# **ON THE NOVIKOV AND BOONE-BORISOV GROUPS**

### BY

## L.A. BOKUT'

### In Memoriam W.W. Boone

## 1. Introduction

In the history of word problems in group theory the fundamental role was played by pioneering works of P.S. Novikov [1] and W. Boone [2]. The construction by Novikov in [1] of the centrally-symmetric group  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_{pd\mu l\rho}$  has never been given any further analysis different from [1]. The construction of Boone's group G(T, q) [2] was analysed by many authors who introduced a number of groups which may be called the modifications of Boone's construction (for example, see [3], [4], [5]). One of these modifications is the construction due to V.V. Borisov [6]. We call the group  $\Gamma(\Pi, P)$  from Borisov's work the Boone-Borisov group.

Our aim in this note is to make a survey of the author's recent results on the groups  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\Gamma(\Pi, P)$ . The group  $\mathfrak{A}$  has the "big" subgroup  $\mathfrak{A}_{dulo}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** Novikov's group  $\mathfrak{A}_{du \mid p}$  has a standard basis.

This theorem was announced by the author in [7]. Theorem 1 provides a comparatively short proof for the criterion of equality of words in  $\mathfrak{A}_{d\mu l\rho}$  which is the main theorem of chapters I-IV of [1] (the remaining two chapters V, VI of [1] treat some nongroup combinatorial calculus).

**THEOREM 2.** The Boone-Borisov group  $\Gamma(\Pi, P)$  has a standard basis.

From Theorem 2 it is comparatively easy to deduce that the word problem in  $\Gamma(\Pi, P)$  is Turing (or even truth-table) equivalent to the problem of the equality to the word P in the initial semigroup  $\Pi$ . Since for any Turing (truth-table) degree of unsolvability  $\alpha$  there exists a f.p. semigroup in which for example the problem of the equality to the empty word has just the given degree of unsolvability, it follows that the Boone-Borisov group may have arbitrary Turing (truth-table) degree of unsolvability. The existence of f.p.

Received Sept. 10, 1985.

groups with arbitrary Turing degrees of unsolvability was independently established by many authors (A.A. Friedman, W. Boone, G.S. Ceitin, Clapham; for example, see [8] and its list of references; recently another proof based on the Aanderaa construction was given in [9]). For truth-table degrees the similar fact was established in the works of M.K. Valiev [10] and D. Collins [11]. Also note the following:

COROLLARY. For any Turing degree of unsolvability  $\alpha$  there exists a group with 14 defining relations in which the word problem has degree  $\alpha$ .

This corollary follows from Theorem 2 and the following theorem due to D. Collins [5] (which was proved with the use of certain constructions due to G.S. Ceitin and Yu.V. Matijasevic; cf. [5]): for any Turing degree  $\alpha$  there exists a semigroup  $\Pi$  with 2 generators and 3 defining relations and a word P in  $\Pi$  such that the problem of equality to P in  $\Pi$  has the degree of unsolvability  $\alpha$ . For such a semigroup the group  $\Gamma(\Pi, P)$  has just 14 defining relations.

The concept of a group with a standard basis was introduced by the author in [4]. For its definition, also see [7], [8], [9]. Many well known group constructions such as Novikov's groups  $\mathfrak{A}_{p_1p_2}$  [12], Boone's groups G(T, q) [2], Aanderaa's groups G(M) [13] turned out to have standard bases.

We shall give the definition of Novikov's and Boone-Borisov's groups and restrict ourselves to brief sketches of the proofs of Theorems 1, 2 and their corollaries. The detailed proofs are to appear in the Siberian Mathematical Journal.

## 2. The construction of P.S. Novikov

Let us fix a finite alphabet  $\Sigma = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and a finite collection of pairs  $(A_i, B_i), 1 \le i \le m$ , of positive (nonempty) words in this alphabet.

Consider the tower of groups (each time we write only the additional generators and relations; the distinguished letters which are involved in the definition of group with a standard basis are underlined):

$$G_{0} = \langle \rho_{i}, \tilde{\rho}_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m \rangle,$$

$$G_{1}: \Sigma, \underline{\rho}_{i}a = a\rho_{i}\underline{\rho}_{i}, \underline{\tilde{\rho}}_{i}a = a\tilde{\rho}_{i}\underline{\tilde{\rho}}_{i}, a \in \Sigma, 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

$$G_{2}: \{l_{ai}, a \in \Sigma, 1 \leq i \leq m\}, \underline{b}l_{ai} = l_{ai}\underline{b}, b \in \Sigma,$$

$$G_{3}: \{\mu_{ki}, \tilde{\mu}_{ki}, k = 1, 2, 1 \leq i \leq m\}, \underline{a}\hat{\mu}_{1i} = \hat{\mu}_{1i}al_{ai}^{-1}$$

$$al_{ai}\hat{\mu}_{2i} = \hat{\mu}_{2i}\underline{a}, a \in \Sigma, 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

where  $\hat{}$  is  $\emptyset$  or  $\hat{}$  (within each inequality the symbol  $\hat{}$  has the same meaning).

Also

$$G_{4} = \mathfrak{A}_{d\mu \, l\rho} \colon \{ d_{i}, 1 \le i \le m \},$$
  
$$\rho_{i}^{-1} \mu_{1i}^{-1} \tilde{\mu}_{1i} \tilde{\rho}_{i} d_{i} = d_{i} \mu_{2i} Q_{i} \tilde{\mu}_{2i}^{-1}, \underline{a} d_{i} = d_{i} \underline{a}$$

where

$$Q_i = A_i^{-1} B_i, 1 \le i \le m, a \in \Sigma.$$

Using the standard argument (cf. [4], [8], [9]) we can verify that  $\mathfrak{A}_{d\mu l\rho}$  is a group with a standard basis.

Now let  $G_4^+$  be the antiisomorphic copy of  $G_4$  with respect to the antiisomorphism  $x \to x^+$  (where x is a letter from the alphabet of  $G_4$ ). To get the group  $G_5 = \mathfrak{A}$  we enrich the free product  $G_4 * G_4^+$  with one additional letter p and relations  $EpE^+ = p$ , where E is one of the following words:

(1) 
$$\hat{\mu}_{2i}^{-1}l_{ai}\hat{\mu}_{2i}, \hat{\mu}_{2i}^{-1}d_i^{-1}l_{ai}d_i\hat{\mu}_{2i}, \\ \hat{\mu}_{2i}^{-1}d_i^{-1}\hat{\rho}_i d_i\hat{\mu}_{2i}, \tilde{\mu}_{2i}^{-1}d_i^{-1}\tilde{\mu}_{1i}^{-1}\mu_{1i}d_i\mu_{2i},$$

Again, is  $\emptyset$  or  $\tilde{}$ . Consider an arbitrary word  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  from the subgroup generated by the words in (1) and rewrite it as a word consisting of the expressions

(2) 
$$\hat{\mu}_{2i}^{-1}V(l_{ai})\hat{\mu}_{2i}, C^{-1}Q_iC, \hat{\mu}_{2i}^{-1}V_1(l_{ai})d_i^{-1}W(\rho_i, \tilde{\rho}_i, l_{ai}, C^{-1}Q_iC, A^{-1}N_iA, A^{-1}Q_iM_iA)d_iV_2(l_{ai})\check{\mu}_{2i},$$

where  $\hat{}, \hat{}$  are  $\emptyset$  or  $\hat{}, A$  and C are reduced  $\Sigma$ -words, C is a stable word (that is  $\rho_i C = C \rho_i^k$  for some k),

$$N_i = \tilde{\mu}_{1i}^{-1} \mu_{1i}, \quad M_i = \tilde{\rho}_i^{-1} \tilde{\mu}_{1i}^{-1} \mu_{1i} \rho_i.$$

We call a word semicanonical if it is a word consisting of expressions in (2), it is reduced and doesn't contain any forbidden subwords with respect to the letters  $d_i$  (nor any subwords  $d_i^{\epsilon}d_i^{-\epsilon}$ ).

MAIN LEMMA. Any word  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  may be (effectively) reduced to a semicanonical form.

COROLLARY [1, Chapter IV, Theorem]. Let X be a  $\Sigma$ -word and  $X = \mathfrak{A}_p$ . Then X is a word consisting of the expressions  $C^{-1}Q_iC$ , where C is a stable  $\Sigma$ -word.

#### L.A. BOKUT'

### 3. The Boone-Borisov construction

Let  $\Pi = \langle S_{\beta}, 1 \leq \beta \leq n, F_i = E_i, 1 \leq i \leq m \rangle$  be an arbitrary f.p. semigroup with nonempty words  $F_i, E_i$ . Consider an arbitrary (possibly empty) word Pfrom  $\Pi$  and define the group  $\Gamma(\Pi, P)$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_0 = \langle d, e \rangle, \\ &\Gamma_1: \{S_\beta\}, \, \underline{d} \dots dS_\beta = S_\beta \underline{d}, \, \underline{e}S_\beta = S_\beta e \dots \underline{e}, 1 \le \beta \le n \end{split}$$

(letters d, e occur m + 1 times).

$$\Gamma_2: \{c\}, \underline{S}_{\beta}c = c\underline{S}_{\beta}, d^iF_ie^ic = cd^iE_ie^i, 1 \le i \le m$$

The first and the last letters in  $F_i$ ,  $E_i$  respectively are distinguished. Also,

$$\Gamma_{3}: \{t\}, \underline{c}t = t\underline{c}, \underline{d}t = t\underline{d},$$
  
$$\Gamma_{4} = \Gamma(\Pi, P): \{k\}, \underline{c}k = k\underline{c}, \underline{e}k = k\underline{e}, P^{-1}\underline{t}Pk = kP^{-1}\underline{t}P.$$

The last expression may be written in the more general form

$$P^{-1}tPV(c,e)k = kP^{-1}tPV(c,e).$$

Again by the standard argument (cf. [4], [8], [9]) we show that the group  $\Gamma(\Pi, P)$  has a standard basis. From this it follows that the word problem in  $\Gamma_3$  is algorithmically solvable (for comparison with the Boone group G(T, q), see [4]).

For the group  $\Gamma_4$  the same argument as in [4] reduces the word problem in  $\Gamma_4$  to the following one: for a given positive word Q of the alphabet  $\{S_\beta\}$  determine whether or not there exist words V(c, d), W(c, e) such that V(c, d)QW(c, e) = P in  $\Gamma_3$ . Lemma 4 from [6] which is similar to Boone's lemma from [2] (cf. Lemma 5 [4]) implies that the above assertion is valid for Q if and only if Q = P in the semigroup  $\Pi$ . Thus the word problem in  $\Gamma_4$  is Turing reducible to the problem of the equality to the word P in the semigroup  $\Pi$ . The reverse reduction follows from Borisov's lemma [6] which is also similar to a lemma due to Boone [2]:

$$Q = P(\Pi) \Leftrightarrow Q^{-1}tQk = kQ^{-1}tQ(\Gamma_4).$$

The above reduction of the word problem in  $\Gamma_4$  to the problem  $Q = P(\Pi)$  may be done with a truth-table by the Cohen-Aanderaa "trick" (see [13]).

### References

1. P.S. NOVIKOV. On the algorithmic unsolvability of the word problem in group theory, Trudy Mat.

Inst. Steklov, vol. 44 (1955), pp. 1-143.

- 2. W.W. BOONE, The word problem, Ann. of Math., vol. 70 (1959), 207-265.
- 3. J.L. BRITTON, The word problem, Ann. of Math., vol. 77 (1963) 16-32.
- 4. L.A. BOKUT', On a property of the Boone groups,, I and II, Algebra i Logica, vol. 5 (1966), pp. 5-23; vol. 6 (1967), pp. 15-24.
- 5. D. COLLINS, Word and conjugacy problems in groups with only a few defining relations, Z. Math. Logik Grundlagen Math., vol. 15 (1969), pp. 305–324.
- 6. V.V. BORISOV, Simple examples of groups with unsolvable word problem, Math. Zametki, vol. 6 (1969), pp. 521-532.
- 7. L.A. BOKUT', New examples of groups with a normal form, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, vol. 277 (1984), pp. 277-280.
- 8. L.A. BOKUT' (WITH D. COLLINS), "Malcev's problem and groups with a normal form" in Word problems II, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980, p. 29-54.
- 9. K.A. KALORKOTI, Decision problems in group theory, Proc. London Math. Soc., vol. 44 (1982), pp. 312-332.
- 10. M.K. VALIEV, On complexity of the identity problem on finitely presented groups, Algebra i Logica, vol. 8 (1969), pp. 5-43.
- 11. D. COLLINS, Truth-table degrees and the Boone groups, Ann. of Math., vol. 94 (1971), pp. 392-396.
- 12. P.S. NOVIKOV, Unsolvability of the conjugacy problem in group theory, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., vol. 18 (1954), pp. 485-524.
- 13. S. AANDERAA AND D.E. COHEN, "Modular machines, the word problem for finitely presented groups, and Collins' Theorem" in *Word problems II*, edited by W.W. Boone, G. Higman and S. Adjan, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980, pp. 1–16.
- 14. L.A. BOKUT', On the Novikov groups, Algebra i Logica, vol. 6 (1967), pp. 25-38.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS NOVOSIBIRSKI, USSR