## THE RADIUS OF UNIVALENCE OF CERTAIN ENTIRE FUNCTIONS
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It was shown in [1] (see also [5]) that the radius of univalence, $R_{U}(\nu)$, of the function $z^{1-\nu} J_{\nu}(z)$, where $J_{\nu}(z)$ is the usual Bessel function ( $\nu>0$ ), is the smallest positive zero of its derivative, and two-sided inequalities were obtained for $R_{U}(\nu)$. In this note we give a short proof of a more general result, which delineates a rather broad class of entire functions for which the same conclusion holds. Further, we refine the inequalities mentioned above to sharper ones which give asymptotic equalities for $\nu \rightarrow \infty$. The basic idea is simply that whereas the radius of univalence is quite troublesome to deal with directly, the radius of starlikeness is obtainable almost immediately from Hadamard's factorization.

Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a Montel compact [2] family of functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

regular in $|z|<1$, and put $\gamma_{n}=\max _{f \epsilon \mathcal{F}}\left|a_{n}\right|(n=2,3, \cdots)$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z+b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a given entire function, then the $\mathfrak{F}$-radius, $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$, of $g(z)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathfrak{F}}=\sup \left\{R \mid R^{-1} g(R z) \in \mathfrak{F}\right\} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequalities $\left|b_{n}\right| R^{n-1} \leqq \gamma_{n}(n=2,3, \cdots)$ which must hold for all $R \leqq R_{\mathfrak{F}}$, show first that either $R_{\mathfrak{F}}<\infty$ or $g(z) \equiv z$, and second that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathfrak{F}} \leqq \min _{n \leqq 2}\left\{\gamma_{n} /\left|b_{n}\right|\right\}^{1 /(n-1)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the families ( $T$ ) of typically real functions, $(U)$ of univalent functions, $(S)$ of starlike univalent functions, and $(C)$ of convex univalent functions. If $g(z)$ in (2) has real coefficients, then plainly

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{C} \leqq R_{S} \leqq R_{U} \leqq R_{T} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

since a univalent function with real coefficients is typically real.
Now let $G$ denote the class of entire functions of either of the following two forms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z e^{\beta z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1+z / a_{n}\right), \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) $\quad \beta \geqq 0 ; \quad 0<a_{1} \leqq a_{2} \leqq \cdots ; \quad \sum\left|a_{n}\right|^{-1}<\infty$,
or
(a)
(b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<a_{1} \leqq a_{2} \leqq \cdots ; \quad \sum\left|a_{n}\right|^{-2}<\infty . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Theorem 1. Let $g(z) \in G$, and let $\alpha$ denote the smallest of the moduli of the zeros of $g^{\prime}(z)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{C} \leqq R_{S}=R_{U}=\alpha \leqq R_{T} \leqq \min _{n \leqq 2}\left\{n /\left|b_{n}\right|\right\}^{1 /(n-1)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The rightmost inequality in (8) follows from (4) and Rogosinski's theorem [3] that $\gamma_{n}=n$ in (T). In view of (5) and the obvious fact that $R_{U} \leqq \alpha$ we need only show that $R_{s}=\alpha$. But $R_{s}$ is the radius of the smallest circle on which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{z g^{\prime}(z) / g(z)\right\}>0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

fails at some point. If, e.g., $g(z)$ is of the form (6), then for $|z|=r<a_{1}$ and $\arg z=\theta$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right\} & =1+\operatorname{Re}\left\{\beta z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z}{z+a_{n}}\right\} \\
& =1+\beta r \cos \theta+r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{r+a_{n} \cos \theta}{r^{2}+a_{n}^{2}+2 a_{n} r \cos \theta}\right\} \\
& \geqq 1-\beta r+r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r-a_{n}}{r^{2}+a_{n}^{2}-2 a_{n} r} \\
& =\frac{(-r) g^{\prime}(-r)}{g(-r)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last quantity clearly remains positive until the first zero of $g^{\prime}(-r)$ is reached, i.e., as long as $r \leqq \alpha$. The proof in the case (7) is virtually identical.

Theorem 2. For the function $z^{1-\nu} J_{\nu}(z) \in G$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{V}(\nu)=\sqrt{2 \nu}\left\{1+1 / 4 \nu+O\left(\nu^{-2}\right)\right\} \quad(\nu \rightarrow \infty) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us define

$$
h_{\nu}(z)=2^{\nu} \Gamma(\nu+1) z^{1-\nu} J_{\nu}(z)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} z^{2 m+1}}{m!4^{m}(\nu+1) \cdots(\nu+m)}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\nu}(z)=h_{\nu}^{\prime}(2 i \sqrt{\nu z})=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2 m+1)(\nu z)^{m}}{m!(\nu+1) \cdots(\nu+m)} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h_{\nu}(z)$ has only real zeros, so has $h_{\nu}^{\prime}(z)$, and thus $\phi_{\nu}(z)$ has only negative real zeros. Being of order $\frac{1}{2}$, it is of the form

$$
\phi_{\nu}(z)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1+z / a_{n}\right) \quad\left(a_{j}>0 ; j=1,2, \cdots\right) .
$$

Following the method of Euler ([4], p. 500), let us write

$$
\sigma_{j}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-j} \quad(j=1,2, \cdots)
$$

We then find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\nu}^{\prime}(z) / \phi_{\nu}(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{j} \sigma_{j+1} z^{j} \quad\left(|z|<a_{1}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By matching coefficients in (11) and (12) the first few $\sigma_{j}$ are easily calculated (we omit the somewhat lengthy details), and then the relation

$$
\sigma_{3}^{-1 / 3} \leqq a_{1} \leqq \sigma_{3} / \sigma_{4}
$$

gives the result (10).
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