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## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a complex Banach space. A semi-inner-product (s.i.p.) compatible with the norm is a function $[\cdot, \cdot]: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
{[\alpha x+y, z] } & =\alpha[x, z]+[y, z] \text { for } x, y, z \in X \text { and } \alpha \in \mathbf{C},  \tag{1}\\
{[x, x] } & =\|x\|^{2} \text { for } x \in X,  \tag{2}\\
|[x, y]| & \leq\|y\| \cdot\|x\| \text { for any } x, y \in X . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

It is known that for any Banach space $X$, there is a homogeneous semi-innerproduct compatible with the norm, i.e.,

$$
[x, \alpha y]=\bar{\alpha}[x, y] \quad \text { for all } x, y \in X \text { and } \alpha \in \mathbf{C} .
$$

An operator $H: X \rightarrow X$ is hermitian if

$$
[H x, x] \in \mathbf{R} \quad \text { for all } x \in X
$$

Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a $\sigma$-finite measure space and let $X$ be a separable Banach space. A.R. Sourour has shown [5] that if $H$ is a hermitian operator on $L^{p}(\Omega, X), 1 \leq p<\infty, p \neq 2$, then $(H f)(\cdot)=A(\cdot) f(\cdot)$ for some hermitian valued strongly measurable map $A$ of $\Omega$ into $\mathscr{B}(X)$ (the set of all bounded operators on $X$ ). Using this result, A.R. Sourour [5] proved that if $X$ is a separable Banach space with trivial $L^{p}$-structure (see [3]) for $1 \leq p<\infty$, $p \neq 2$, and if $T$ is a surjective isometry on $L^{p}(\Omega, X)$, then

$$
(T f)(\cdot)=S(\cdot) h(\cdot)(\Phi(f))(\cdot) \quad \text { for } f \in L^{p}(\Omega, X)
$$

where $\Phi$ is a set isomorphism of the measure space onto itself (for definition see [5]), $S$ is a strongly measurable map of $\Omega$ into $\mathscr{B}(X)$ with $S(t)$ a surjective isometry of $X$ for almost all $t \in \Omega$, and $h=(d v / d \mu)^{1 / p}$ where $v(\cdot)=$ $\mu\left(\Phi^{-1}(\cdot)\right)$. On the other hand, the hermitian operators and isometries on $l^{2}$

[^0]are not necessarily of the above forms. But A. Berkson and A.R. Sourour [1] have shown that if
\[

T=\left($$
\begin{array}{ll}
0 & I \\
I & 0
\end{array}
$$\right)
\]

is hermitian on $(X \oplus X)_{2}$, where $I$ is the identity on $X$, then $X$ is isometrically isomorphic to a Hilbert space. It is natural to ask under what conditions on $X$, the hermitian operators and isometries on $L^{2}(\Omega, X)$ have the above forms. In this article, we show that if

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & T_{1} \\
T_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a hermitian operator on $(\underline{X \oplus X})_{2}$, where $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are operators on $X$, then $Y_{1}=\overline{T_{1}(X)}$ and $Y_{2}=\overline{T_{2}(X)}$ are isometrically isomorphic to Hilbert spaces, and there exist two subspaces $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ of $X$ such that

$$
\left(Z_{1} \oplus Y_{1}\right)_{2}=X=\left(Z_{2} \oplus Y_{2}\right)_{2}
$$

Using this result, we prove that if $X$ is not 1-dimensional and if $X$ is separable with trivial $L^{2}$-structure and $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ is $\sigma$-finite, then the hermitian operators and isometries on $L^{2}(\Omega, X)$ have forms like the hermitian operators and isometries on $L^{p}(\Omega, X)$.

For more results about isometries on $L^{p}(\Omega, X)$, see [3] and its references.
The author wishes to thank J.E. Jamison for his valuable discussions concerning these results.

## 2. Hermitian operators on $\left(X_{1} \oplus X_{2}\right)_{2}$

Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be two Banach spaces, and let $[\cdot, \cdot]_{1}$ (resp. $[\cdot, \cdot]_{2}$ ) be a homogeneous s.i.p. compatible with the norm of $X_{1}$ (resp. $X_{2}$ ). Then

$$
\left[\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right]=\left[x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right]_{1}+\left[x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right]_{2}
$$

is a s.i.p. compatible with the norm $\left(X_{1} \oplus X_{2}\right)_{2}$. If

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & T_{1} \\
T_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a hermitian operator on $\left(X_{1} \oplus X_{2}\right)_{2}$, where $T_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.T_{2}\right)$ is an operator from $X_{2}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.X_{1}\right)$ into $X_{1}$ (resp. $X_{2}$ ), then

$$
\left[T_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}\right]_{1}+\left[T_{2} x_{1}, x_{2}\right]_{2} \in \mathbf{R}
$$

for any $x_{1} \in X_{1}$, and any $x_{2} \in X_{2}$. Replacing $x_{2}$ by $i x_{2}$ in this expression gives the conclusion that

$$
i\left\{\left[T_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}\right]_{1}-\left[T_{2} x_{1}, x_{2}\right]_{2}\right\} \in \mathbf{R}
$$

Therefore, for any $x_{1}$ in $X_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ in $X_{2}$,

$$
\left[T_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}\right]_{1}=\overline{\left[T_{2} x_{1}, x_{2}\right]_{2}}
$$

(This implies that $T_{1}=0$ if and only if $T_{2}=0$.) So for any $x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}$ in $X_{1}$, and $x_{2}$ in $X_{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[T_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}\right]_{1} } & =\overline{\left[T_{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}\right), x_{2}\right]_{2}}  \tag{4}\\
& =\overline{\left[T_{2} x_{1}, x_{2}\right]_{2}}+\overline{\left[T_{2} x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}\right]_{2}} \\
& =\left[T_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}\right]_{1}+\left[T_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right]_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, for any $x_{1} \in X_{1}$, and any $x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime} \in X_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T_{2} x_{1},\left(x_{2}+x_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right]_{2}=\left[T_{2} x_{1}, x_{2}\right]_{2}+\left[T_{2} x_{1}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right]_{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The restriction of $[\cdot, \cdot]_{1}$ to $T_{1} X_{2}$ is a homogeneous s.i.p. compatible with the norm such that

$$
[x, y+z]_{1}=[x, y]_{1}+[x, z]_{1} \quad \text { for any } x, y, z \in T_{1} X_{2}
$$

It is known that any homogeneous s.i.p. satisfying the above property is an inner product. So $Y_{1}=\overline{T_{1} X_{2}}$ is a Hilbert space. Similarly, $Y_{2}=\overline{T_{2} X_{1}}$ is a Hilbert space. But in order to show that there is a subspace $Z_{1}$ (resp. $Z_{2}$ ) of $X_{1}$ (resp. $X_{2}$ ) such that $X_{1}=\left(Z_{1} \oplus Y_{1}\right)_{2}$ (resp. $\left.\left(X_{2}=Z_{2} \oplus Y_{2}\right)_{2}\right)$, we need to prove the following strong property.

Let $x$ and $y$ be two linearly independent elements. If any s.i.p. $[\cdot, \cdot]$ compatible with the norm satisfies

$$
[y, \alpha x+\beta y]=[y, \alpha x]+[y, \beta y], \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}
$$

then $\operatorname{span}(x, y)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $l_{2}^{2}$. So if $0 \neq y=T_{1} z \in T_{1} X_{2}$ and $x \in X_{1}$ are linearly independent, then by (5) $x$ and $y$ satisfy ( $5^{\prime}$ ); hence, $\operatorname{span}(x, y)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $l_{2}^{2}$.
(i) Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|y\|=1=\|x\|$ and $\|x+\alpha y\| \geq 1$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$. So there exists a linear function $f$ such that $\|f\|=1=f(x)$ and $f(y)=0$. We can find a homogeneous s.i.p. compatible
with the norm so that $[y, x]=0$. If $\|\alpha x+\beta y\|=1$, then

$$
|\beta|=|[y, \beta y]|=|[y, \alpha x]+[y, \beta y]|=|[y, \alpha x+\beta y]| \leq 1
$$

So we may choose the homogeneous s.i.p. compatible with the norm which satisfies $[x, y]=0$.
(ii) Let $Y$ denote the subspace $\operatorname{span}(x, y)$. We claim the norm of $Y$ is smooth on

$$
Y \backslash(\{\alpha y: \alpha \in \mathbf{C}\} \cup\{\alpha x: \alpha \in \mathbf{C}\})
$$

Suppose $\|\alpha x+\beta y\|=1$, and $|\alpha| \neq 1 \neq|\beta|$. If the norm is not smooth at $\alpha x+\beta y$, then there exist two homogeneous s.i.p., $[\cdot, \cdot]_{1}$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_{1}$, compatible with the norm which satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & {[x, y]_{1}=[x, y]_{1^{\prime}}=[y, x]_{1}=[y, x]_{1^{\prime}}, }  \tag{6}\\
& {[\cdot, \alpha x+\beta y]_{1} \neq\left.[\cdot, \alpha x+\beta y]_{1^{\prime}}\right|_{Y} } \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

But by (4) and (2),

$$
[y, \alpha x+\beta y]_{1}=[y, \alpha x]_{1}+[y, \beta y]_{1}=\bar{\beta}=[y, \alpha x+\beta y]_{1^{\prime}}
$$

and

$$
[\alpha x+\beta y, \alpha x+\beta y]_{1}=1=[\alpha x+\beta y, \alpha x+\beta y]_{1^{\prime}}
$$

We get a contradiction.
(iii) We claim that for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, there is a unique $\beta \geq 0$ such that $\|\alpha x+\beta y\|=1$. Suppose this is not true. Then we must have $\alpha=1$, and we may choose a homogeneous s.i.p. compatible with the norm such that $[y, x+\beta y]=0$. But this contradicts the fact $\beta=[y, x+\beta y]$. Similarly for any $0 \geq \alpha \geq-1$, there is a unique $\beta \geq 0$ such that $\|\alpha x+\beta y\|=1$.
(iv) For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ (resp. $0 \geq \alpha \geq-1$ ), let $f(\alpha)$ be the unique non-negative real number such that $\|\alpha x+f(\alpha) y\|=1$. Since the norm is smooth on

$$
Y \backslash(\{\beta y: \beta \in \mathbf{C}\} \cup\{\beta x: \beta \in \mathbf{C}\})
$$

$f(\alpha)$ is differentiable on $0<\alpha<1$ (resp. $-1<\alpha<0$ ) and there exists $c$ such that

$$
[\cdot, \alpha x+f(\alpha) y]=c\left\{\left[\cdot,-f^{\prime}(\alpha) x\right]+[\cdot, y]\right\}
$$

But $[\alpha x+f(\alpha) y, \alpha x+f(\alpha) y]=1$ and $[y, \alpha x+f(\alpha) y]=f(\alpha)$. We have

$$
c=\frac{1}{-\alpha f^{\prime}(\alpha)+f(\alpha)}
$$

and $f$ satisfies

$$
\frac{1}{-\alpha f^{\prime}(\alpha)+f(\alpha)}=f(\alpha) \quad \text { and } \quad-\frac{f^{\prime}(\alpha) f(\alpha)}{1-f^{2}(\alpha)}=\frac{1}{\alpha}
$$

So $1-f^{2}(\alpha)=c \alpha^{2}$. Since $f(1)=0=f(-1)$,

$$
f(\alpha)=\sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}}
$$

and $\operatorname{span}(x, y)$ is a Hilbert space. (Note: if $[x, y]=0$ then $\left[x, e^{i \theta} y\right]=$ $e^{-i \theta}[x, y]=0$ for any $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$.)

Since $Y_{1}$ is reflexive, $Y_{1}$ is a proximinal subspace, i.e. for every $x \in X_{1}$, there is $y \in Y_{1}$ such that

$$
\|x-y\|=\inf _{y^{\prime} \in Y_{1}}\left\|x-y^{\prime}\right\|
$$

Let

$$
Z_{1}=\left\{z \in X_{1}:\|z\|=\inf _{y \in Y_{1}}\|z-y\|\right\}
$$

We claim that $Z_{1}$ is a vector space. Let $0 \neq z \in Z_{1}$ and $0 \neq y \in Y_{1}$. Since $\operatorname{span}(y, z)=l_{2}^{2},[y, z]=0,\{y, z\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\operatorname{span}(y, z)$, and

$$
[y, z]=0=[z, y]
$$

So if $z^{\prime}$ is another element in $Z_{1}$, then $\left[y, z^{\prime}\right]=0=\left[z^{\prime}, y\right]$, and $\left[z+z^{\prime}, y\right]=0$. But $\operatorname{span}\left(z+z^{\prime}, y\right)$ is a Hilbert space. So $\left[y, z+z^{\prime}\right]=0$ and $z+z^{\prime} \in Z_{1}$. The verification that $X_{1}=\left(Z_{1} \oplus Y_{1}\right)_{2}$ is left to the reader. Similarly, there exists a subspace $Z_{2}$ of $X_{2}$ such that $X_{2}=\left(Y_{2} \oplus Z_{2}\right)_{2}$.

Remark 1. It is known that if

$$
H=\binom{T_{1}, T_{2}}{T_{2}, T_{4}}
$$

is a hermitian operator on $\left(X_{1} \oplus X_{2}\right)_{2}$, then

$$
\binom{T_{1}, 0}{0, T_{4}}
$$

is hermitian and $T_{1}$ (resp. $T_{2}$ ) is a hermitian operator on $X_{1}$ (resp. $X_{2}$ ) (see [4]). So

$$
\binom{0, T_{2}}{T_{3}, 0}
$$

is hermitian.
If $X$ contains a nontrivial $l^{2}$ complemented Hilbert space, then it must contain a one-dimensional $l^{2}$ complement. So we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are two Banach spaces such that there is no subspace $Z_{1}$ (resp. $Z_{2}$ ) of $X_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.X_{2}\right)$ which satisfies $X_{1}=\left(Z_{1} \oplus \mathbf{C}\right)_{2}$ (resp. $\left.X_{2}=\left(Z_{2} \oplus \mathbf{C}\right)_{2}\right)$. If

$$
H=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1} & T_{2} \\
T_{3} & T_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a hermitian operator on $\left(X_{1} \oplus X_{2}\right)_{2}$, then $T_{2}=0\left(\operatorname{resp} . T_{3}=0\right)$, and $T_{1}$ (resp. $T_{4}$ ) is a hermitian operator on $X_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.X_{2}\right)$.

## 3. The isometries on $L^{2}(\Omega, X)$

We say a complex Banach space has property (*) if there is a subspace $Y$ of $X$ such that $X=(Y \oplus \mathbf{C})_{2}$. Since $\mathbf{C}=0 \oplus \mathbf{C}, \operatorname{dim}(X)>1$ if $X$ does not have the property (*). Before proving the main theorems, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Let $X$ be a complex Banach space without property (*). Then $L^{2}(\Omega, X)$ does not have property (*).

Proof. Suppose this is not true. Then there is $f$ in $L^{2}(\Omega, X)$ such that if $f$ and $g$ are linearly independent, then $\operatorname{span}(f, g)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $l_{2}^{2}$.
(i) Let $A=\operatorname{supp}(g)$. If $\left.f\right|_{A} \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{A}\|f(t)+g(t)\|^{2} d \mu+\int_{\Omega \backslash A}\|f(t)\|^{2} d \mu \\
&=\|f+g\|^{2}=\|f\|^{2}+\|g\|^{2} \\
&=\int_{A}\|f(t)\|^{2} d \mu+\int_{A}\|g(t)\|^{2} d \mu+\int_{\Omega \backslash A}\|f(t)\|^{2} d \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\operatorname{span}\left(\left.f\right|_{A}, g\right)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $l_{2}^{2}$.
(ii) Since $0 \neq f \in L^{2}(\Omega, X)$, there is $x \neq 0$ in $X$ such that for any $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\mu\{t:\|f(t)-x\|<\varepsilon\}>0 .
$$

Let $A_{\varepsilon}=\{t:\|f(t)-x\|<\varepsilon\}$, and let $y$ be any element in $X$ such that $[y, x]=0$ and $\|y\|=\|x\|$. Let $T$ be the mapping from $\operatorname{span}(x, y)$ onto $\operatorname{span}\left(\left.f\right|_{A_{e^{\prime}}} y \cdot \chi_{A_{e}}\right)$ such that

$$
T(x)=\left.f\right|_{A_{\varepsilon}} \text { and } T(y)=y \cdot \chi_{A_{e}}
$$

Then

$$
\|T\| \cdot\left\|T^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon)^{2}}
$$

This implies that $\operatorname{span}(x, y)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $l_{2}^{2}$ for any $y$ such that $[y, x]=0$. We get a contradiction.

By the technique in [5], we have the following theorems.
Theorem 3. Assume that for each $n \in \mathbf{N}, X_{n}$ is a separable complex Banach space without property (*) and $\left(\Omega_{n}, \Sigma_{n}, \mu_{n}\right)$ is $\sigma$-finite. An operator $H$ on $\left(\Sigma \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}, X_{n}\right)\right)_{2}$ is hermitian if and only if

$$
H\left(\left(f_{n}\right)(\cdot)\right)=\left(A_{n}(\cdot) f_{n}(\cdot)\right)
$$

for hermitian valued strongly measurable maps $A_{n}$ of $\Omega_{n}$ into $\mathscr{B}\left(X_{n}\right)$.
Proof. Suppose that $A \in \Sigma_{n}$ with $\mu_{n}(A) \neq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum\left(L^{2}\left(\Omega_{m}, X_{m}\right)\right)_{2}\right. \\
& \quad=\left(L^{2}\left(A, X_{n}\right) \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n} \backslash A, X_{n}\right) \oplus\left(\sum_{m \neq n} \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{m}, X_{m}\right)\right)_{2}\right)_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2, neither $\left(L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n} \backslash A, X_{n}\right) \oplus\left(\sum_{m \neq n} \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{m}, X_{m}\right)\right)_{2}\right)_{2}$ nor $L^{2}\left(A, X_{n}\right)$ has property (*). So if $H$ is a hermitian operator on $(\Sigma \oplus$ $\left.L^{2}\left(\Omega_{m}, X_{m}\right)\right)_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H\left(\left(L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n} \backslash A, X_{n}\right) \oplus\left(\sum_{m \neq n} \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{m}, X_{m}\right)\right)_{2}\right)_{2}\right) \\
& \quad \subseteq\left(L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n} \backslash A, X_{n}\right) \oplus\left(\sum_{m \neq n} \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{m}, X_{m}\right)\right)_{2}\right)_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
H\left(L^{2}\left(A, X_{n}\right)\right) \subseteq L^{2}\left(A, X_{n}\right)
$$

By Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.2 in [5], we have proved the theorem.
TheOrem 4. Assume that for each $n \in \mathbf{N}, X_{n}$ (resp. $Y_{n}$ ) is a separable complex Banach space with trivial $L^{2}$-structure and $\operatorname{dim}\left(X_{n}\right)>1\left(\operatorname{resp} . \operatorname{dim}\left(Y_{n}\right)\right.$ $>1)$, and $\left(\Omega_{n}, \Sigma_{n}, \mu_{n}\right)\left(\operatorname{resp} .\left(\Omega_{n}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{n}^{\prime}, \psi_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is $\sigma$-finite. If for any $i \neq j, X_{i}$ (resp. $Y_{i}$ ) and $X_{j}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.Y_{j}\right)$ are not isometrically isomorphic, and if $T$ is a surjective isometry from $\left(\Sigma \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}, X_{n}\right)\right)_{2}$ onto $\left(\Sigma \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}^{\prime}, Y_{n}\right)\right)_{2}$, then

$$
T\left(\sum \oplus f_{n}\right)(\cdot)=S(\cdot) h(\cdot)\left(\Phi\left(\sum \oplus f_{n}\right)\right)(\cdot)
$$

where $\pi$ is a permutation on $\mathbf{N}, \Phi$ is a set isomorphism from $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{n}$ onto $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{n}^{\prime}$ such that $\Phi\left(\Omega_{n}\right)=\Omega_{\pi(n)}, S$ is a strongly measurable map of $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{n}$ into $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{B}\left(X_{n}, Y_{\pi(n)}\right)$ with $S(t)$ an isometry from $X_{n}$ onto $Y_{\pi(n)}$ for almost all $t \in \Omega_{n}$, and

$$
h=\sum\left(\frac{d\left(\mu_{n} \circ \Phi^{-1}\right)}{d \mu_{\pi(n)}^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Proof. Let $A \in \Sigma_{n}$ such that $\mu_{n}(A)>0$. If $H$ is the hermitian projection from the space $\left(\Sigma \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{m}, X_{m}\right)\right)_{2}$ onto $L^{2}\left(A, X_{n}\right)$, then $H_{1}=T H T^{-1}$ is a hermitian projection. By Theorem 3,

$$
T H T^{-1}\left(\left(f_{m}\right)(\cdot)\right)=\left(P_{m}(\cdot) f_{m}(\cdot)\right)
$$

where $P_{m}(t)$ is a hermitian projection on $X_{m}$ for almost all $t \in \Omega_{m}$. By the proof of Theorem 5.2 in [5], $P_{m}(t)=I$ or 0 for almost all $t \in \Omega_{m}$. By Theorem 3.1, Corollary 3.2 and the proof of Theorem 5.2 in [5], we have

$$
T f(t)=A(t)(h(t)(\Phi f)(t))
$$

where $\Phi$ is a Boolean isomorphism from $\cup \Sigma_{n}$ onto $\cup \Sigma_{n}^{\prime}$, and $A(t)$ is an isometry from $X_{n}$ onto $Y_{m}$ if $t \in \Omega_{n}$ and $\Phi(t) \in \Omega_{m}^{\prime}$. But if $n \neq n^{\prime}$, then $Y_{n}$ (resp. $X_{n}$ ) is not isometrically isomorphic to $Y_{n}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.X_{n^{\prime}}\right)$. So $\Phi\left(\Sigma_{n}\right)=\Sigma_{\pi(n)}^{\prime}$ where $\pi$ is a permutation of $\mathbf{N}$.

Let $m$ be Lebesgue measure on $[0,1]$, and let $X$ be any Banach space. It is known that $L^{2}([0,1], m, X)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L^{2}\left([0,1], m,\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\right.\right.$ $\left.\oplus X)_{2}\right)$. So we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5. Assume that for each $n \in \mathbf{N}, X_{n}$ (resp. $Y_{n}$ ) is a separable complex Banach space with trivial $L^{2}$-structure. Then $L^{2}\left([0,1], m,\left(\Sigma \oplus X_{n}\right)_{2}\right)$ and $L^{2}\left([0,1], m,\left(\Sigma \oplus Y_{n}\right)_{2}\right)$ are isometrically isomorphic, if and only if for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists $m$ (resp. $m^{\prime}$ ) such that $X_{n}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.Y_{n}\right)$ and $Y_{m}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.X_{m^{\prime}}\right)$ are isometrically isomorphic.

Proof. We only need to show that it is a necessary condition. By Lemma 2, the space

$$
\left(\sum \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}, X_{n}\right)\right)_{2}
$$

has property (*) if and only if $X_{n}$ has property (*) for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$. This implies that if $\operatorname{dim}\left(X_{n}\right)=1$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(Y_{m}\right)=1$ for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$.

Let $T$ be a surjective isometry from

$$
\left(\left(\sum \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}, X_{n}\right)\right)_{2} \oplus L^{2}\right)_{2}
$$

onto

$$
\left(\left(\sum \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}^{\prime}, Y_{n}\right)\right)_{2} \oplus L^{2}\right)_{2}
$$

We claim that $T\left(L^{2}\right) \subseteq L^{2}$ (so $T^{-1}\left(L^{2}\right)=L^{2}$ and $T\left(L^{2}\right)=L^{2}$ ). If this is not true, then there is an $f \in L^{2}$ such that $T(f) \notin L^{2}$.
(i) For any

$$
g \oplus h \in\left(\left(\sum \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}, X_{n}\right)\right)_{2} \oplus L^{2}\right)_{2}
$$

if $g \oplus h$ and $0 \oplus f$ are linear independent, then

$$
\operatorname{span}(g \oplus h, 0 \oplus f) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{span}(T(g \oplus h), T(0 \oplus f))
$$

are isometrically isomorphic to $l_{2}^{2}$. So if

$$
T(0 \oplus f) \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{g} \oplus \bar{h} \in\left(\left(\sum \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}^{\prime}, X_{n}\right)\right)_{2} \oplus L^{2}\right)_{2}
$$

are linear independent, then

$$
\operatorname{span}(T(0 \oplus f), \bar{g} \oplus \bar{h})
$$

is isometrically isomorphic to $l_{2}^{2}$.
(ii) By the assumption, there is an $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $A=\operatorname{supp}(T(0 \oplus f))$ $\cap \Omega_{n}^{\prime}$ has measure greater than 0 . By the proof of Lemma 2, if $\operatorname{supp}(\bar{g}) \subseteq A$, and if $\bar{g}$ and $\left.T(0 \oplus f)\right|_{A}$ are linearly independent, then $\operatorname{span}\left(\bar{g},\left.T(0 \oplus f)\right|_{A}\right)$ is
isometrically isomorphic to $l_{2}^{2}$. This implies that $L^{2}\left(A, Y_{n}\right)$ has property (*). We get a contradiction.

If

$$
T\left(\left(\sum \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}, X_{n}\right)\right)_{2}\right) \nsubseteq\left(\sum \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}^{\prime}, Y_{n}\right)\right)_{2}
$$

then there is

$$
g \in\left(\sum \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega, X_{n}\right)\right)_{2}
$$

such that $T(g \oplus 0)=\bar{g} \oplus \bar{h}$ for some $\bar{h} \in L^{2}$. But $T\left(L^{2}\right)=L^{2}$, so there exists $h \in L^{2}$ such that $T(0 \oplus h)=0 \oplus \bar{h}$. This implies

$$
\|g \oplus-h\|=\|T(g \oplus-h)\|=\|\bar{g} \oplus 0\|<\|\bar{g} \oplus \bar{h}\|=\|g \oplus 0\|
$$

So we get a contradiction and we must have

$$
T\left(\left(\sum \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}, X_{n}\right)\right)_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\sum \oplus L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}, X_{n}\right)\right)_{2}
$$

By the proof of Theorem 4, for each $n$, there is an $m$ such that $X_{n}$ is isometrically isomorphic to $Y_{m}$. Similarly, for each $n$ there is an $m^{\prime}$ such that $Y_{n}$ is isometrically isomorphic to $X_{m^{\prime}}$.
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