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## 1. Introduction

A finite group $G$ can be represented as a group of automorphisms of a compact Riemann surface [3]. In other words, there is a compact Riemann surface on which $G$ acts and each non-identity element of $G$ acts non-trivially on the surface. The symmetric genus $\sigma(G)$ is the minimum genus of any Riemann surface on which $G$ acts faithfully. The strong symmetric genus $\sigma^{\circ}(G)$ is the minimum genus of any surface on which $G$ acts faithfully and preserves the orientation. This terminology was introduced by Tucker [11].

Here we consider abelian groups acting on Riemann surfaces. Let $A$ be a finite abelian group. The strong symmetric genus $\sigma^{\circ}(A)$ has been completely determined by Maclachlan [5]. Also the abelian groups of symmetric genus zero and one are well-known. We will calculate the symmetric genus $\sigma(A)$ in the case where $\sigma(A) \geq 2$ by using non-euclidean crystallographic groups (NEC groups). Our basic approach is to represent $A$ as a quotient of an NEC group $\Gamma$ by a surface group $K$, so that $A$ acts on the surface $U / K$, where $U$ is the open upper half-plane. We show that there is an action of $A$ on a surface of least genus induced by an NEC group with a signature of one of three types. Groups of type I are Fuchsian groups and the corresponding action is orientation preserving. Groups of types II and III contain reflections. We denote by $\tau(A)$ the minimum genus of any action of $A$ induced by an NEC group of type II. The number $\tau(A)$ depends on the relative sizes of the ranks of certain parts of $A$. The size of the largest elementary abelian 2-group direct summand of $A$ determines whether $\sigma(A)$ is given by an action induced by a group of type I, II, or III. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 5.7. Let $A$ be an abelian group of even order with canonical form $\left(Z_{2}\right)^{a} \times Z_{m_{1}} \times \cdots \times Z_{m_{d}}$ where $m_{1}>2$. If the symmetric genus $\sigma(A) \geq 2$,

[^0]then
(i) $\sigma(A)=1+|A| \cdot(a+3 d-4) / 8$ if $a \geq d+2$
(ii) $\sigma(A)=\tau(A) \quad$ if $1 \leq a \leq d+1$
(iii) $\sigma(A)=\min \left\{\sigma^{\circ}(A), \tau(A)\right\} \quad$ if $a=0$.

We emphasize here that the numbers $\sigma^{\circ}(A)$ and $\tau(A)$ are easily calculated for a particular group $A$, and we will indicate how to calculate $\tau(A)$ in the appropriate section.

There are, of course, other genus parameters for a finite group $G$. The most important is the graph theoretic genus $\gamma(G)$ [13]. The graph theoretic genus $\gamma(A)$ of an abelian group $A$ was first studied by White [12] and he developed genus formulas in special cases. Jungerman and White [2] later found $\gamma(A)$ for "most" of the remaining abelian groups. There is an interesting similarity between the formula in Theorem 5.7(i) and the corresponding formula for the graph theoretic genus [12, p. 208, 209]. If $a>0$, then the graph theoretic genus is

$$
\gamma(A)=1+|A|(a+2 d-4) / 8
$$

The symmetric genus is also naturally related to the real genus [7]. The real genus $\rho(G)$ is the minimum algebraic genus of any bordered surface on which $G$ acts. The real genus of an abelian group $A$ was investigated in [8] with techniques similar to those employed here.

## 2. Preliminaries

We will use the following notation:
[ $a, b$ ] The commutator, $a b a^{-1} b^{-1}$
$Z_{n} \quad$ The cyclic group of order $n$
[a] The greatest integer in $a$
$|x| \quad$ The order of the element $x$
$|G| \quad$ The order of the group $G$
$\mu(\Gamma) \quad$ The non-euclidean area of NEC group $\Gamma$
$\mathscr{P}_{A} \quad$ The set of all NEC groups $\Gamma$ which map onto the group $A$ where the kernel is a Fuchsian surface group
$A[p] \quad$ The subgroup of $A$ generated by the elements of order $p$
We shall also assume that all surfaces are compact. Let $G$ be a group of automorphisms of the Riemann surface $X$, and let $G^{+}$be the subgroup of $G$ consisting of the orientation-preserving automorphisms. Clearly, $G^{+}$has index at most two in $G$. Consequently, if the group $G$ has no subgroup of index two, then $G=G^{+}$and $G$ acts on $X$ preserving orientation. In particular, if $A$ is a finite abelian group of odd order, then $\sigma(A)=\sigma^{\circ}(A)$. Thus we shall concentrate on abelian groups of even order.

There are infinite families of groups with genus $\sigma \leq 1$, and some of these are abelian. The groups of symmetric genus zero are well known. Indeed the classification of these groups is a classical result that is sometimes credited to Maschke. The abelian group $A$ has symmetric genus zero if and only if $A$ is $Z_{n}, Z_{2} \times Z_{2 n}$, or $\left(Z_{2}\right)^{3}$; see [1, §6.3.2].

The groups of symmetric genus one have also been classified, in a sense. If $\sigma(G)=1$, then $G$ is a quotient of a plane Euclidean space group and thus $G$ has one of 17 partial presentations [1, pp. 291, 292]. The abelian group $A$ has symmetric genus one if and only if $A$ is $Z_{m} \times Z_{m n}$ with $m \geq 3, Z_{2} \times Z_{2} \times$ $Z_{2 n}$ with $n \geq 2$, or $\left(Z_{2}\right)^{4}$. The book [1] has a good discussion of the work on groups of small symmetric genus and graph-theoretic genus.

Non-euclidean crystallographic groups (NEC groups) have been quite useful in investigating group actions on surfaces. Let $\mathscr{L}$ denote the group of automorphisms of the open upper half-plane $U$, and let $\mathscr{L}^{+}$denote the subgroup of index 2 consisting of the orientation-preserving automorphisms. An NEC group is a discrete subgroup $\Gamma$ of $\mathscr{L}$ (with the quotient space $U / \Gamma$ compact). If $\Gamma \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{+}$, then $\Gamma$ is called a Fuchsian group. Otherwise $\Gamma$ is called a proper NEC group; in this case $\Gamma$ has a canonical Fuchsian subgroup $\Gamma^{+}=\Gamma \cap \mathscr{L}^{+}$of index 2 .

Associated with the NEC group $\Gamma$ in its signature, which has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p, \pm,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right] ;\left\{\left(\nu_{11}, \ldots, \nu_{1 s_{1}}\right), \ldots,\left(\nu_{k 1}, \ldots, \nu_{k s_{k}}\right)\right\}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quotient space $X=U / \Gamma$ is a surface with topological genus $p$ and $k$ boundary components. The surface is orientable if the plus sign is used and non-orientable if the minus sign is used. The integers $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}$, called the ordinary periods, are the ramification indices of the natural quotient mapping from $U$ to $X$ in fibers above interior points of $X$. The integers $\nu_{i 1}, \ldots, \nu_{i s_{i}}$, called the link periods, are the ramification indices in fibers above points on the $i$ th boundary component of $X$.

Associated with the signature (2.1) is a presentation for the NEC group $\Gamma$, although the form of the presentation depends upon whether the plus or minus sign is used. If the plus sign is used, then $\Gamma$ has generators
(i) $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$
(ii) $c_{10}, \ldots, c_{1 s_{1}}, \ldots, c_{k 0}, \ldots, c_{k s_{k}}$
(iii) $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}$
(iv) $a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}, b_{p}$
and relations
(a) $\left(x_{i}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}=1$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$
(b) $\left(c_{i, j-1}\right)^{2}=\left(c_{i, j}\right)^{2}=\left(c_{i, j-1} c_{i, j}\right)^{\nu_{i j}}=1$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ and $j=1, \ldots, s_{i}$
(c) $e_{i} c_{i 0}\left(e_{i}\right)^{-1}=c_{i s_{i}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$
(d) $x_{1} \cdots x_{r} e_{1} \cdots e_{k}\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \cdots\left[a_{p}, b_{p}\right]=1$.

If there is a minus sign in the signature, then the generators (iv) are replaced by generators

$$
\text { (iv') } a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}
$$

and the relation (d) is replaced by the relation

$$
\left(\mathrm{d}^{\prime}\right) x_{1} \cdots x_{r} e_{1} \cdots e_{k}\left(a_{1}\right)^{2} \cdots\left(a_{p}\right)^{2}=1
$$

For more information about signatures, see [4] and [9].
Let $\Gamma$ be an NEC group with signature (2.1). The non-euclidean area $\mu(\Gamma)$ of a fundamental region $\Gamma$ can be calculated directly from its signature [9, p. 235]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi=\alpha p+k-2+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-\frac{1}{m_{i}}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{s_{i}} \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{n_{i j}}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=2$ if the plus sign is used and $\alpha=1$ otherwise.
A Fuchsian group $K$ is called a surface group if the quotient map from $U$ to $U / K$ is unramified. These groups are especially important in studying Riemann surfaces. Let $X$ be a Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Then $X$ can be represented as $U / K$ where $K$ is a Fuchsian surface group with $\mu(K)=$ $4 \pi(g-1)$. Let $G$ be a group of dianalytic automorphisms of the Riemann surface $X$. Then there is an NEC group $\Gamma$ and a homomorphism $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow G$ onto $G$ such that kernel $(\phi)=K$.

Now let $G$ be a finite group. If we can find an NEC group $\Gamma$ and a homomorphism $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow G$ onto $G$ such that kernel $(\phi)$ is a Fuchsian surface group, then $G$ acts on the Riemann surface $U / K$. A subgroup $\Delta$ of an NEC group is a (Fuchsian) surface group if and only if $\Delta \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{+}$and it has no elements of finite order. Macbeath [4, p. 1198] has shown that an element of finite order in an NEC group $\Gamma$ is conjugate to one of the following:
(i) a power of $x_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$
(ii) a power of some $c_{i, j-1} c_{i, j}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ and $j=1, \ldots, s_{i}$
(iii) some $c_{i, j}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ and $j=1, \ldots, s_{i}$.

It is usually easy to see that none of these elements are in the kernel of $\phi$. If $\Gamma$ is a proper NEC group, then it is also necessary to check that $\operatorname{kernel}(\phi) \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{+}$or equivalently, $\phi\left(\Gamma^{+}\right)$has index two in $G[10$, Theorem 1, p. 52]. Thus it is straightforward to verify that $\operatorname{kernel}(\phi)$ is a Fuchsian surface group, and we will omit this part of the proof from all subsequent arguments.

If $\Lambda$ is a subgroup of finite index in $\Gamma$, then

$$
[\Gamma: \Lambda]=\mu(\Lambda) / \mu(\Gamma)
$$

It follows that the genus of the surface $U / K$ on which $G=\Gamma / K$ acts is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=1+|G| \cdot \mu(\Gamma) / 4 \pi \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Minimizing $g$ is therefore equivalent to minimizing $\mu(\Gamma)$. Among the NEC groups $\Gamma$ for which $G$ is a quotient of $\Gamma$ by a surface group, we want to identify the one for which $\mu(\Gamma)$ is as small as possible; then equation (2.3) will give the symmetric genus of the group $G$.

Every finite abelian group of rank $r$ has a unique canonical form

$$
A=Z_{m_{1}} \times Z_{m_{2}} \times \cdots \times Z_{m_{r}}
$$

such that $m_{i}$ divides $m_{i+1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r-1$ and $m_{1}>1$ [6, p. 387]. We will relabel the invariants so that we may exhibit the $Z_{2}$ factors explicitly. Thus the canonical form can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left(Z_{2}\right)^{a} \times Z_{m_{1}} \times Z_{m_{2}} \times \cdots \times Z_{m_{n}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=n+a$. Notice that if $a>0$, then all of the invariants $m_{i}$ are even. This canonical form is very useful for studying genus parameters; see [1], [2], [5], [8], and [13]. We shall need another canonical form that we shall call the alternate canonical form. Let $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$ be the invariants from the canonical form (2.4) that are not divisible by 4 . Then let

$$
C=Z_{m_{k+1}} \times \cdots \times Z_{m_{n}}
$$

where $C$ is trivial in case $k=n$. Now let $E$ be the Sylow 2-subgroup of $\left(Z_{2}\right)^{a} \times Z_{m_{1}} \times \cdots \times Z_{m_{k}} ; E$ is an elementary abelian 2-group. The Primary Decomposition Theorem implies that there is an odd order group $B$ satisfying $E \times B=\left(Z_{2}\right)^{a} \times Z_{m_{1}} \times \cdots \times Z_{m_{k}}$. We define the alternate canonical form for the abelian group $A$ as

$$
A=E \times B \times C
$$

The abelian group $A$ is completely described by the rank of $E$ and the invariants of $B$ and $C$.

Now suppose the abelian group $A$ acts on the Riemann surface $X$ of genus $g \geq 2$. Represent $X$ as $U / K$ where $K$ is a surface group. We then obtain an NEC group $\Gamma$ with signature (2.1) and a homomorphism $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow A$ onto $A$ such that kernel $(\phi)=K$. The following is basic.

Proposition. Suppose $A$ has even order. Then each link period in the signature of $\Gamma$ is two. Further, each non-empty period cycle has at least two link periods.

Proof. Let $\Gamma$ have canonical presentation associated with (2.1). The surface group $K$ contains no elements of finite order. Suppose $n=n_{i j}$ is a link period, and write $c=c_{i, j-1}$, and $d=c_{i j}$ so that $c^{2}=d^{2}=(c d)^{n}=1$ in $\Gamma$.

If $n$ is odd, then since $A$ is abelian, $c d \in K=\operatorname{kernel}(\phi)$. If $n$ is even and $n \geq 4$, then $(c d)^{n / 2} \in K$. In either case, $K$ would contain an analytic element of finite order. Hence $n=2$.

Suppose there were a period cycle with exactly one link period (equal to 2). This period cycle has corresponding generators $c, d$, and $e$ satisfying $c^{2}=d^{2}=(c d)^{2}=1$ and $e c e^{-1}=d$. It follows that $\phi(c)=\phi(d)$ since $A$ is abelian, and again $c d \in K$. Thus a non-empty period cycle must have at least two periods.

## 3. Reduction of signatures

Let $A$ be a finite abelian group of even order with $\sigma(A) \geq 2$. Define $\mathscr{P}_{A}$ to be the set of all NEC groups $\Gamma$ with homomorphism $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow A$ onto $A$ such that $K=\operatorname{kernel}(\phi)$ is a Fuchsian surface group. All link periods in the signature of $\Gamma$ equal 2 . The group $A$ acts on $X=U / K$, a Riemann surface whose genus is given by (2.3). We will find the symmetric genus of $G$ by minimizing $\mu(\Gamma)$.

In this section, we show that we need only consider elements of $\mathscr{P}_{A}$ with certain types of signatures. Given any $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$, we will construct $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ having signature of a certain type and satisfying $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right) \leq \mu(\Gamma)$. The groups $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ will have similar structures, and we shall specify $\Gamma^{\prime}$ by giving the generators of $\Gamma$ which are not in $\Gamma^{\prime}$ and a list of new generators (indicated by primes). We will construct the homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ onto $A$ by specifying the images of the new generators in $\Gamma^{\prime}$ and indicating any difference between the action of $\phi^{\prime}$ and that of $\phi$. The homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}$ will act in the same way as $\phi$ on all generators that both groups have in common. If $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is a proper NEC group, then we shall construct $\phi^{\prime}$ such that if $y \in\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)^{+}$, then $\phi^{\prime}(y) \in \phi\left(\Gamma^{+}\right)$. It is then clear that $\phi^{\prime}\left(\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)^{+}\right)$has index two in $G$, and $\operatorname{kernel}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{+}$. We omit the proof that $\operatorname{kernel}(\phi)$ is a surface group, as explained in §2. Subsequently, we will use the notation that a bar over an element of $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ will indicate its image under $\phi$ in $A$.

We begin by showing that the ordinary periods may be arranged so that each period is divisible by all preceding periods.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ and $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \Gamma$ are either elliptic or connecting generators ( $x_{i}$ or $e_{i}$ ). Let $p$ be any prime and suppose $\left|\bar{z}_{i}\right|=m_{i} n_{i}$ where $m_{i}$ is a power of $p$ and $p+n_{i}$. Suppose $m_{2}<m_{1}$. There exists $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ where the corresponding generators $z_{1}^{\prime}$ and $z_{2}^{\prime}$ have images with order $m_{2} n_{1}$ and $m_{1} n_{2}$ respectively. Furthermore $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right) \leq \mu(\Gamma)$ whenever
(a) $z_{1}=x_{i}$ and $z_{2}=e_{j}$, or
(b) $z_{1}=x_{i}$ and $z_{2}=x_{j}$ and $\left|\bar{z}_{1}\right| \leq\left|\bar{z}_{2}\right|$, or
(c) $z_{1}=e_{i}$ and $z_{2}=e_{j}$.

Proof. There exist integers $a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}$, and $b_{2}$ such that $a_{1} m_{1}+b_{1} n_{1}=1$ and $a_{2} m_{2}+b_{2} n_{2}=1$. We replace generators $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ in $\Gamma$ by generators $z_{1}^{\prime}$ and $z_{2}^{\prime}$ in $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of the same type. If either $z_{1}$ or $z_{2}$ is an elliptic generator, then let the ordinary period of its replacement be given by $\left|z_{1}^{\prime}\right|=m_{2} n_{1}$ or $\left|z_{2}^{\prime}\right|=m_{1} n_{2}$. The homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ is given by

$$
\phi^{\prime}: \begin{aligned}
& z_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{z}_{1}^{m_{1} a_{1}} \bar{z}_{2}^{n_{2} b_{2}} \\
& z_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{z}_{1}^{n_{1} b_{1}} \bar{z}_{2}^{m_{2} a_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We use equation (2.2) to compute the areas. In case (a),

$$
\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\mu(\Gamma)+2 \pi\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) / m_{1} m_{2} n_{1}<\mu(\Gamma) .
$$

In case (b), $n_{1}<n_{2}$ and

$$
\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\mu(\Gamma)+2 \pi\left(1 / m_{1}-1 / m_{2}\right)\left(1 / n_{1}-1 / n_{2}\right)<\mu(\Gamma)
$$

Finally, in case (c), $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\mu(\Gamma)$.
Lemma 3.2. Suppose $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$. Then there exists $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ with the following properties.
(a) $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right) \leq \mu(\Gamma)$.
(b) The generators of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ have images in $A$ such that $\left|\bar{x}_{i}^{\prime}\right|$ divides $\left|\overline{x_{i+1}^{\prime}}\right|$ for $i=1, \ldots, r-1,\left|\overrightarrow{x_{r}^{\prime}}\right|$ divides $\left|\overrightarrow{e_{1}^{\top}}\right|$, and $\left|\overrightarrow{e_{j}^{\prime}}\right|$ divides $\left|\overline{e_{j+1}^{\prime}}\right|$ for $j=1, \ldots, k-1$.

Proof. Let $\pi$ be the set of all primes which divide $|A|$. Arrange the generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ in increasing order. Let $p \in \pi$ and use Lemma 3.1 to construct a new group $\Gamma^{\prime}$ in which the divisibility condition holds for the $p$-part of the order of the image in $A$ of the elliptic and connecting generators. We may do this for every prime in $\pi$ and the result will be the divisibility condition of the lemma.

Notice that since the homomorphism is one to one on $\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle$, Lemma 3.2 asserts that the ordinary periods of the signature may be arranged so that each one divides its successor.

We are now in a position to show that we need only consider groups with a plus sign in their signature.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ with signature

$$
\left(g,-,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right],\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k}\right\}\right)
$$

Then there is a group $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ with a plus sign in its signature which satisfies $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right) \leq \mu(\Gamma)$.

Proof. We begin by assuming the divisibility condition from Lemma 3.2. The genus of the new group $\Gamma^{\prime}$ will be $h=[g / 2]$. It is obvious that we will have to replace the generators $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}$ by new generators $a_{1}^{\prime}, b_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{h}^{\prime}, b_{h}^{\prime}$. When we define $\phi^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ the images of these new generators will be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi^{\prime}: \begin{aligned}
a_{i}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{a}_{2 i-1} \\
b_{i}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{a}_{2 i}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, h \text { and } k=0, \\
& \phi^{\prime}: \begin{aligned}
a_{i}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{a}_{2 i-1} \bar{c}_{1} \\
b_{i}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{a}_{2 i} \bar{c}_{1}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, h \text { and } k \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that $g$ is even. If there are no period cycles $(k=0)$, then redefine the ordinary period

$$
\lambda_{r}^{\prime}=\left|\bar{x}_{r} \bar{a}_{1}^{2} \cdots \bar{a}_{g}^{2}\right|=\left|\left(\bar{x}_{1} \cdots \bar{x}_{r-1}\right)^{-1}\right| \leq \lambda_{r}
$$

These are the only new generators and the other change in the homomorphism is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi^{\prime}: e_{k}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{e}_{k} \bar{a}_{1}^{2} \cdots \bar{a}_{g}^{2} \quad \text { when } k \neq 0, \\
& \phi^{\prime}: x_{r}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{x}_{r} \bar{a}_{1}^{2} \cdots \bar{a}_{g}^{2} \quad \text { when } k=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $k \neq 0$, we see that $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\mu(\Gamma)$, otherwise $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right) \leq \mu(\Gamma)$.
Now suppose $g$ is odd. If $k=0$, we need to redefine the ordinary period $\lambda_{r}^{\prime}=\left|\bar{x}_{r} \bar{a}_{1} \cdots \bar{a}_{g}\right| \leq 2 \lambda_{r}$ and add an elliptic element $x_{r+1}^{\prime}$ with order $\lambda_{r+1}^{\prime}$ $=\left|\bar{a}_{1} \cdots \bar{a}_{g}\right| \leq 2 \lambda_{r}$. If $k \neq 0$, we add the connecting generator $e_{k+1}^{\prime}$ and the reflection $c_{k+1}^{\prime}$. This corresponds to adding an empty period cycle to the
signature. The homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{\prime}: & \rightarrow \bar{x}_{r} \bar{a}_{1} \cdots \bar{a}_{g} \\
x_{r+1}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{a}_{1} \cdots \bar{a}_{g} \quad \text { if } k=0, \\
\phi^{\prime}: & \rightarrow \bar{e}_{k} \bar{a}_{1} \cdots \bar{a}_{g} \bar{c}_{1} \\
e_{k+1}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{a}_{1} \cdots \bar{a}_{g} \bar{c}_{1} \quad \text { if } k \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $k=0$, then $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\mu(\Gamma)+2 \pi\left(1 / \lambda_{r}-1 / \lambda_{r}^{\prime}-1 / \lambda_{r+1}^{\prime}\right) \leq \mu(\Gamma)$. In addition, if $k \neq 0$, then we send the reflection $c_{k+1}^{\prime}$ to some element of order two in $A$, and clearly, $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\mu(\Gamma)$.

We now prove several lemmas which cumulatively show that we need only consider NEC-groups with certain types of signature.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ has signature

$$
\left(p,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right],\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k}\right\}\right)
$$

with $p>0$ and $k>0$. Then there exists $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ with signature

$$
\left(0,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r+2 p}\right],\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k}\right\}\right)
$$

satisfying $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)<\mu(\Gamma)$.
Proof. We will find $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ with signature

$$
\left(p-1,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r+2}\right],\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k}\right\}\right)
$$

satisfying $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)<\mu(\Gamma)$. A simple induction will complete the proof of the lemma. We construct $\Gamma^{\prime}$ by deleting generators $a_{p}$ and $b_{p}$ and replacing them with elliptic generators $x_{r+1}^{\prime}$ and $x_{r+2}^{\prime}$ with orders $\lambda_{r+1}=\left|\bar{a}_{p}\right|$ and $\lambda_{r+2}=\left|\bar{b}_{p}\right|$. The homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{r+1}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{a}_{p} \\
\phi^{\prime}: x_{r+2}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{b}_{p} \\
e_{k}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{e}_{k}\left(\bar{a}_{p} \bar{b}_{p}\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\mu(\Gamma)-2 \pi\left(1 / \lambda_{r+1}+1 / \lambda_{r+2}\right)<\mu(\Gamma)$.
Notice that this reduction fails if there are no period cycles (i.e., if $k=0$ ).

Lemma 3.5. Suppose $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ has signature

$$
\left(0,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right],\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{l},\left(2^{n}\right),\left(2^{m}\right)\right\}\right)
$$

with $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$. Then there exists $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ with signature

$$
\left(0,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r+1}\right],\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{l},\left(2^{n+m}\right)\right\}\right)
$$

satisfying $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)<\mu(\Gamma)$.
Proof. Let the period cycles $\left(2^{n}\right)$ and ( $2^{m}$ ) correspond to reflections $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n}$ and $d_{0}, \ldots, d_{m}$ respectively. We will replace these reflections by the reflections $u_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{n+m}^{\prime}$. The connecting generator $e_{l+2}$ will be replaced by the elliptic generator $x_{r+1}^{\prime}$ with order $\lambda_{r+1}=\left|\bar{e}_{l+2}\right|$. The homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ will be given by

$$
\phi^{\prime}: \begin{array}{rlr}
x_{r+1}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{e}_{l+2} & \\
u_{i}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{c}_{i} & \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n \\
u_{n+i}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{d}_{i} & \text { for } i=1, \ldots, m \\
u_{0}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{d}_{0}=\bar{d}_{m} &
\end{array}
$$

It is easily checked that $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\mu(\Gamma)-2 \pi / \lambda_{r+1}<\mu(\Gamma)$.
Now a simple induction allows us to assume that there is at most one non-empty period cycle. We have shown that among the NEC groups in $\mathscr{P}_{A}$ with minimal area, there is either one with no period cycles or one with genus zero and at most one non-empty period cycle.

Lemma 3.6. Suppose $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ has signature

$$
\left(0,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right],\left\{(\quad)^{l},\left(2^{t}\right)\right\}\right)
$$

with $\lambda_{r} \geq 4$ and $t \geq 3$. Then there exists $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ with signature

$$
\left(0,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r-1}\right],\left\{(\quad)^{l+1},\left(2^{t-1}\right)\right\}\right)
$$

and $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right) \leq \mu(\Gamma)$.
Proof. Let the period cycle ( $2^{t}$ ) correspond to reflections $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{t}$ and connecting generator $f$. We replace $c_{t}$ and $x_{r}$ by a reflection $d_{l+1}^{\prime}$ and a connecting generator $e_{l+1}^{\prime}$ associated with a new empty period cycle. The
homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{l+1}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{x}_{r} \\
\phi^{\prime}: d_{l+1}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{c}_{t} \\
c_{0}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{c}_{t-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\mu(\Gamma)-2 \pi\left(1 / 4-1 / \lambda_{r}\right) \leq \mu(\Gamma)$.
Lemma 3.7. Suppose $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ has signature

$$
\left(0,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right],\left\{(\quad)^{l},\left(2^{t}\right)\right\}\right)
$$

with $\lambda_{r}$ odd and $t \geq 3$. Then there exists $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ with signature

$$
\left(0,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r-1}, 2 \lambda_{r}\right],\left\{(\quad)^{l},\left(2^{t-1}\right)\right\}\right)
$$

and $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)<\mu(\Gamma)$.
Proof. Let the period cycle ( $2^{t}$ ) be associated with reflections $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{t}$. We will replace $x_{r}$ and $c_{t}$ with a new elliptic generator $x_{r}^{\prime}$ of order $2 \lambda_{r}$. The homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{r}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{c}_{t-1} \bar{c}_{t} \bar{x}_{r} \\
\phi^{\prime}: e_{l+1}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{c}_{t-1} \bar{c}_{t} \bar{e}_{l+1} \\
c_{0}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{c}_{t-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\mu(\Gamma)-2 \pi\left(1 / 4-1 / 2 \lambda_{r}\right)<\mu(\Gamma)$.
Lemma 3.8. Suppose $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ has signature

$$
\left(0,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right],\left\{(\quad)^{l},(2,2)\right\}\right)
$$

with $r \geq 1$. Then there exists $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ with signature

$$
\left(0,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r-1}\right],\left\{(\quad)^{l+2}\right\}\right)
$$

and $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right) \leq \mu(\Gamma)$.
Proof. The period cycle $(2,2)$ corresponds to reflections $d_{0}, d_{1}$, and $d_{2}$ and connecting generator $f$. Replace the generators $x_{r}, d_{0}, d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $f$ with new connecting generators $e_{l+1}^{\prime}$ and $e_{l+2}^{\prime}$ and new reflections $c_{l+1}^{\prime}$ and
$c_{l+2}^{\prime}$. The homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{l+1}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{x}_{r} \\
\phi^{\prime}: e_{l+2}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{f} \\
c_{l+1}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{d}_{1} \\
c_{l+2}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{d}_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\mu(\Gamma)-2 \pi\left(1 / 2-1 / \lambda_{r}\right) \leq \mu(\Gamma)$.
Lemma 3.9. Suppose $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ has signature

$$
\left(0,+,\left[2^{r}\right],\left\{(\quad)^{l},\left(2^{t}\right)\right\}\right)
$$

with $r \geq 1$ and $t \geq 2$. Then there exists $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ with signature

$$
\left(0,+,\left[2^{r-1}\right],\left\{(\quad)^{l},\left(2^{t+1}\right)\right\}\right)
$$

and $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)<\mu(\Gamma)$.
Proof. We must delete the elliptic element $x_{r}$ and replace the reflections $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{t}$ corresponding to the period cycle ( $2^{t}$ ) by new reflections $c_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{t+1}^{\prime}$. The homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{t+1}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{x}_{r} \\
\phi^{\prime}: e_{l+1}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{x}_{r} \bar{e}_{l+1} \\
c_{0}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{x}_{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\mu(\Gamma)-\pi / 2<\mu(\Gamma)$.
We use Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 to reduce the number of link periods in the non-empty period cycle, as long as there are ordinary periods larger than 2. (Either lemma may be used when $\lambda_{r}$ is odd and at least five.) Therefore, we obtain a signature of one of the following two types.
(A) $\left(0,+,\left[2^{r}\right],\left\{(\quad)^{s},\left(2^{t}\right)\right\}\right)$
(B) $\left(0,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right],\left\{()^{s},(2,2)\right\}\right)$

If we have a signature of type (B), then we apply Lemma 3.8 to eliminate the
final link periods and obtain one of the form
(B') $\left(0,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right],\left\{(\quad)^{s}\right\}\right)$.
If we have a signature of type (A) with $r \geq 1$, then we use Lemma 3.9 ( $r$ times) to obtain a signature of type (A) with $r=0$. Therefore, in order to minimize $\mu(\Gamma)$, we only need to consider three types of signatures. These signatures are summarized in the main result of this section, Theorem 3.10.

Theorem 3.10. Among the NEC groups in $\mathscr{P}_{A}$ with minimal non-euclidean area, there is a group $\Gamma$ whose signature has one of the following forms.
(I) $\left(g,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right],\{\quad\}\right)$
(II) $\left(0,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right],\left\{()^{k}\right\}\right)$
(III) $\left(0,+,[\quad],\left\{(\quad)^{s},\left(2^{t}\right)\right\}\right) \quad(t \geq 2)$

Furthermore, in cases (I) and (II), $\lambda_{i}$ divides $\lambda_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$.
Henceforth, we will refer to groups with these signatures as groups of Type I, II, III.

## 4. Groups of Type II

Let $A$ be an abelian group with even order and $\sigma(A) \geq 2$. In this section, we find a group with minimal area from among the Type II groups in $\mathscr{P}_{A}$ so that we can determine the number of its empty period cycles (which is equal to $k$ ). The value of $k$ will depend on the ranks of the groups in the alternate canonical form, introduced in Section 2. We begin with the following upper bound on the value of $k$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $E \times B \times C$ be the alternate canonical form for the abelian group $A$. Let $\Gamma$ be a group with minimal non-euclidean area from among the groups in $\mathscr{P}_{A}$ with Type II signature. Let $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{k}$ be the reflections associated with the empty period cycles in the signature. Then the projections on $E$ of the images in $A$ of $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}$ are linearly independent, and hence $k \leq \operatorname{rank}(E)$.

Proof. Clearly $\bar{c}_{0}=\bar{c}_{k}$. Let $\bar{\omega}$ be an involution in $C$. Since $C$ has no $Z_{2}$ factors, there exists $\bar{z} \in C$ such that $\bar{z}^{2}=\bar{\omega}$. There exists an element $z$ in $\Gamma$ whose image is $\bar{z}$. It follows that $\bar{\omega}$ is the image of an element (namely $z^{2}$ ) of $\Gamma$ which does not involve any reflections.

Suppose that the projections into $E$ of $\bar{c}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{c}_{k}$ are linearly dependent. Then some linear combination (written multiplicatively) of $\bar{c}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{c}_{k}$ is in $C$. Let $\omega=\bar{c}_{1}^{r_{1}} \cdots \bar{c}_{k}^{r_{k}}$, where $r_{i}=0$ or 1 for all $i$, be the linear combination in $C$. We may suppose that $r_{k}=1$ by reordering the reflections if necessary. Now we define a new NEC group $\Gamma^{\prime}$ with one less empty period cycle and
one more ordinary period $\left(\lambda_{r+1}=\left|\bar{e}_{k}\right|\right)$ than $\Gamma$. Define the homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}: \Gamma \rightarrow A$ by

$$
\phi^{\prime}: \begin{aligned}
x_{r+1}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{e}_{k} \\
c_{0}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{c}_{k-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\bar{c}_{k}$ is the image of a linear combination of reflections $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k-1}$ and $\omega$ (which involves no reflections), $\phi^{\prime}$ is onto $A$. It is elementary that $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$. The fact that $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)+2 \pi / \lambda_{r+1}=\mu(\Gamma)$ contradicts the minimality of $\mu(\Gamma)$ and the conclusion follows.

Next we show that when the number of $Z_{2}$ factors in $A$ is small, there is an NEC group with a particular value of $k$ among the Type II groups in $\mathscr{P}_{A}$ with minimal area.

Lemma 4.2. Let $A=E \times B \times C$ be an abelian group in alternate canonical form and suppose $\operatorname{rank}(E) \leq \operatorname{rank}(C)+1$. Among the NEC groups in $\mathscr{P}_{A}$ with Type II signature, there is a group $\Gamma$ with minimal non-euclidean area which satisfies $k=\operatorname{rank}(E)$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ be a group with minimal non-euclidean area from among the groups of Type II signature. We may suppose that $\lambda_{i}$ divides $\lambda_{i+1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r-1$ by Lemma 3.2. Since $k \leq \operatorname{rank}(E)$ by Lemma 4.1, it follows that $k-1 \leq \operatorname{rank}(C)$. Therefore, Lemma 3.2 and a rank argument shows that 4 divides $\left|\bar{e}_{i}\right|$ for all $i$ and hence 4 divides at least $(\operatorname{rank}(C)-k+1)$ of the $\lambda_{i}$. We may assume that $\operatorname{rank}(E) \geq 1$, since the lemma is easy if $\operatorname{rank}(E)=0$. Therefore, there exists some index $t<r$ such that $\lambda_{t}=2 u$ where $u$ is odd. The projections of $\bar{c}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{c}_{k}$ onto $E$ are linearly independent by Lemma 4.1.

Case 1. $\quad \bar{x}_{t}^{u} \in C$. We construct $\Gamma^{\prime}$ by replacing the ordinary period $\lambda_{t}$ by $u$ and $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)<\mu(\Gamma)$.

Case 2. $p r_{E}\left(\bar{x}_{t}^{u}\right) \neq 1$. If the projection is not linearly independent of the projections $\operatorname{pr}_{E}\left(\bar{c}_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ then we replace $\lambda_{t}$ by $u$ as in case 1. Hence we may assume that the projections are linearly independent. Define $\Gamma^{\prime}$ as the NEC group with signature

$$
\left(0,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{t-1}, u, \lambda_{t+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r-1}\right],\left\{(\quad)^{k+1}\right\}\right)
$$

We define the homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{t}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{x}_{t}^{2 q} \\
\phi^{\prime}: c_{k+1}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{x}_{t}^{u} \\
e_{k+1}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \bar{x}_{r} \bar{x}_{t}^{u q}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q$ is the unique solution of $q(u+2) \equiv 1(\bmod 2 u)$. It follows that $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\mu(\Gamma)+2 \pi\left(1 / \lambda_{r}-1 / \lambda_{t}\right) \leq \mu(\Gamma)$. Now we continue this process until $k=\operatorname{rank}(E)$.

Notice that in this case we may assume that if $\lambda_{i}$ is even, then it is divisible by 4 .

Finally, we show that when the number of $Z_{2}$ factors in $A$ is large, there is an NEC group with a particular value of $k$ among the Type II groups in $\mathscr{P}_{A}$ with minimal area.

Lemma 4.3. Let $A=E \times B \times C$ be an abelian group in alternate canonical form with $\operatorname{rank}(E)>\operatorname{rank}(C)+1$. Among the NEC groups which have minimal non-euclidean area in the subset of $\mathscr{P}_{A}$ consisting of groups with Type II signature, there is a group with

$$
k=[(\operatorname{rank}(E)+\operatorname{rank}(C)+1) / 2]
$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma$ be a group with minimal non-euclidean area in the subset of $\mathscr{P}_{A}$ consisting of groups with Type II signature. We may assume that $\left|\bar{e}_{i}\right|$ divides $\left|\bar{e}_{i+1}\right|$ for all $i$ and that $\left|\bar{x}_{j}\right|$ divides $\left|\bar{e}_{i}\right|$ for all $i$ and $j$, by Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $\left|\bar{e}_{1}\right|$ were odd. Therefore, $\left|\bar{x}_{j}\right|$ would be odd and since $\operatorname{rank}(E)$ $\geq 2$, we would have $k \geq 2$. We define a new Type II NEC group $\Gamma^{\prime}$ by replacing $e_{1}, c_{1}$, and $e_{2}$ by $x_{r+1}^{\prime}$ and $e_{2}^{\prime}$ where $\lambda_{r+1}^{\prime}=2\left|\bar{e}_{1}\right|$ (hence, $\Gamma^{\prime}$ has $k-1$ empty period cycles with connecting generators $e_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{k}^{\prime}$ ). Define a homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ by

$$
\phi^{\prime}: \begin{aligned}
& x_{r+1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{e}_{1} \bar{c}_{1} \bar{c}_{2} \\
& e_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{e}_{2} \bar{c}_{1} \bar{c}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The minimality of $\mu(\Gamma)$ and the fact that $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)<\mu(\Gamma)$ would give a contradiction. Therefore, $\left|\bar{e}_{1}\right|$ is even, and so is $\left|\bar{e}_{i}\right|$ for all $i$, by the divisibility condition. Now let $T_{2}$ be the 2-primary part of

$$
\left\langle\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{r}, \bar{e}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{e}_{k}\right\rangle .
$$

The group $T_{2}$ contains a maximal elementary abelian direct summand $T$ which is a subgroup of $E$. We can show that $T$ is linearly independent of the reflections and that its rank is at least $(k-1-\operatorname{rank}(C)$ ). Hence we have $k+(k-1-\operatorname{rank}(C)) \leq \operatorname{rank}(E)$ and

$$
2 k \leq \operatorname{rank}(E)+\operatorname{rank}(C)+1 .
$$

Now suppose that $2 k<\operatorname{rank}(E)+\operatorname{rank}(C)$. Since the reflections and connecting generators account for at most $(2 k-1)$ of the rank of the 2-primary part of $A$ (which has rank equal to $\operatorname{rank}(E)+\operatorname{rank}(C)$ ), we see that there must be at least two elliptic generators whose images in $A$ have even order. Since $k \leq \operatorname{rank}(E)-1$ and $\left|\bar{x}_{i}\right|$ divides $\left|\bar{e}_{j}\right|$ for all $i$ and $j$, we may assume that at least one of these elliptic generators has image in $A$ whose order is not divisible by 4 . We may suppose that these elliptic generators are $x_{i}$ and $x_{r}$. Now construct an NEC group $\Gamma^{\prime}$ by replacing $x_{i}$ and $x_{r}$ by $x_{i}^{\prime}, e_{1}^{\prime}$ and $c_{1}^{\prime}$ where $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}=u=\left|\bar{x}_{i}\right| / 2$ and renumbering the connecting generators and reflections so that the new ones are listed first. Define the homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{x}_{i}^{2 y} \\
& \phi^{\prime}: e_{1}^{\prime} \\
& \rightarrow \bar{x}_{r} \bar{x}_{i}^{u z} \\
& c_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{x}_{i}^{u z},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y$ and $z$ are positive integers satisfying the congruence

$$
2 y+u z \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 2 u)
$$

Finally, it follows that

$$
\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\mu(\Gamma)-2 \pi\left(1 / \lambda_{i}-1 / \lambda_{r}\right) \leq \mu(\Gamma)
$$

and equality holds if and only if $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{r}$. Therefore, we see that if $k$ has the value stated, then $\Gamma$ has minimal area in this subset, although there may be other groups in $\mathscr{P}_{A}$ of Type II signature with the same area.

Note that the strong symmetric genus $\sigma^{\circ}(A)$ is the genus of the group $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ which has minimal non-euclidean area from among the Type I groups in $\mathscr{P}_{A}$. Similarly, we define a Type II genus $\tau(A)$ as the genus of the group $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ which has minimal non-euclidean area from among the groups in $\mathscr{P}_{A}$ of Type II. The Type II genus may be computed in the following way.

Let $A=E \times B \times C$ be an abelian group in alternate canonical form with $e=\operatorname{rank}(E), b=\operatorname{rank}(B)$, and $c=\operatorname{rank}(C)$. Suppose that the invariants of
$B$ are $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{b}$ and the invariants of $C$ are $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{c}$. Let $\Gamma$ be a group of minimal area from among the Type II groups in $\mathscr{P}_{A}$ and set $M=\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi$. There are two cases.

Case 1. Suppose $e \leq c+1$. Then $k=e$ in the Type II signature and a simple computation yields the following formula.

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=b+c-1-\sum_{i=1}^{b} \frac{1}{\beta_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{c+1-e} \frac{1}{\gamma_{i}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2. Suppose $e>c+1$. Then $k=[(e+c+1) / 2]$ in the Type II signature. Now let $n=\operatorname{rank}(A), r=n-k+1$,

$$
\kappa=\max \{k+b-e, k\}
$$

and $\delta=1$ if $e+c$ is even and 0 if it is odd. We derive the following formula for $M$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=n-1-\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \frac{1}{\beta_{i}}-\frac{\delta}{2 \beta_{r}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taken together these two formulas allow us to compute the Type II genus as shown in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6. Let $A$ be an abelian group of even order with $\sigma(A) \geq 2$ and let $\tau(A)$ be the Type II genus. Then $\tau(A)=1+|A| M / 2$ where $M$ is given by either Formula (4.4) or (4.5).

## 5. The main theorem

In this section we state and prove the main theorem. Let $A$ be an abelian group with even order and $\sigma(A) \geq 2$. We begin by showing that if $A$ has enough $Z_{2}$ factors, then the minimum area will occur for a group of Type III and we obtain an explicit formula for $\sigma(A)$.

We begin by establishing the following upper bound on the symmetric genus of $A$.

Lemma 5.1. Let the abelian group $A$ have the canonical form

$$
\left(Z_{2}\right)^{a} \times Z_{m_{1}} \times \cdots \times Z_{m_{d}}
$$

where $m_{1}>2$. If $a \geq d+2$, then

$$
\sigma(A) \leq 1+|A|(a+3 d-4) / 8
$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma$ be the NEC group with signature

$$
\left(0,+,[\quad],\left\{(\quad)^{d},\left(2^{a-d}\right)\right\}\right)
$$

We calculate the area by (2.2) as

$$
\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi=d-1+(a-d) / 4=(3 d+a-4) / 4
$$

Since $\sigma(A) \geq 2, \mu(\Gamma)>0$. Therefore, if we can show that $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$, we will have the upper bound. Let $t=a-d$. The group $\Gamma$ has generators $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{d}$, $d_{0}, \ldots, d_{t}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}, f$ and relations $c_{i}^{2}=d_{j}^{2}=\left[e_{i}, c_{i}\right]=e_{1} \ldots e_{d} f=1$, $f \cdot d_{0} \cdot f^{-1}=d_{t}$, and $\left(d_{0} d_{1}\right)^{2}=\cdots=\left(d_{t-1} d_{t}\right)^{2}=1$ for all $i$ and $j$. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{a}$ be generators for $\left(Z_{2}\right)^{a}$ and $w_{j}$ be a generator for the factor $Z_{m_{j}}$ of $A$. Define a homomorphism $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow A$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{i} \rightarrow v_{i} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, d \\
& d_{j} \rightarrow v_{d+j} \text { for } j=1, \ldots,(a-d) \\
& \phi: e_{i} \rightarrow w_{i} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, d \\
& d_{0} \rightarrow v_{a} \\
& f \rightarrow\left(w_{1} \cdots w_{d}\right)^{-1} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily checked that $\phi$ is a homomorphism onto $A$ and that the kernel is a surface group. Therefore $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$.

Lemma 5.2. Let the abelian group $A$ have the canonical form

$$
\left(Z_{2}\right)^{a} \times Z_{m_{1}} \times \cdots \times Z_{m_{d}}
$$

where $m_{1}>2$. If $a \geq d+2$, then

$$
\sigma(A) \geq 1+|A|(a+3 d-4) / 8
$$

Proof. Suppose that $A$ acts on the Riemann surface $X$ of genus $\sigma=$ $\sigma(A) \geq 2$. We may represent $X$ as $U / K$ where $K$ is a surface group. Then we obtain an NEC group $\Gamma$ and a homomorphism $\phi$ from $\Gamma$ onto $A$ with $\operatorname{kernel}(\phi)=K$. By theorem 3.10 we may assume that $\Gamma$ is a group of Type I , II, or III. We will consider each of the three types separately.

First suppose that $\Gamma$ has Type II signature

$$
\left(0,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right],\left\{(\quad)^{k}\right\}\right)
$$

with $k \geq 1$. The canonical generating set for $\Gamma$ has $r+2 k$ generators, one of which is obviously redundant. Since $A$ is a quotient group of $\Gamma$,

$$
\operatorname{rank}(A)=a+d \leq r+2 k-1
$$

Since each $\lambda_{i} \geq 2$, we may use equation (2.2) to derive the inequality

$$
\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi \geq k-2+r / 2=((2 k+r-1)-3) / 2
$$

It follows that $\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi \geq((a+d)-3) / 2$. Since $a \geq d+2$ by hypothesis, we see that $a+d \geq(a+3 d) / 2+1$. Therefore, we obtain

$$
\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi \geq(a+3 d-4) / 4
$$

and by equation (2.3) we derive the inequality for the genus $\sigma(A)$.
Next suppose that $\Gamma$ has Type III signature

$$
\left(0,+,[\quad],\left\{(\quad)^{s},\left(2^{t}\right)\right\}\right)
$$

with $t \geq 2$. After removing all redundant generators from the canonical presentation of $\Gamma$, the simplified presentation has $(2 s+t)$ generators. At most $s$ of these generators have order larger than 2 . Since $A$ is a quotient of $\Gamma$, it follows that $2 s+t \geq a+d$ and $s \geq d$. Now by equation (2.2) $\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi=s-1+t / 4$. Thus, the inequality for $\sigma(A)$ follows by

$$
\begin{aligned}
4(\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi) & =4 s+t-4=(2 s+t)+2 s-4 \geq(a+d)+2 d-4 \\
& =a+3 d-4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, suppose $\Gamma$ has Type I signature

$$
\left(p,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right],\{\quad\}\right)
$$

The argument is more delicate in this case. Let $r_{2}$ be the number of ordinary periods equal to $2, r_{3}$ the number equal to 3 , and $r_{h}$ the number larger than 3. Now from (2.2)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi \geq 2 p-2+r_{2} / 2+2 r_{3} / 3+3 r_{h} / 4 \\
& 12 \cdot(\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi) \geq 24 p+6 r_{2}+8 r_{3}+9 r_{h}-24  \tag{5.1}\\
& 12 \cdot(\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi) \geq 18 p+3 r_{2}+8 r_{3}+9 r_{h}+\left(6 p+3 r_{2}\right)-24 \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We will use these inequalities to obtain a lower bound for $\mu(\Gamma)$.

After removing a redundant generator from the canonical presentation for $\Gamma$, the generating set has $2 p+r-1$ generators. Also for $p$ equal to 2 or 3 , let $A[p]$ denote the subgroup of $A$ generated by the elements of order $p$. There are two cases, depending on whether $r_{h}$ is zero.

Case 1. Suppose $r_{h} \neq 0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 p+r_{h}+r_{3}-1 \geq d=\operatorname{rank}(A / A[2]) \\
& 2 p+r_{h}+r_{2}-1 \geq a+d=\operatorname{rank}(A / A[3]) \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

If either $p \geq 1$ or $r_{2} \geq 1$, then $6 p+3 r_{2} \geq 3$ and from (5.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
12 \cdot(\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi) \geq & 18 p+3 r_{2}+8 r_{3}+9 r_{h}-21 \\
= & 6 \cdot\left(2 p+r_{h}+r_{3}-1\right)+3 \cdot\left(2 p+r_{h}+r_{2}-1\right) \\
& +2 r_{3}-12 \\
\geq & 6 d+3 \cdot(a+d)-12=9 d+3 a-12
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, if $p=r_{2}=0$, then $r_{h}-1 \geq a+d$ by (5.3). Using this, (5.1) and the fact that $a \geq 2$, we get the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
12 \cdot(\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi) & \geq 9 \cdot\left(r_{h}-1\right)+8 r_{3}-15 \geq 9 \cdot(a+d)-15 \\
& =9 d+3 a+(6 a-15) \geq 9 d+3 a-3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2. Suppose $r_{h}=0$. First assume that $r_{3}>0$, so that there is a redundant generator of order 3 in the canonical presentation of $\Gamma$. Here we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 p+r_{3}-1 \geq d=\operatorname{rank}(A / A[2]) \\
& 2 p+r_{2} \geq a+d=\operatorname{rank}(A / A[3])
\end{aligned}
$$

If $p \geq 1$, then from (5.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
12 \cdot(\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi) & \geq 18 p+6 r_{2}+8 r_{3}-18 \\
& =6 \cdot\left(2 p+r_{3}-1\right)+3 \cdot\left(2 p+r_{2}\right)+2 r_{3}-12 \\
& \geq 6 d+3 \cdot(a+d)+2-12=9 d+3 a-10
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we may assume that $p=0$. Inequality (5.1) says that

$$
12 \cdot(\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi) \geq 6 r_{2}+8 r_{3}-24
$$

Since $r_{3}-1 \geq d$ and $r_{2} \geq a+d \geq 3$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
12 \cdot(\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi) & \geq 3 r_{2}+6 \cdot\left(r_{3}-1\right)+3 r_{2}+2 r_{3}-18 \\
& \geq 3 \cdot(a+d)+6 d+9+2-18=9 d+3 a-7
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, suppose $r_{3}=0$, so that the redundant generator removed has order two. Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 p \geq d=\operatorname{rank}(A / A[2]) \\
& 2 p+r_{2}-1 \geq a+d=\operatorname{rank}(A) \geq 3
\end{aligned}
$$

Using inequality (5.1), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
12 \cdot(\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi) & \geq 24 p+6 r_{2}-24 \\
& =6 \cdot(2 p)+3 \cdot\left(2 p+r_{2}-1\right)+3 \cdot\left(2 p+r_{2}\right)-21 \\
& \geq 6 d+3 \cdot(a+d)+3 \cdot 4-21=9 d+3 a-9
\end{aligned}
$$

A review of the calculations shows that in each case

$$
\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi \geq(a+3 d-4) / 4
$$

By (2.3), $\sigma(A) \geq 1+|A|(a+3 d-4) / 8$.
These two lemmas are summarized by the following theorem.
Theorem 5.3. Let the abelian group $A$ have canonical form

$$
\left(Z_{2}\right)^{a} \times Z_{m_{1}} \times \cdots \times Z_{m_{d}}
$$

where $m_{1}>2$. If $a \geq d+2$, then

$$
\sigma(A)=1+|A|(a+3 d-4) / 8
$$

It is interesting that this formula holds for groups with $\sigma(A) \leq 1$. We obtain a formula for the genus of an elementary abelian 2-group [7, §7] as a special case of this theorem.

Corollary 5.4. The genus of the group $\left(Z_{2}\right)^{a}$ for $a \geq 2$ is given by

$$
\sigma\left(\left(Z_{2}\right)^{a}\right)=1+2^{a-3} \cdot(a-4)
$$

If the abelian group $A$ has enough $Z_{2}$ factors, then the minimum genus action is attained by an NEC group with signature of Type III. Interestingly,
it is easy to see that if $A$ has a limited number of $Z_{2}$ factors, then the minimum genus is not attained by an NEC group with a Type III signature.

Lemma 5.5. Let the abelian group A have canonical form

$$
\left(Z_{2}\right)^{a} \times Z_{m_{1}} \times \cdots \times Z_{m_{d}}
$$

where $m_{1}>2$ and $0 \leq a \leq d+1$. Suppose $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ has signature

$$
\left(0,+,[\quad],\left\{(\quad)^{s},\left(2^{t}\right)\right\}\right)
$$

with $s \geq d$ and $t \geq 2$. Then there exists an NEC group $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ with signature $\left(0,+,[\quad],\left\{(\quad)^{s+1}\right\}\right)$ satisfying $\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)<\mu(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Since $\sigma(A) \geq 2$, then $d \geq 2$. Any generating set for $A$ must have at least $d$ generators with order larger than 2 . It follows from the canonical presentation for $\Gamma$ that $s \geq d$.

Let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be an NEC group with signature $\left(0,+,[\quad],\left\{()^{s+1}\right\}\right)$. Then $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is generated by the reflections $c_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{s+1}^{\prime}$ and the connecting generators $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{s+1}^{\prime}$ where $e_{1}^{\prime} \cdots e_{s+1}^{\prime}=1$. Therefore, $e_{s+1}^{\prime}$ is redundant. Since $a \leq s+1$ and $d \leq s$, we can find a homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ that makes $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$. Further

$$
\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi=s-1+t / 4>s-1=\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right) / 2 \pi .
$$

This shows that if $a \leq d+1$, then the genus $\sigma(A)$ is the minimum of $\sigma^{\circ}(A)$ and $\tau(A)$, the strong symmetric genus and the Type II genus respectively. The next lemma shows that if $1 \leq a \leq d+1$, then $\sigma(A)=\tau(A)$.

Lemma 5.6. Let the abelian group $A$ have canonical form

$$
\left(Z_{2}\right)^{a} \times Z_{m_{1}} \times \cdots \times Z_{m_{d}}
$$

where $m_{1}>2$ and $1 \leq a \leq d+1$. Then all of the groups in $\mathscr{P}_{A}$ with minimal area are of Type II.

Proof. Suppose that $\Gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ has minimal area. By Lemma 5.5, $\Gamma$ is either of Type I or Type II.

Suppose that $\Gamma$ has signature $(g,+,[\quad],\{\quad\})$. It is clear that this group is not minimal if $a+d$ is odd. Assume that $a+d$ is even and $g=(a+d) / 2$. In this case, $\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi=a+d-2$. Now define $\Gamma^{\prime}$ to be the NEC group
with signature

$$
\left(0,+,\left[2^{a-1}, 2 m_{1}, \ldots, 2 m_{d}\right],\{(\quad)\}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$. Thus

$$
\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right) / 2 \pi=a+d-2+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-a-\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{m_{i}}\right)<\mu(\Gamma) / 2 \pi .
$$

Therefore, we may assume that $\Gamma$ has signature

$$
\left(g,+,\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right],\{\quad\}\right)
$$

where $r \geq 2$. By Maclachlan's main result [5, Theorem 4], we see that $\lambda_{1}=2$ and $\lambda_{i}$ divides $\lambda_{i+1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. Now we define $\Gamma^{\prime}$ as the NEC group with signature

$$
\left(g,+,\left[\lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{r-1}\right],\{(\quad)\}\right)
$$

Define the homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ by

$$
\phi^{\prime}: \begin{aligned}
& c_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{x}_{1} \\
& e^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{x}_{r} \bar{x}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note $\lambda_{1}=2$, since $a \geq 1$. Hence $\Gamma^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}_{A}$ and it is easily calculated that

$$
\mu\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\mu(\Gamma)-2 \pi\left(1 / 2-1 / \lambda_{r}\right)<\mu(\Gamma)
$$

Since this contradicts our assumption that $\Gamma$ has minimal area, we see that $\Gamma$ must be a Type II group.

All of the preceding results can be combined into one big theorem.
Theorem 5.7. Let $A$ be an abelian group of even order with canonical form $\left(Z_{2}\right)^{a} \times Z_{m_{1}} \times \cdots \times Z_{m_{d}}$ where $m_{1}>2$. If the symmetric genus $\sigma(A) \geq 2$, then
(i) $\sigma(A)=1+|A| \cdot(a+3 d-4) / 8 \quad$ if $a \geq d+2$
(ii) $\sigma(A)=\tau(A) \quad$ if $1 \leq a \leq d+1$
(iii) $\sigma(A)=\min \left\{\sigma^{\circ}(A), \tau(A)\right\} \quad$ if $a=0$.

The preceding theorem is the best possible. If $a=0$ (i.e. $\operatorname{rank}(E) \leq$ $\operatorname{rank}(B)$ ), then the minimum area can occur with either groups of Type I or
groups of Type II. For example, let

$$
A=Z_{m} \times Z_{2 n} \times Z_{4 n}
$$

where $m$ divides $n$ and both are odd. It is an easy computation to show that if $m=n$, then the minimum area occurs when $\Gamma$ has signature

$$
(0,+,[m, n, 4 n],\{(\quad)\})
$$

of Type II. Whereas, if $m \neq n$, then the minimum area occurs when $\Gamma$ has signature ( $1,+,[m, m],\{ \}$ ) of Type I .

Finally, we look at some special cases. Let $A=E \times B \times C$ be in alternate canonical form. If $E=0$, then the symmetric genus and the strong symmetric genus are equal. If $B=0$ and $E \neq 0$, then the minimum area occurs with a Type II group if $e \leq c+1$ and with a Type III group if $e \geq c+2$. In either case, the symmetric genus and the strong symmetric genus are not equal.

We would like to thank the referee for several helpful suggestions.
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