

## AN ALGEBRAIC FORMULA FOR THE GYSIN HOMOMORPHISM FROM $G/B$ TO $G/P$

BY

ERSAN AKYILDIZ<sup>1</sup> AND JAMES B. CARRELL<sup>2</sup>

The purpose of this note is to prove an algebraic formula for the Gysin homomorphism

$$\pi_* : H^*(G/B; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^*(G/P; \mathbb{C})$$

associated to the canonical map  $\pi : G/B \rightarrow G/P$  from a flag manifold to an algebraic homogeneous space. In addition, we obtain a formula for

$$\int_X : H^{2n}(X; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

when  $X$  is a homogeneous space of dimension  $n$ . Our formula shows  $\pi_*$  is a sum of residues, a consequence of the fact that one can compute the Gysin homomorphism  $f_*$  associated to a smooth connected surjective morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between compact algebraic manifolds as a sum of residues on the zeros of a holomorphic vector field  $V$  on  $X$  with isolated zeros.

### 1. Statement of the main results

We begin with a list of notation:

- $G$  a semi simple linear algebraic group
- $B$  a Borel subgroup of  $G$
- $H$  a maximal torus in  $B$  with group of characters  $X(H)$ .
- $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  The Lie algebras of  $H$  and  $G$
- $\Delta$  the root system of  $H$  in  $G$ ,  $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$
- $\Delta_+$  the positive roots associated to  $B$
- $\Sigma$  a basis of  $\Delta$
- $\Delta_\theta$  the set of positive roots that are linear combinations of roots in  $\theta \subset \Sigma$ .

---

Received July 29, 1985

<sup>1</sup>Partially supported by UPM Research Project MS/Action/86.

<sup>2</sup>Partially supported by a grant from N.S.E.R.C. of Canada.

© 1987 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois  
Manufactured in the United States of America

- $P_\theta$  the parabolic containing  $B$  associated to  $\theta \in \Sigma$ .
- $W$  the Weyl group of  $H$  in  $G : W = N(H)/H$
- $W_\theta$  the subgroup of  $W$  generated by all reflections  $\sigma_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \theta$ ).

Suppose  $R = \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$  with the canonical  $W$ -action  $w \cdot f(v) = f(w^{-1} \cdot v)$ , where  $w \cdot v$  denotes the usual action of  $W$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . A classical result of Borel says that the assignment

$$\alpha \in X(H) \rightarrow c_1(L_\alpha) \in H^2(G/B; \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $L_\alpha$  is the line bundle on  $G/B$  canonically associated to  $\alpha$ , induces algebra homomorphisms

$$\phi : R \rightarrow H^*(G/B; \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_\theta : R^{W_\theta} \rightarrow H^*(G/P_\theta; \mathbb{C})$$

for any  $\theta \in \Sigma$  which induce ring isomorphisms

$$\tilde{\Phi} : R/RR_+^W \rightarrow H^*(G/B; \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Phi}_\theta : R^{W_\theta}/R^{W_\theta}R_+^W \rightarrow H^*(G/P_\theta; \mathbb{C}).$$

$\mathcal{G} \subseteq W$  and  $R_\mathcal{G}^+ = \{f \in R^\mathcal{G} \mid f(0) = 0\}$  where  $R^\mathcal{G}$  is the ring of invariants of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $d_\theta$  denote  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_\theta} \alpha$ , and  $R_{d_\theta}$  denote the localization of  $R$  at  $d_\theta$ . Consider the (symmetrization) operator  $M_\theta : R \rightarrow R_{d_\theta}$  where

$$M_\theta(f) = \sum_{q \in W_\theta} \frac{\det(q)q \cdot f}{d_\theta}$$

We shall prove:

**THEOREM 1.** *In fact (a)  $M_\theta(R) \subseteq R^{W_\theta}$ , and (b) the diagram*

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H^*(G/B; \mathbb{C}) \\ M_\theta \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_* \\ R^{W_\theta} & \xrightarrow{\phi_\theta} & H^*(G/P_\theta; \mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

commutes, where  $\pi_*$  denotes the Gysin homomorphism. Consequently, the induced map  $\tilde{M}_\theta : R/RR_+^W \rightarrow R^{W_\theta}/R^{W_\theta}R_+^W$  is the Gysin homomorphism.

$\tilde{M}_\theta$  is well defined because  $M_\theta$  is  $R^{W_\theta}$  (hence  $R^W$ )-linear. We also obtain the following integral formula for  $G/P$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $P$  be a parabolic in  $G$ , say  $P = P_\theta$ . Then for all  $f \in R^{W_\theta}$ ,*

$$\int_{G/P} \Phi_\theta(\bar{f}) = \sum_{q \in W_\theta^\perp} \frac{\det(q)q \cdot f}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_\theta} \alpha}$$

where  $W_\theta^\perp$  denotes the set of all  $w \in W$  so that  $w \cdot \theta \in \Delta_+$ . In particular, if  $\bar{f} = 0$  in  $(R^{W_\theta}/R^{W_\theta}R_+^W)_m$ , the residue classes of degree  $m = \dim G/P$ , for example if  $f$  is homogeneous of degree different from  $m$ , then

$$\sum_{q \in W_\theta^\perp} \frac{\det(q)q \cdot f}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_\theta} \alpha} = 0$$

Similarly, one can give an explicit formula for

$$\pi_* : H^*(G/P_\theta; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^*(G/P_{\theta'}; \mathbb{C})$$

for  $\theta \subset \theta'$ .

**2. The Gysin homomorphism and zeros of holomorphic vector fields [3]**

Assume that  $X$  is a compact algebraic manifold of dimension  $n$  with a holomorphic vector field  $V$  having isolated zeros  $Z$ . Consider  $Z$  as the variety with structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_Z = \mathcal{O}_X/i(V)\Omega_X^1$ . Recall that by [5] there exists a filtration

$$H^0(X; \mathcal{O}_Z) = F_n(V) \supset F_{n-1}(V) \supset \dots \supset F_1(V) \supset F_0(V)$$

such that  $F_i(V)F_j(V) \subset F_{i+j}(V)$  and graded ring isomorphisms

$$H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus H^{2i}(X; \mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus F_i(V)/F_{i+1}(V)$$

Now suppose  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a smooth, connected, surjective morphism of relative dimension  $r$  between compact algebraic manifolds. Then there exists a unique holomorphic vector field  $V_*$  on  $Y$  so that  $f_*V = V_*$ . Moreover,  $V_*$  has isolated zeros and zero  $(V_*) = f(Z)$ . The main result of [3] is the definition of a residue morphism

$$\text{Res}(V) = \text{Res}_{X|Y}(V) : H^0(X; \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow H^0(Y; \mathcal{O}_{f(Z)}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{O}_{f(Z)} = \mathcal{O}_Y/i(V_*)\Omega_Y^1,$$

having the properties that (a)  $\text{Res}(V)(F_p(V)) \subset F_{p-r}(V_*)$  and (b) the diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F_p(V)/F_{p-1}(V) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^{2p}(X; \mathbb{C}) \\ \text{Res}(V) \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* \\ F_{p-r}(V_*)/F_{p-r-1}(V_*) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^{2(p-r)}(Y; \mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

is commutative. This amounts to the computation of  $f_*$  on  $Z$ .

We will now compute  $\text{Res}(V)$  when  $V$  has only simple zeros. Note first that  $V$  is tangent to the fibre  $X_{\xi}$  of  $f$  over a zero  $\xi$  of  $V_*$ . Letting  $\tilde{V}$  denote the restriction of  $V$  to  $X_{\xi}$  note also that the Lie derivative  $L(\tilde{V})_{\xi}: T_{\xi}(X_{\xi}) \rightarrow T_{\xi}(X_{\xi})$  is an isomorphism for any zero  $\xi$  of  $\tilde{V}$  since  $L(\tilde{V})_{\xi} = L(V)_{\xi}|T_{\xi}(X_{\xi})$  and  $L(V)_{\xi}: T_{\xi}(X) \rightarrow T_{\xi}(X)$  is an isomorphism,  $\xi$  being a simple zero of  $V$ .

Since  $V$  and, consequently,  $V_*$  have only simple zeros and  $f(Z) = \text{zero}(V_*)$ , it follows easily that

$$H^0(X; \mathcal{O}_Z) \cong \mathbb{C}^Z \quad \text{and} \quad H^0(Y; \mathcal{O}_{f(Z)}) \cong \mathbb{C}^{f(Z)},$$

where  $\mathbb{C}^A$  denotes the ring of complex valued functions on  $A$ . Thus we need to compute  $\text{Res}(V)(s)(\xi)$  for any  $s \in H^0(X; \mathcal{O}_Z)$  and  $\xi \in f(Z)$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *With the above notation,*

$$\text{Res}(V)(s)(\xi) = \sum_{\zeta \in f^{-1}(\xi) \cap Z} \frac{s(\zeta)}{\det L(\tilde{V})_{\zeta}}$$

*Proof.* By assumption,  $X$  has local coordinates  $(u, v)$  near any  $\zeta \in f^{-1}(\xi) \cap Z$  so that  $\zeta = (0, 0)$  and  $f(u, v) = u$ . In terms of these coordinates,

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} a_i(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} + \sum_{j=1}^r b_j(u, v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_j}$$

and

$$\tilde{V} = \sum_{j=1}^r b_j(0, v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_j}$$

Now by definition of  $\text{Res}(V)$  (cf. [3]),

$$\text{Res}(V)(s)(\xi) = \sum_{\zeta \in f^{-1}(\xi) \cap Z} \text{Res} \left[ \begin{array}{c} s(\zeta) dv_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dv_r \\ b_1(0, v), \dots, b_r(0, v) \end{array} \right]_{v=0}.$$

Writing

$$b_i(0, v) = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_{ij} v_j + \text{higher order terms}$$

and using the fact that  $\det(\lambda_{ij}) \neq 0$  and the standard algorithm for computing Grothendieck residues, it follows that

$$\text{Res}(V)(s)(\tilde{\xi}) = \sum_{\xi} \frac{s(\xi)}{\det(\lambda_{ij})} = \sum_{\xi} \frac{s(\xi)}{\det L(\tilde{V})_{\xi}}$$

which proves the proposition.

### 3. The residue morphism on $G/B$

Recall that a vector  $v \in \mathfrak{h}$  is said to be regular if  $(G/B)^{\exp(tv)} = (G/B)^H$ . Equivalently,  $v$  is regular if  $\alpha(v) \neq 0$  for any  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . If  $v$  is regular, the holomorphic vector field  $V$  on  $G/B$  generated by  $v$  has zero set  $Z = \{w \cdot B \mid w \in W\} \cong W$ , where  $w \cdot B$  denotes the Borel  $gBg^{-1}$  where  $g \in N(H)$  is a representative of  $w$ . Moreover, all zeros of  $V$  are simple. Thus  $H^0(G/B; \mathcal{O}_Z) \cong \mathbb{C}^W$ . Let  $P_{\theta} \supset B$  denote the standard parabolic corresponding to  $\theta \subset \Sigma$  and  $V_{*}$  the vector field  $\pi_{*}V$  on  $G/P_{\theta}$  where  $\pi: G/B \rightarrow G/P_{\theta}$  is the natural map. Clearly,

$$H^0(G/P_{\theta}; \mathcal{O}_{\pi(Z)}) \cong \mathbb{C}^{W/W_{\theta}},$$

due to the fact that  $\pi: Z \rightarrow \text{zero}(\pi_{*}V)$  induces the natural map  $W \rightarrow W/W_{\theta}$ . We will first compute  $\text{Res}(V)$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** *For any  $s \in \mathbb{C}^W$  and  $\bar{w} \in W/W_{\theta}$ ,*

$$\text{Res}(V)(s)(\bar{w}) = \sum_{q \in W_{\theta}} \frac{\det(q)s(wq)}{\prod_{\alpha \in -\Delta_{\theta}} (w \cdot \alpha(v))}$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 1, it suffices to show that for any  $q \in W_{\theta}$ ,

$$\det L(\tilde{V})_{wq} = \frac{1}{\det(q)} \left( \prod_{\alpha \in -\Delta_{\theta}} w \cdot \alpha(v) \right)$$

where  $\tilde{V}$  denotes the restriction of  $V$  to  $\pi^{-1}(wP_{\theta}) = w \cdot P_{\theta}/B$ .

LEMMA. *The set of eigenvalues of  $L(\tilde{V})_{wq}$  is  $\{wq \cdot \alpha(v) \mid \alpha \in -\Delta_\theta\}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to consider the case where  $G = w \cdot P_\theta$  and to show that the eigenvalues for  $V$  at  $w \in W$  are of the form  $(w \cdot \alpha)(v)$  where  $\alpha \in -\Delta_+$ . Since  $(w \cdot \alpha)(v) = \alpha(w^{-1} \cdot v)$ , it even suffices to show that the set of eigenvalues of  $V$  at  $e = B$  is  $\{\alpha(v) \mid \alpha \in -\Delta_+\}$ . To do this, recall that the natural map  $G \rightarrow G/B$  induces an isomorphism

$$T_B(G/B) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in -\Delta_+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  is the tangent space at  $e$  to the unique 1-parameter subgroup  $u_\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $hu_\alpha(z)h^{-1} = u_\alpha(\alpha(h)z)$  for  $h \in H$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $y_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  denote

$$\left. \frac{d}{dz} \right|_{z=0} u_\alpha(z).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} [V, y_\alpha] &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \text{Ad}(\exp tw) y_\alpha \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \left. \frac{d}{dz} \right|_{z=0} (\exp tw) u_\alpha(z) (\exp(-tw)) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \left. \frac{d}{dz} \right|_{z=0} u_\alpha(t\alpha(v)z) \\ &= \alpha(v) y_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Hence,

$$\det L(\tilde{V})_{wq} = \prod_{\alpha \in -\Delta_\theta} wq \cdot \alpha(v) = \det(q) \prod_{\alpha \in -\Delta_\theta} w \cdot \alpha(v).$$

Since  $\det(q) = \pm 1$ , we are done with the proof of Proposition 2.

#### 4. The proofs

We will begin by proving Theorem 1, employing induction on degree. Thus, let  $R_p = \{f \in R \mid f \text{ is homogeneous of degree } p\}$ . Since  $M_\theta(1) = 0$  (it is well known that for a finite reflection group  $G$ ,  $\sum_{q \in G} \det(q) = 0$ ) the result is trivial for  $p = 0$ . Letting  $n = \dim G/B$  and  $l = \dim P_\theta/B$ , we assume that the

theorem holds when  $f \in R_{p-1}$  where  $p \leq n$ . Since

$$H^0(G/P_\theta; \mathcal{O}_{\pi(Z)}) \cong \mathbb{C}^{W/W_\theta},$$

which is induced from the algebra homomorphism  $\Psi: R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^W$  determined by the condition that

$$\Psi(\alpha)(w) = -w \cdot \alpha(v)$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{k}^*$ , where  $v$  is the regular element of  $\mathfrak{k}$  generating  $V$ . By [1],  $\Psi_\theta$  induces an isomorphism

$$\bar{\Psi}_\theta: R_p^{W_\theta}/(R^{W_\theta}R_0^W)_p \rightarrow F_p(\pi_*V)/F_{p-1}(\pi_*V)$$

and by (2), there exists a unique map  $M_\theta^*$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R_p/(RR_0^W)_p & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Psi}} & F_p(V)/F_{p-1}(V) \\ M_\theta^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Res}(V) \\ R_{p-l}^{W_\theta}/(R^{W_\theta}R_+^W)_{p-l} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Psi}_\theta} & F_{p-l}(\pi_*V)/F_{p-l-1}(\pi_*V) \end{array}$$

Now suppose that  $f \in R_p$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}(V)\bar{\Psi}(f)(\bar{w}) &= \sum_{q \in W_\theta} \frac{\det(q)\bar{\Psi}(f)(wq)}{\prod_{\alpha \in -\Delta_\theta} w \cdot \alpha(v)} \\ &= (-1)^p \sum_{q \in W_\theta} \frac{\det(q)(wq \cdot f)(v)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_\theta} (-w \cdot \alpha(v))} \\ &= (-1)^{p-l} \frac{1}{d_\theta(w^{-1} \cdot v)} \left( \sum_{q \in W_\theta} \det(q)q \cdot f \right) (w^{-1} \cdot v). \end{aligned}$$

Since for  $g \in R_{p-l}^{W_\theta}$ ,  $\Psi_\theta(g)(\bar{w}) = (-1)^{p-l}g(w^{-1} \cdot v)$ , it follows, by composing with  $\bar{\Psi}_\theta^{-1}$  to get  $M_\theta^*$ , that for each  $f \in R_p$  there exists a  $g \in (RR_+^W)_p$  so that

$$M_\theta^*(f + g) = \sum_{q \in W_\theta} \frac{\det(q)q \cdot (f + g)}{d_\theta} = M_\theta(f + g)$$

is a polynomial. However, by the fact that  $M_\theta$  is  $R^W$ -linear, the induction assumption implies  $M_\theta(g) \in R^{W_\theta}$ . Consequently,  $M_\theta(f) \in R^{W_\theta}$  and part (a)

is verified. But part (b) now follows immediately from the above computation, in view of the commutativity of (2) and (3).

We will next prove Theorem 2. First consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^{2n}(G/B; \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H^{2(n-l)}(G/P; \mathbb{C}) \\
 \searrow \int_{G/B} & & \swarrow \int_{G/P} \\
 & \mathbb{C} &
 \end{array}$$

Now by Theorem 1,  $\int_{G/B}$  is the map

$$M_{\Sigma}(f) = \sum_{w \in W} \frac{\det(w)w \cdot f}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha}$$

while  $\pi_*$  is given by  $M_{\theta}(f)$ . Since  $\pi_*$  is surjective, the result follows from the fact that

$$\sum_{r \in W_{\theta}^{\perp}} \frac{\det(r)r}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+ \setminus \Delta_{\theta}} \alpha} (M_{\theta}(f)) = \sum_{r \in W_{\theta}^{\perp}} \sum_{q \in W_{\theta}} \frac{\det(rq)rq}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha} = M_{\Sigma}(f)$$

since every  $w \in W$  has a unique decomposition  $w = rq$  where  $r \in W_{\theta}^{\perp}$  and  $q \in W_{\theta}$ .

### 5. Closing remarks

1. In fact, one can prove somewhat more about the Gysin homomorphism. Namely, if  $\tau$  denotes the element of  $W_{\theta}$  of maximal length, then  $M_{\theta} = A_{\tau}$ , where, for any  $w \in W$ ,  $A_w$  denotes the differential operator employed in [4] and [6] to study  $H^*(G/B; \mathbb{C})$ . In case  $\theta = \Sigma$ , this assertion is *Lemme* 4 of [6]. Similarly, if  $w_0$  is the element of  $W$  of maximal length, then  $\int_{G/P_{\theta}}$  is  $A_{w_1}$ , where  $w_1 = w_0\tau$ . This is due to the fact that  $A_{w_0} = A_{w_1}A_{\tau}$  since  $w_0 = w_1\tau$  is a reduced expression for  $w_0$ .

2. In the case of  $G = SL_n$ ,  $B$  is the group of upper triangular matrices in  $G$ , and  $P$  the parabolic subgroup of  $G$  containing  $B$  such that  $G/P$  is the Grassmann manifold  $G_{k,n}$  of  $k$ -planes in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . One can use the Gysin homomorphism of  $\pi: SL_n/B \rightarrow G_{k,n}$  to give a direct derivation of the basic theorems on the structure of  $H^*(G_{k,n})$  (i.e., the basis theorem, Pieri's formula, and the determinantal formula). This approach has been carried out in [2].

## REFERENCES

1. E. AKYILDIZ, *Vector fields and cohomology of  $G/P$* , Lecture Notes in Math., no. 956, Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 1–9.
2. ———, *Gysin homomorphism and Schubert calculus*, Pacific J. Math., vol. 115 (1984), pp. 257–266.
3. E. AKYILDIZ and J.B. CARRELL, *Zeros of holomorphic vector fields and the Gysin homomorphism*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. Summer Institute of Singularities (Arcata, 1981), vol. 40, Part 1, Providence, 1983, pp. 47–54.
4. I.N. BERNSTEIN, I.M. GEL'FAND AND S.I. GEL'FAND, *Schubert cells and cohomology of the space  $G/P$* , Russian Math. Surveys, vol. 28 (1973), pp. 1–26.
5. J.B. CARRELL and D.I. LIEBERMAN, *Vector fields and Chern numbers*, Math. Ann., vol. 225 (1977), pp. 263–273.
6. M. DEMAZURE, *Invariant symétriques entiers des groupes de Weyl et torsion*, Invent. Math. vol. 21 (1973), pp. 287–301.

UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM AND MINERALS  
DHAHRAN, SAUDI ARABIA

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
VANCOUVER, B.C., CANADA