

## ON THE FOURIER SERIES OF CERTAIN SMOOTH FUNCTIONS<sup>1</sup>

BY

CALIXTO P. CALDERÓN AND YORAM SAGHER

### 1. Introduction and statement of results

By  $w(t) = w(f, t)$  we shall denote the  $L^1$ -modulus of continuity of a period function belonging to  $L^1(-\pi, \pi)$ , namely

$$(1.1) \quad w(t) = \sup_{|h| < t} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)| dx.$$

A classical result of Marcinkiewicz shows that if

$$\int_0^1 w(t) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty,$$

then the Fourier Series of  $f$  converges a.e. The aim of this paper is to show a connection between the smoothness of a function and the growth of the partial sums of its Fourier Series.

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $w(f, t) < c/|\log t|$ ; then*

$$S_n(f) = o[\log \log n (\log \log \log n)^{1+\varepsilon}] \quad \text{a.e.} \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

More generally:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $w(t)$  be the  $L^1$ -modulus of continuity of  $f$ . Let  $\phi(t)$  be a continuous increasing function of the variable  $t$  such that*

$$\int_0^1 w(t) \phi(t) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty, \quad \phi(0) = 0.$$

Then

$$S_n(f) = o\left(\phi\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]\right)^{-1} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

**REMARK.** If  $w(t)$  satisfies the Dini condition, there  $S_n(f)(x)$  converges a.e. On the other hand, the closer  $w(t)$  gets to satisfying the Dini condition the slower the growth of  $S_n(f)$  is.

---

Received July 31, 1978.

<sup>1</sup> Both authors were partially supported by a National Science Foundation grant.

**2. Proof of the results**

We shall prove Theorem 2 only since Theorem 1 is a particular case.

(2.1) LEMMA. *Let  $w(t)$  and  $\phi(t)$  be as in the statement of Theorem 2. Then for each  $\lambda > 0$  it is possible to decompose  $f$  as  $\bar{f} + \varphi$  so that the following hold.*

(i)  $|\bar{f}| < c_1 \lambda$  a.e.

(ii)  $\bar{f} = f$  on a closed set  $F$ . Its complement  $G$  is covered by a denumerable union interval  $\bigcup_1^\infty I_k \supset G$  such that each point  $[-\pi, \pi]$  belongs to at most  $N$  intervals.

$$(iii) \quad \sum_1^\infty |I_k| \leq \frac{C_2}{\lambda} \left( \|f\|_1 + \int_0^1 w(t)\phi(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right).$$

$$(iv) \quad \sum_1^\infty \int_{I_k} |\varphi| dt \int_{|I_k|}^1 \phi(t) \frac{dt}{t} < C_3 \left( \|f\|_1 + \int_0^1 w(t)\phi(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right).$$

This lemma is a specialization to  $[-\pi, \pi]$  of Lemmas (2.2) and (2.3) in [1] and its proof follows the same lines. The constants  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  and  $N$  do not depend on  $\lambda$  or  $f$ . Select  $\lambda > 0$  and consider only the partial sums  $S_n(\varphi)$  ( $S_n(\bar{f})$  converges a.e. by Carleson's Theorem [2]). Let us denote by  $2I_k$  the dialation of  $I_k$  two times about its center. Let  $G_\lambda^* = \bigcup_1^\infty 2I_k$ ; Lemma (2.1) gives the estimate

$$(2.2.1) \quad |G_\lambda^*| < 2 \frac{C_2}{\lambda} \left( \|f\|_1 + \int_0^1 w(t)\phi(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right).$$

Let  $S_*(f) = \sup_n |\phi(1/n)S_n(f)|$  and denote by  $M(f)(x)$  the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. Then

$$(2.2.2) \quad S_*(\varphi) \leq CM(\varphi) + \sup_n \phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \int_{|x-y|>1/n} \frac{1}{|x-y|} |\varphi(y)| dy$$

if  $x \in [-\pi, \pi] - G_\lambda^*$ .

Also

$$(2.2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \int \frac{1}{|x-y|} |\varphi(y)| dy \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \int_{\{|x-y|>1/n\} \cap I_k} \frac{1}{|x-y|} |\varphi(y)| dy \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{I_k} \frac{\phi(|x-y|)}{|x-y|} |\varphi(y)| dy \\ & = \Delta(x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$(2.2.4) \quad S_*(\varphi) \leq C(M(\varphi)(x) + \Delta(x))$$

whenever  $x \in [-\pi, \pi] - G_\lambda^*$ .

It should be pointed out that  $M(\varphi)(x) < c\lambda$  on  $[-\pi, \pi] - G_\lambda^*$ . This follows from the proofs of Lemmas (2.2) and (2.3) in [1]. Integrating  $S_*(\varphi)$  over  $[-\pi, \pi] - G_\lambda^*$  and using (iv) of Lemma 2.1 we get

$$(2.2.5) \quad S_n(\varphi) = O \left[ \phi \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^{-1} \quad \text{a.e. in } [-\pi, \pi] - G_\lambda^*.$$

In order to get "o" we choose  $\lambda$  large so that  $M(\varphi)$  is small except for a small set and use the estimate

$$(2.2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \overline{\lim} \left| \phi \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) S_n(\varphi) \right| \\ & \leq CM(\varphi) + \lim \left| \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \phi \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \int_{I_k} D_n(x-y)\varphi(y) dy \right| \\ & \quad + \sum_{k_0}^{\infty} \int_{I_k} \frac{\phi(x-y)}{|x-y|} |\varphi(y)| dy, \\ & \quad \times \in [-\pi, \pi] - \bigcup_1^{\infty} 2I_k. \end{aligned}$$

In the above expression  $D_n(y)$  stands for the Dirichlet kernel. For  $x \in [-\pi, \pi] - G_\lambda^*$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \phi \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \int_{I_k} D_n(x-y)\varphi(y) dy$$

tends to zero because of the smallness of  $\phi(1/n)$  and of Riemann-Lebesgue's Theorem applied to each one of the  $k_0$  terms of the form  $\int_{I_k} D_n(x-y)\varphi(y) dy$ .

Finally, by selecting  $k_0$  large enough,

$$\sum_{k_0}^{\infty} \int_{I_k} \frac{\phi(|x-y|)}{|x-y|} |\varphi(y)| dy$$

can be made arbitrarily small on  $[-\pi, \pi] - G_\lambda^*$  except for a subset of small measure. This finishes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. P. CALDERÓN, *Smooth functions and convergences of Singular integrals*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 23 (1979), pp. 497-509.
2. L. CARLESON, *On convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier Series*, Acta Math., vol. 116 (1966), pp. 135-157.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO CIRCLE  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS