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## 1. Introduction

Consider the problems of minimizing the quantity

$$
\|A-U\|_{p}
$$

where $A$ is a fixed positive operator and where $U$ varies over the set of (i) all unitaries, (ii) all isometries, and (iii) all partial isometries (subject to the condition that $A-U \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ where $\mathscr{C}_{p}$ denotes the von Neumann-Schatten $p$ class). In the language introduced by Halmos [6], problems (i), (ii) and (iii) concern, respectively, unitary, isometric and partially isometric approximants in $\mathscr{C}_{p}$ of a positive operator. Problem (i) has been solved by Aiken, Erdos and Goldstein [1]. This paper tackles problems (ii) and (iii).

Aiken, Erdos and Goldstein proved that if the operator $A$ is positive and $U$ varies over all those unitaries such that $A-U \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $1 \leq p<\infty$, then $\|A-U\|_{p}$ is minimized when $U=I$ and, providing the underlying Hilbert space is finite-dimensional, maximized when $U=-I$ [1, corollary 3.6]. Further, if $A$ is strictly positive and $1<p<\infty$ these minimum and maximum points are unique [1, Theorem 3.5]. They also obtained the corresponding inequality for the operator norm [1, Theorem 3.1]: if $A$ is positive then for all unitaries $U$ in $\mathscr{L}(H)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A-I\| \leq\|A-U\| \leq\|A+I\| . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A feature of their work is the use of noncommutative differential calculus. They found an explicit formula [1, Theorem 2.1] for the derivative of the map $X \mapsto\|X\|_{p}^{P}$, where $X \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ with $1<p<\infty$ (see Theorem 2.3 below). In searching for a global minimizer of $\|A-U\|_{p}$ one can thus restrict attention
to those operators that are local extrema of the map $U \mapsto\|A-U\|_{p}^{p}$; cf. [1, Theorem 3.5].

Interestingly, the problem of minimizing $\|A-U\|_{p}$ arises from quantum chemistry: see [1], [2] and compare with [5].

In §2 of this paper we recall various preliminaries about partial isometries and the von Neumann-Schatten $p$-classes. In $\S 3$ we turn to isometric approximation of positive operators: for $\mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $0<p \leq \infty$, this problem turns out to be exactly that of unitary approximation; whilst (1.1) also holds for all isometries in $\mathscr{L}(H)$.

Partially isometric approximation, dealt with in $\S 4$ and $\S 5$, is harder (perhaps because the initial and final spaces of a non-normal partial isometry do not coincide). $\S 4$ deals with the local theory pertaining to the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{p}: U \mapsto\|A-U\|_{p}^{p} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ varies over those partial isometries such that $A-U \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $1<p<\infty$, and $A$ is positive. This local theory is utilized in $\S 5$, in particular in Lemma 5.4 and Theorem 5.6. Theorem 5.6 says that if $F_{p}$ attains a global minimum then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A-E_{1 / 2}\right\|_{p} \leq\|A-U\|_{p} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $E_{1 / 2}$ is a certain projection introduced in Definition 5.5); and, for strictly positive $A$ such that $\frac{1}{2} \notin \sigma_{p}(A)$, equality occurs in (1.3) if and only if $U=E_{1 / 2}$.

The problem of partially isometric approximation thus becomes an existence problem. If the underlying space is finite-dimensional then $F_{p}$ attains a global minimum (and, at $U=-I$, a global maximum) so that (1.3) holds, with a similar result in the operator norm (see Theorem 5.7). In infinite dimensions, the Halmos/Bouldin theory of normal spectral approximants [7], [3] shows that (1.3) holds provided $p \geq 2$ and $U$ is a normal partial isometry (see Theorem 5.11).

The positivity condition on $A$ can be weakened. There is an infinite-dimensional result (Theorem 5.10) about approximating a normal operator $A$ by normal partial isometries; and a finite-dimensional result (5.8) about approximating an arbitrary operator $A$ by partial isometries.

After writing this paper I learnt that Wu [11] had obtained formulas for the operator norm distance, $\inf \|A-U\|$, where $A$ is arbitrary and where $U$ varies over (i) the isometries, (ii) the isometries and the co-isometries, and (iii) the partial isometries. For the cases considered in this paper, it can be checked that the relevant distance is attained when $U=I$ or when $U=E_{1 / 2}$.

This paper originated as part of my $\mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{D}$. thesis. I should like to thank my supervisor, Dr. J.A. Erdos, for the help and encouragement he has so freely and generously given.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper the term Hilbert space means complex Hilbert space with inner product denoted by $\langle$,$\rangle , basis means orthonormal basis, operator$ means bounded linear operator and $\mathscr{L}(H)$ denotes the set of all operators on the Hilbert space $H$. Projection means orthogonal projection. The spectrum of an operator $A$ is denoted by $\sigma(A)$ and its point spectrum by $\sigma_{p}(A)$. A self-adjoint operator $A$ in $\mathscr{L}(H)$ is positive if $\langle A f, f\rangle \geq 0$ for all $f$ in $H$ and strictly positive if, further, $\operatorname{Ker} A=\{0\}$.

An operator is a partial isometry if it is isometric on the orthogonal complement of its kernel: thus, $U$ is a partial isometry if $\|U f\|=\|f\|$ for all $f$ in $(\operatorname{Ker} U)^{\perp}$. If $U$ is a partial isometry then $U^{*} U$ and $U U^{*}$ are, respectively, the projections onto $(\operatorname{Ker} U)^{\perp}$ (called the initial space of $U$ and onto $\operatorname{Ran} U$ (called the final space of $U$ ). For a partial isometry $U$ we shall write $E_{U}=U^{*} U$ and $F_{U}=U U^{*}$ (so that $E_{U^{*}}=F_{U}$ ). Thus, a partial isometry $U$ is normal if and only if $E_{U}=F_{U}$, that is, if and only if its initial and final spaces coincide. Note also that an operator $U$ is a partial isometry if and only if $U=U U^{*} U$.

The polar decomposition says that every operator $A$ in $\mathscr{L}(H)$ can be expressed uniquely as $A=U_{0}|A|$ where $|A|=\left(A^{*} A\right)^{1 / 2}$ and where $U_{0}$ is the partial isometry such that $\operatorname{Ker} U_{0}=\operatorname{Ker}|A|$ (and where $\operatorname{Ran} U_{0}=\operatorname{Ran} A$ ) [8, Chapter 16]. Note: the partial isometry $U_{0}$ can be extended to a unitary, say $\hat{U}_{0}$, which agrees with $U_{0}$ on $\left(\operatorname{Ker} U_{0}\right)^{\perp}$ (and which can be any isometry mapping $\operatorname{Ker} U_{0}$ onto $\left.(\operatorname{Ran} A)^{\perp}\right)$ if and only if $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} U_{0}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ran} U_{0}\right)^{\perp}$, that is, if and only if, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} A=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} A^{*}$ [9, p. 586]. (In finite dimensions the condition $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} U_{0}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ran} U_{0}\right)^{\perp}$ is automatically met.)

We now give a brief resumé of the properties we require of the von Neumann-Schatten $p$-classes [4, Chapter XI]. For a compact operator $A$, let $s_{1}(A), s_{2}(A), \ldots$ denote the (positive) eigenvalues of $|A|$ arranged in decreasing order and repeated according to multiplicity. If, for some $p>0$,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_{i}(A)^{p}<\infty
$$

we say that $A$ is in the von Neumann-Schatten $p$ class $\mathscr{C}_{p}$ and write

$$
\|A\|_{p}=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_{i}(A)^{p}\right]^{1 / p}
$$

If $1 \leq p<\infty$, it can be shown that $\|.\|_{p}$ is a norm and under this norm $\mathscr{C}_{p}$ is a Banach space; if $0<p<1, \mathscr{C}_{p}$ is a metric space with metric given by

$$
d(A, B)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_{i}(A-B)^{p}
$$

For all $p$, where $0<p<\infty, \mathscr{C}_{p}$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathscr{L}(H)$ and $\|A\|_{p}=$ $\left\|A^{*}\right\|_{p}$ if $A \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$. If $0<p_{1} \leq p_{2}<\infty$ then $\mathscr{C}_{p_{1}} \subseteq \mathscr{C}_{p_{2}}$. We identify $\mathscr{C}_{\infty}$ with the two-sided ideal of compact operators in $\mathscr{L}(H)$. The algebra $\mathscr{L}(H) / \mathscr{C}_{\infty}$ is the Calkin algebra.

The class $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ is called the trace class. If $A \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$ and if $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ is a basis of the Hilbert space $H$ then the quantity $\tau(A)$, called the trace of $A$ and defined by

$$
\tau(A)=\sum_{n}\left\langle A \phi_{n}, \phi_{n}\right\rangle
$$

can be shown to be finite and independent of the particular basis chosen. If $A \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$ and $S \in \mathscr{L}(H)$ then $\tau(S A)=\tau(A S)$. The rank 1 operator $x \mapsto$ $\langle x, e\rangle f$, where $e$ and $f$ are fixed vectors in $H$, will be denoted by $e \otimes f$. Note that

$$
A(e \otimes f) B=\left(B^{*} e\right) \otimes(A f) \quad \text { and } \quad \tau(e \otimes f)=\langle f, e\rangle
$$

If $A$ is a compact normal operator and $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ is the sequence of non-zero eigenvalues of $A$ arranged in decreasing order of magnitude and repeated according to multiplicity then, for $0<p<\infty, A \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ if and only if $\Sigma_{n}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{p}$ $<\infty$ and when $A \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A\|_{p}^{p}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{p} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [10, Theorem (1.9)] we shall require the following result: if $A+B \in$ $\mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $0<p<\infty$, and if $\operatorname{Ran} A \perp \operatorname{Ran} B$ and $\operatorname{Ran} A^{*} \perp \operatorname{Ran} B^{*}$ then $A \in \mathscr{C}_{p}, B \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A+B\|_{p}^{p}=\|A\|_{p}^{p}+\|B\|_{p}^{p} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we state the Aiken, Erdos and Goldstein differentiation result. The real part of a complex number $z$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{Re} z$.

Theorem 2.3 [1, Theorem 2.1]. If $1<p<\infty$ then the map $\mathscr{C}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$ given by $X \mapsto\|X\|_{p}^{p}$ is Fréchet differentiable with derivative $D_{X}$ at $X$ given by

$$
D_{X}(T)=p \operatorname{Re} \tau\left(|X|^{p-1} U^{*} T\right)
$$

where $X=U|X|$ is the polar decomposition of $X$. If the underlying Hilbert space is finite-dimensional, the same result holds for $0<p \leq 1$ at every invertible element $X$.

We shall require the notion of retraction. If $\Lambda$ is a non-empty closed subset of the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$ then a retraction for $\Lambda$ is a function $F$ mapping $\mathbf{C}$
onto $\Lambda$ such that

$$
|z-F(z)| \leq|z-\lambda|
$$

for each $\lambda$ in $\Lambda$, where $z$ is an arbitrary complex number. It can be shown that every non-empty closed subset $\Lambda$ of $\mathbf{C}$ has a Borel measurable retraction [7, p. 55, Lemma]. Also $\Lambda$ has a unique retraction $F$ if and only if $\Lambda$ is convex if and only if $F$ is continuous [7, p. 54]. We next state Halmos' main result on retractions and Bouldin's $\mathscr{C}_{p}$ variant of it.

Theorem 2.4. Let $F$ be a Borel measurable retraction for a non-empty closed subset $\Lambda$ of $\mathbf{C}$, let $A$ be a normal operator and let $\mathscr{N}(\Lambda)$ denote the set of all those normal operators each of whose spectrum is in $\Lambda$. Then:
(a) [7, p. 55, Theorem] $F(A) \in \mathscr{N}(\Lambda)$ and for all $X$ in $\mathscr{N}(\Lambda)$,

$$
\|A-F(A)\| \leq\|A-X\|
$$

(b) [3, Theorem 2] In addition, if $X$ varies such that $A-X \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $2 \leq p<\infty$, then $F(A)$ is also such that $A-F(A) \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A-F(A)\|_{p} \leq\|A-X\|_{p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

further, $F(A)$ is the unique choice of $X$ producing equality in (1) if and only if every point of $\sigma(A)$ has a unique closest point in $\Lambda$.

The operator $F(A)$ occurring in Theorem 2.4 (a)/(b) is called a normal spectral approximant of $A$ (in norm/in $\left.\mathscr{C}_{p}\right)$ ).

## 3. Isometric approximation of positive operators

We now extend the results of Aiken, Erdos and Goldstein to isometric approximation of positive operators. First, their preliminary result, which says that if $A-U \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ for some unitary $U$ and positive $A$ then $A-I \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ [1, Theorem 3.2], holds equally well for isometric $U$ (all that is required is that $U$ satisfies $U^{*} U=I$ ). Thus:

Lemma 3.1. If $\mathscr{J}$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathscr{L}(H)$ and if $A-U \in \mathscr{J}$ for some positive operator $A$ and some isometry $U$ then $A-I \in \mathscr{J}$; in particular, if $A-U \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $0<p \leq \infty$, then $A-I \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$.

Theorem 3.2 gives the extension to isometries. Theorem 3.2 depends on the Fredholm alternative [8, Problem 179] which says that if $K$ is compact and if $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ then either $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $K$ or $K-\lambda I$ is invertible.

Theorem 3.2. Let $A$ be a positive operator and $U$ be an isometry such that $A-U \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $0<p \leq \infty$. Then $U$ is unitary.

Proof. From Lemma 3.1 it follows that $A-I \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$. So

$$
U-I=(A-I)-(A-U) \in \mathscr{C}_{p}
$$

Hence $U-I=K$, say, is compact. Now, $U=I+K$ is isometric and hence 1-1. So $\operatorname{Ker}(I+K)=\{0\}$ and hence -1 is not an eigenvalue of the compact operator $K$ (for otherwise, $\operatorname{Ker}(I+K$ ) would contain a non-zero eigenvector of $K$ with corresponding eigenvalue -1 ). Therefore by the Fredholm alternative, $K-(-1) I(=U)$ is invertible and hence unitary.

Conclusion so far: all of Aiken, Erdos and Goldstein's results about unitary approximation in $\mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $0<p \leq \infty$, hold for isometric approximation.

The same is true of their operator norm result (1.1): for the proof of (1.1) depends on the equality

$$
(\|A f\|-1)^{2} \leq\|(A-U) f\|^{2} \leq(\|A f\|+1)^{2}
$$

(where $\|f\|=1$ ), an inequality which holds if $U$ is isometric.
The positivity condition on $A$ can be weakened; cf. [1, p. 63]. Let $A$ be any operator such that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} A=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} A^{*} ;$ then $A=\hat{U}_{0}|A|$ for some unitary $\hat{U}_{0}$. Suppose $U$ is unitary and such that $A-U \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$. Then the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A-U\|_{p}=\left\||A|-\hat{U}_{0}^{*} U\right\|_{p} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

shows that, for $1 \leq p<\infty, \hat{U}_{0}$ is a unitary approximant in $\|.\|_{p}$ to $A$. The same result holds if $U$ is assumed isometric: for then $\hat{U}_{0}{ }^{*} U$ is isometric and, as $|A|$ is positive, Theorem 3.2 applies: $\hat{U}_{0}{ }^{*} U$, and hence $U$, is unitary. Obviously, there is the corresponding result in the operator norm: if $A$ satisfies $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} A$ $=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} A^{*}$ and if $\hat{U}_{0}$ is as above then

$$
\left\|A-\hat{U}_{0}\right\| \leq\|A-U\| \leq\left\|A+\hat{U}_{0}\right\|
$$

for all isometries $U$ in $\mathscr{L}(H)$.
Finally, since the norms $\|.\|_{p}$ and $\|$.$\| are self-adjoint all the results$ mentioned so far about isometric approximation hold for co-isometric approximation ( $U$ is a co-isometry if $U^{*}$ is an isometry).

## 4. Partially isometric approximation: Local theory

Theorem 4.1. Let $A$ be a positive operator and let the map $F_{p}$ be defined by

$$
F_{p}: U \mapsto\|A-U\|_{p}^{p}
$$

where $U$ varies over those partial isometries such that $A-U \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $1<p<\infty$. If $V$ is a critical point of $F_{p}$ then:
(a) $A V=V^{*} A$ and $A V^{*}=V A$;
(b) $E_{V} A=A E_{V}$;
(c) Ker $V$ resduces $A$ and $\operatorname{Ker} A$ reduces $E_{V}$;
(d) $\operatorname{Ker} A$ reduces $V$;
(e) $A V=V A$;
(f) $V$ is self-adjoint if $A$ is strictly positive.

Proof. (a) The proof of (a) is the longest (results (b) to (f) are simple deductions from it). The proof is analogous to that in [1, Lemma 3.3] for unitary operators. Thus, for an arbitrary unit vector $z$ and an arbitrary real $\theta$ let the unitary operator $W_{z}(\theta)$ be defined by

$$
W_{z}(\theta)=e^{i \theta}(z \otimes z)+I-(z \otimes z)
$$

If $V$ is a critical point of $F_{p}$ then, as $W_{z}(\theta)$ is unitary, $W_{z}(\theta) V$ and $V W_{z}(\theta)$ are both partial isometries and, for each $z$,

$$
\frac{d F_{p}}{d \theta}\left(W_{z}(\theta) V\right) \text { and } \frac{d F_{p}}{d \theta}\left(V W_{z}(\theta)\right)
$$

both vanish at $\theta=0$. Applying the chain rule to the map

$$
\theta \mapsto W_{z}(\theta) V \mapsto F_{p}\left(W_{z}(\theta) V\right)
$$

and using Theorem 2.3 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left.\frac{d F_{p}}{d \theta}\left(W_{z}(\theta) V\right)\right|_{\theta=0}=p \operatorname{Re} \tau\left[|A-V|^{p-1} U_{1}^{*} i(z \otimes z) V\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A-V=U_{1}|A-V|$ is the polar decomposition of $A-V$. From (1) (since $\tau[S(z \otimes z) V]=\langle V S z, z\rangle$ where $S \in \mathscr{L}(H)$ ), it follows that the operator $V|A-V|^{p-1} U_{1}^{*}$ is self-adjoint:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V|A-V|^{p-1} U_{1}^{*}=U_{1}|A-V|^{p-1} V^{*} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, since

$$
\left.\frac{d F_{p}}{d \theta}\left(V W_{z}(\theta)\right)\right|_{\theta=0}=0
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A-V|^{p-1} U_{1}^{*} V=V^{*} U_{1}|A-V|^{p-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we will have $A V=V^{*} A$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A-V| U_{1}^{*} V=V^{*} U_{1}|A-V| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $V^{*} V=\left(A-|A-V| U_{1}^{*}\right) V=V^{*}\left(A-U_{1}|A-V|\right)$ since $A=A^{*}$ and $V=A-U_{1}|A-V|$ (the polar decomposition of $A-V$ ).

Proof of (4). If $p=2$ it is obvious that (4) holds; for then (3) is the same as (4).

For arbitrary $p$, where $1<p<\infty$, the proof uses the functional calculus for self-adjoint operators. Write $X=|A-V|^{p-1}$ and $Y=U_{1}{ }^{*} V$. Then (3) says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X Y=Y^{*} X \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (4) is the same as $X^{1 /(p-1)} Y=Y^{*} X^{1 /(p-1)}$. This will follow, by the functional calculus, from

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{n} Y=Y^{*} X^{n}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the function $f: t \mapsto t^{1 /(p-1)}, 1<p<\infty$, where $t \in \mathbf{R}^{+} \supseteq \sigma(X)$, satisfies $f(0)=0$ and so can be approximated uniformly by a sequence $\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ of polynomials without constant term. Thus, (6) will imply that $p_{i}(X) Y=$ $Y^{*} p_{i}(X)$ and hence that $X^{1 /(p-1)} Y=Y^{*} X^{1 /(p-1)}$.

The proof of (6) is by induction, first for odd, and then for even, $n$. We need the following assertion: $Y X=X Y^{*}$. To prove this assertion, observe that since

$$
\operatorname{Ker} U_{1}=\operatorname{Ker}|A-V|=\operatorname{Ker} X \quad\left(\text { where } X=|A-V|^{p-1}\right)
$$

then $\left(\operatorname{Ker} U_{1}\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{Ran} X$ and hence that $U_{1}{ }^{*} U_{1}$, the projection onto $\left(\operatorname{Ker} U_{1}\right)^{\perp}$, satisfies

$$
U_{1}^{*} U_{1} X=X=X U_{1}^{*} U_{1}
$$

Then multiplying (2) on the left by $U_{1}^{*}$ and on the right by $U_{1}$ we get

$$
U_{1}^{*} V X U_{1}^{*} U_{1}=U_{1}^{*} U_{1} X V^{*} U_{1}
$$

that is, $Y X=X Y^{*}$ (where $Y=U_{1}{ }^{*} V$ ). Returning now to (6) in the case of $n$ odd: for $n=1$, (6) is just (5); whilst the inductive step follows from the assertion (in the form $X Y^{*}=Y X$ ) and from (5).

The final step-that (6) holds for even $n$, too, -follows by another application of the functional calculus. Since (6) holds for odd $n$ then

$$
\left(X^{2 l}\right)\left(X^{2 k-1} Y\right)=\left(Y^{*} X^{2 k-1}\right)\left(X^{2 l}\right), \quad \text { where } l \geq 0 \text { and } k \geq 1
$$

Hence, for every polynomial $q, q\left(X^{2}\right)\left(X^{2 k-1} Y\right)=\left(Y^{*} X^{2 k-1}\right) q\left(X^{2}\right)$. Take a sequence $\left\{q_{j}\right\}$ of polynomials converging uniformly to the positive square root function $t \mapsto t^{1 / 2}$ where $t \in \mathbf{R}^{+}$. Then, as $q_{j}\left(X^{2}\right)\left(X^{2 k-1} Y\right)=$ $\left(Y^{*} X^{2 k-1}\right) q_{j}\left(X^{2}\right)$ for every $q_{j}$, it follows, on taking limits, that

$$
X\left(X^{2 k-1} Y\right)=\left(Y^{*} X^{2 k-1}\right) X
$$

that is, $X^{2 k} Y=Y^{*} X^{2 k}$-which is (6) for even $n$. This proves that $A V=V^{*} A$.
Finally, since $A$ is self-adjoint, $V$ is a local extremum of

$$
F_{p}: U \mapsto\|A-U\|_{p}^{p}
$$

if and only if $V^{*}$ is a local extremum of $F_{p}$. Hence $A V^{*}=V A$.
(b) From (a), $E_{V} A^{2}=A^{2} E_{V}$ (for, by (a), $V^{*}(V A) A=V^{*}\left(A V^{*}\right) A=$ $A V A V=A\left(A V^{*}\right) V$ ). Hence, $E_{V}$ commutes with $A$ (the positive square root of $A^{2}$ ).
(c) $E_{V} A=A E_{V}$ means that $\operatorname{Ker} V\left(=\left(\operatorname{Ran} E_{V}\right)^{\perp}\right)$ reduces $A$. Ker $A$ reduces $E_{V}$ since if $f \in \operatorname{Ker} A$ (so that $A f=0$ ) then $E_{V} f \in \operatorname{Ker} A$ since $E_{V} A=A E_{V}$.
(d) $\operatorname{Ker} A$ is invariant under $V$; for if $f \in \operatorname{Ker} A$ then $V f \in \operatorname{Ker} A$ because, by (a), $A V f=V^{*} A f=0$. Similarly, $\operatorname{Ker} A$ is invariant under $V^{*}$ (because $A V^{*}=V A$ ).
(e) From (a), $A^{2} V=A V^{*} A=V A^{2}$. Hence, as $A$ is positive, $A V=V A$.
(f) From (a) and (e), $V^{*} A=A V=V A$. So, $\left(V^{*}-V\right) A=0$, that is, $V$ is self-adjoint on $\operatorname{Ran} A=(\operatorname{Ker} A)^{\perp}$. Hence, $V=V^{*}$ if $A$ is strictly positive.

Notice that the positivity of $A$, though required in parts (b), (c), (e) and (f) above is not required in part (a) which holds if $A$ is self-adjoint. In fact, the differentiation argument of Theorem (4.1) yields the following result: let $A$ be in $\mathscr{L}(H)$ and let $U$ vary over those partial isometries such that $A-U \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $1<p<\infty$; then if $V$ is a local extremum of the map $U \mapsto\|A-U\|_{p}^{p}$ it follows that $A^{*} V=V^{*} A$.

Observe that the proof of Theorem 4.1 (in particular, the argument involving approximation to the function $t \mapsto t^{1 /(p-1)}$ where $\left.t \geq 0\right)$ does not work in the $0<p \leq 1$ case. Of course, this does not preclude Theorem 4.1 from holding when $0<p \leq 1$ provided $F_{p}$ is differentiable at $V$.

## 5. Partially isometric approximation; Global theory

First, we have the following partially isometric analogue of Lemma 3.1. Lemma 5.1 is stated for self-adjoint, rather than positive, operators $A$ : the positivity of $A$ is only used in the proof of Lemma 3.1 to ensure that $A+I$ is invertible [1, Theorem 3.2].

Lemma 5.1. If $\mathscr{J}$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathscr{L}(H)$ and if $A-U \in \mathscr{J}$ for some self-adjoint operator $A$ and some partial isometry $U$ then $A^{2}-E_{U} \in \mathscr{J}$ and $A^{2}-F_{U} \in \mathscr{J}$ (where $E_{U}=U^{*} U$ and $\left.F_{U}=U U^{*}\right)$; in particular, if $A-U \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $0<p \leq \infty$, then $A^{2}-E_{U} \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ and $A^{2}-F_{U} \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$.

Proof. It follows (cf. [1, Theorem 3.2]) from the self-adjointness of $A$ and the ideal property of $\mathscr{J}$ that $A^{2}-E_{U}=\left(A+U^{*}\right)(A-U)+\left(A U-U^{*} A\right)$ $\in \mathscr{J}$. Similarly, $A^{2}-F_{u} \in \mathscr{J}$.

Lemma 5.2. If $A-U \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $0<p \leq \infty$, for some positive operator $A$ and some partial isometry $U$ then

$$
A^{2}-A \in \mathscr{C}_{\infty}, \quad A-E_{U} \in \mathscr{C}_{\infty} \quad \text { and } \quad A-F_{U} \in \mathscr{C}_{\infty}
$$

Proof. If $A-U \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $0<p \leq \infty$, then, by Lemma 5.1,

$$
A^{2}-E_{U} \in \mathscr{C}_{p} \quad\left(\text { and } A^{2}-F_{U} \in \mathscr{C}_{p}\right)
$$

and hence $A^{2}-E_{U} \in \mathscr{C}_{\infty}$. Therefore, if $\pi$ denotes the canonical homomorphism on $\mathscr{L}(H)$ onto to the Calkin algebra $\mathscr{L}(H) / \mathscr{C}_{\infty}$ then $\pi\left(A^{2}\right)=\pi\left(E_{U}\right)$. Using the homomorphism property of $\pi$ (in particular that $\left.(\pi(X))^{2}=\pi\left(X^{2}\right)\right)$ we have

$$
\left(\pi(A)^{4}=\left(\pi\left(E_{U}\right)\right)^{2}=\pi\left(E_{U}\right)=(\pi(A))^{2}\right.
$$

Write $a=\pi(A)$. Then $a^{4}=a^{2}$. Taking positive square roots of this (here we use the positivity of $A$ ), we get $a^{2}=a$, that is, $\pi\left(A^{2}\right)=\pi(A)$. So, $A^{2}-A \in$ $\mathscr{C}_{\infty}$. Hence,

$$
A-E_{U}=\left(A-A^{2}\right)+\left(A^{2}-E_{U}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{\infty}
$$

and, similarly, $A-F_{U} \in \mathscr{C}_{\infty}$.
Proposition 5.3. Let $K$ be a compact normal operator in $\mathscr{L}(H)$ and let $H$ be the direct sum, $H=\oplus H_{i}$, of $a$ (possibly countably infinite) number of subspaces $H_{i}$ each of which reduces $K$. Then there exists a basis $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ of $H$ consisting of eigenvectors of $K$ and such that each $\phi_{n}$ is in only one $H_{i}$.

Proof. Fix $i$. Since $H_{i}$ reduces $K$, the restriction of $K$ to $H_{i},\left.K\right|_{H_{i}}$, is a compact normal operator in $\mathscr{L}\left(H_{i}\right)$. Hence there exists a basis of $H_{i}$ consisting of eigenvectors of $\left.K\right|_{H_{i}}$. Now let $i$ vary and take the union of all such bases. This union, $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ say, is a basis of $H$ consisting of eigenvectors of $K$ and such that each $\phi_{n}$ is in one $H_{i}$.

The next preliminary result, Lemma 5.4 , deals with minimizing $\|A-V\|_{p}$ in the special case when the map $U \mapsto\|A-U\|_{p}^{p}$ has a critical point at $U=V$.

Lemma 5.4. Let $A$ be a positive operator. Let $V$ be a critical point of

$$
F_{p}: U \mapsto\|A-U\|_{p}^{p},
$$

where $U$ varies over those partial isometries such that $A-U \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $1<p<\infty$. Then:
(a) $E_{V} A=A E_{V}, A-E_{V} \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A-E_{V}\right\|_{p} \leq\|A-V\|_{p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

further, for strictly positive $A$ there is equality in (1) if and only if $V=E_{V}$.
(b) If the underlying space $H$ is finite-dimensional then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A-E_{V}\right\|_{p} \leq\|A-V\|_{p} \leq\left\|A+E_{V}\right\|_{p} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, further, for strictly positive $A$ the left hand (right hand) inequality in (2) is an equality if and only if $V=E_{V}\left(V=-E_{V}\right)$.

Proof. (a) The proof is suggested by the proof of [1, Theorem 3.5]. Let $V$ be a critical point of $F_{p}$. Then by Theorem 4.1(b), (c) and (e), $E_{V} A=A E_{V}$, Ker $V$ reduces $A$ (and hence $A-E_{V}$ ) and $A V=V A$. Also, by Lemma 5.2, $A-E_{V}$ is compact since $A$ is positive.

Suppose now $A$ is strictly positive. Then by Theorem 4.1(f), $V=V^{*}$ so that $A-V$ is reduced by Ker $V$ and $A-V$ commutes with $A-E_{V}$. Hence, since the compact normal operators $\left.(A-V)\right|_{\text {Ker } V}$ and $\left.\left(A-E_{V}\right)\right|_{\text {Ker } V}$, in $\mathscr{L}(\operatorname{Ker} V)$, commute then there exists a basis of $\operatorname{Ker} V$ consisting of common eigenvectors of

$$
\left.(A-V)\right|_{\text {Ker } V} \quad \text { and }\left.\quad\left(A-E_{V}\right)\right|_{\text {Ker } V} .
$$

There is a similar result about common eigenvectors of

$$
\left.(A-V)\right|_{(\operatorname{Ker} V)^{\perp}} \quad \text { and }\left.\quad\left(A-E_{V}\right)\right|_{(\operatorname{Ker} V)^{\perp}} .
$$

Therefore, as in the proof of Proposition 5.3, there exists a basis $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ of $H$ consisting of common eigenvectors of $A-V$ and $A-E_{V}$ such that $\phi_{n} \in$ Ker $V$ or $\phi_{n} \in(\operatorname{Ker} V)^{\perp}$ for each $n$. Thus each $\phi_{n}$ is an eigenvector of $E_{V}, A$ and $V$. Let $\lambda_{n}, \xi_{n}$ and $\nu_{n}$ be the corresponding eigenvalues of $A, E_{V}$ and $V$ respectively. Then, for each $n,\left|\lambda_{n}-\nu_{n}\right| \geq\left|\lambda_{n}-\xi_{n}\right|$ (for if $\phi_{n} \in \operatorname{Ker} V$ then $\xi_{n}=\nu_{n}=0$; whilst if $\phi_{n} \in(\operatorname{Ker} V)^{\perp}$ then $\xi_{n}=1=\left|\nu_{n}\right|$ which, since $\lambda_{n} \geq 0$,
gives the desired inequality). Therefore, as the normal operator $A-V \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ then by (2.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A-V\|_{p}^{p}=\sum_{n}\left|\lambda_{n}-\nu_{n}\right|^{p} \geq \sum_{n}\left|\lambda_{n}-\xi_{n}\right|^{p} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (2.1) again, the normal operator $A-E_{V} \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ and

$$
\left\|A-E_{V}\right\|_{p}^{p}=\sum_{n}\left|\lambda_{n}-\xi_{n}\right|^{p}
$$

which gives the inequality (1).
Suppose next there is equality in (1). Then there is equality throughout (3) and hence, for each $n,\left|\lambda_{n}-\nu_{n}\right|=\left|\lambda_{n}-\xi_{n}\right|$. If $\nu_{n}=\xi_{n}=0$ this equality automatically holds; whilst if $\left|\nu_{n}\right|=1=\xi_{n}$ then $\left|\lambda_{n}-\nu_{n}\right|=\left|\lambda_{n}-1\right|$ which forces $\operatorname{Re} \nu_{n}=1$ (because $\lambda_{n} \neq 0$ since $A$ is strictly positive) and hence $\nu_{n}=1$. So, $\nu_{n}=\xi_{n}$ for every $n$, that is, $V=E_{V}$.

Next we extend the inequality (1) to positive (as distinct from strictly positive) $A$. Since by Theorem $4.1(\mathrm{c})$ and (d), $\operatorname{Ker} A$ reduces $E_{V}$ and $V$, therefore $\operatorname{Ker} A$ reduces $A-E_{V}$ and $A-V$. Decompose $A-V$ into its restrictions to $\operatorname{Ker} A$ and $(\operatorname{Ker} A)^{\perp}$, viz.

$$
\left.(A-V)\right|_{\text {Ker } A}(=S) \quad \text { and }\left.\quad(A-V)\right|_{(\text {Ker } A)^{\perp}}(=T, \quad \text { say })
$$

Since $S+T \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ and since $\operatorname{Ran} S \perp \operatorname{Ran} T$ and $\operatorname{Ran} S^{*} \perp \operatorname{Ran} T^{*}$ it follows that (2.2) applies: $S \in \mathscr{C}_{p}, T \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A-V\|_{p}^{p}=\|S\|_{p}^{p}+\|T\|_{p}^{p} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, $S=\left.(A-V)\right|_{\text {Ker } A}=-\left.V\right|_{\text {Ker } A}$ and $|V|^{p}=\left|E_{V}\right|^{p}$ and so, since $\|X\|_{p}^{p}$ $=\tau\left(|X|^{p}\right)$ if $X \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$,

$$
\|S\|_{p}^{p}=\left\|\left.\left(A-E_{V}\right)\right|_{\mathrm{Ker} A}\right\|_{p}^{p}
$$

As for $T$, since $A$ is strictly positive on $(\operatorname{Ker} A)^{\perp}$ the first part of the proof shows that $\left.\left(A-E_{V}\right)\right|_{(\operatorname{Ker} A)^{\perp}} \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ and that

$$
\|T\|_{p}^{p}=\left\|\left.(A-V)\right|_{(\operatorname{Ker} A)^{\perp}}\right\|_{p}^{p} \geq\left\|\left.\left(A-E_{V}\right)\right|_{(\operatorname{Ker} A)^{\perp}}\right\|_{p}^{p}
$$

Substituting back into (4) and again using the equality (2.2) we obtain, as desired the inequality (1).
(b) The proof is similar to that of (a) and so is omitted.

The next example shows that the inequality $\left\|A-E_{V}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq\|A-V\|_{p}^{p}$ does not hold for all partial isometries $V$ such that $A-V \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$.

Take

$$
V=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 / \sqrt{2} & 0 \\
1 / \sqrt{2} & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad E_{V}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \\
\sqrt{2} & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

so that $V$ is a partial isometry (with initial space the $x$-axis) and $A$ is positive. It is easily checked that $V, E_{V}$ and $A$ do not satisfy the inequality

$$
\left\|A-E_{V}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|A-V\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

We next define the projection $E_{1 / 2}$. The notation $\bar{S}\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ refers to the closure of the span of the vectors $\phi_{n}$.

Definition 5.5. Let $A$ in $\mathscr{L}(H)$ be positive and such that there exists a basis $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ of $H$ consisting of eigenvectors of $A$. The operator $E_{1 / 2}$ is defined as the projection onto the subspace $M_{1 / 2}$ given by

$$
M_{1 / 2}=\bar{S}\left\{\phi_{n}: \lambda_{n} \geq \frac{1}{2} \text { where } A \phi_{n}=\lambda_{n} \phi_{n}\right\}
$$

Not surprisingly, the same results hold in the rest of this paper if $E_{1 / 2}$ is replaced by $E_{1 / 2}^{\prime}$, where $E_{1 / 2}^{\prime}$ is defined in the same way as $E_{1 / 2}$ except that the condition $\lambda_{n} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ is replaced by $\lambda_{n}>\frac{1}{2}$.

We now come to the first main result on global minimization.
Theorem 5.6. Let A be a positive operator. Let $U$ vary over those partial isometries such that $A-U \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $1<p<\infty$. If the map

$$
F_{p}: U \mapsto\|A-U\|_{p}^{p}
$$

attains a global minimum then there exists a basis of the underlying space consisting of eigenvectors of $A$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A-E_{1 / 2}\right\|_{p} \leq\|A-U\|_{p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{1 / 2}$ is as in Definition 5.5; and, further, for strictly positive $A$ such that $\frac{1}{2} \notin \sigma_{p}(A)$, equality occurs in(1) if and only if $U=E_{1 / 2}$.

Proof. Let $F_{p}$ attain a global minimum at $V$, say, so that

$$
\|A-V\|_{p} \leq\|A-U\|_{p}
$$

Since, for $1<p<\infty$, a global minimum is a critical point it follows from

Lemma 5.4 (a) that $E_{V} A=A E_{V}, A-E_{V} \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ and

$$
\left\|A-E_{V}\right\|_{p}=\|A-V\|_{p} \leq\|A-U\|_{p}
$$

(The equality is because $F_{p}$ attains a global minimum at $V$.) The inequality (1) will now follow (on taking $E=E_{V}$ ) from the assertion below.

Assertion. Let $E$ be a projection such that $E A=A E$ and $A-E \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $1<p<\infty$. Then:
(a) There exists a basis $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ of the underlying space $H$ consisting of eigenvectors of $A$ and such that $\phi_{n} \in \operatorname{Ran} E$ or $\phi_{n} \in(\operatorname{Ran} E)^{\perp}$ for each $n$.
(b) $A-E_{1 / 2} \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A-E_{1 / 2}\right\|_{p} \leq\|A-E\|_{p} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, provided $\frac{1}{2} \notin \sigma_{p}(A)$, equality holds in (2) if and only if $E=E_{1 / 2}$.
Proof of assertion. (a) Since $E A=A E$ the compact normal operator $A-E$ is reduced by Ran $E$. Therefore, by Proposition 5.3, there exists a basis $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ of $H$ consisting of eigenvectors of $A-E$ and such that $\phi_{n} \in \operatorname{Ran} E$ or $\phi_{n} \in(\operatorname{Ran} E)^{\perp}$ for each $n$.
(b) Each $\phi_{n}$ is thus an eigenvector of $E, A, E_{1 / 2}, A-E$ and $A-E_{1 / 2}$. If, for each $n, A \phi_{n}=\lambda_{n} \phi_{n}, E \phi_{n}=\xi_{n} \phi_{n}$ and $E_{1 / 2} \phi_{n}=e_{n} \phi_{n}$ then $\left|\lambda_{n}-\xi_{n}\right| \geq$ $\left|\lambda_{n}-e_{n}\right|$. (To prove this inequality consider the four cases: $\phi_{n}$ is/is not in $M_{1 / 2} / \operatorname{Ran} E$ ). Hence, using (2.1)

$$
\|A-E\|_{p}^{p}=\sum\left|\lambda_{n}-\xi_{n}\right|^{p} \geq \sum\left|\lambda_{n}-e_{n}\right|^{p} .
$$

This proves that $A-E_{1 / 2} \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ and gives the inequality (2).
Next, if equality holds in (2) then $\left|\lambda_{n}-\xi_{n}\right|=\left|\lambda_{n}-e_{n}\right|$ for each $n$ and since $\frac{1}{2} \notin \sigma_{p}(A)$, this forces $\operatorname{Ran} E=M_{1 / 2}$; for if either $\phi_{n} \in \operatorname{Ran} E$ and $\phi_{n} \notin M_{1 / 2}$, or, if $\phi_{n} \notin \operatorname{Ran} E$ and $\phi_{n} \in M_{1 / 2}$ (when $\lambda_{n}>\frac{1}{2}$ ) we would have $\left|\lambda_{n}-\xi_{n}\right|>\left|\lambda_{n}-e_{n}\right|$. This proves the assertion.

Finally, let $A$ be strictly positive and such that $\frac{1}{2} \notin \sigma_{p}(A)$. If there is equality in (1) for some partial isometry $U$ then $\left\|A-E_{1 / 2}\right\|_{p}=\left\|A-E_{U}\right\|_{P}$ $=\|A-U\|_{p}$. The second equality implies, by Lemma 5.4 (a), that $U=E_{U}$; and the first equality implies, by the assertion, that $E_{U}=E_{1 / 2}$. So, $U=E_{1 / 2}$.

The assumption of finite-dimensionality is critical in Theorem 5.7.
Theorem 5.7. Let the underlying space $H$ be finite-dimensional. Let $A$ be a positive operator and let $E_{1 / 2}$ be as in Definition 5.5. Then for all partial
isometries $U$ in $\mathscr{L}(H)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|A-E_{1 / 2}\right\|_{p} & \leq\|A-U\|_{p} \leq\|A+I\|_{p}, \quad \text { where } 1<p<\infty  \tag{1}\\
\left\|A-E_{1 / 2}\right\| & \leq\|A-U\| \leq\|A+I\| \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, for strictly positive $A$ the right hand inequality in (1) is an equality if and only if $U=-I$ and, further, for strictly positive $A$ such that $\frac{1}{2} \notin \sigma_{p}(A)$ the left hand inequality in (1) is an equality if and only if $U=E_{1 / 2}$.

Proof. The set of all partial isometries is closed and bounded [8, Problem 129] and hence, since $H$ is finite-dimensional, compact. It follows as in [1, Theorem 3.5] that the (continuous) map $F_{p}: U \mapsto\|A-U\|_{p}^{p}$ is bounded and attains its bounds. The left hand inequality in (1), and the corresponding uniqueness assertion, now follows from Theorem 5.6.

To prove the right hand inequality in (1) let $W$ be a global maximum, and hence a critical point, of $F_{p}$. Then by Lemma 5.4, $E_{W} A=A E_{W}$ and we have the equality

$$
\|A-W\|_{p}=\left\|A+E_{W}\right\|_{p}
$$

which, for strictly positive $A$, forces $W=-E_{W}$. It can be shown (by considering the eigenvalues of $A$ ) that if $E$ is a projection such that $E A=A E$ and if $H$ is finite-dimensional then $\|A+E\|_{p}$ attains its maximum at $E=I$ and at no other point. This gives the right hand inequality in (1) and the corresponding uniqueness assertion.

As $H$ is finite-dimensional, the operator norm inequality (2) follows from (1).

In finite dimensions the condition on $A$ of positivity can be dropped: in that case $A=\hat{U}_{0}|A|$ where $\hat{U}_{0}$ is unitary. Let $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ be a basis of $H$ consisting of eigenvectors of $|A|$ and let $\hat{E}_{1 / 2}$ be the projection onto to the subspace

$$
\bar{S}\left\{\phi_{n}: \lambda_{n} \geq \frac{1}{2},|A| \phi_{n}=\lambda_{n} \phi_{n}\right\} .
$$

Then if $U\left(\right.$ and hence $\hat{U}_{0}{ }^{*} U$ ) is a partial isometry it follows, cf. (3.3), from Theorem 5.7 that $\|A-U\|_{p}$, where $1<p \leq \infty$, is minimized when $U=$ $\hat{U}_{0} \hat{E}_{1 / 2}$ and maximized when $U=-\hat{U}_{0}$ (here, $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ denotes the operator norm $\|$. \| on $\mathscr{L}(H)$ ). Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A-\hat{U}_{0} \hat{E}_{1 / 2}\right\|_{p} \leq\|A-U\|_{p} \leq\left\|A+\hat{U}_{0}\right\|_{p} \quad \text { where } 1<p \leq \infty \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(with the now obvious necessary conditions for equality when $1<p<\infty$ ).
We return to the infinite-dimensional case. As for maximizing $\|A-U\|$, as in [1, Theorem 3.1] if $A$ is positive then for all partial isometries $U$ in $\mathscr{L}(H)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A-U\| \leq\|A+I\| \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To get the infinite-dimensional approximation results we appeal to the Halmos/Bouldin theorem on normal spectral approximation (Theorem 2.4).

First, there is the following result about approximating a normal operator by normal partial isometries.

Theorem 5.10. Let $A$ be a normal operator and define the function $F$ : $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \Lambda$, where $\Lambda=\{0\} \cup C$ with $C=\{z:|z|=1\}$, by

$$
F\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)= \begin{cases}e^{i \theta} & \text { if } r \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text { if } r<\frac{1}{2} .\end{cases}
$$

Then:
(a) $F(A)$ is a normal partial isometry and for all normal partial isometries $U$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A-F(A)\| \leq\|A-U\| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Further, for all normal partial isometries $U$ such that $A-U \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $2 \leq p<\infty$, then $A-F(A) \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A-F(A)\|_{p} \leq\|A-U\|_{p} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, the spectrum of a normal partial isometry is a non-empty closed subset of $\{0\} \cup C$. This is because (i) a normal partial isometry is the direct sum of the zero operator, and a unitary, and conversely [8, Problem 204]; and (ii) the spectrum of the direct sum of two operators is the union of their individual spectra.

Conversely, if the spectrum of some normal operator $U$ is a non-empty closed subset of $\{0\} \cup C$ then the underlying space $H$ can be decomposed so that $U$ is the direct sum of a normal quasi-nilpotent operator, i.e. the zero operator, and a unitary. Hence, $U$ is a normal partial isometry.

The mapping $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \Lambda$ is clearly a retraction. Therefore, by Theorem 2.4 (a) it follows that $\sigma(F(A)) \subset \Lambda$ so that by the above argument $F(A)$ is a normal partial isometry, and $F(A)$ satisfies the inequality (1). By Theorem 2.4 (b) it follows that $A-F(A) \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ and $F(A)$ satisfies the $\mathscr{C}_{p}$ inequality (2).

Of course, the same results hold in Theorem 5.10 if $F$ is replaced by the function $F^{\prime}: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \Lambda$ defined in the same way as $F$ except that the condition $r \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(r<\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is replaced by $r>\frac{1}{2}\left(r \leq \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

Finally, we come to the following result about normal partially isometric approximation in $\mathscr{C}_{p}$ of positive operators.

Theorem 5.11. Let $A$ in $\mathscr{L}(H)$ be positive. Then:
(a) If there exists a basis of $H$ consisting of eigenvectors of $A$ then for all normal partial isometries $U$,

$$
\left\|A-E_{1 / 2}\right\| \leq\|A-U\|
$$

where $E_{1 / 2}$ is as in Definition 5.5.
(b) For all normal partial isometries $U$ such that $A-U \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$, where $2 \leq p<\infty$, there exists a basis of $H$ consisting of eigenvectors of $A$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A-E_{1 / 2}\right\|_{p} \leq\|A-U\|_{p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

further, for strictly positive $A$ such that $\frac{1}{2} \notin \sigma_{p}(A)$, equality occurs in (1) if and only if $U=E_{1 / 2}$.

Proof. (a) If $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ is a basis of $H$ consisting of eigenvectors of $A$, with $A \phi_{n}=\lambda_{n} \phi_{n}$ where $\lambda_{n} \geq 0$, then, with $F$ as in Theorem 5.10, $F(A) \phi_{n}=$ $F\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \phi_{n}=E_{1 / 2} \phi_{n}$ and hence $F(A)=E_{1 / 2}$. The result now follows from Theorem 5.10 (a).
(b) By Theorem 5.10(b), the map $F_{p}: U \mapsto\|A-U\|_{p}^{p}$ attains a global minimum. The result now follows from Theorem 5.6.

Observe that we cannot deduce from Theorem 5.11 an inequality like (5.8) dealing with approximation to non-positive $A$ (because, in the notation of (5.8), the partial isometries $\hat{U}_{0}{ }^{*} U$ need not be normal).

In the light of Theorem 5.7, Theorem 5.11 raises the following questions: in the infinite-dimensional case, what happens if the partial isometries are not normal and/or if $p<2$ ?
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