with corresponding maps $f: X \rightarrow B$ and $f': X' \rightarrow B'$. In general, there exists no morphism $B \rightarrow B'$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{g} & X' \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f' \\ B & & B' \end{array}$$

homotopy commutative.

4. Formal spaces

We consider now a particular class of formal spaces (i.e., CW-complexes X such that $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is formal). Let $X = X^{(M)}$ be such that $H^*(X, \mathbf{Q}) \cong \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^{(M)}$ is the ideal generated by all elements of degree larger than M , and the isomorphism is induced by the map f in (3.2).

THEOREM 4.1. *The fibre F of the natural fibration of $X^{(M)}$ has the rational homotopy type of a wedge of spheres.*

Since, by [1], the Poincaré series of the loop space of a wedge of spheres is rational, we get the following corollary from Proposition 3.1.

COROLLARY 4.2. *$p(\Omega X^{(M)}, \mathbf{Q})$ is rational.*

Example 4.3. Let $B = BU(n)$ be the classifying space of the unitary group $U(n)$. B is rationally equivalent to $\prod_{k=1}^n K(\mathbf{Q}, 2k)$. Let $X = BU^{(2n)}$ be the $2n$ -skeleton and let F be the fibre of the natural fibration. F has the rational homotopy type of a wedge of spheres in dimensions between $2n + 1$ and $n^2 + 2n$ and $H^*(F, \mathbf{Q}) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{V}_n, \mathbf{Q})$, \mathfrak{V}_n being the Lie algebra of formal vector fields in n variables, [3], [4]. More generally, Theorem 4.1 applies to M -skeletons $X^{(M)}$ in spaces B which are rationally equivalent to a product of Eilenberg–MacLane spaces $\prod K(\mathbf{Q}, n_i)$, $n_i \geq 2$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let

$$F \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} B$$

be a fibration, B as usual connected and simply connected. Denote by $\mathcal{A}(F)$, $\mathcal{A}(E)$ and $\mathcal{A}(B)$ the corresponding P.L.-De Rham complexes. We define a DGA $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(E)$ and a morphism $h: \bar{\mathcal{A}}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(E)$ as follows [9]. Let σ be a simplex in B and $\omega_\sigma \in \mathcal{A}^r(\sigma) \otimes \mathcal{A}^s(\pi^{-1}(\sigma))$. $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^{r,s}(E)$ is the \mathbf{Q} -vectorspace formed by all collections $\omega = \{\omega_\sigma\}$, σ ranging over all simplexes of B , such that the following is satisfied: If $i: \tau \rightarrow \sigma$ is a face and $j: \pi^{-1}(\tau) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\sigma)$ is the inclusion then $\omega_\tau = (i^* \otimes j^*)\omega_\sigma$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^p(E) = \bigoplus_{r+s=p} \bar{\mathcal{A}}^{r,s}(E)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(E) = \bigoplus_{p>0} \bar{\mathcal{A}}^p(E)$. Under exterior multiplication and derivation, $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(E)$ is a DGA. To define h , let $\omega \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}^{r,s}(E)$. Let τ be a simplex in E , $\sigma = \pi(\tau)$ and $\pi_\tau = \pi|_\tau$. Let $i_\tau: \tau \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\sigma)$ be the inclusion. If

$$\omega_\sigma = \sum \alpha_k \otimes \beta_k \in \mathcal{A}^r(\sigma) \otimes \mathcal{A}^s(\pi^{-1}(\sigma)),$$

then $(h\omega)_\tau = \sum \pi_\tau^* \alpha_k \wedge i_\tau^* \beta_k \in \mathcal{A}^{r+s}(\tau)$. The compatibility condition holds, hence $h\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{r+s}(E)$. h is a morphism inducing an isomorphism in cohomology. There is a canonical injection $\mathcal{A}(B) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{A}}(E)$ defining a (left) $\mathcal{A}(B)$ -module structure on $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(E)$.

Now let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E' & \xrightarrow{f'} & E \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ B' & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array}$$

be a fibre square. We define a morphism $g: \mathcal{A}(B') \otimes \bar{\mathcal{A}}(E) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{A}}(E')$ as follows: Let σ' be a simplex in B' , $\sigma = f(\sigma')$, $f_\sigma: \sigma' \rightarrow \sigma$ and $f'_\sigma: \pi'^{-1}(\sigma') \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\sigma)$ the corresponding restrictions of f and f' . Let $\omega' \in \mathcal{A}^q(B')$, $\omega \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}^{r,s}(E)$. If

$$\omega_\sigma = \sum \alpha_k \otimes \beta_k \in \mathcal{A}^r(\sigma) \otimes \mathcal{A}^s(\pi^{-1}(\sigma)),$$

then

$$(g(\omega' \otimes \omega))_{\sigma'} = \sum \omega'_\sigma \wedge f_\sigma^* \alpha_k \otimes f'_\sigma^* \beta_k \in \mathcal{A}^{q+r}(\sigma') \otimes \mathcal{A}^s(\pi'^{-1}(\sigma')).$$

Morphism g induces a morphism $\mathcal{A}(B') \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(B)} \bar{\mathcal{A}}(E) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{A}}(E')$, the right $\mathcal{A}(B)$ -module structure on $\mathcal{A}(B')$ being induced by f , and we have

$$\text{Tor}_{\mathcal{A}(B)}(\mathcal{A}(B'), \bar{\mathcal{A}}(E)) \longrightarrow H^*(E').$$

(This is shown in [9] for the real case but the proof also works for the rational case.)

Consider now (3.2). Let \mathcal{B} be generated by $b_l, l \in L$, and let $\sum^{-1} \mathcal{B}$, the (-1) -suspension, be generated by $u_l, l \in L$, with $\deg u_l = \deg b_l - 1$, i.e., $u_l = \sum^{-1} b_l$. $\sum^{-1} \mathcal{B}$ corresponds to the cohomology of $\Omega \mathcal{B}$. In $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \otimes \sum^{-1} \mathcal{B}$ define a derivation d by $du_l = b_l, db_l = 0$. Then $H^*(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathbf{Q}$. Since \mathcal{B} is the minimal model of $\mathcal{A}(B)$ and the latter injects into $\vec{\mathcal{A}}(E)$ it follows that there is a morphism $\mathcal{B} \otimes \sum^{-1} \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{A}}(E)$ commuting with the \mathcal{B} -action and inducing an isomorphism in cohomology. By general properties of Tor [6] it follows that

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{A}(B), \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(F);$$

moreover, since the projection $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ commutes with the \mathcal{B} -action, we get

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{A}(B), \mathbf{Q}) \cong H^*(F).$$

By assumption, there is a morphism $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(B)$ inducing an isomorphism in cohomology. This morphism commutes with the action of \mathcal{B} , hence

$$(4.1) \quad \mathrm{Tor}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(F).$$

Let $P(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})$ be the bar-resolution of the (right) \mathcal{B} -module \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I} . $P(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})$ has the structure of a DGA [9] and the isomorphism (4.1) is induced by the morphism ϕ defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \vec{\mathcal{A}}(F) \\ \downarrow \varepsilon \otimes 1 & & \uparrow g \\ \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A} & \cong & \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I} \otimes \sum^{-1} \mathcal{B}. \end{array}$$

Both complexes $P(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I} \otimes \sum^{-1} \mathcal{B}$ compute $\mathrm{Tor}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{Q})$, the first one using resolutions of \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I} and \mathbf{Q} , the second one using a resolution of \mathbf{Q} only. $\varepsilon \otimes 1$ establishes an isomorphism in cohomology, hence g induces an isomorphism in cohomology. The theorem is therefore proved if there exist cocycles in \mathcal{A} , forming a base of $H^*(\mathcal{A})$, such that on the cochain level the product of two of them each is zero.

The construction of this base will be done using a spectral sequence. First we are going to relabel the generators b_l of \mathcal{B} by integers $l \in L$ such that, for a certain integer N , $\deg b_{l_1} \cdots b_{l_p} > M$ iff $l_1 + \cdots + l_p > N$. Note that if \mathcal{B} has at most one generator in each dimension we could choose l to be the degree of the corresponding generator and $N = M$. We will construct the new index set L using the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $V = \sum_{n \geq 2} V^n$ be a finite dimensional graded vector space, let $S^*(V)$ be defined as in (2.2) and let $\mathcal{I}^{(M)} \subseteq S^*(V)$ be the ideal generated by all elements of degree larger than M . Then there exists a graded vector space $V' = \sum_{n \geq 2} V'^n$, a linear isomorphism $\psi: V \rightarrow V'$ (not respecting the degrees) and an integer N such that:*

- (1) $\dim V'^n \leq 1$, for all n .

(2) *The induced maps*

$$S^*(V) \rightarrow S^*(V'), \quad \mathcal{F}^{(M)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{(N)}, \quad S^*(V)/\mathcal{F}^{(M)} \rightarrow S^*(V')/\mathcal{F}^{(N)}$$

are algebra isomorphisms (not respecting degrees), mapping even- and odd-degree elements onto even- and odd-degree elements, respectively.

Proof. Let a^1, \dots, a^m span V^{n_0} and define

$$p_0 = 2[M/n_0] \cdot (m-1) + 1, \quad q_0 = p_0 \cdot n_0, \quad M_0 = p_0(M+1) - 1.$$

Let $V_0 = \sum_{q \geq 2} V_0^q$ be the graded vector space defined as follows: $V_0^q \cong V^n$ if $q = p_0 \cdot n$, $n \neq n_0$, and $V_0^q = 0$ in the other cases. The elements in V_0^q have now the degree q . The map $\psi_0: V \rightarrow V_0$ is defined to be the identity on V^n , $n \neq n_0$, only changing the degrees, and

$$\psi_0: a^i \in V^{n_0} \mapsto b_{q_0+2i} \in V_0^{q_0+2i}, \quad i = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

It is not hard to verify that V_0 , ψ_0 and M_0 satisfy requirement (2) above. Applying this process stepwise to each V^n leads to V' , ψ and N .

We may therefore assume that the generators b_l , $l \in L$, of \mathcal{B} already are labeled in such a way that

$$(4.1) \quad b_{l_1} \cdots b_{l_p} \in \mathcal{F} \quad \text{iff} \quad l_1 + \cdots + l_p > N,$$

where N is the integer constructed in Lemma 4.4 and l is even iff $\deg b_l$ is even, for all $l \in L$. We denote by $J \subseteq L$ the subset of all odd integers.

Let $a_{\ell, m} = b_{l_1} \cdots b_{l_p} \otimes u_{m_1} \cdots u_{m_q}$ such that $l_1 \leq \cdots \leq l_p$, $m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_q$ and let $l = l_1 + \cdots + l_p$. The ideals $\mathcal{A}_r = \{a_{\ell, m}, l > r\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ define a filtration of \mathcal{A} and for the corresponding spectral sequence $\{E_r, d_r\}$ we have:

LEMMA 4.5. *The sets of elements*

$$A_r^1 = \{a_{\ell, m} \mid m_1 < r, l + m_1 > N, l_1 \geq m_1, m_1 \notin J\},$$

$$A_r^2 = \{a_{\ell, m} \mid m_1 < r, l + m_1 > N, l_1 > m_1, m_1 \in J\},$$

$$D_r = \{a_{\ell, m} \mid m_1 \geq r, l_1 \geq r\}$$

form a base of E_r .

Proof. Decompose D_r as follows:

$$D_r^1 = \{a_{\ell, m} \mid m_1 = r, l + m_1 \leq N, l_1 \geq r, r \notin J\},$$

$$D_r^2 = \{a_{\ell, m} \mid m_1 = r, l + m_1 \leq N, l_1 > r, r \in J\},$$

$$D_r^3 = \{a_{\ell, m} \mid m_1 = r, l + m_1 > N, l_1 \geq r, r \notin J\},$$

$$D_r^4 = \{a_{\ell, m} \mid m_1 = r, l + m_1 > N, l_1 > r, r \in J\},$$

$$D_r^5 = \{a_{\ell, m} \mid m_1 > r, l_1 = r, r \notin J\},$$

$$D_r^6 = \{a_{\ell, m} \mid m_1 \geq r, l_1 = r, r \in J\},$$

$$D_r^7 = \{a_{\ell, m} \mid m_1 > r, l_1 > r\}.$$

From the particular labeling of the b_i 's it follows that $d_r(a_{\ell; m})$ is either zero or consists of exactly one nonzero element. d_r maps D_r^1 and D_r^2 isomorphically onto D_r^5 and D_r^6 , respectively. The elements in $A_r^1, A_r^2, D_r^3, D_r^4$, and D_r^7 are closed under d_r and it follows that $A_{r+1}^1 = A_r^1 \cup D_r^3$, $A_{r+1}^2 = A_r^2 \cup D_r^4$, and $D_{r+1} = D_r^7$.

COROLLARY 4.6. *The set $A = A^1 \cup A^2$ where*

$$A^1 = \{a_{\ell; m} \mid l + m_1 > N, l_1 \geq m_1, m_1 \notin J\},$$

$$A^2 = \{a_{\ell; m} \mid l + m_1 > N, l_1 > m_1, m_1 \in J\}$$

forms a base for $H^(\mathcal{A})$ and $a_{\ell; m} \cdot a_{\ell'; m'} = 0$ in \mathcal{A} for all $a_{\ell; m}, a_{\ell'; m'} \in A$.*

This proves Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.7. We actually did compute a \mathcal{B} -free minimal resolution of $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I}^{(M)}$ (see Section 5).

Remark 4.8. If \mathcal{B} has odd-dimensional generators, then $H^*(\mathcal{A})$ is infinite dimensional, although finite dimensional in each degree.

Remark 4.9. It is clear how Theorem 4.1 generalizes to other formal spaces, where there is a labeling of the generators of \mathcal{B} such that (4.1) holds for a certain N . For instance, this is possible for $\mathcal{I} = (\mathcal{B}^+)^M$, i.e., \mathcal{I} consists of all products of exactly M elements. On the other hand, not every ideal can be obtained via (4.1) even if the corresponding F has the rational homotopy type of a wedge of spheres.

5. Resolutions

Let $\{b_l, l \in L\}$ be a set of indeterminants having degrees ≥ 2 and let \mathcal{S} be the free, skew algebra generated by this set, i.e., the underlying graded vector space of \mathcal{S} is isomorphic to the underlying graded vector space of the polynomial algebra generated by the b_l 's and the multiplication is given by $b_l \cdot b_{l'} = (-1)^{nl'} b_{l'} \cdot b_l$, where $l \neq l', n = \deg b_l, n' = \deg b_{l'}$. Note that $(b_l)^2 \neq 0$ for all $l \in L$.

Let $\{a_k, k \in K\} = \text{Mon}_0(\mathcal{X})$ be a set of not necessarily independent monomials of \mathcal{S} and let $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ be the ideal generated by $\text{Mon}_0(\mathcal{X})$. An \mathcal{S} -free resolution of \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{X} is obtained as follows:

Let $\mathcal{W}^0 = \mathbf{k}$ and let \mathcal{W}^n be the \mathbf{k} -vector space spanned by the n -tuples $\ell = (k_1, \dots, k_n), k_1, \dots, k_n \in K$, where $(k_{\pi(1)}, \dots, k_{\pi(n)}) = (-1)^\pi (k_1, \dots, k_n)$, π being a permutation. Let $|\ell| \in \mathcal{S}$ be the smallest common multiple of the monomials a_{k_1}, \dots, a_{k_n} . In $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{W}$ define a differential d by

$$(5.1) \quad d\ell = \sum_{\kappa=1}^n (-1)^\kappa |\ell| / |\ell_\kappa| \otimes \ell_\kappa,$$

where $\ell_\kappa = (k_1, \dots, \hat{k}_\kappa, \dots, k_n)$, (d is zero on \mathcal{S}) and define a multiplication by

$$\ell \cdot \ell' = |\ell| \cdot |\ell'| / |(\ell, \ell')| \otimes (\ell, \ell'),$$

where $(\ell, \ell') = (k_1, \dots, k_n, k'_1, \dots, k'_n)$. With respect to this product, d is a derivation.

PROPOSITION 5.1. $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{W}$ is a resolution of \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{K} .

Proof. Consider the complex

$$0 \xrightarrow[h_0]{d_0} \mathcal{K} \xleftarrow[h_1]{d_1} \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{W}^{-1} \quad \dots$$

Let $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{K})$ be the set of all monomials in \mathcal{K} . Define a map $\sigma: \text{Mon}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad \sigma_k | \sigma, k = \sigma(\sigma).$$

The contracting homotopy is defined by

$$h_n(\sigma \otimes \ell) = \sigma \cdot |\ell| / |(k, \ell)| \otimes (k, \ell),$$

where $\ell \in \mathcal{W}^n$, $\sigma \in \text{Mon}(\mathcal{S})$ and $k = \sigma(\sigma \cdot |\ell|)$.

Now let \mathcal{B} be a free, graded-commutative algebra generated by $b_l, l \in L$, and suppose L has an ordering which is consistent with the degrees of the b_i 's. Let $J = \{j \in L \mid \deg b_j \text{ is odd}\}$. Suppose $\mathcal{I} = (e_i, i \in I) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ is an ideal, generated by monomials and let $\sigma': \text{Mon}(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow I$ be a map satisfying (5.2). In order to construct a \mathcal{B} -free resolution of \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I} , let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})$ be the free, skew algebra as constructed above and let $\mathcal{J} = ((b_j)^2, j \in J)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{J} \cong \mathcal{B}$ as graded-commutative algebras. Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ be the ideal with generators

$$\text{Mon}_0(\mathcal{K}) = \{e_i, i \in I\} \cup \{(b_j)^2, (b_j)^3, \dots, j \in J\}.$$

The corresponding index set is $K = I \cup K', K' = J \times \mathbb{N}'$, where \mathbb{N}' is the set of integers larger than 1.

We decompose $\sigma \in \text{Mon}(\mathcal{S})$ into $\sigma = \sigma' \cdot \sigma''$, where $\sigma' = b_{l_1} \cdots b_{l_r}$, ($l_1 < \cdots < l_r$) is the linear part of σ and $\sigma'' = (b_{j_1})^{\eta_1-1} \cdots (b_{j_s})^{\eta_s-1}$, $j_1 < \cdots < j_s, j_v \in J, \eta_v \geq 2$ and $(b_{j_v})^{\eta_v} | \sigma$. Define $\sigma(\sigma)$ as follows: If $\sigma \in \text{Mon}(\mathcal{I})$, then $\sigma(\sigma) = \sigma'(\sigma)$. If $\sigma \notin \text{Mon}(\mathcal{I})$, i.e., if $s \geq 1$, let v be maximal such that $\eta_v = \max \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s\}$ and define $\sigma(\sigma) = k = (j_v, \eta_v)$, i.e., $\sigma_k = (b_{j_v})^{\eta_v}$. σ satisfies (5.2).

Let $\mathcal{V}^0 = \mathbf{k}$ and let $\mathcal{V}^n \subseteq \mathcal{W}^n$ be spanned by the elements

$$\ell = (i_1, \dots, i_r, j_1^{\eta_1}, \dots, j_s^{\eta_s}),$$

where $n = r + \eta_1 + \cdots + \eta_s - 1$, $\eta_v \geq 2$, and $j^n, j \in J$, denotes the sequence $(j, \eta), \dots, (j, 2)$ in K . $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{V}$ is closed under multiplication and the projection $\mathcal{W}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^n$ induces a differential d and a contracting homotopy in $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{V}$, whence:

PROPOSITION 5.2. $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{V}$ is a resolution of \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I} .

Note that, by construction of the induced differential in $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{V}$, $|\ell|/|\ell_\kappa| \otimes \ell_\kappa = 0$ in (5.1), if $\ell_\kappa \notin \mathcal{V}$.

We define the degree of $\ell \in \mathcal{V}^n$ by $\deg \ell = \deg |\ell| - n$, where $\deg |\ell|$ is the degree in \mathcal{S} . With respect to this degree, d becomes a coboundary operator. On the other hand, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ produces a \mathcal{B} -free resolution of \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I} . Hence, there is a chain morphism $\phi: \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ such that $H^*(\mathcal{V}) \cong \text{Tor}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{k}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{F})$ as graded vector spaces. Now $\phi|_{\mathcal{V}}: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is not an algebra morphism, however the induced map $\phi^*: H^*(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{F})$ is actually a ring isomorphism.

Consider now the case of a truncated algebra $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I}^{(M)}$ more in detail. We assume that the generators $b_l, l \in L$, of \mathcal{B} are labeled as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. In order to express the base in Corollary 4.6 in terms of the complex \mathcal{V} , we define a mapping $|\cdot|: \text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ by $|a_{\ell; \mu}| = |a_\ell| \cdot |a_\mu|$, where $|a_\ell| = b_{l_1} \cdots b_{l_p}$, $|a_\mu| = b_{m_1} \cdots b_{m_q} \sum^{-1} b_{m_\lambda} = u_{m_\lambda}$ (see Section 4). Let σ' be the linear part of $|a_\mu|$ and let $b_{n_1} \cdots b_{n_r}$ be the largest divisor of σ' such that $b_{n_\mu} \cdot |a_\ell| \neq 0$ in \mathcal{B} , $\mu = 1, \dots, r$. It follows that

$$|a_\mu| = b_{n_1} \cdots b_{n_r} \cdot (b_{j_1})^{\eta_1-1} \cdots (b_{j_s})^{\eta_s-1}, \quad j_v \in J, \eta_v \geq 2,$$

and $(b_{j_v})^{\eta_v} | |a_{\ell; \mu}|$, $v = 1, \dots, s$. Now let $a_{\ell; \mu} \in A$ and define $c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_r} \in \text{Mon}_0(\mathcal{I}^{(M)})$ by

$$c_{i_1} = b_{n_1} \cdot |a_\ell|,$$

$$c_{i_\mu} = b_{n_\mu} \cdot \sigma_\mu, \quad i_\mu = \sigma(b_{n_\mu} \cdot \sigma_{\mu-1}), \sigma_1 = |a_\ell|, \mu = 2, \dots, r.$$

PROPOSITION 5.3. *The elements $\ell = (i_1, \dots, i_r, j_1^{\eta_1}, \dots, j_s^{\eta_s}) \in \mathcal{V}^n$, $n = r + \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_s - s$, such that*

$$(1) \quad |(i_1, \dots, i_r)| = b_{n_1} \cdots b_{n_r} \cdot \sigma_1, \quad n_1 < \dots < n_r, \quad c_{i_\mu} = b_{n_\mu} \cdot \sigma_\mu,$$

$$i_\mu = \sigma(b_{n_\mu} \cdot \sigma_{\mu-1}), \quad \mu = 1, \dots, r,$$

$$(2) \quad b_{j_v} | |(i_1, \dots, i_r)|, \quad \eta_v \geq 2, \quad v = 1, \dots, s, \text{ and}$$

(3) *either $n_1 \leq l_0$, if $n_1 \notin J$ or $n_1 < l_0$, if $n_1 \in J$, where b_{l_0} is the minimal degree element dividing σ_1 , form a base of $H^*(\mathcal{V})$.*

COROLLARY 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{U}^n \subseteq \mathcal{V}^n$ be spanned by the elements in Proposition 5.3. $\phi(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ is a subcomplex and in fact is a minimal resolution of $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I}^{(M)}$. $\phi|_{\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{U}}$ is injective.*

6. Twistings

Let $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathcal{I}^{(M)}$, $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_0/\mathcal{I}_0) = \mathcal{B}_0 \otimes_{t_0} \mathcal{F}_0$. The generators of \mathcal{B}_0 are denoted by $b_{0,l}$, $l \in L_0$. Let $\mathcal{B}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0$ be the subalgebra generated by $b_{1,l}$, $l \in L_1$, where $b_{1,l} = \phi(\ell)$, ℓ running through the elements defined in Proposition 5.3. It follows that $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes_{t_1} \mathcal{F}_1$ is the natural decomposition of \mathcal{F}_0 .

According to (5.1) the twisting t_0 of the elements in \mathcal{B}_1 is given by

$$(6.1) \quad t_0(b_{1,i}) = t_0(\phi(\ell)) = \sum (-1)^k |\ell| / |\ell_k| \otimes \phi(\ell_k).$$

Since \mathcal{F}_0 is the minimal model of the cohomology of a wedge of spheres it follows that $H^*(\mathcal{F}_0) = \mathcal{B}_1 / \mathcal{I}_1$, where \mathcal{I}_1 is the ideal generated by all pairwise products of the generators of \mathcal{B}_1 , and therefore $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_1 / \mathcal{I}_1) = \mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_1$.

Although \mathcal{B}_1 is in general generated by infinitely many elements, a direct limit process gives the following description of $H^*(\mathcal{F}_1)$:

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Let \mathcal{V}_1 be the complex \mathcal{V} obtained by replacing \mathcal{B} with \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{I} with \mathcal{I}_1 in Proposition 5.2. Let L_1 , the index set of the generators of \mathcal{B}_1 , be given an ordering which is consistent with the degrees of the $b_{1,i}$'s. The elements*

$$(i_1, \dots, i_r, j_1^{\eta_1}, \dots, j_s^{\eta_s}) \in \mathcal{V}_1^n, \quad i_\mu \in I_1, j_\nu \in J_1, n = r + \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_s - s,$$

such that

$$(1) \quad |(i_1, \dots, i_r)| = b_{1,n_1} \cdots b_{1,n_r} \cdot b_{1,l_0}, \quad n_1 < \dots < n_r,$$

$$c_{1,i_\mu} = b_{1,n_\mu} \cdot b_{1,l_0} \in \mathcal{I}_1, \quad \mu = 1, \dots, r,$$

$$(2) \quad b_{i,j_\nu} \mid |(i_1, \dots, i_r)|, \quad \eta \geq 2, \nu = 1, \dots, s, \text{ and}$$

$$(3) \quad \text{either } n_1 \leq l_0 \text{ if } n_1 \notin J \text{ or } n_1 < l_0 \text{ if } n_1 \in J$$

form a base of $H^*(\mathcal{F}_1)$.

We have therefore the following result.

THEOREM 6.2. *Let \mathcal{B}_0 be a minimal DGA with trivial differential and let $\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathcal{I}^{(M)}$ be an ideal truncated at degree M . Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_0 / \mathcal{I}_0) = \mathcal{B}_0 \otimes \mathcal{F}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}_{n-1} = \mathcal{B}_n \otimes_{i_n} \mathcal{F}_n$, $n = 1, \dots$, be the natural decompositions.*

(1) $H^*(\mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = \mathcal{B}_n / \mathcal{I}_n$, $n \geq 1$, where $\mathcal{I}_n = (\mathcal{B}_n^+)^2$. The twisting t_{n-1} in \mathcal{F}_{n-2} of the generators of \mathcal{B}_n is given by formulas corresponding to (6.1).

(2) $\mathcal{M}_n = \mathcal{B}_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{B}_n$ is a sub-DGA of \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{M} = \text{inj lim } \mathcal{M}_n$ as DGA.

Remark 6.3. Let \mathcal{M} be any minimal DGA with natural decomposition $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}_0 \otimes \mathcal{F}_0$. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_1$ be the natural decomposition of \mathcal{F}_0 . In general, $\mathcal{B}_0 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1$ is not invariant under the differential of \mathcal{M} . There is, however, a certain subalgebra $\mathcal{B}'_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1$, such that $\mathcal{B}_0 \otimes \mathcal{B}'_1$ is a sub-DGA of \mathcal{M} . One has then a similar situation as in (2) above.

Remark 6.4. If the conjecture in Remark 2.2 is true, it would follow that (2) of Theorem 6.2 holds for any minimal DGA \mathcal{B} with trivial differential and any ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.

Remark 6.5. The computation of the twisting t_0 of, say, the generators of \mathcal{B}_2 is more complicated than in (6.1). For instance, the elements of \mathcal{B}_2 hitting the generators $c_{1,i}$ of the ideal $\mathcal{I}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1$ are of two different types depending on whether or not the $c_{1,i}$'s, which are products, are zero in \mathcal{V}_0 . If $c_{1,i}$ is nonzero in

\mathcal{V}_0 , the element in \mathcal{B}_2 hitting $c_{1,i}$ is in fact the ϕ -image of a certain $\ell \in \mathcal{V}_0$ and its twisting t_0 can be obtained by (6.1). In the other case the construction of the element hitting $c_{1,i}$ is more complicated; it can be done inductively. We omit details.

REFERENCES

1. R. BOTT AND H. SAMELSON, *On the Pontryagin product in spaces of paths*, Comment. Math. Helv., vol. 27 (1953), pp. 320–337.
2. E. FRIEDLANDER, P. A. GRIFFITHS, AND J. MORGAN, *Homotopy theory and differential forms*, Seminario di Geometria, Firenze, 1972.
3. I. M. GELFAND AND D. B. FUKS, *Cohomology of the Lie algebra of formal vector fields*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, vol. 34 (1970), pp. 322–337.
4. C. GODBILLON, *Cohomologies d'algèbres de Lie de champs de vecteurs formels*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 1972/73, No. 421.
5. J. W. MILNOR AND J. C. MOORE, *On the structure of Hopf algebras*, Ann. of Math., vol. 81 (1965), pp. 211–264.
6. L. SMITH, *Homological algebra and the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 129 (1967), pp. 58–93.
7. D. SULLIVAN, *Differential forms and the topology of manifolds*, Proc. Conference on Manifolds, Tokyo, 1973.
8. ———, *Infinitesimal computations in topology*, Ann. of Math., to appear.
9. WEN-TSÜN WU, *Theory of I^* -functor in algebraic topology*, Scientia Sinica, vol. 18 (1975), pp. 464–482.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS