

LYAPOUNOV NUMBERS FOR THE ALMOST PERIODIC SCHRODINGER EQUATION

BY
RUSSELL A. JOHNSON

1. Introduction

We consider the almost periodic Schrödinger operator

$$(1.1) \quad L = \frac{-d^2}{dt^2} + q(t).$$

where $q(t)$ is continuous and Bohr almost periodic. Associated to (1.1) is a rotation number $\alpha(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$), where

$$\alpha(\lambda) = -\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta(t)}{t}, \quad \theta(t) = \arg(\phi(t) + i\phi'(t)),$$

and $\phi \neq 0$ satisfies $L\phi = \lambda\phi$. It is known that $\alpha(\lambda)$ is independent of the solution ϕ , that α is continuous and monotone increasing in λ , and that α increases exactly on the essential spectrum F of L [7]. In addition,

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} w(\lambda + i\varepsilon),$$

where $w(z)$ is holomorphic in the upper half plane $H^+ = \{z \mid \text{Im } z > 0\}$, and $\text{Im } w(z)$ measures the "complex rotation" of certain solutions of $L\phi = z\phi$. Moreover, $w(z)$ provides information about the higher-order K dV equations with almost-periodic initial data [7].

In this paper, we consider the *real* part $-\text{Re } w(z)$, and its boundary value $\beta(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$). It will be easy to see that

$$\text{Re } w(z) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2t} \ln [\psi(t)^2 + \psi'(t)^2]$$

where $L\psi = z\psi$ and $\psi \in L^2(0, \infty)$ ($z \in H^+$). Thus $\text{Re } w(z)$ measures the exponential decay of solutions which are in $L^2(0, \infty)$. We will see that the boundary value of $\text{Re } w$ also measures exponential decay of solutions. In fact, we *define*

$$(1.2) \quad \beta(\lambda) = \sup_{\phi \neq 0} \left\{ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2t} \ln [\phi(t)^2 + \phi'(t)^2] \right\},$$

Received December 30, 1981.

© 1984 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois
Manufactured in the United States of America

where $L\phi = \lambda\phi$; actually the sup is taken not just over solutions of $L\phi = \lambda\phi$, but over solutions to all equations

$$(1.3) \quad L_\omega \phi = \left(\frac{-d^2}{dt^2} + \omega(t) \right) \phi = \lambda\phi,$$

where $\omega(t)$ is in the *hull* of q (see Section 2). In 1.2, we allow λ to take on real and complex values. It then turns out that $\beta(\lambda) \geq 0$ everywhere, that $\operatorname{Re} w(\lambda) = -\beta(\lambda)$ if $\operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0$, and that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (-\operatorname{Re} w(\lambda + i\varepsilon)) = \beta(\lambda) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Moreover, if λ is real and $\beta(\lambda) = 0$, then all solutions of all equations $L_\omega \phi = \lambda\phi$ satisfy

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2t} \ln [|\phi(t)|^2 + |\phi'(t)|^2] = 0;$$

if $\beta(\lambda) > 0$, then for almost all ω , (1.3) admits a unique (up to constant multiple) solution ψ with

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2t} \ln [\psi(t)^2 + \psi'(t)^2] = -\beta(\lambda).$$

In particular, $\psi \in L^2(0, \infty)$.

The function β has several other properties; we prove two. First, it is harmonic on the resolvent set $\mathbf{C} \setminus F$ of the operator L (we give a simple proof based on [7]). This fact is used to prove that, if I is an open interval such that $F \cap I \neq \emptyset$, then $F \cap I$ has positive logarithmic capacity. Second, it is one-sided continuous at an endpoint λ of a spectral gap: if $\lambda_n \in \mathbf{R} \setminus F$ and $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$, then $\beta(\lambda_n) \rightarrow \beta(\lambda)$.

To throw more light on the function $\beta(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$), we consider a class of examples, modeled on the example of [6] (in that example, $\beta(\lambda_0) > 0$ for at least one point λ_0 in F , namely the leftmost point in F). We assume

$$(1.4) \quad q(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n(t), \quad q_n(t + T_n) = q_n(t)$$

where the limit is *uniform* and the period T_{n+1} of q_{n+1} is an integer multiple of T_n ($n \geq 1$); we also put various other conditions on the q_n .

We prove that $\beta(\lambda_0) > 0$ for the left endpoint $\lambda_0 \in F$, and that β is discontinuous at λ_0 : in fact, $\beta(\lambda_n) \rightarrow 0$ for a sequence $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0$. Now,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} w(\lambda + i\varepsilon) = -\beta(\lambda) + i\alpha(\lambda),$$

hence β is the Hilbert transform of the continuous function $-\alpha(\lambda)$. Hence β has the mean value property [14]. So β must oscillate wildly near λ_0 .

2. Preliminaries

We first introduce the hull Ω of q . For $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$, the translate q is given by $q_\tau(t) = q(t + \tau)$ ($t \in \mathbf{R}$); then $\Omega = \text{cl } \{q_\tau | \tau \in \mathbf{R}\}$, where the closure is taken in the uniform topology. Thus q is a point in Ω ; we denote q also by ω_0 . A flow (Ω, \mathbf{R}) is defined by translation:

$$(\omega \cdot t)(s) = \omega(t + s) \quad (\omega \in \Omega).$$

We give Ω the structure of a compact, abelian topological group, as follows. If

$$\omega_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_0 \cdot t_n, \quad \omega_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_0 \cdot s_n,$$

then

$$\omega_1 \omega_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_0 \cdot (t_n + s_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_1^{-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_0 \cdot (-t_n) \quad [11].$$

Note that ω_0 is the identity of Ω . We may view \mathbf{R} as a dense subgroup of Ω via the map $t \rightarrow \omega_0 \cdot t$.

We "extend q to Ω " in the natural way: define $Q(\omega) = \omega(0)$ ($\omega \in \Omega$); then Q is continuous, and $Q(\omega_0 \cdot t) = q_t(0) = q(t)$. Thus q is regained from Q by evaluation along the orbit through $q = \omega_0$. We will consider the equations

$$(2.1)_\omega \quad L_\omega \phi = \left(\frac{-d^2}{dt^2} + Q(\omega \cdot t) \right) \phi = \lambda \phi \quad (\omega \in \Omega),$$

and the associated two-dimensional systems

$$(2.2)_\omega \quad u' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \lambda + Q(\omega \cdot t) & 0 \end{pmatrix} u, \quad u = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \phi' \end{pmatrix} \quad (\omega \in \Omega).$$

When it is necessary to avoid confusion, we will write $(2.1)_{\omega, \lambda}$ and $(2.2)_{\omega, \lambda}$ instead of $(2.1)_\omega$ and $(2.2)_\omega$.

2.3 DEFINITION. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$. Define

$$\beta(\lambda) = \sup \left\{ \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln \|u(t)\| : \begin{array}{l} u(t) \text{ is a non-zero} \\ \text{solution of some equation } (2)_\omega \end{array} \right\}.$$

The sup is taken over all $u(t)$ and all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Fix $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$. It is convenient to introduce the *projective flow* defined by equations $(2)_\omega$. Call a complex 1-dimensional subspace of \mathbf{C}^2 a complex line. For each $\omega \in \Omega$, equation $(2)_\omega$ is linear, so the fundamental matrix solution $\Phi_\omega(t)$ (with $\Phi_\omega(0) = I$) maps complex lines to complex lines. If l is a complex line in \mathbf{C}^2 , let $l(t) = \Phi_\omega(t) \cdot l$ denote its image after time t . Letting $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ be the usual space of all complex lines in \mathbf{C}^2 , we define a flow on $\Sigma = \Omega \times \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ as follows:

$$(\omega, l) \cdot t = (\omega \cdot t, l(t)) \quad (\omega \in \Omega, l \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})).$$

The point of introducing (Σ, \mathbf{R}) is the following. Write

$$A_\lambda(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda + Q(\omega) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\omega \in \Omega).$$

Define

$$(2.4) \quad f_\lambda: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}: (\omega, l) \rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \frac{\langle A_\lambda(\omega)u_0, u_0 \rangle}{\langle u_0, u_0 \rangle},$$

where $0 \neq u_0$ is any vector in l . Then if $u(t)$ satisfies equation $(2)_\omega$ with $u(0) = u_0$, one has

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{t} [\ln \|u(t)\| - \ln \|u(0)\|] = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f_\lambda((\omega, l) \cdot s) ds.$$

Thus the exponential growth of $u(t)$ is determined by a time average of f_λ . We will use the ergodic theory of the flow (Σ, \mathbf{R}) to study these time averages.

We remark that a flow (Σ, \mathbf{R}) is defined for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$. When confusion can arise, we write $(\Sigma, \mathbf{R})_\lambda$ for the flow defined by equations $(2.2)_{\omega, \lambda}$.

If λ is *real*, we obtain also a flow on $\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}} = \Omega \times \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{R})$, where $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{R})$ is the space of (real) one-dimensional subspaces of \mathbf{R}^2 . We will call such subspaces *lines* (as opposed to complex lines). It is convenient to view $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{R})$ as a subset of $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$, and hence $\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}}$ as a subset of Σ . To do this, we use the usual identification of the Riemann number sphere S^2 with $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$: if $[a, b]$ denotes the complex line on which the non-zero complex vector (a, b) lies, then we define $\operatorname{Ident}: S^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ by

$$z \rightarrow [1, z], \text{ if } z \neq \infty; \quad \operatorname{Ident}(\infty) = [0, 1].$$

Then $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{R})$ is identified with $\mathbf{R} \cup \{\infty\} \subset S^2$.

We also need to consider the singular boundary value problems

$$(2.6)_\omega \quad L_\omega \phi = \left(\frac{-d^2}{dt^2} + Q(\omega \cdot t) \right) \phi = \lambda \phi, \quad \phi(0) = 0, \quad \phi \in L^2(0, \infty) \quad (\omega \in \Omega).$$

Fix $\omega \in \Omega$. Since equation $(2.6)_\omega$ is of limit point type [3], there is a function $M_\omega(\lambda)$, defined and holomorphic for $\text{Im } \lambda \neq 0$, satisfying

$$\frac{\text{Im } M_\omega(\lambda)}{\text{Im } \lambda} > 0,$$

such that if $\phi(t) \neq 0$ satisfies $L_\omega \phi = \lambda \phi$, then $\phi \in L^2(0, \infty)$ iff $\phi'(0) = M_\omega(\lambda)\phi(0)$. For fixed λ with $\text{Im } \lambda \neq 0$, let $\psi^+(t)$ satisfy

$$L\psi^+ = \lambda\psi^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^{+'}(0) = M_\omega(\lambda)\psi^+(0).$$

It is not hard to show that

$$(2.7) \quad \psi^+(t) = \psi^+(0) \exp \left(\int_0^t M_{\omega \cdot s}(\lambda) ds \right),$$

$$(2.8) \quad M_\omega(\lambda) \text{ is jointly continuous in } \omega \text{ and } \lambda \text{ (Im } \lambda \neq 0).$$

Problem $(2.6)_\omega$ admits a monotone increasing *spectral function* $\rho_\omega(t)$ [3]; the points in the spectrum of the singular problem $(2.6)_\omega$ are the points of increase of ρ_ω . The function ρ_ω is unique if it is chosen to be right-continuous with $\rho_\omega(0) = 0$. We have

$$\text{Im } M_\omega(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Im } \frac{d\rho_\omega(t)}{t - \lambda} \quad (\text{Im } \lambda > 0).$$

We note that the essential spectrum of $(2.6)_\omega$ is independent of ω , and equals the spectrum F on $L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ of each and every operator

$$L_\omega = \frac{-d^2}{dt^2} + Q(\omega \cdot t)$$

(see [14]; viewed on $L^2(-\infty, \infty)$, the L_ω 's all have the same spectrum F , and it is always essential).

2.9 DEFINITION [7]. For $\text{Im } \lambda \neq 0$, define $w(\lambda) = \int_\Omega M_\omega(\lambda) d\omega$, where $d\omega$ is normalized Haar measure on the compact topological group Ω .

Using 2.8, one shows that $w(\lambda)$ is holomorphic for $\text{Im } \lambda \neq 0$. Since $d\omega$ is the only measure on Ω invariant with respect to the flow (Ω, \mathbf{R}) , we have for fixed ω, λ

$$\text{Re } w(\lambda) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t M_{\omega \cdot s}(\lambda) ds,$$

so using 2.7,

$$\text{Re } w(\lambda) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2t} \ln (|\psi^+(t)|^2 + |\psi^{+'}(t)|^2).$$

Thus $\operatorname{Re} w(\lambda)$ is the exponential rate of decay of solutions

$$u(t) = (\psi^+(t), \psi^{+'}(t))$$

of $(2.2)_\omega$ for which $\psi^+ \in L^2(0, \infty)$. Note $\operatorname{Re} w(\lambda) \leq 0$, and since it is harmonic, $\operatorname{Re} w(\lambda) < 0$ if $\operatorname{Im} \lambda \neq 0$.

For each $\omega \in \Omega$, there is also a holomorphic function $M_\omega^-(\lambda)$ ($\operatorname{Im} \lambda \neq 0$), satisfying

$$\frac{\operatorname{Im} M_\omega^-(\lambda)}{\operatorname{Im} \lambda} < 0,$$

such that $\psi^-(t) = \exp(\int_0^t M_{\omega \cdot s}^-(\lambda) ds)$ is in $L^2(-\infty, 0)$; $M_\omega^-(\lambda)$ is also jointly continuous in ω and λ . It is proved in [7] that

$$w(\lambda) = - \int_\Omega M_\omega^-(\lambda) d\omega \quad (\operatorname{Im} \lambda \neq 0).$$

Using these facts, one can show that

$$(2.10) \quad \beta(\lambda) = -\operatorname{Re} w(\lambda) \quad (\operatorname{Im} \lambda \neq 0),$$

where β is as defined in 2.3.

Now introduce polar coordinates (r, θ) in equations $(2.2)_\omega$, where λ is real and fixed. Then θ satisfies

$$(2.11)_\omega \quad \dot{\theta} = \sin^2 \theta + (-\lambda + Q(\omega \cdot t)) \cos^2 \theta.$$

In [7], the rotation number $\alpha(\lambda)$ is defined as follows:

$$(2.12) \quad \alpha(\lambda) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta(t)}{t},$$

where a choice of $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\theta(0) = \theta_0$ is made.

2.13 THEOREM [7]. *The rotation number $\alpha(\lambda)$ is independent of ω and θ_0 , and the convergence in (2.12) is uniform in t , ω , θ_0 . Also α is continuous and monotone increasing in $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. One has that α increases exactly on the spectrum F of the L_ω , and*

$$(2.14) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \operatorname{Im} w(\lambda + i\varepsilon) = \alpha(\lambda) \quad (\lambda \in \mathbf{R}).$$

Next we recall some results from the theory of almost periodic linear systems, as applied to equations $(2.2)_\omega$.

2.15. Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, and let f_λ be defined in 2.4. If $\beta(\lambda) = 0$, then $\int_\Sigma f_\lambda d\mu = 0$ for every invariant measure [10] μ on Σ . Every time average,

$$\lim_{|b-a| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f_\lambda(\sigma \cdot s) ds \quad (\sigma \in \Sigma),$$

is 0 and the convergence is uniform in a, b, σ (this uses the proof of Lemma 3.5 in [7]). On the other hand, if $\beta(\lambda) > 0$, then there are exactly two ergodic measures on Σ ; one has $\mu_{\pm}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}e}) = 1$, and

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{\Sigma} f_{\lambda} d\mu_{+} = \beta > 0, \quad \int_{\Sigma} f_{\lambda} d\mu_{-} = -\beta.$$

See [5]; β is in fact the right end-point of the *Sacker-Sell spectrum* [12] of equations (2.2) $_{\omega}$. (Note that $\beta(\lambda) \geq 0$ because a fundamental matrix solution of (2.2) $_{\omega}$ has constant determinant; so there are no other possibilities for $\beta(\lambda)$.)

2.17 PROPOSITION. *If $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\beta(\lambda) > 0$, then $\beta(\lambda) = \hat{\beta}$. (It follows directly from 2.3, 2.5, and 2.15 that, if $\beta(\lambda) = 0$, then $\hat{\beta} = 0$.)*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\beta(\lambda) > 0$, we can find $\omega \in \Omega$, a sequence $(t_n) \rightarrow \infty$, and a line $l \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{R})$ such that, if $u(t) \neq 0$ is a solution of (2) $_{\omega}$ with $(u(0), u'(0)) \in l$, then $(\sigma = (\omega, l) \in \Sigma)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t_n} \ln \|u(t_n)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} f_{\lambda}(\sigma \cdot s) ds > \beta(\lambda) - \varepsilon.$$

Using the classical Krylov-Bogoliubov argument as in the proof of [7, Lemma 3.5], we can find an invariant measure η on Σ so that

$$\int_{\Sigma} f_{\lambda} d\eta > \beta(\lambda) - \varepsilon.$$

From 2.15, there are non-negative numbers a, b such that

$$a + b = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = a\mu_{+} + b\mu_{-}.$$

From (2.16), we see that $\hat{\beta} \geq \beta(\lambda) - \varepsilon$, and hence $\hat{\beta} \geq \beta(\lambda)$. On the other hand, 2.15 and the Birkhoff ergodic theorem give us a point $\sigma = (\omega, l) \in \Sigma$ for which

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f_{\lambda}(\sigma \cdot s) ds = \hat{\beta}.$$

Let $u(t)$ be a solution of (2.2) $_{\omega}$ with $u(0) \neq 0$ on the line l . Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln \|u(t)\| = \hat{\beta},$$

and hence $\beta(\lambda) \geq \hat{\beta}$. So $\beta(\lambda) = \hat{\beta}$.

3. Facts about β

We show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} -\operatorname{Re} w(\lambda + i\varepsilon) = \beta(\lambda) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$$

(a more precise statement will be proved), and derive some properties of β .

3.1. THEOREM. (a) *If $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ and $z \rightarrow \lambda$ non-tangentially (n.t.) for $z \in H^+$, the upper half-plane, then $-\operatorname{Re} w(z) \rightarrow \beta(\lambda)$. If $\beta(\lambda) = 0$, then β is continuous at λ , and $-\operatorname{Re} w(z) \rightarrow \beta(\lambda)$ whenever $z \rightarrow \lambda$, non-tangentially or not.*

(b) *β is upper semi-continuous on \mathbf{R} .*

(c) *On \mathbf{R} , β is non-negative, of first Baire class, and has the mean value property.*

Proof. First consider (c). We noted in Section 2 that $\beta(\lambda) \geq 0$ for all λ . That β is of first Baire class follows from (a) or (b). Also, β has the mean value property because it is the Hilbert transform of the continuous function $-\alpha$ (part (a), 2.14, and [15]). So we need only prove (a) and (b).

Let us prove the first statement in (a). Consider the functions $M_\omega(z)$ discussed in Section 2 ($z \in H^+$). For fixed $z \in H^+$, define a measure μ_z on Σ as follows:

$$\int_{\Sigma} g \, d\mu_z = \int_{\Omega} g(\omega, M_\omega(z)) \, d\omega$$

whenever $g: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous. Using 2.7, we see that

$$(\omega, M_\omega(z)) \cdot t = (\omega \cdot t, M_{\omega \cdot t}(z)),$$

where the dot on the left-hand side refers to the flow $(\Sigma, \mathbf{R})_z$. It follows that μ_z is invariant under this flow. In fact, μ_z is *ergodic*, because the set $A_z = \{(\omega, M_\omega(z)) \mid \omega \in \Omega\} \subset \Sigma$ is an invariant set which is flow isomorphic to (Ω, \mathbf{R}) via the projection $\pi: \Sigma \rightarrow \Omega: (\omega, l) \rightarrow \omega$.

Let ψ^+ be a non-zero solution of (2.1) $_\omega$ with $\psi^{+'}(0) = M_\omega(z)\psi^+(0)$. Writing $u(t) = (\psi^+(t), \psi^{+'}(t))$, recalling that

$$\operatorname{Re} w(z) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2t} \ln (|\psi^+(t)|^2 + |\psi^{+'}(t)|^2),$$

and using (2.5) and (2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta(z) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln \|u(t)\| \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f_z((\omega, M_\omega(z)) \cdot s) \, ds \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f_z((\omega \cdot s, M_{\omega \cdot s}(z))) \, ds, \end{aligned}$$

and by the Birkhoff ergodic theorem the last limit equals

$$\int_{\Omega} f_z(\omega, M_{\omega}(z)) d\omega.$$

Hence we have

$$(3.2) \quad \beta(z) = - \int_{\Sigma} f_z d\mu_z \quad (z \in H^+).$$

Now fix $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, and suppose first that $\beta(\lambda) = 0$. Let $z_n \in H^+$, $z_n \rightarrow \lambda$, and suppose $\beta(z) = -\operatorname{Re} w(z_n)$ does not tend to zero. We may assume

$$\beta(z_n) \rightarrow \delta > 0.$$

Write $\mu_n = \mu_{z_n}$, $f_n = f_{z_n}$, and note that $f_n \rightarrow f_{\lambda}$ uniformly on Σ . The sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ of measures has a weakly convergent subsequence $\{\mu_k\}$. Suppose $\mu_k \rightarrow \eta$. Then η is invariant with respect to $(\Sigma, \mathbf{R})_{\lambda}$, and hence $\int_{\Sigma} f_{\lambda} d\eta = 0$ (2.15). However, it is clear that this contradicts 3.2. Hence $\beta(z_n) \rightarrow 0 = \beta(\lambda)$.

Suppose next that $\beta(\lambda) > 0$. Let μ_- be the measure on $\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}^e}$ given by 2.15. For μ_- -a.a. $(\omega, l) \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{R}^e}$, any solution $u(t) \neq 0$ of $(2.2)_{\omega, \lambda}$ with $u(0) \in l$ satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln \|u(t)\| = -\beta(\lambda).$$

Given $\omega \in \Omega$, there can be at most one line l_{ω} in \mathbf{R}^2 with this property. We conclude that, for $d\omega$ -a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$, there is a unique $l_{\omega} \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{R})$ such that, if ψ is a solution of $(2.1)_{\omega, \lambda}$ such that

$$(\psi(0), \psi'(0))$$

lies on l_{ω} , then $\psi \in L^2(0, \infty)$. Let

$$\Omega_0 = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \text{there exists } l_{\omega} \text{ as above}\}.$$

We now claim that if $\omega \in \Omega_0$, then $M_{\omega}(z) \rightarrow l_{\omega}$ in $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ whenever $z \rightarrow \lambda$ n.t. To see this, let

$$\theta_{\omega} \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$$

be the angle l_{ω} makes with the positive ϕ -axis in (ϕ, ϕ') -space \mathbf{R}^2 , and consider the singular boundary-value problem

$$(3.3)_{\omega} \quad L_{\omega} \phi = \left(\frac{-d^2}{dt^2} + Q(\omega \cdot t) \right) \phi = v \phi$$

$$\phi(0) = \cos \theta_{\omega}, \quad \phi'(0) = \sin \theta_{\omega}, \quad \phi \in L^2(0, \infty).$$

This problem admits a spectral function ρ_{ω}^{θ} , which has a jump discontinuity at $v \in \mathbf{R}$ if and only if $(3.3)_{\omega}$ has eigenvalue v [3]. There is also a function

$M_\omega^\theta(z)$, holomorphic for $\text{Im } z \neq 0$, such that:

(i) the solution ψ^+ of $L_\omega \phi = z\phi$ satisfying

$$\phi^+(0) = \sin \theta_\omega + M_\omega^\theta(z) \cos \theta_\omega, \quad \psi^{+'}(0) = \cos \theta_\omega + M_\omega^\theta(z) \sin \theta_\omega$$

is in $L^2(0, \infty)$;

$$(ii) \quad \text{Im } M_\omega^\theta(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Im } \frac{d\rho_\omega^\theta(t)}{t-z} \text{ for } \text{Im } z > 0.$$

Now, $(3.3)_\omega$ has, for each $\omega \in \Omega_0$, an eigenvalue at the point λ under consideration. Hence $M_\omega^\theta(z) \rightarrow \infty$ if $z \rightarrow \lambda$ n.t. and $z \in H^+$ [15]. But, using (i), 2.7, and uniqueness of ψ^+ up to constant multiple, we seen that $M_\omega(z) \rightarrow \tan \theta_\omega$; i.e. $M_\omega(z) \rightarrow l_\omega$ in $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$. This is what we wanted to show.

Next let $\{z_n\}$ be any sequence in H^+ such that $z_n \rightarrow \lambda$ and $M_\omega(z_n) \rightarrow l_\omega$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_0$. Clearly if $\omega \in \Omega_0$, then $\omega \cdot t \in \Omega_0$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$, and $M_{\omega \cdot t}(z_n) \rightarrow l_{\omega \cdot t}$. Also

$$-\beta(z_n) = \int_{\Omega} f_{z_n}(\omega, M_{z_n}(\omega)) d\omega \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f_\lambda(\omega, l_\omega) d\omega$$

by bounded convergence. However, it is easily seen that the map

$$\omega \rightarrow (\omega, l_\omega): \Omega \rightarrow \Sigma$$

defines a $d\omega$ -measurable, invariant section of the sphere bundle Σ ; i.e.,

$$(\omega, l_\omega) \cdot t = (\omega \cdot t, l_{\omega \cdot t}) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega \in \Omega_0.$$

Hence we can define an *ergodic* measure μ_0 on Σ by

$$\int_{\Sigma} g d\mu_0 = \int_{\Omega} g(\omega, l_\omega) d\omega$$

when $g: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous; μ_0 is ergodic because the projection $\pi: \Sigma \rightarrow \Omega$ restricts to a measurable bijection from $\{(\omega, l_\omega) | \omega \in \Omega\}$ to Ω_0 . So, by 2.15,

$$\int_{\Sigma} f_\lambda d\mu_0 = \pm \beta(\lambda),$$

and since $0 \geq -\beta(z_n) \rightarrow \int_{\Sigma} f_\lambda d\mu_0$, we must have $\int_{\Sigma} f_\lambda d\mu_0 = -\beta(\lambda)$. So

$$\beta(z_n) \rightarrow \beta(\lambda) \quad \text{if } z_n \rightarrow \lambda \text{ n.t.}$$

We have proved the first statement in part (a) of the theorem.

There remains to prove that $\beta(\lambda) = 0$ implies β is continuous at λ , and that β is upper semi-continuous on \mathbf{R} . Since $\beta \geq 0$ everywhere, it suffices to prove that β is upper semi-continuous on \mathbf{R} .

Suppose for contradiction that there is a sequence $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\lambda_n) > \beta(\lambda)$. Then we can assume that $\beta(\lambda_n) \geq \delta > 0$. By 2.15, the flow $(\Sigma, \mathbf{R})_{\lambda_n}$ admits an ergodic measure μ_n with

$$\int_{\Sigma} f_{\lambda_n} d\mu_n \geq \delta \quad \text{for all } n.$$

We can assume that $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ weakly; one checks that μ is invariant with respect to $(\Sigma, \mathbf{R})_{\lambda}$. Since $f_{\lambda_n} \rightarrow f_{\lambda}$ uniformly,

$$\beta(\lambda_n) \rightarrow \int_{\Sigma} f_{\lambda} d\mu.$$

By 2.15, $\int_{\Sigma} f_{\lambda} d\mu \leq \beta(\lambda)$. This is a contradiction; we have proved that β is upper semi-continuous. This completes the proof of 3.1.

Next we use the results of [7] to prove that β is harmonic on the resolvent set of $L = -d^2/dt^2 + q(t)$ acting on $L^2(-\infty, \infty)$. The result is also a special case of a more general proposition proved in [4].

3.4 PROPOSITION. *Let F be the spectrum of $L = -d^2/dt^2 + q(t)$ acting on $L^2(-\infty, \infty)$. Then β is harmonic on the resolvent set $\mathbf{C} \setminus F$.*

Proof. Consider the function $w(\lambda) = \int_{\Omega} M_{\omega}(\lambda) d\omega$ introduced in Section 2; then

$$\beta(\lambda) = -\operatorname{Re} w(\lambda) \quad (\operatorname{Im} \lambda \neq 0).$$

Recall that $M_{\omega}(\bar{\lambda}) = \overline{M_{\omega}(\lambda)}$, hence $w(\bar{\lambda}) = \overline{w(\lambda)}$. Now if I is an interval in $\mathbf{R} \setminus F$, then

$$-\beta(\lambda) + i\alpha(\lambda) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} w(\lambda + i\varepsilon),$$

and $\alpha(\lambda)$ is constant for $\lambda \in I$, say α_I [7]. We also have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^-} w(\lambda + i\varepsilon) = \beta(\lambda) - \alpha_I \quad (\lambda \in I).$$

So if we define

$$w^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} w(\lambda), & \operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0, \\ \beta(\lambda) + i\alpha_I, & \lambda \in I, \\ w(\lambda) + 2i\alpha_I, & \operatorname{Im} \lambda < 0, \end{cases}$$

then w^* is holomorphic on $\{\operatorname{Im} \lambda \neq 0\} \cup I$ by the reflection principle. It follows that β is harmonic on the resolvent set.

As a corollary, we prove that F cannot be too small.

3.5 COROLLARY. *Let $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ be an open interval such that $I \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Then $F \cap I$ has positive logarithmic capacity [11].*

Proof. Suppose $F \cap I \neq \emptyset$ but the logarithmic capacity is zero. By 3.4, β extends harmonically to the entire open disc D with diameter I (since β is clearly bounded on D). Let h be that harmonic conjugate of β on D such that $h = \text{Im } w$ on $\{\lambda \in D \mid \text{Im } \lambda > 0\}$. By 2.10 and 2.13, α is the restriction of h to I ; hence α is continuously differentiable on I . Now by 2.13, $\alpha'(\lambda) = 0$ except when $\lambda \in F$. Since $F \cap I$ has capacity zero, it has Lebesgue measure zero. So α' is identically zero on I , and α is constant on I . So by 2.13, $F \cap I = \emptyset$. This is a contradiction, so $F \cap I$ has positive logarithmic capacity.

Finally, we consider the behaviour of β at endpoints of spectral gaps.

3.6 PROPOSITION. *Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ be an endpoint of a spectral gap I (i.e. I is a maximal interval in $\mathbf{R} \setminus F$). If $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0$ and $\lambda_n \in I$, then $\beta(\lambda_n) \rightarrow \beta(\lambda_0)$.*

Proof. Recall the functions $M_\omega(z)$, $M_\omega^-(z)$ discussed in Section 2; for each $\omega \in \Omega$, these are defined and holomorphic for $\text{Im } z \neq 0$. Since the spectrum F of L_ω is independent of ω , each $M_\omega(z)$ extends meromorphically through I ($\omega \in \Omega$), and so does each $M_\omega^-(z)$ [3]. In addition, either $\text{Im } M_\omega(\lambda) = 0$ or $M_\omega^-(\lambda) = \infty$ ($\lambda \in I$), and the same holds for each $M_\omega^-(\lambda)$.

Now, the vector $(1, M_\omega(\lambda)) \in \mathbf{C}^2$ defines a line $l_\omega^+(\lambda)$ in $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{R})$ for each $\lambda \in I$; if $M_\omega(\lambda) = \infty$, then $l_\omega^+(\lambda)$ is the line containing the vector $(0, 1)$. Similarly, $(1, M_\omega^-(\lambda))$ defines a line $l_\omega^-(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in I$). We coordinatize the circle $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{R})$ with the usual polar coordinate θ , $-\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$, where $\theta = -\pi/2$ and $\theta = \pi/2$ are identified. Orient $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{R})$ in the direction of increasing θ .

Fix $\omega \in \Omega$. It is remarked in [6] that, if λ increases through I , then $M_\omega(\lambda)$ and $M_\omega^-(\lambda)$ move in opposite directions on $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{R})$ (the remark is just [2, Problem 9, p. 257]). It can also be shown that $M_\omega(\lambda)$ and $M_\omega^-(\lambda)$ can never coincide if $\lambda \in I$ [6].

It is clear from these two remarks that, as $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0$ in I , the limits

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_\omega^\pm(\lambda_n)$$

exist in $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{R})$. Call these limits l_ω^\pm . The sets

$$S^\pm = \{(\omega, l_\omega^\pm) \mid \omega \in \Omega\} \subset \Sigma_{\mathbf{R}e}$$

are measurable sections of $\Sigma = \Omega \times \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{R})$. Hence they define ergodic measures μ^\pm on Σ via the formulas

$$\int_\Sigma g \, d\mu^\pm = \int_\Omega g(\omega, l_\omega^\pm) \, d\omega$$

for continuous $g: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. We have

$$-\beta(\lambda_n) = \int_\Sigma f_{\lambda_n}(\omega, M_\omega(\lambda_n)) \, d\omega \rightarrow \int_\Sigma f_{\lambda_0}(\omega, l_\omega^+) \, d\omega = \int_\Sigma f_{\lambda_0} \, d\mu^+.$$

Using 2.15, we have $\int_\Sigma f_{\lambda_0} \, d\mu^+ = -\beta(\lambda_0)$. This completes the proof of 3.6.

4. Discontinuity of β

We construct a.p. Schrödinger operators for which β is discontinuous. Note that such examples cannot be periodic, for β is always continuous for a periodic Schrödinger operator, and in fact the essential spectrum is determined by the condition $\beta = 0$.

We begin with some general remarks. Let $\{q_n\}$ be a sequence of almost periodic function such that $q_n(t) \rightarrow q(t)$ uniformly on \mathbf{R} . Then $q(t)$ is almost periodic. Consider the operators

$$L(q_n) = \frac{-d^2}{dt^2} + q_n(t), \quad L(q) = \frac{-d^2}{dt^2} + q(t).$$

From [7, see Section 2 above], we obtain corresponding functions $w(q_n, \lambda)$, $w(q, \lambda)$, holomorphic for $\text{Im } \lambda > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} w(q_n, \lambda + i\varepsilon) &= -\beta(q_n, \lambda) + i\alpha(q_n, \lambda), \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} w(q, \lambda + i\varepsilon) &= -\beta(q, \lambda) + i\alpha(q, \lambda) \quad (\lambda \in \mathbf{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Here β is defined in 2.3, and α is the rotation number. From now on, it will be convenient to indicate the potential q in the arguments of β and α .

From now on, we use the term “resolvent of $L(q)$ ” to mean the operator-theoretic resolvent of $L(q)$, viewed as a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(-\infty, \infty)$.

4.1 PROPOSITION. *If $q_n \rightarrow q$ uniformly on \mathbf{R} , then*

$$\alpha(q_n, \lambda) \rightarrow \alpha(q, \lambda),$$

uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbf{R} . If $I = (a, b) \subset \mathbf{R}$ is a subset of the resolvent of $L(q)$, then $\beta(q_n, \lambda) \rightarrow \beta(q, \lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in I$.

Proof. The first statement is proved in [7, Theorem 6.2]. To prove the second statement, we use 6.3 and 6.4 of [7] to conclude that $w(q, z)$ is continuous as a function of q for fixed z , $\text{Im } z > 0$ (in fact, it is differentiable). Hence $w(q_n, z) \rightarrow w(q, z)$ if $\text{Im } z > 0$. Now, if $\lambda \in I$, then some interval I_1 containing λ is in the resolvent of $L(q_n)$ for sufficiently large n , say $n \geq N$. Since the rotation number is constant on intervals in the resolvent [7, Theorem 4.7], we can extend $w(q_n, \lambda)$ and $w(q, \lambda)$ holomorphically through I_1 if $n \geq N$, and it follows easily that $\beta(q_n, \lambda) \rightarrow \beta(q, \lambda)$.

We remark that, if λ is in the resolvent of $L(q)$, then $\alpha(q_n, \lambda)$ is eventually equal to $\alpha(q, \lambda)$ if $q_n \rightarrow q$ uniformly. This uses [7, Theorem 4.7].

Now we borrow two facts from [6]. First, we fix a constant δ :

$$(4.2) \quad \delta = 2^{-10} = 1/1024.$$

(I) There is a periodic function $q_0(t)$, of period $T_0 > 10$, with

$$(4.3) \quad 1 \geq q_0(t) \geq -3 \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}),$$

such that the Hill equation

$$H(q_0): u' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ q_0(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix} u, \quad u = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \phi' \end{pmatrix},$$

admits two solutions, $u_{\pm}(t)$, with the following properties. First,

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{1}{T_0} \ln \|u_{\pm}(T_0)\| = \pm \beta_0, \quad \beta_0 > 2/3.$$

If we introduce polar coordinates

$$r = (\phi^2 + \phi'^2)^{1/2}, \quad \theta = \arg(\phi + i\phi'),$$

then $H(q_0)$ becomes

$$\mathcal{R}(q_0): r'/r = (1 + q_0(t)) \cos \theta \sin \theta,$$

$$\Theta(q_0): \theta = -\sin^2 \theta + q_0(t) \cos^2 \theta.$$

Writing $u_{\pm}(t) = r_{\pm}(t) \exp i\theta_{\pm}(t)$, we have

$$(4.5) \quad -\pi/4 < \theta_-(0) = \theta_-(T_0) < \theta_+(0) = \theta_+(T_0) < -\pi/4 + 2\delta;$$

$$(4.6) \quad 0 < \theta_+(0) - \theta_-(0) < 2^{-12} = 1/4096.$$

Using Floquet theory, it is trivial to see that $\beta_0 = \beta(q_0, 0)$. Observe also the rotation number $\alpha(q_0, \lambda)$ satisfies

$$(4.7) \quad \alpha(q_0, 0) = 0, \quad \alpha(q_0, 2) \geq 1,$$

since $q_0(t) \leq 1$ for all t .

(II) Suppose a T_N -periodic function $q_N(t)$ is given ($N \geq 0$), with the following properties.

(i) The Hill equation $H(q_N)$ admits two solutions, $u_{\pm}(q_N, t)$, with

$$(4.4)_N \quad \frac{1}{T_N} \ln \|u_+(q_N, T_N)\| > 2/3, \quad \frac{1}{T_N} \ln \|u_-(q_N, T_N)\| < -2/3.$$

(ii) If $u_{\pm}(q_N, t) = r_{\pm}(q_N, t) \exp i\theta_{\pm}(q_N, t)$, then

$$(4.5)_N \quad -\pi/4 < \theta_-(q_N, 0) = \theta_-(q_N, T_N)$$

$$< \theta_+(q_N, 0)$$

$$= \theta_+(q_N, T_N)$$

$$< -\pi/4 + 2\delta;$$

$$(4.6)_N \quad 0 < \theta_+(q_N, 0) - \theta_-(q_N, 0) < 2^{-N-12}.$$

Then, there is an integer l_N , which may be chosen as large as desired, and a non-negative function $\eta_N(t)$, periodic of period $T_{N+1} = l_N T_N$, satisfying

$$(4.8)_{N+1} \quad 0 \leq \eta_N(t) \leq 3/2 \, 2^{-N-2} < 2^{-N-1},$$

$$\text{Support } (\eta_N) \cap [0, T_{N+1}] \subset [T_{N+1} - \delta, T_{N+1}],$$

such that, if $p_{N+1} = q_N - \eta_N$, then the Hill equation $H(p_{N+1})$ admits solutions

$$u_{\pm}(p_{N+1}, t) = r_{\pm}(p_{N+1}, t) \exp i\theta_{\pm}(p_{N+1}, t)$$

for which (4.4)_{N+1}, (4.5)_{N+1}, and (4.6)_{N+1} hold. In addition,

$$(4.9)_{N+1} \quad \theta_-(q_N, 0) < \theta_-(p_{N+1}, 0) < \theta_+(p_{N+1}, 0) < \theta_+(q_N, 0).$$

We remark that (I) and (II) can be achieved by replacing the integer n in (6, Section 5] by $N = n - 12$, $n \geq 12$.

We now construct, by induction, a sequence $\{q_N\}$ of periodic functions such that q_N converges uniformly to an almost periodic function q . We will show that q has the following properties: $\lambda = 0$ is the left endpoint of the spectrum of $L(q)$; also

$$\beta(q, 0) \geq 2/3; \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \inf \beta(q, \lambda) = 0.$$

Let us begin by letting $q_0(t)$ be a T_0 -periodic function satisfying the conditions of (I). Let $T_1 = m_0 T_0$, $\eta_0(t)$, and $p_1 = q_0 - \eta_0$ be as in (II). By a theorem of Moser (10, Proposition 1; note $\Phi_1 > 0$], we can find a non-negative, continuous, T_1 -periodic function $\sigma_0(t)$, such that

$$0 \leq \eta_0 + \sigma_0 < 2^{-2} = 1/4 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Support } (\sigma_0) \cap [0, T_1] \subset [T_1 - \delta, T_1],$$

with the following additional property. If $q_1 = p_1 - \sigma_0$, then, corresponding to each such integer $k \geq 1$, there is a non-empty open interval $I_1(k)$ in the resolvent of

$$L(q_1) = \frac{-d^2}{dt^2} + q_1(t)$$

such that the rotation number $\alpha(q_1, \lambda)$ equals $k\pi/T_1$ on $I_1(k)$. We take $I_1(k)$ to be the maximal open interval with this property. Since we can choose σ_0 as small as we please, we can also ensure that conditions (4.4)₁, (4.5)₁, (4.6)₁, and (4.9)₁ hold.

Consider an interval $I_1(k) = (a, b)$ ($1 \leq k < T_1/\pi$). Using (4.3) and the fact that $q_1 \leq q_0$, we see that $(a, b) \subset (0, 2)$. For each $\lambda \in (a, b)$, consider the Hill equation

$$H(-\lambda + q_1): u' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda + q_1(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix} u, \quad u = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \phi' \end{pmatrix}.$$

This equation has solutions

$$u_{\pm}(-\lambda + q_1, t) = r_{\pm}(-\lambda + q_1, t) \exp i\theta_{\pm}(-\lambda + q_1, t)$$

for which

$$\frac{1}{T_1} \ln \|u_{\pm}(-\lambda + q_1, T_1)\| = \pm \beta(q_1, \lambda) \neq 0,$$

and for which

$$\theta_{\pm}(-\lambda + q_1, 2T_1) = \theta_{\pm}(-\lambda + q_1, 0) \pmod{2\pi}.$$

Note that, by [2, probs. 8 and 9, p. 257] θ_{\pm} may be chosen to be differentiable in λ , and when this is done,

$$\frac{\partial \theta_+}{\partial \lambda} < 0, \quad \frac{\partial \theta_-}{\partial \lambda} > 0$$

for each fixed t . This fact, and analysis of the discriminant [8] $\Delta(\lambda)$ of

$$L(q_1) = \frac{-d^2}{dt^2} + q_1(t),$$

show that one can further assume

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow a^+} \theta_+(-\lambda + q_1, t) - \theta_-(-\lambda + q_1, t) = \pi,$$

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow b^-} \theta_+(-\lambda + q_1, t) - \theta_-(-\lambda + q_1, t) = 0$$

for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Hence we can choose a closed subinterval $J_1(k) \subset I_1(k)$, with $\text{int } J_1(k) \neq \emptyset$, such that if $\lambda \in J_1(k)$, then

$$\pi > \theta_+(-\lambda + q_1, 0) - \theta_-(-\lambda + q_1, 0) > \pi/2 - 1/2.$$

Now suppose that we have constructed functions $q_1 \geq q_2 \geq \cdots \geq q_N$ such that q_i has period $T_i = m_{i-1} T_{i-1}$ for some even integer $m_{i-1} \geq 6$ ($i = 0, 1, N-1$). Suppose that (4.4)_{*i*}–(4.6)_{*i*} hold for all i , $1 \leq i \leq N$. Suppose moreover that the following conditions hold.

$$(4.10)_N \quad 0 \leq q_i - q_{i+1} \leq 2^{-i-1} (1 \leq i \leq N-1);$$

$$(4.11)_N \quad 1 \geq q_i \geq -3 - \sum_{l=1}^i 2^{-l} (1 \leq i \leq N);$$

$$(4.12)_N \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq i \leq N, \text{ there is a non-empty open interval } I_i(k) \text{ } (1 \leq k < \infty) \text{ such that } I_i(k) \text{ is in the resolvent of } L(q_i), \text{ and } \alpha(q_i, \lambda) = k\pi/T_i \text{ for all } \lambda \in I_i(k).$$

Using (4.7) and the fact that q_i decreases with i , we see that $I_i(k) \subset (0, 2)$ for $1 \leq k < T_i/\pi$, $i \leq N$. We assume that $I_i(k)$ is the *maximal* interval with the property stated in (4.12)_N, and call it a *spectral gap*.

Now, in each spectral gap $I_i(k) = (a, b)$, we can choose differentiable families $\theta_{\pm}(-\lambda + q_i, t)$ of $2T_i$ -periodic solutions (mod 2π) of $\Theta(-\lambda + q_i)$ such that $\partial\theta_+/\partial\lambda < 0$, $\partial\theta_-/\partial\lambda > 0$, and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow a} \theta_+(-\lambda + q_i, t) - \theta_-(-\lambda + q_i, t) = \pi,$$

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow b} \theta_+(-\lambda + q_i, t) - \theta_-(-\lambda + q_i, t) = 0 \quad (\lambda \in I_i(k), t \in \mathbf{R}).$$

We assume the following three conditions.

(4.13)_N In each spectral gap $I_i(k)$ ($1 \leq k < T_i/\pi$), there is a closed subinterval $J_i(k)$, with $\text{int } J_i(k) \neq \emptyset$, such that, if $\lambda \in J_i(k)$, then

$$\pi > \theta_+(-\lambda + q_i, 0) - \theta_-(-\lambda + q_i, 0) > \pi/2 - \sum_{l=r}^i 2^{-l},$$

where r is the smallest integer such that $k\pi/T_i = h\pi/T_r$ for some integer h ($1 \leq i \leq N$).

(4.14)_N If $k/T_i = h/T_r$ for some $r < i$ and some integer h , then $J_r(h) = J_i(k)$, and $\alpha(q_r, \lambda) = \alpha(q_i, \lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in J_r(h)$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$).

(4.15)_N If $1 \leq r \leq i \leq N$ and $\lambda \in J_r(4)$, then

$$\beta(q_r, \lambda) < 2^{-r} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(q_i, \lambda) < \sum_{l=r}^i 2^{-l}.$$

We will construct a $T_{N+1} = m_N T_N$ -periodic function q_{N+1} with $m_N \geq 6$ such that (4.2)_{N+1}–(4.4)_{N+1} hold, and so that (4.10)_{N+1}–(4.15)_{N+1} hold.

Begin by choosing a number $\tau_0 \geq T_N$ such that, if $u(t)$ is any solution of $H(-\lambda + q_N)$ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq 2$) satisfying $\|u(0)\| = 1$, then

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{1}{t} \ln \|u(t)\| < \beta(q_N, \lambda) + 2^{-N-2}(t \geq \tau_0).$$

For completeness, we include a proof that τ_0 can be so chosen in an appendix.

Next, fix a number $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, which will be more precisely determined later. Choose some interval $J_N(k)$, $1 \leq k < T_N/\pi$. Let $\lambda \in J_N(k)$. Let

$$\theta_1 = \theta_-(-\lambda + q_N, 0) < \theta_+(-\lambda + q_N, 0) = \theta_2 < \theta_1 + \pi.$$

Here θ_{\pm} are given by the discussion preceding (4.13)_N. Let $\tau_1 = cT_N$, where c is a positive *even* integer to be determined. Define

$$H_{\lambda}: [\theta_1, \theta_2] \rightarrow [\theta_1, \theta_2]$$

by

$$H_\lambda(\bar{\theta}) = \theta(\tau_1) - \theta(0) + \theta_1 + \tau_1 \cdot \frac{\pi k}{T_N}$$

(recall $\alpha(q_N, \lambda) = \pi k/T_N$). Here $\theta(t)$ is the solution of $\Theta(-\lambda + q_N)$ satisfying $\theta(0) = \bar{\theta}$. We see that H_λ measures the rotation of $\theta(t)$ with respect to $\theta_-(-\lambda + q_N, t)$. Note that $H_\lambda(\theta_1) = \theta_1$, $H_\lambda(\theta_2) = \theta_2$.

Since $\beta(q_N; \lambda) > 0$, one has

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \theta(2m \cdot T_N) = \theta_+(-\lambda + q_N, 0) = \theta_2 \quad \text{for all } \bar{\theta}, \theta_1 < \bar{\theta} < \theta_2.$$

Since the families θ_\pm are continuous in λ , and since the right-hand side in equation $\Theta(-\lambda + q_N)$ depends continuously on λ , we can find τ_1 so that

$$(4.17) \quad H_\lambda(\theta) > \theta_2 - \gamma \quad \text{if} \quad \theta_1 + \gamma \leq \theta < \theta_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \in \bigcup_{k=1}^A J_N(k),$$

where A is the greatest integer less than T_N/π .

Now fix $\gamma < 2^{-N-2}$. Choose $m_N \geq 6$ such that m_N is even and

$$T_{N+1} = m_N \cdot T_N > \max(\tau_0, \tau_1).$$

Choose a T_{N+1} -periodic function $\eta_N(t)$, for which $(4.7)_{N+1}$ holds, in such a way that $(4.4)_{N+1}$ – $(4.6)_{N+1}$ hold for $p_{N+1} = q_N - \eta_N$. Then, use the Moser theorem [10, Proposition 1] to find a non-negative function $\sigma_N(t)$, with period T_{N+1} , such that

$$\text{Support}(\sigma_N) \cap [0, T_{N+1}] \subset [T_{N+1} - \delta, T_{N+1}] \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \eta_N + \sigma_N < 2^{-N-1},$$

so that the following conditions hold.

(i) Conditions $(4.4)_{N+1}$ – $(4.6)_{N+1}$ hold with $q_{N+1} = p_{N+1} - \sigma_N$ in place of p_{N+1} .

(ii) The operator $L(q_{N+1})$ admits a spectral gap $I_{N+1}(k)$ such that, if $\lambda \in I_{N+1}(k)$, then

$$\alpha(q_{N+1}, \lambda) = \frac{k\pi}{T_{N+1}} \quad (1 \leq k < \infty).$$

Observe now that $(4.10)_{N+1}$, $(4.11)_{N+1}$, and $(4.12)_{N+1}$ hold. We show that $(4.13)_{N+1}$, $(4.14)_{N+1}$, and $(4.15)_{N+1}$ hold with our choices of m_N and q_{N+1} .

First, fix k , $1 \leq k < \pi/T_{N+1}$. If k is not a multiple of m_N , i.e., if $k/T_{N+1} \neq h/T_r$ for all integers h and all $r < N+1$, then let $J_{N+1}(k)$ be any closed subinterval of $I_{N+1}(k)$ with non-empty interior on which

$$\theta_+(-\lambda + q_{N+1}, 0) - \theta_-(-\lambda + q_{N+1}, 0) > \pi/2 - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Here we choose θ_\pm as in the discussion preceding (4.13)_N.

Next, suppose $k/T_{N+1} = h/T_N$ for some integer h . We examine $J_N(h)$. Let $\lambda \in J_N(h)$, and consider again the interval $[\theta_1, \theta_2]$, where

$$\theta_1 = \theta_-(-\lambda + q_N, 0), \quad \theta_2 = \theta_+(-\lambda + q_N, 0).$$

Define the map

$$H_\lambda: [\theta_1, \theta_2] \rightarrow [\theta_1, \theta_2]$$

as above, with T_{N+1} replacing T_N . Let $\bar{\theta} \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]$. Let $\theta_N(t)$, resp. $\theta_{N+1}(t)$, be the solution of $\Theta(-\lambda + q_N)$, resp. $\Theta(-\lambda + q_{N+1})$, with

$$\theta_N(0) = \theta_{N+1}(0) = \bar{\theta}.$$

Then $\theta_N(t) = \theta_{N+1}(t)$ on $[0, T_{N+1} - \delta]$. By Gronwall's inequality applied to the θ -equation, and using $|\lambda + q_N| < 6$, $|\lambda + q_{N+1}| < 6$ (this uses (4.7)), we have

$$(4.18) \quad 0 < \theta_N(T_{N+1}) - \theta_{N+1}(T_{N+1}) < \delta 2^{-N-1} e^{6\delta} < 2^{-N-2}.$$

Let us now define

$$R(\bar{\theta}) = \theta_N(T_{N+1}) - \theta_{N+1}(T_{N+1}) \quad \text{for } \bar{\theta} \in [\theta_1, \theta_2].$$

Compare the graphs of H_λ and R . Using $\gamma < 2^{-N-2}$, we see that these graphs have exactly two points of intersection, defined by points ψ_\pm in $[\theta_1, \theta_2]$; moreover

$$0 < \theta_+ - \psi_+ < 2^{-N-2}, \quad 0 < \psi_- - \theta_- < 2^{-N-1}.$$

There are no more than two points of intersection, because: (i) any point $\bar{\theta} \in (\theta_1 + \gamma, \theta_2 - 2^{-N-1})$ satisfies $H_\lambda(\bar{\theta}) > R(\bar{\theta})$; (ii) if there were three points of intersection, then that fundamental matrix solution $\Phi(t)$ of $H(-\lambda + q_{N+1})$ satisfying $\Phi(0) = I$ would preserve three directions in \mathbf{R}^2 at $t = T_{N+1}$; hence $\Phi(T_{N+1})$ would be the identity since $\det \Phi(t) = 1$; this would contradict (i).

Let $\theta_\pm(-\lambda + q_{N+1}, t)$ be the solutions of $\Theta(-\lambda + q_{N+1})$ satisfying

$$\theta_\pm(-\lambda + q_{N+1}, 0) = \psi_\pm.$$

Then $\exp i\theta_\pm$ are T_{N+1} -periodic, and $\theta_\pm + \pi$ are (mod 2π) the only other solutions of $\Theta(-\lambda + q_{N+1})$ with this property. It follows from Floquet theory that λ is in the resolvent of $L(q_{N+1})$. Clearly

$$\alpha(q_{N+1}, \lambda) = \alpha(q_N, \lambda).$$

Now set $J_{N+1}(k) = J_N(h)$ (recall $k/T_{N+1} = h/T_N$). From all that we have said, (4.13) $_{N+1}$ and (4.14) $_{N+1}$ hold.

We must still consider (4.15) $_{N+1}$. First, let λ_N be the left endpoint of the spectrum of $L(q_N)$. Note $\alpha(q_N, \lambda_N) = 0$. We claim that $\alpha(q_{N+1}, \lambda_N) \leq \pi/T_{N+1}$. To see this, note $\Theta(-\lambda_N + q_N)$ has a T_N -periodic solution $\psi_N(t)$. Let $\psi_{N+1}(t)$ satisfy

$$\Theta(-\lambda_N + q_{N+1}) \quad \text{with } \psi_{N+1}(0) = \psi_N(0).$$

From (4.7), $0 < \lambda_N < 2$; hence we can apply Gronwall's inequality to the Θ -equation and obtain

$$0 < \psi_N(T_{N+1}) - \psi_{N+1}(T_{N+1}) < \delta 2^{-N-1} e^{6\delta} < 2^{-N}.$$

Since $q_N = q_{N+1}$ on $[T_{N+1}, 2T_{N+1} - \delta]$, we see that

$$\psi_N(t) - \pi < \psi_{N+1}(t) < \psi_N(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [T_{N+1}, 2T_{N+1} - \delta];$$

hence applying Gronwall again, we get

$$-\pi - 2^{-N} + \psi_N(0) < \psi_{N+1}(2T_{N+1}) < \psi_N(0) = \psi_N(2T_{N+1}).$$

Applying a similar argument to all succeeding periods, we obtain

$$0 < \psi_N(0) - \psi_{N+1}(l \cdot T_{N+1}) < (l-1)\pi + 2^{-N} \quad \text{for } l = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

Hence $\alpha(q_{N+1}, \lambda_N) \leq \pi/T_{N+1}$ (by Theorem 2.13).

Now, on the other hand, if $\lambda \in J_N(k)$, then

$$\alpha(q_{N+1}, \lambda) = \alpha(q_N, \lambda) \quad (1 \leq k < \pi/T_N).$$

In particular, if $\lambda \in J_N(1)$, then $\alpha(q_{N+1}, \lambda) = \pi/T_N$. Combining this fact with the preceding paragraph, and recalling that $m_{N+1} \geq 6$, we see that, if $\lambda \in J_{N+1}(4)$ (i.e. if $\alpha(q_{N+1}, \lambda) = 4\pi/T_{N+1}$), then $\beta(q_N, \lambda) = 0$.

Let us fix $\lambda \in J_{N+1}(4)$, and let

$$\xi_{N+1}(t) = \theta_+(-\lambda + q_{N+1}, t).$$

Let $r_{N+1}(t)$ be obtained by solving equation $\mathcal{R}(-\lambda + q_{N+1})$ with ξ_{N+1} replacing θ , with initial condition $r(0) = 1$. If

$$u_{N+1}(t) = r_{N+1}(t) \exp i\xi_{N+1}(t),$$

then

$$\frac{1}{T_{N+1}} \ln \|u_{N+1}(T_{N+1})\| = \beta(q_{N+1}, \lambda).$$

Let $\xi_N(t)$ be the solution of $\Theta(-\lambda + q_N)$ satisfying $\xi_N(0) = \xi_{N+1}(0)$. Let

$$u_N(t) = r_N(t) \exp i\xi_N(t),$$

where r_N is obtained as above from $\mathcal{R}(-\lambda + q_N)$. Applying Gronwall's inequality to estimate $\xi_N(t) - \xi_{N+1}(t)$, then comparing $\mathcal{R}(-\lambda + q_N)$ and $\mathcal{R}(-\lambda + q_{N+1})$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{T_{N+1}} |\ln r_N(T_{N+1}) - \ln r_{N+1}(T_{N+1})| < 2^{-N-2}.$$

Using 4.16, we get $\beta(q_{N+1}, \lambda) < 2^{-N-1}$ (recall $T_{N+1} > \tau_1$).

By a similar argument, we get

$$\beta(q_{N+1}, \lambda) < \sum_{l=r}^{N+1} 2^{-l} \quad \text{if } \lambda \in J_r(4), r \leq N+1.$$

Hence (4.15)_{N+1} is finally verified.

By induction, construct a sequence $\{q_N\}$ satisfying (4.2)_N–(4.4)_N and (4.10)_N–(4.15)_N for each $N \geq 1$. Then $q_N \rightarrow q$ uniformly on \mathbf{R} , where q is almost periodic. Let $\rho_N(t)$, resp. $\rho(t)$, be the spectral function of the singular boundary value problem

$$L(q_N)\phi = \lambda\phi, \quad \text{resp. } L(q)\phi = \lambda\phi,$$

with boundary conditions

$$\phi(0) = 0, \quad \phi \in L^2(0, \infty).$$

Using the Helly theorem, one can show that $\rho_N \rightarrow \rho$ at all continuity points of ρ .

The arguments of [6, Section 5] show that $\lambda = 0$ is the left-most point in the spectrum of $L(q)$, and also that $\beta(q, 0) \geq 2/3$. Now, ρ_N is constant on each $J_N(k)$ ($1 \leq k < T_N/\pi$), except perhaps for a single isolated jump discontinuity (a discontinuity occurs if and only if $\theta_-(-\lambda + q_N, 0) = \pi/2$ or $3\pi/2 \pmod{2\pi}$). By (4.14)_N, ρ is also constant on $\text{int } J_N(k)$, except perhaps for an isolated jump discontinuity. Hence $\text{int } J_N(k)$ is in the resolvent of $L(q)$ [3]. From 4.1 and (4.15)_N, we see that $\beta(q, \lambda) < 2^{-N+1}$ on $J_N(4)$. Since $\alpha(q_N, \lambda) \rightarrow \alpha(q, \lambda)$, and since $\alpha(q_N, \lambda) = 4\pi/T_N$ for $\lambda \in J_N(4)$, we conclude from (4.14)_N that $\alpha(q, \lambda) = 4\pi/T_N$ for $\lambda \in J_N(4)$. Since $\alpha(q, \lambda)$ is continuous and $\alpha(q, \lambda) > 0$ for $\lambda > 0$ (see Theorem 2.13), we see that

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \beta(q, \lambda) = 0.$$

We have proved everything that we set out to prove.

Appendix

We prove statement 4.16. Let q be any continuous periodic function, and consider the equations

$$H(-\lambda + q): u' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda + q(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix} u,$$

where λ ranges over some compact interval $I \subset \mathbf{R}$. Introduce the hull Ω of q ; since q is periodic, Ω is a circle. We denote the element q of Ω by ω_0 . Let $\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}e}$ be the projective bundle $\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}e} = \Omega \times \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{R})$. As in Section 2, each Hill equation $H(-\lambda + q)$ defines a flow $(\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}e}, \mathbf{R})_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in I$).

Suppose for contradiction that there exist $\gamma > 0$ and sequences $\lambda_n \in I$, $t_n \in \mathbf{R}$, $\bar{u}_n \in \mathbf{R}^2$, with $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\|\bar{u}_n\| = 1$, so that

$$\frac{1}{t_n} \ln \|u_n(t_n)\| \geq \beta(q, \lambda_n) + \gamma;$$

here $u_n(t)$ satisfies $H(-\lambda + q)$ with $u_n(0) = \bar{u}_n$. Let l_n be the line in \mathbf{R}^2 containing \bar{u}_n . Let $f_\lambda: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the function defined in 2.4 ($\lambda \in I$).

Using the Cantor diagonal process as in [11, Theorem 9.05], we can find measures μ_n on Σ such that $\|\mu_n\| = 1$, and

$$\int_{\Sigma} f_{\lambda_n} d\mu_n = \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} f_{\lambda_n}((\omega_0, l_n) \cdot s) ds,$$

where $(\omega_0, l_n) \cdot s$ is computed using the flow $(\Sigma, \mathbf{R})_{\lambda_n}$.

Then

$$\int_{\Sigma} f_{\lambda_n} d\mu_n = \frac{1}{t_n} \ln \|u_n(t_n)\| \geq \beta(q, \lambda_n) + \gamma.$$

We can assume that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0$, and that $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ in the weak topology on measures. Since $t_n \rightarrow \infty$, μ is *invariant* with respect to $(\Sigma, \mathbf{R})_{\lambda}$.

Now, $f_{\lambda_n} \rightarrow f_{\lambda}$ uniformly on Σ . Hence

$$\int_{\Sigma} f_{\lambda} d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Sigma} f_{\lambda_n} d\mu_n \geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(q, \lambda_n) + \gamma.$$

Since $\beta(q, \lambda_n) \geq 0$ and $\beta(q, \lambda) \geq \int_{\Sigma} f_{\lambda} d\mu$ (this uses (2.16)), we see that $\beta(q, \lambda) > 0$. But then, by Floquet theory, λ is in the resolvent of

$$L(q) = \frac{-d^2}{dt^2} + q(t);$$

see [8]. By Proposition 4.1,

$$\beta(q, \lambda_n) \rightarrow \beta(q, \lambda) \geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(q, \lambda_n) + \gamma.$$

This is a contradiction; (4.16) is proved.

Acknowledgements The author thanks J. Avron, B. Simon, and especially J. Moser for helpful conversations. He also wishes to point out that, in [1], Avron and Simon construct almost periodic Jacobi matrices for which $\beta > 0$ on the entire spectrum.

REFERENCES

1. J. AVRON and B. SIMON, *Singular continuous spectrum for a class of almost periodic Jacobi matrices*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 6 (1982), pp. 81–86.
2. E. CODDINGTON and N. LEVINSON, *Theory of ordinary differential equations*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.

3. E. HILLE, *Lectures on ordinary differential equations*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.
4. R. JOHNSON, *Analyticity of spectral subbundles*, J. Differential Equations, vol. 35 (1980), pp. 366–387.
5. ———, *Ergodic theory and linear differential equations*, J. Differential Equations, vol. 28 (1978), pp. 23–34.
6. ———, *The recurrent Hill's equation*, J. Differential Equations, vol. 46 (1982), pp. 165–194.
7. R. JOHNSON and J. MOSER, *The rotation number for almost periodic potentials*, Comm. Math. Phys., vol. 84 (1982), pp. 403–438.
8. W. MAGNUS and S. WINKLER, *Hill's equation*, Dover, New York, 1979.
9. D. MONTGOMERY and L. ZIPPIN, *Topological transformation groups*, Interscience, New York, 1955.
10. J. MOSER, *An example of a Schrödinger equation with almost periodic potential and nowhere dense spectrum*, Comm. Math. Helv., vol. 56 (1981), pp. 198–224.
11. V. NEMYTSKII and V. STEPANOV, *Qualitative theory of differential equations*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1960.
12. R. NEVANLINNA, *Analytic functions*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1970.
13. R. SACKER and G. SELL, *A spectral theory for linear differential systems*, J. Differential Equations, vol. 27 (1978), pp. 320–358.
14. G. SCHARF, *Fastperiodische potenziale*, Helv. Phys. Acta, vol. 24 (1965), pp. 573–605.
15. A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric series*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 1959.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA