# A NOTE ON ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY OVER GROUND RINGS 

## The invariance of Hilbert characteristic functions under the specialization process

BY<br>Heisuke Hironaka

We know the following two results on the invariance of Hilbert characteristic functions of projective varieties or positive divisors on a projective variety under the specialization process:
(1) J. Igusa has shown in [2] that normal varieties in an algebraic family have the same Hilbert characteristic function.
(2) T. Matsusaka has shown in [4] that the virtual arithmetic genera of algebraically equivalent divisors on a nonsingular variety are the same, which implies that all members of an algebraic family of positive divisors on a nonsingular variety have the same Hilbert characteristic function. ${ }^{1}$

On the other hand the notion and the theory of the specialization were generalized by G. Shimura, [9], to the reduction of algebraic varieties and cycles on an algebraic variety with respect to an arbitrary discrete valuation ring in the ground field. The idea of taking a discrete valuation ring, with respect to which varieties or cycles on a variety are specialized, is not only imperative in the case of 'reduction modulo $p$ ' but is also helpful in the equicharacteristic case, or the case of 'specialization over a field' in the algebraic geometry over a fixed universal domain. When we want to discuss the local properties of the specialization of a given projective variety $V$ and $V$-cycles with respect to a discrete valuation ring $v$ in a ground field $k$, it is convenient to assume that $v$ is a discrete valuation ring of rank 1 which satisfies the finiteness condition for integral extensions, ${ }^{2}$ and in fact we suffer no loss of generality in this paper by doing so. Furthermore it will be convenient to fix a generic point, $P$, of $V$ over $k$ and to take a model of the function field $k(P)$ over the ground ring $v$ having $V$ as its underlying projective variety, i.e., the set of the specialization rings of all specializations of $P$ over $v$ as well as over $k$. This model will be denoted by $[V]_{v}$. The general theory of algebraic models over Dedekind domains was established by M. Nagata, [6].

In this paper we shall study some local properties of the specialization

[^0]process; more precisely we shall observe how the prime ideal of the ground ring $v$ behaves in the specialization rings of the model [ $V]_{v}$ (Part I). In those terms we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition for a projective variety (or a positive divisor on a projective variety) and its specialization to have the same Hilbert characteristic function (Part II, Theorems 3 and 4). Those theorems will include results (1) and (2) above. All of our results will be applicable to reduction modulo $p$, and result (2) will be generalized in the sense that the simultaneously specialized ambient variety may have singularities outside the specialized positive divisors.

## Part I

First of all we fix an arbitrary universal domain $\mathbf{K}$. Let $k_{0}$ be a field in $\mathbf{K}$, and let $v_{0}$ be a local ground ring in $k_{0}$, that is, either $k_{0}$ itself or a discrete valuation ring of rank 1 which satisfies the finiteness condition. ${ }^{3}$ For convenience we consider the residue field $\bar{v}_{0}$ of $v_{0}$ as a field in another universal domain $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$; in the case $v_{0}=k_{0}, \bar{v}_{0}$ is simply an isomorphic image of $k_{0}$. Let $(x)=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right)$ be a set of elements in $\mathbf{K}$ and $(\bar{x})=\left(\bar{x}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{x}_{m}\right)$ a set of elements in $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$. We say that $(\bar{x})$ is a specialization of $(x)$ over $v_{0}$ and denote it by $(x) \xrightarrow{v_{0}}(\bar{x})$ if for every $F(X)=F\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{m}\right)$ in $v_{0}[X]$ such that $F(x)=0$ we have $\bar{F}(\bar{x})=0$ where $\bar{F}(X)$ denotes the residue class of $F(X)$ in $\bar{v}_{0}[X]$. We denote by $\left[(x) \xrightarrow{v_{0}}(\bar{x})\right]$ the specialization ring of $(x) \xrightarrow{v_{0}}(\bar{x})$, that is,

$$
\left\{G(x) / F(x) \mid G(X) \in v_{0}[X], F(X) \in v_{0}[X] \text { and } \bar{F}(\bar{x}) \neq 0\right\}
$$

Throughout this paper we shall fix two projective $n$-spaces $S^{n}$ and $\bar{S}^{n}$ over the universal domains $\mathbf{K}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$ respectively. Let $Z$ be a positive $S^{n}$-cycle and $\bar{Z}$ a positive $\bar{S}^{n}$-cycle. If $Z$ and $\bar{Z}$ have the same dimension and the same degree and if the Chow point $C(\bar{Z})$ of $\bar{Z}$ is a specialization of the Chow point $C(Z)$ of $Z$ over $v_{0}$, we say that $\bar{Z}$ is a specialization of $Z$ over $v_{0}$ and denote this by $Z \xrightarrow{v_{0}} \bar{Z}^{4}{ }^{4}$ Let $k$ be an extension of $k_{0}$, that is, a field in $K$ which is finitely generated over $k_{0}$, such that $Z$ is $k$-rational. Then we always have a local ground ring $v$ in $k$ which is an extension of $v_{0}$ and over which the same $\bar{Z}$ is a specialization of $Z$. The residue field $\bar{v}$ of $v$ will be considered as an extension of $\bar{v}_{0}(C(\bar{Z}))$ in $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$. To construct such an extension $v$ of $v_{0}$ we may adopt, for instance, the following method: we may assume that $C(Z)=$ $\left(1, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{t}\right), C(\bar{Z})=\left(1, \bar{y}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{y}_{t}\right)$, and $\left(1, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{t}\right) \xrightarrow{v_{0}}\left(1, \bar{y}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{y}_{t}\right)$. Let $f_{1}, \cdots, f_{s}$ be generators of the ideal of those elements in $v_{0}[y]$ which are specialized into zero over $(y) \xrightarrow{v_{0}}(\bar{y})$. By choosing a suitable index $i$, $\left(f_{3} / f_{i}, \cdots, f_{s} / f_{i}\right)$ has a (finite) specialization in $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$ over $(y) \xrightarrow{v_{0}}(\bar{y})$, that is, $f_{i}$ is a nonunit in $v_{0}\left[y, f / f_{i}\right]$ where ( $y, f / f_{i}$ ) denotes ( $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{t}, f_{1} / f_{i}, \cdots, f_{s} / f_{i}$ ).

[^1]Since $Z$ is $k$-rational, $k_{0}(y)$ is contained in $k$. If $k \neq k_{0}(y)$, we take a finite number of elements in $k$, say $(z)=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{r}\right)$, which generate $k$ over $k(y)$ such that $f_{i}$ is still a nonunit in $v_{0}\left[y, f / f_{i}, z\right]$. Let $R$ be the derived normal ring of $v_{0}\left[y, f / f_{i}, z\right]$, and let $p$ be one of the minimal prime ideals of $f_{i} R$. It follows from Theorem 3, [6], that $v=R_{p}$ is a local ground ring (satisfying the finiteness condition). Since $p$ contains all $f$ 's, $v$ is an extension of $v_{0}$ in $k$, and we have $Z \xrightarrow{v} \bar{Z}$.

Lemma 1. Let $v$ be a local ground ring in a field $k$. Let $Z$ be a k-rational positive $S^{n}$-cycle and $\bar{Z}$ an $\bar{S}^{n}$-cycle such that $Z \xrightarrow{v} \bar{Z}$. Then the point set $|\bar{Z}|$ of $\bar{Z}$ coincides with $\left\{\bar{P} \in \bar{S}^{n} \mid P \xrightarrow{v} \bar{P}\right.$ for some $\left.P \in|Z|\right\}$.

This lemma is true for an arbitrary valuation ring $v$ in $k$ such that $Z$ is $k$-rational and $Z \xrightarrow{v} \bar{Z}$. In [9] it was introduced as definition of specialization and verified in an implicit way, and it is not hard to give a proof directly by means of Chow forms associated to the cycles.

Lemma 2. Let $Z$ be a k-prime rational $S^{n}$-cycle and $P$ a generic point of $Z$ over $k$. Let $\bar{Z}^{\prime}$ be a $\bar{v}$-prime rational $\bar{S}^{n}$-cycle which is a component of the specialization $\bar{Z}$ of $Z$ over a local ground ring $v$ in $k$, and let $\bar{P}^{\prime}$ be a generic point of $\bar{Z}^{\prime}$ over $\bar{v}$. Then $\bar{Z}$ is $\bar{v}$-rational, and the coefficient of $\bar{Z}^{\prime}$ in the expression of $\bar{Z}$ as a linear combination of $\bar{v}$-prime rational $\bar{S}^{n}$-cycles is equal to the multiplicity $e(p \mathfrak{\Im})$ of the primary ideal $p \mathfrak{D}$, where $\mathfrak{D}=\left[(P) \xrightarrow{v}\left(\bar{P}^{\prime}\right)\right]$ and $p$ is the prime ideal of $v$.

Proof. Let $\bar{Z}^{\prime \prime}$ be the locus of $\bar{P}^{\prime}$ over the algebraic closure of $\bar{v}$. Let $\mu$ be the coefficient of $\bar{Z}^{\prime \prime}$ in the expression of $\bar{Z}$ as a linear combination of absolutely irreducible $\bar{S}^{n}$-cycles. We want to prove $\mu=\left[\bar{v}\left(\bar{P}^{\prime}\right), \bar{v}\right]_{\iota} e(p \mathfrak{V})$ where $\left[\bar{v}\left(\bar{P}^{\prime}\right), \bar{v}\right]$, denotes the order of inseparability of $\bar{v}\left(\bar{P}^{\prime}\right)$ over $\bar{v}$. This will obviously suffice to prove Lemma 2.

We first consider the case when $Z$ has no multiple component, that is, when $k(P)$ is separably generated over $k$. We may assume $P=\left(1, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ and $\bar{P}^{\prime}=\left(1, \bar{x}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{x}_{n}\right)$. Let $u_{i}^{j}(1 \leqq j \leqq n, 0 \leqq i \leqq r), r=\operatorname{dim} Z$, be independent variables over $k(P)$. Put $u_{i}^{0}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{i}^{j} x_{j}(0 \leqq i \leqq r)$. Similarly let $\bar{u}_{i}^{j}(1 \leqq j \leqq n, 0 \leqq i \leqq r)$ be independent variables over $\bar{v}\left(\bar{P}^{\prime}\right)$, and put $\bar{u}_{i}^{0}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{u}_{i}^{j} \bar{x}_{j}(0 \leqq i \leqq r)$. Denote $[(u, x) \xrightarrow{v}(\bar{u}, \bar{x})]$ by $\tilde{\Re}$ and $[(u) \xrightarrow{v}(\bar{u})]$ by $\Re$, where

$$
(u)=\left(u_{j}^{i}: 0 \leqq j \leqq n, 0 \leqq i \leqq r\right) \quad \text { and } \quad(\bar{u})=\left(\bar{u}_{j}^{i}: 0 \leqq j \leqq n, 0 \leqq i \leqq r\right)
$$

Since the hyperplanes $\sum_{j=0}^{n} \bar{u}_{i}^{j} Y_{j}=0(0 \leqq i \leqq r)$ meet in $\bar{Z}$ at only one point $\bar{P}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{\Re}$ is integral over $\Re$. Hence $\mathfrak{\Re}$ is a finite $\Re$-module by the corollary
to Theorem 2, [6]. Therefore, applying the extension formula (Theorem 2, [7]) to the overring $\mathfrak{\Re}$ of $\mathfrak{R}$, we have

$$
\left[\bar{v}\left(\bar{P}^{\prime}, \bar{u}\right): \bar{v}(\bar{u})\right] e(p \Im \widetilde{\Re)}=[k(P, u): k(u)] e(p \Re)
$$

Since $k(P)$ is separably generated over $k,[k(P, u), k(u)]$ is equal to one. $\bar{v}\left(\bar{P}^{\prime}, \bar{u}\right)$ is purely inseparable over $\bar{v}(\bar{u})$ and $\left[\bar{v}\left(\bar{P}^{\prime}, u\right): \bar{v}(\bar{u})\right][\bar{v}(\bar{u}), \bar{v}]_{\imath}=\left[\bar{v}\left(\bar{P}^{\prime}\right): \bar{v}\right]_{\iota}$. Moreover we can prove that $\mathfrak{\Re}$ is the ring of quotients of

$$
\mathfrak{S}\left[u_{j}^{i}: 1 \leqq j \leqq n, 0 \leqq i \leqq r\right]
$$

with respect to the prime ideal generated by the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{O}$, hence that we have $e(p \mathfrak{V})=e(p \mathfrak{\Re})$.

Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\bar{v}\left(\bar{P}^{\prime}\right): \bar{v}\right]_{\iota} e(p \mathfrak{O})=[\bar{v}(\bar{u}): \bar{v}]_{\iota} e(p \Re) . \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $U_{i}^{j}(0 \leqq j \leqq n, 0 \leqq i \leqq r)$ be independent variables over $k(P)$, and denote $[(U) \xrightarrow{v}(\bar{u})]$ by $\mathfrak{T}$. Similarly let $\bar{U}_{i}^{j}(0 \leqq j \leqq n, 0 \leqq i \leqq r)$ be independent variables over $\bar{v}\left(\bar{P}^{\prime}\right)$, and denote $[(\bar{U}) \xrightarrow{\bar{v}}(\bar{u})]$ by $\overline{\mathfrak{T}}$. Obviously $\mathfrak{T} / p \mathfrak{T}=\overline{\mathfrak{T}}$.

Let $F$ be the Chow form associated to $Z$, whose coefficients may be chosen from $v$ and not all from $p$. Obviously $\mathfrak{T} / F \mathfrak{T}=\Re$. Therefore we have $e(p \Re)=e((p, F) \mathfrak{T})=e(\bar{F} \overline{\mathfrak{T}})$ where the residue class $\bar{F}$ of $F$ modulo $p$ is the Chow form associated to $\bar{Z}$. It is easily verified that $[\bar{v}(\bar{u}): \bar{v}]_{,} e(\bar{F} \overline{\mathfrak{T}})$ is equal to $\mu$. The equality (a) implies the lemma.

Next we consider the case when $Z$ has multiple components. The multiplicity is equal to $[k(P): k]_{1}$. Let $h$ be a purely inseparable extension of $k$ such that $h(P)$ is separably generated over $h$. The extension of $v$ in $h$ is unique. We denote this extension by $w$, and its prime ideal by $q$. Put $[(x) \xrightarrow{w}(\bar{x})]=\mathfrak{\mathfrak { Q }} . \quad$ By the above results we have $[\bar{w}(\bar{x}): \bar{w}]_{\imath} e(q \mathfrak{Q})=\mu / p^{v}$, where $p^{v}=[k(P): k]$, and $\bar{w}$ is the residue field of $w$. We have to prove $[\bar{w}(\bar{x}): \bar{w}]_{\iota} e(q \mathfrak{Q})=[\bar{v}(\bar{x}): \bar{v}]_{\iota} e(p \mathfrak{D}) / p^{\nu}$. Since $h$ is purely inseparable over $k$, $\mathfrak{Q}$ is integral over $\mathfrak{O}$ and therefore a finite $\mathfrak{D}$-module. We can apply the extension formula to the overring $\mathfrak{Q}$ of $\mathfrak{D}$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\bar{w}(\bar{x}): \bar{v}(\bar{x})] e(p \mathfrak{Q})=[h(x): k(x)] e(p \mathfrak{Q}) . \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q^{r} w=p w$ and $s=[\bar{w}: \bar{v}]$. Then we have $e(p \mathfrak{Q})=r e(q \mathfrak{Q})$ and

$$
[\bar{w}(\bar{x}): \bar{v}(\bar{x})]=s[\bar{w}(\bar{x}): \bar{w}]_{l} /[\bar{v}(\bar{x}): \bar{v}]_{\iota}
$$

Therefore the left hand side of (b) is equal to

$$
\frac{[\bar{w}(\bar{x}): \bar{w}]_{\iota} e(q \mathfrak{S})}{[\bar{v}(\bar{x}): \bar{v}]_{\iota}} s \cdot r .
$$

On the other hand the right hand side of (b) is equal to

$$
\frac{[h: k] e(p \mathfrak{D})}{[k(x): k]_{\imath}}=\frac{s r}{p^{v}} e(p \mathfrak{O}) .
$$

Thus (b) implies the required equality

$$
[\bar{w}(\bar{x}): \bar{w}]_{\iota} e(q \mathfrak{Q})=[\bar{v}(\bar{x}): \bar{v}]_{\iota} e(p \mathfrak{S}) / p^{v},
$$

and the proof is completed.
Let $V$ be a variety in $S^{n}$, that is, a positive $S^{n}$-cycle with no multiple components. Assume that $V$ is $k$-rational and let $v$ be a local ground ring in $k$. We denote by $I_{v}(V)$ the homogeneous ideal of $V$ in $v[Y]=v\left[Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{n}\right]$; the homogeneous ideal $I_{k}(V)$ of $V$ in $k[Y]$ is equal to $I_{v}(V) k[Y]$, and we have $I_{k}(V) \cap v[Y]=I_{v}(V)$. Put $v[Y] / I_{v}(V)=v[y]$ where each $y_{i}$ is the residue class of $Y_{i}$ and the same notation $v$ is used for the residue ring of $v$. (The natural homomorphism restricted to $v$ is an isomorphism.) Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be the total ring of quotients of $v[y]$. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a prime ideal in $v[y]$ which is homogeneous and does not contain all $y_{i}(0 \leqq i \leqq n)$. The ring of quotients $v[y]_{\mathcal{B}}$ can be imbedded isomorphically in $\mathfrak{S}$. Namely let $\mathfrak{n}_{1}, \mathfrak{n}_{2}, \cdots$, and $\mathfrak{n}_{t}$ be the prime ideals of zero in $v[y]$. We may assume that there exists a certain index $j$ such that $\mathfrak{n}_{i} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ if and only if $i \leqq j$. Then there exists a system of idempotent elements in $\subseteq$, $e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}$, such that $e_{i} e_{j}=0$ for $i \neq j, e_{i}^{2}=e_{i}$ and that $\mathfrak{n}_{i}=\left(e_{1}, \cdots, \hat{e}_{i}, \cdots, e_{t}\right)$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq t$. The kernel of the homomorphism of $v[y]$ onto the subring $v[y]\left(e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{j}\right)$ of $\mathbb{S}$ is equal to $\bigcap_{i=1}^{j} \mathfrak{n}_{i}$, so that the total ring of quotients of $v[y]_{\mathfrak{B}}$ coincides with $\mathfrak{S}\left(e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{j}\right)$.

Those elements in $v[y]_{\mathcal{B}}$ which are homogeneous and of degree zero form a subring of $v[y]_{\mathfrak{R}}$, hence, a subring of $\mathfrak{S}$. We denote this subring of $\subseteq \mathbb{S}^{\text {by }} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}$. We denote by $[V]_{v}$ the set of the subrings $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ of $\mathfrak{S}$ which are obtained as above. Now we establish some notation and give a lemma which will be useful later on. Assume $y_{0} \& \mathfrak{P}$. We use the same notation $y_{0}$ for its image in $v[y]_{\mathfrak{B}}$. Then $y_{0}$ is a unit in $v[y]_{\mathfrak{F}}$ and does not satisfy any algebraic relation with coefficients in $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{B}}\left(y_{0}\right)$ the ring of quotients of $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{B}}\left[y_{0}\right]$ with respect to the prime ideal generated by the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{B}}$. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an arbitrary homogeneous ideal in $v[y]$ such that $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$. Then we denote $\mathfrak{A v}[y]_{\mathfrak{\beta}} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ by $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The following lemma can be easily verified.

Lemma 3. $\quad \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{B}}\left(y_{0}\right)=v[y]_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{B}}\left(y_{0}\right)=\mathfrak{A v} v[y]_{\mathfrak{B}}$, where $\mathfrak{2} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{B}}\left(y_{0}\right)$ denotes the ideal in $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{B}}\left(y_{0}\right)$ generated by $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and $\mathfrak{A} v[Y]_{\mathfrak{B}}$ the ideal in $v[Y]_{\mathfrak{B}}$ generated by $\mathfrak{A}$.

Moreover we note that the local ring $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ can be obtained as the ring of quotients of $v\left[y / y_{0}\right]=v\left[y_{1} / y_{0}, y_{2} / y_{0}, \cdots y_{n} / y_{0}\right]$ with respect to the prime ideal $\mathfrak{\beta} v[y]_{\mathfrak{B}} \cap v\left[y / y_{0}\right]$, where the same notation $y_{i}$ is used for its image in $v[y]_{\mathfrak{B}}$. Such a local ring obtained as a ring of quotients of a finitely generated ring over $v$ will be called $a$ spot over the ground ring $v$.

Let $C$ be a $k$-prime rational $S^{n}$-cycle which is contained in $V$. In the case that $\mathfrak{B}=I_{v}(C) / I_{v}(V)$ we shall use either $\mathfrak{D}_{C}$ or $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ to denote the ring $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{B}}$. In this way those spots of [ $V]_{v}$ in which the prime ideal of $v$ is a unit correspond in a one-to-one way to the $k$-prime rational $S^{n}$-cycles which are contained in $V$.

We denote by $[V]_{k}$ the set of spots each of which is obtained as a subring of the total ring of quotients of $k[y]=k[Y] / I_{k}(V)$ by taking all homogeneous elements of degree 0 in $k[y]_{\mathfrak{P}}$ for some homogeneous prime ideal

$$
\mathfrak{B} \ddagger\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)
$$

$[V]_{k}$ is the subset of $[V]_{v}$ which consists of all spots of $[V]_{v}$ in which the prime ideal of $v$ is a unit.

Let $\bar{V}$ be a variety in $\bar{S}^{n}$ such that $V \xrightarrow{v} \bar{V}$. We denote by $I_{v}(\bar{V})$ the homogeneous ideal of $\bar{V}$ in $v[Y]$, that is, the ideal in $v[Y]$ generated by all forms $F(Y)$ whose residue classes $\bar{F}(Y)$ belong to the ideal $I_{\bar{v}}(\bar{V})$ in $\bar{v}[Y]$. Obviously $I_{v}(\bar{V}) \supseteq p[Y]$ and $I_{v}(\bar{V}) / p[Y]=I_{\bar{v}}(\bar{V})$. We define $[\bar{V}]_{\bar{v}}$ in the same way as we did $[V]_{k}$. (Note that $\bar{V}$ is $\bar{v}$-rational by Lemma 1.)

Let $\bar{C}^{\prime}$ be a $\bar{v}$-prime rational $\bar{S}^{n}$-cycle which is contained in $\bar{V}$. We shall use the notation $\mathfrak{D}_{\bar{c}}$, for $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ when $\mathfrak{B}=I_{v}\left(\bar{C}^{\prime}\right) / I_{v}(V)$. In this way the $\bar{v}$-prime rational $\bar{S}^{n}$-cycles which are contained in $\bar{V}$ correspond in a one-to-one way to those spots of [ $V]_{v}$ in which the prime ideal of $v$ is a nonunit.

Lemma 4. Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be a spot which has no zero-divisors. Let $\pi$ be a nonunit of $\mathfrak{D}$. Suppose that
(1) $\pi \mathfrak{D}$ has only one minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\pi \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{m}}$;
(2) $\mathfrak{S} / \mathfrak{m}$ is a normal local ring.

Then $\mathfrak{m}=\pi \mathfrak{N}$, and $\mathfrak{D}$ is normal itself.
Proof. Put $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{m}} \cap \mathfrak{O}[1 / \pi]$. Since $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ for all minimal prime ideals $\mathfrak{n}$ in $\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ is integrally dependent on $\mathfrak{D}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ is a finite $\mathfrak{O}$-module by the corollary to Theorem 2, [6]. Let $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ be a minimal prime ideal of $\pi \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$. We have $\mathfrak{D}_{m^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{D}_{m}$ by (1). Since $\pi^{-1} \mathfrak{m}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}^{\prime}{ }_{m^{\prime}} \cap \mathfrak{V}^{\prime}[1 / \pi]=\mathfrak{D}_{m} \cap \mathfrak{D}[1 / \pi]=\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}$, we conclude that $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}=\pi \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime} / \pi \mathfrak{S}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{D} / \mathfrak{m}$. On the other hand $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathfrak{O}[1 / \pi]$, and hence there exists an integer $e \geqq 0$ such that $\pi^{e} \mathfrak{S}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$. Let $e$ be the smallest integer with this property. We want to prove $e=0$, that is, $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{O}$. Suppose $e>0$. $\quad \mathfrak{D}^{\prime} / \pi \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{O} / \mathrm{m}$ implies $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}=\pi \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}+\mathfrak{D}$. Therefore $\pi^{e-1} \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}=$ $\pi^{e} \mathfrak{S}^{\prime}+\pi^{e-1} \subseteq \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$, which contradicts the minimality of the integer $e$. Thus $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{D}$ and $\mathfrak{m}=\pi \mathfrak{O}$. Now let $\mathfrak{D}$ be the derived normal ring of $\mathfrak{D}$. Then $\mathfrak{D}$ is a finite $\mathfrak{D}$-module and, by the above results, $\mathfrak{D} / \pi \mathfrak{D}=\mathfrak{D} / \pi \mathfrak{O}$. Therefore we conclude $\tilde{D}=\mathfrak{D}$ by Azumaya's lemma (see $\S 6,[5]$ ).

We say that $V$ (resp. $V$ ) is $k$-normal (resp. $\bar{v}$-normal) if every spot of $[V]_{k}$ (resp. $[\bar{V}]_{\bar{v}}$ ) is normal. We say that $V$ (resp. $\bar{V}$ ) is $k$-nonsingular (resp. $\bar{v}$-nonsingular) if every spot of $[V]_{k}$ (resp. $[\bar{V}]_{\bar{v}}$ ) is regular. A regular spot over a ground ring $v$ in $k$ is said to be $v$-unramified regular if either it contains $k$ or it has a regular system of parameters which includes a prime element of $v$.

Theorem 1. Let $V$ be a $k$-rational variety in $S^{n}$ and $\bar{V}$ a $\bar{v}$-rational variety in $\bar{S}^{n}$ such that $V \xrightarrow{v} \bar{V}$. Let $\bar{C}^{\prime}$ be a $\bar{v}$-prime rational $\bar{S}^{n}$-cycle which is contained in $\bar{V}$. Then
(1) The ideal of $\bar{V}$ in the spot $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{c}}$, of $[V]_{v}$, that is, $\left(I_{v}(\bar{V}) / I_{v}(V)\right) \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{c}}{ }^{\prime}$, always coincides with the radical of $p \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{c}}$, where $p$ is the prime ideal of $v$, and it is equal to $p \mathfrak{D}_{\bar{c}}$, if and only if $p \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{c}}$, has no imbedded prime ideals.
(2) If the spot of $[\bar{V}]_{\bar{v}}$ which corresponds to $\bar{C}^{\prime}$ is normal, then the spot $\mathfrak{D}_{\bar{c}}$, of $[V]_{v}$ is also normal, and $p \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{c}}$, is a prime ideal. If $\bar{V}$ is $\bar{v}$-normal, then every spot of $[V]_{v}$ is normal, and in particular $V$ is $k$-normal.
(3) If the spot of $[\bar{V}]_{\bar{v}}$ which corresponds to $\bar{C}^{\prime}$ is regular, then the spot $\mathfrak{D}_{\bar{C}}$, is v-unramified regular. If $\bar{V}$ is $\bar{v}$-nonsingular, then every spot of $[V]_{v}$ is $v$-unramified regular, and in particular $V$ is $k$-nonsingular.

Proof. (1) Let $\bar{V}_{i}^{\prime}(1 \leqq i \leqq \lambda)$ be the distinct $\bar{v}$-prime rational components of $\bar{V}$. Then $I_{v}(\bar{V})=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\lambda} I_{v}\left(\bar{V}_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma $1, I_{v}\left(\bar{V}_{i}^{\prime}\right)(1 \leqq i \leqq \lambda)$ are also the minimal prime ideals of $\left(I_{v}(V), p\right) v[Y]$. Take an arbitrary component of $\bar{V}$ containing $\bar{C}^{\prime}$, say $\bar{V}_{1}^{\prime}$. Since the coefficient of $\bar{V}_{1}^{\prime}$ in the expression of $\bar{V}$ is equal to one, there exists only one $k$-prime rational component of $V$, say $V_{1}$, such that the specialization $\bar{V}_{1}$ of $V_{1}$ over $v$ contains $\bar{V}_{1}^{\prime}$, and, by Lemma 2, $p$ generates the maximal ideal of $\Im_{\bar{V}_{1}^{\prime}}$. (Observe that if $P_{1}$ is a generic point of $V_{1}$ over $k$ and $\bar{P}_{1}^{\prime}$ a generic point of $\bar{V}_{1}^{\prime}$ over $\bar{v}$, then $\Im_{\bar{V}^{\prime}} \cong\left[P_{1} \xrightarrow{v} \bar{P}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$.) This implies that $p$ generates the maximal ideal in the ring of quotients of $\mathfrak{O}_{\bar{c}}$, with respect to each minimal prime ideal of $p \mathfrak{D}_{\bar{c}}$, that is, with respect to every prime ideal of $\left(I_{v}(\bar{V}) / I_{v}(V)\right) \mathfrak{D}_{\bar{C}}$ ). The assertions in (1) follow immediately.
(2) By (1) the spot of $[\bar{V}]_{\bar{v}}$ which corresponds to $\bar{C}^{\prime}$ coincides with $\mathfrak{O}_{\bar{c}}, \mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ denotes the radical of $p \mathfrak{D}_{\bar{c}}$. Since it is normal, $\mathfrak{m}$ is necessarily a prime ideal, and $p$ generates the maximal ideal of the ring of quotients of $\Im_{\bar{c}}$, with respect to m . By Lemma 4, therefore, $\mathfrak{V}_{\bar{c}}$, is normal and $p \mathfrak{D}_{\bar{c}},=$ $\mathfrak{m}$. If $\bar{V}$ is $\bar{v}$-normal, every spot of $[V]_{v}$ which corresponds to a $\bar{v}$-prime rational $\bar{S}^{n}$-cycle is normal. But for every $k$-prime rational $S^{n}$-cycle $C$ which is contained in $V$, the spot $\mathfrak{O}_{C}$ of [ $\left.V\right]_{v}$ is a ring of quotients of $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{C}}$, of [ $\left.V\right]_{v}$ which corresponds to a $\bar{v}$-prime rational component of the specialization $\bar{C}$ of $C$ over $v$; hence, $\mathfrak{O}_{C}$ is also normal. Therefore every spot of $[V]_{v}$ is normal, and $V$ is $k$-normal.
(3) By assumption $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{c}} / \mathfrak{m}$ is regular, hence, normal. $\operatorname{By}(2), \mathfrak{m}=p \mathfrak{D}_{C^{\prime}}$. Therefore, if the residue classes of elements $u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots$, and $u_{r}$ of $\mathfrak{D}_{\bar{c}}$, form a regular system of parameters of $\Im_{\bar{c}}, / \mathfrak{m}$, then a prime element of $v$ and $u_{1}$, $u_{2}, \cdots$, and $u_{r}$ form a regular system of parameters of $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{c}}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{D}_{\bar{c}}$, is $v$-unramified regular. The last assertion can be proved in the same way as in (2). We note that a ring of quotients of a regular local ring with respect to a prime ideal is always regular, [8].

In this paper we need the factorization theorem in regular local rings, but the author does not know any general proof of this theorem even in the case of $v$-unramified regular spots. ${ }^{5}$ Here we shall give a proof of this theorem under a certain restriction.

[^2]Lemma 5. Let notations be the same as in Theorem 1. If $\overline{C^{\prime}}$ contains an absolutely simple point ${ }^{6}$ of $\bar{V}$, then every minimal prime ideal in $\mathfrak{V}_{\bar{c}}$, is principal.

Proof. Let $\bar{P}^{\prime}$ be a generic point of $\bar{C}^{\prime}$ over $\bar{v}$. Let $w$ be an extension of $v$ in an algebraic extension of $k$ such that $\bar{w}\left(\bar{P}^{\prime}\right)$ is separably generated over $\bar{w}(\bar{w}=$ the residue field of $w)$. Let $\bar{C}^{\prime \prime}$ be the locus of $\bar{P}^{\prime}$ over $\bar{w}$. We write $\mathfrak{D}$ instead of $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{c}}$, and $\tilde{D}$ instead of the spot $\mathfrak{V}_{\bar{c}}$ of $[V]_{w}$. By Theorem 1 (3) $\mathfrak{S}$ is $w$-unramified regular. Since the residue field of $\mathfrak{D}$ is separably generated over $\bar{w}$, the completion $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{*}$ of $\tilde{D}$ is isomorphic to a formal power series over a complete discrete valuation ring; hence, every minimal prime ideal in $\mathfrak{D}^{*}$ is principal (Corollary to Theorem 2 [1]). The adherence of $\mathfrak{D}$ in $\mathfrak{D}^{*}$ can be identified with the completion $\mathfrak{D}^{*}$ of $\mathfrak{D}$. Then $\mathfrak{D}^{*}$ is a free $\mathfrak{D}^{*}$-module of finite type. In fact, let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{D}^{*}$, and let $w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots$, any $w_{\gamma}$ be a set of elements of $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{*}$ such that their residue classes modulo $\mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{D}^{*}$ form a base of $\mathfrak{D}^{*} / \mathrm{m} \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{*}$ as a vector space over $\mathfrak{D}^{*} / \mathrm{m}$. Then $w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots$, and $w_{\gamma}$ generate $\mathfrak{D}^{*}$ over $\mathfrak{D}^{*}$. Sincer $=\left[\mathfrak{D}^{*} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}: \mathfrak{D}^{*} / \mathfrak{m}\right] e\left(\mathfrak{m} \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{*}\right)=\left[\mathfrak{D}^{*}: \mathfrak{D}^{*}\right] e(\mathfrak{m})=$ $\left[\mathfrak{S}^{*}: \mathfrak{D}^{*}\right]$ where $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ denotes the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{S}^{*}$, we conclude that they form a free base of $\mathfrak{5}^{*}$ as an $\mathfrak{5}^{*}$-module.

Now let $\mathfrak{B}$ be an arbitrary minimal prime ideal of $\mathfrak{O}$. We want to prove that $\mathfrak{B}$ is principal. Since $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is principal, $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{D}_{S}^{*}, S=\mathfrak{O}-\mathfrak{B}$, is also principal, hence, unmixed of rank 1. Let us prove that $\mathfrak{B \mathfrak { D } ^ { * } \text { is unmixed of rank } 1 .}$ Suppose $\mathfrak{B 5 ^ { * }}$ has a prime ideal of rank greater than 1 . Then such a prime ideal contains an element $a \in S$, and there exists an element $b^{*}$ of $\tilde{D}^{*}$ such that $b^{*} \in \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S}^{*}$ but $a b^{*} \epsilon \mathfrak{B \mathfrak { D } ^ { * } .} \quad b^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} w_{i} b_{i}$ with $b_{i} \in \mathfrak{S}^{*}$. Since the $w_{i}$ are free, $a b^{*} \epsilon \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{D}^{*}$ implies $a b_{i} \in \mathfrak{B S}$ * for all $i$. This says that all $b_{i} \in \mathfrak{B S}$ *: $a \mathfrak{S}^{*}=$ $(\mathfrak{B}: a \mathfrak{D}) \mathfrak{D}^{*}=\mathfrak{B D} \mathfrak{D}^{*}$. This contradicts the assumption that $b^{*} \notin \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}^{*}$. By this we conclude that $\mathfrak{B \widetilde { S } ^ { * }}$ is unmixed of rank 1 , and therefore that $\mathfrak{B N} \widetilde{D}^{*}$ is principal. Furthermore we can take a generator $f$ of $\mathfrak{B D ^ { * }}$ out of $\mathfrak{B}$, for, if $f \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{*}=\mathfrak{B} \tilde{D}^{*}$, then $f=\sum_{i} f_{i} c_{i}^{*}$ with $f_{i} \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $c_{i}^{*} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{*}$ and each $f_{i}=d_{i}^{*} f$ with $d_{i}^{*} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{*}$. Hence $\sum_{i} d_{i}^{*} c_{i}^{*}=1$. Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}^{*}$ is a local ring, some $d_{i}^{*}$ must be a unit in $\tilde{D}^{*}$, and then we may replace $f$ by $f_{i}$. Again by means of the free base of $\tilde{D}^{*}$ over $\mathfrak{D}^{*}$ we can prove that if $f \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $f \mathfrak{D}^{*}=\mathfrak{B} \tilde{D}^{*}$ then $f \mathfrak{D}^{*}=\mathfrak{B D}{ }^{*}$ and $f \mathfrak{O}=f \mathfrak{O}^{*} \cap \mathfrak{D}=\mathfrak{P} \mathfrak{D}^{*} \cap \mathfrak{D}=\mathfrak{F}$. This completes the proof.

Let $Z$ be a positive $k$-rational $S^{n}$-cycle which is contained in $V$ and of dimension $=\operatorname{dim} V-1$. We say that $Z$ is a (positive) $V$-divisor if every $k$-prime rational component of $Z$ contains absolutely simple points of $V$. We define and denote by $I_{v}(Z, V)$ the ideal of $(Z, V)$ in $v[Y]$ in the following way: Let $Z=\sum_{i} \gamma_{i} Z_{i}$ where $Z_{i}$ are the distinct $k$-prime rational components of $Z$. Let $I_{v}\left(\gamma_{i} Z_{i}, V\right)$ denote the primary ideal of $\left(I_{v}\left(Z_{i}\right)^{\gamma}, I_{v}(V)\right)$ belonging to the prime ideal $I_{v}\left(Z_{i}\right)$ for each $i$. Then $I_{v}(Z, V)=\bigcap_{i} I_{v}\left(\gamma_{i} Z_{i}, V\right)$.

The ideal $I_{k}(Z, V)$ of $(Z, V)$ in $k[Y]$ is defined in a similar way; we have $I_{k}(Z, V)=I_{v}(Z, V) k[Y]$ and $I_{k}(Z, V) \cap v[Y]=I_{v}(Z, V)$.

[^3]Let $\bar{Z}$ be a positive $\bar{S}^{n}$-cycle such that $(Z, V) \xrightarrow{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V})$. Hereafter we assume that $\bar{Z}$ is a positive $\bar{V}$-divisor. Then we define and denote by $I_{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V})$ the ideal of $(\bar{Z}, \bar{V})$ in $v[Y]$ in the same way as $I_{v}(Z, V)$, that is,

$$
I_{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V})=\bigcap_{j} I_{v}\left(\gamma_{j}^{\prime} \bar{Z}_{j}^{\prime}, \bar{V}\right)
$$

where $\bar{Z}_{j}^{\prime}$ are the distinct $\bar{v}$-prime rational components of $\bar{Z}, \bar{Z}=\sum \gamma_{j}^{\prime} \bar{Z}_{j}^{\prime}$ and $I_{v}\left(\gamma_{j}^{\prime} \bar{Z}_{j}^{\prime}, \bar{V}\right)=$ the primary ideal of $\left(I_{v}\left(\bar{Z}_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma_{i}^{\prime}}, I_{v}(\bar{V})\right) v[Y]$ belonging to the prime ideal $I_{v}\left(\bar{Z}_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ for each $j$. It is easily verified that $I_{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V}) / p[Y]$ coincides with the ideal $I_{\bar{v}}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V})$ of $(\bar{Z}, \bar{V})$ in $\bar{v}[Y]$.

Theorem 2. Let $V$ be a $k$-rational variety in $S^{n}$ and $\bar{V}$ a $\bar{v}$-rational variety in $\bar{S}^{n}$. Let $Z$ be a k-rational positive $V$-divisor and $\bar{Z}$ a positive $\bar{V}$-divisor such that $(Z, V) \xrightarrow{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V})$. Let $\bar{C}^{\prime}$ be a $\bar{v}$-prime rational $\bar{S}^{n}$-cycle which is contained in $\bar{Z}$.

Let us consider two ideals in the spot $\mathfrak{D}_{\bar{c}}$, of $[V]_{v}$ as follows: one, denoted by $\mathfrak{N}$, is the ideal of $\bar{Z}$ in $\Im_{\bar{c}} \bar{c}^{\prime}$, that is, $\left(I_{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V}) / I_{v}(V)\right) \Im_{\bar{c}}$; and the other, denoted by $\mathfrak{B}$, is the ideal which is generated by both the prime ideal $p$ of $v$ and the ideal of $Z$ in $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{C}} \bar{c}^{\prime}$, that is, $\left(I_{v}(Z, V) / I_{v}(V)\right) \mathfrak{V}_{\bar{C}^{\prime}}$.

Then $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ have the same minimal prime ideals and the same primary ideals belonging to the minimal prime ideals, and $\mathfrak{A}$ coincides with $\mathfrak{B}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{B}$ has no imbedded prime ideals. Moreover if $\bar{C}^{\prime}$ contains absolutely simple points of $\bar{V}$, then $\mathfrak{H}$ coincides with $\mathfrak{B}$.

Proof. First let us consider the case when $\bar{C}^{\prime}$ contains absolutely simple points of $\bar{V}$. By Theorem 1 (3) and Lemma $5, \Im_{\bar{C}}$, is $v$-unramified regular and factorizable. Therefore the prime ideal of $Z_{i}$ in $\mathfrak{D}_{\bar{c}}$, that is,

$$
\left(I_{v}\left(Z_{i}\right) / I_{v}(V)\right) \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{c}^{\prime}}
$$

is principal; let $f_{i}$ be a generator of this ideal. Then it is proved easily that the ideal of $Z$ in $\mathfrak{D}_{\bar{c}^{\prime}}$, that is, $\left(I_{v}(Z, V) / I_{v}(V)\right) \mathfrak{D}_{\bar{c}^{\prime}}$, is equal to $\left(\prod_{i} f_{i}^{\gamma_{i}}\right) \mathfrak{D}_{\bar{c}^{\prime}}$. Therefore the ideal $\mathfrak{B}$ is equal to $\left(\prod_{i} f_{i}^{\gamma}, p\right) \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{c}}$, and hence unmixed. Thus we have proved that the last statement of the theorem follows from the first one. Let us consider the case when $\bar{C}^{\prime}$ is a $\bar{v}$-prime rational component of $\bar{Z}$. We note that every component of $\bar{Z}$ contains absolutely simple points of $\bar{V}$. Some $f_{i}$ in the above reasoning may be units in $\mathfrak{D}_{\bar{c}^{\prime}}$; in fact $f_{i}$ is a unit in $\Im_{\bar{c}}$, if and only if the specialization $\bar{Z}_{i}$ of $Z_{i}$ over $v$ does not contain $\bar{C}^{\prime}$. Hereafter we omit such $f_{i}$. By Lemma 2 the coefficient of $\bar{C}^{\prime}$ in the expression of $\bar{Z}_{i}$ is equal to the multiplicity $e\left(\left(p, f_{i}\right) \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{c}^{\prime}} / f_{i} \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{c}^{\prime}}\right)$ for each $i$. Therefore by the associativity formula (Theorem $8,[7])$ we have $e\left(\left(p, \prod_{i} f_{i}^{\gamma_{i}}\right) \mathfrak{V}_{\bar{c}}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ is equal to the coefficient of $\bar{C}^{\prime}$ in the expression of $\bar{Z}$. Let $\gamma^{\prime}$ be this integer. Since the ideal of $\bar{V}$ in $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{c}}$, is generated by $p$ (Theorem 1 (1)) and the maximal ideal $\left(I_{v}\left(\overline{C^{\prime}}\right) / I_{v}(V)\right) \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{C}}$, is generated by two elements one of which belongs to $p$, we can see that the ideal $\left(I_{v}\left(\gamma^{\prime} \bar{C}^{\prime}, \bar{V}\right) / I_{v}(V)\right) \mathfrak{D}_{\bar{C}}$, coincides with $\left(p, \prod_{i} f_{i}^{\gamma_{i}}\right) \mathfrak{D}_{\bar{C}}$, . Therefore it is easily verified that $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ coincide for the $\bar{v}$-prime rational component $\bar{C}^{\prime}$ of $\bar{Z}$. This result shows that for general $\bar{C}^{\prime}$ in the theorem the
two ideals $\mathfrak{N}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ have the same primary ideals belonging to the minimal prime ideals of $\mathfrak{N}$, but the fact that $\mathfrak{N}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ have the same minimal prime ideals follows directly from Lemma 1. Thus the proof of the theorem is completed.

## Part II

In this part we shall discuss what condition should be imposed on the spots of $[V]_{v}$ in order that the variety $V$ (resp. the $V$-divisor, $Z$ ) and the variety $\bar{V}$ (resp. the $\bar{V}$-divisor, $\bar{Z}$ ) have the same Hilbert characteristic function.

The Hilbert characteristic function $\chi_{\nu}(m)$ of $V$ is a polynomial in $m$, whose value for $m$ sufficiently large is equal to $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left[k[Y] / I_{k}(V)\right]_{m}{ }^{7}{ }^{7}$ where $\left[k[Y] / I_{k}(V)\right]_{m}$ denotes the $k$-module of all homogeneous elements of degree $m$ in $k[Y] / I_{k}(V)$. We can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{v}(m)=\operatorname{dim}_{\bar{v}}\left[v[Y] /\left(I_{v}(V), p\right) v[Y]\right]_{m} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m$ sufficiently large. In fact the $v$-submodule $I_{v}(V)_{m}$ of $v[Y]_{m}$ is of finite type and torsion free, hence, a free $v$-module. On the other hand, since $I_{v}(V): p=I_{v}(V)$ as is easily seen, $v[Y]_{m} / I_{v}(V)_{m}$ is also torsion free as well as of finite type, and therefore it is a free $v$-module. By choosing a set of elements in $v[Y]_{m}$ which forms a free base of $v[Y]_{m} / I_{v}(V)_{m}$ modulo $I_{v}(V)_{m}$ and by joining them to a free base of $I_{v}(V)_{m}$, we can make a free base of $v[Y]_{m}$. Let $\left\{M_{1}, M_{2}, \cdots, M_{\mu}, N_{1}, N_{2}, \cdots, N_{\nu}\right\}$ be such a free base of $v[Y]_{m}$ where $\left\{N_{1}, \cdots, N_{\nu}\right\}$ is a free base of $I_{\nu}(V)_{m}$. Then it is easily verified that the set $\left\{M_{1}, M_{2}, \cdots, M_{\mu}\right\}$ forms a free base of $k$-module $\left[k[Y] / I_{k}(V)\right]_{m}$ modulo $I_{k}(V)$ and also of $\bar{v}$-module $\left[v[Y] /\left(I_{v}(V), p\right) v[Y]\right]_{m} \operatorname{modulo}\left(I_{v}(V), p\right) v[Y]$, and the equality (1) follows.

On the other hand the Hilbert characteristic function $\chi_{\bar{V}}(m)$ of $\bar{V}$ is equal to $\operatorname{dim}_{\bar{v}}\left[\bar{v}[Y] / I_{\bar{v}}(\bar{V})\right]_{m}$ for $m$ sufficiently large, by definition. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\bar{v}}(m)=\operatorname{dim}_{\bar{v}}\left[v[Y] / I_{v}(\bar{V})\right]_{m} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m$ sufficiently large.
Next let us consider the case of the specialization $(Z, V) \xrightarrow{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V})$. The Hilbert characteristic function of the positive $V$-divisor $Z$ is defined and denoted by $\chi_{Z, V}(m)$ as a polynomial in $m$ whose values are equal to

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left[k[Y] / I_{k}(Z, V)\right]_{m}
$$

for $m$ sufficiently large. ${ }^{8}$ The same reasoning as that used in proving the equalities (1) and (2) is applicable to verify the following equalities:

$$
(1)^{*} \quad \chi_{Z, v}(m)=\operatorname{dim}_{\bar{v}}\left[v[Y] /\left(I_{v}(Z, V), p\right) v[Y]\right]_{m}
$$

for $m$ sufficiently large, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\bar{z}, \bar{v}}(m)=\operatorname{dim}_{\bar{v}}\left[v[Y] / I_{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V})\right]_{m} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m$ sufficiently large.

[^4]These results arouse our interest in the relation between the two ideals $\left(I_{v}(V), p\right) v[Y]$ and $I_{v}(\bar{V})$ in the former case, and that between $\left(I_{v}(Z, V), p\right) v[Y]$ and $I_{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V})$ in the latter case.

As for the former case Theorem 1 (2) implies that the two ideals $\left(I_{v}(V), p\right) v[Y]$ and $I_{v}(\bar{V})$ have the same minimal prime ideals and that for every minimal prime ideal $I$ of them we have $\left(I_{v}(\bar{V}) / I_{v}(V)\right) \mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{B}}=p \mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{B}}$, where $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is the spot of [ $\left.V\right]_{v}$ which corresponds to the prime ideal $\mathfrak{B}=I / I_{v}(V)$ in $v[Y] / I_{v}(V)$. Therefore by means of Lemma 3 we have

$$
I_{v}(\bar{V}) v[Y]_{I}=\left(I_{v}(V), p\right) v[Y]_{I}
$$

and we can express the general relation between the two ideals by writing

$$
\left(I_{v}(V), p\right) v[Y]=I_{v}(\bar{V}) \cap J
$$

with a homogeneous ideal $J$ in $v[Y]$ every prime ideal of which is properly imbedded in some of the prime ideals of $I_{v}(\bar{V})$. The equalities (1) and (2) imply that we have $\chi_{v}(m)=\chi_{\bar{v}}(m)$ if and only if $\left[\left(I_{v}(V), p\right) v[Y]\right]_{m}=I_{v}(\bar{V})_{m}$ for $m$ sufficiently large, or equivalently (as is easily verified) if and only if the ideal $J$ is irrelevant in the sense that $J$ contains all monomials in $Y$ 's of sufficiently large degree.

Theorem 3. Varieties $V$ in $S^{n}$ and $\bar{V}$ in $\bar{S}^{n}$, such that $V \xrightarrow{v} \bar{V}$, have the same Hilbert characteristic function if and only if the prime ideal $p$ of $v$ generates an unmixed ideal in every spot of $[V]_{v}$. Moreover if $\bar{V}$ is $\bar{v}$-normal, then $V$ and $\bar{V}$ have the same Hilbert characteristic function, and $V$ is $k$-normal.

Proof. Put $v[y]=v[Y] / I_{v}(V)$, and let us take an arbitrary homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{B}$ in $v[y]$ which does not contain all the $y$ 's. Then, by means of Lemma 3, $p \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is unmixed if and only if $p v[y]_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is unmixed. Therefore $p$ generates an unmixed ideal in every spot of $[V]_{v}$ if and only if $p v[y]_{\mathfrak{\beta}}$ is unmixed for all such $\mathfrak{B}$. It is now easily seen that the previous result implies the first assertion of the theorem. The last half of the theorem follows directly from Theorem 1 (2).

Now let us consider the latter case, that is, the case of the specialization $(Z, V) \xrightarrow{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V})$. Theorem 2 implies that the two ideals $\left(I_{v}(Z, V), p\right) v[Y]$ and $I_{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V})$ have the same minimal prime ideals and that for every minimal prime ideal $I$ of them we have $\left(I_{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V}) / I_{v}(V)\right) \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{B}}=\left(I_{v}(Z, V) / I_{v}(V), p\right) \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{\beta}}$, where $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is the spot of $[V]_{v}$ which corresponds to the prime ideal $\mathfrak{B}=I / I_{v}(V)$ in $v[Y] / I_{v}(V)$. Therefore by means of Lemma 3 we have

$$
I_{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V}) v[Y]_{I}=\left(I_{v}(Z, V), p\right) v[Y]_{I}
$$

and we have the general relation

$$
\left(I_{v}(Z, V), p\right) v[Y]=I_{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V}) \cap J^{*}
$$

with a homogeneous ideal $J^{*}$ in $v[Y]$ each of whose prime ideals is properly imbedded in at least one of the prime ideals of $I_{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V})$. The equalities
(1)* and (2)* imply that we have $\chi_{z, V}(m)=\chi_{\bar{z}, \bar{v}}(m)$ if and only if the ideal $J^{*}$ is irrelevant.

Theorem 4. A positive $V$-divisor $Z$ in $S^{n}$ and a positive $\bar{V}$-divisor $\bar{Z}$ in $\bar{S}^{n}$, such that $(Z, V) \xrightarrow{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V})$, have the same characteristic function if and only if the prime ideal $p$ of $v$ and the ideal of $Z$ generate an unmixed ideal in every spot of $[V]_{v}$. Moreover if $\bar{Z}$ does not contain any singular point of $\bar{V}$ (in the absolute sense), then they have the same Hilbert characteristic function, and $Z$ does not contain any singular point of $V$.

Proof. The same reasoning as that used in the proof of Theorem 3 is applicable to prove this theorem. Namely, for every homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{B}$ of $v[y]$ which contains $I_{v}(\bar{Z}, \bar{V}) / I_{v}(V)$ but does not contain all the $y$ 's, $p$ and the ideal of $Z$ generate an unmixed ideal in the spot $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ of $[V]_{v}$ if and only if $\left(I_{v}(Z, V) / I_{v}(V), p\right) v[y]_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is unmixed. Therefore the previous result implies the first assertion of the theorem. The other assertions of the theorem follow from Theorem 2 and Theorem 1 (3).
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[^0]:    Received November 29, 1956.
    ${ }^{1}$ The Hilbert characteristic function of a positive divisor on a projective variety will be defined in Part II. As for the relation between the Hilbert characteristic function and the virtual arithemetic genus of a positive divisor on a projective variety we refer to [11], Part III $(10,11)$.
    ${ }^{2}$ The finiteness condition for integral extensions is said to be satisfied by a ring $v$ if every integral extension of $v^{\prime}$ of $v$ is a finite $v$-module whenever the field of quotients of $v^{\prime}$ is a finite algebraic extension of that of $v$. (See the introduction of [6].)

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ See footnote 2.
    ${ }^{4}$ See [3] and [9].

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ In the case of equicharacteristic ground ring $v$ every regular (not necessarily $v$ unramified) spot over $v$ is factorizable. (See [1].)

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ See [10].

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ This value is independent of $k$ if $V$ is $k$-rational.
    ${ }^{8}$ This value is independent of $k$ if both $V$ and $Z$ are $k$-rational.

