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#### Abstract

Due to recent advances in the theory of ideals of Lipschitz mappings, we introduce ( $p, \sigma$ )-absolutely Lipschitz mappings as an interpolating class between Lipschitz mappings and Lipschitz absolutely $p$-summing mappings. Among other results, we prove a factorization theorem that provides a reformulation to the one given by Farmer and Johnson for Lipschitz absolutely p-summing mappings.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The fruitful development of the theory of absolute summability for linear operators (see, e.g., [8] for the general theory) produced several generalizations to the nonlinear context. This is the case of Lipschitz $p$-summing mappings (introduced by Farmer and Johnson in [9]), which quickly attracted the interest of many researchers trying to derive a parallel theory to the linear one (see, e.g., [5]-[7], [11]).

Midway between continuous linear operators and absolutely summing operators, a scale of linear operators (namely, $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous operators $1 \leq p<\infty, 0 \leq \sigma<1$ ) was defined by Matter in [13] and [14] by applying an interpolative ideal procedure. The interpolated operator ideal $\Pi_{p, \sigma}$ of all $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous operators was defined as an intermediate operator ideal between the ideal $\Pi_{p}$ of the absolutely $p$-summing linear operators and the ideal of all continuous operators, and it shares similar properties with absolutely

[^0]$a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$,
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left\|T\left(x_{i}\right)-T\left(y_{i}\right)\right\|^{p} \leq C^{p} \sup _{f \in B_{X} \#} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(y_{i}\right)\right|^{p}
$$

The Lipschitz $p$-summing norm, $\pi_{p}^{L}(T)$, of $T$ is the smallest constant $C \geq 0$ that fulfills the above inequality.

Lipschitz $p$-dominated operators between Banach spaces are treated in [7]. Let $E$ and $F$ be Banach spaces. A map $T \in \operatorname{Lip}_{0}(E, F)$ is Lipschitz p-dominated if there exist a Banach space $Z$ and a linear operator $S \in \Pi_{p}(E, Z)$ such that $\left\|T(x)-T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|S(x)-S\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|$ for all $x, x^{\prime} \in E$.

Let $d_{p}^{L}(T)$ denote the infimum of all $\pi_{p}(S)$ when $S$ varies over all linear $p$ summing operators defined on $E$ that fulfill the above condition. This is a norm for the space $D_{p}^{L}(E, F)$ of all Lipschitz $p$-dominated mappings between $E$ and $F$. Any mapping in $D_{p}^{L}(E, F)$ is Lipschitz and Lip $\leq d_{p}^{L}$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{p}^{L}(E, F) \subset \Pi_{p}^{L}(E, F) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. $(p, \sigma)$-Absolutely Lipschitz mappings

Let $1 \leq p<\infty$, and let $0 \leq \sigma<1$. Recall that a linear operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ between two Banach spaces $E$ and $F$ is called $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous (see [13]) if there exist a Banach space $G$ and a linear operator $S \in \Pi_{p}(E, G)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(x)\| \leq\|x\|^{\sigma}\|S(x)\|^{1-\sigma}, \quad x \in E . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\pi_{p, \sigma}(T)=\inf \pi_{p}(S)^{1-\sigma}$, where the infimum is taken over all Banach spaces $G$ and $S \in \Pi_{p}(E, G)$ such that (2.1) holds. By $\Pi_{p, \sigma}(E, F)$, we denote the Banach space of all $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous operators between $E$ and $F$.

Let us introduce the Lipschitz version of $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous operators.
Definition 2.1. Let $1 \leq p<\infty$, and let $0 \leq \sigma<1$. Let $X$ be a pointed metric space, and let $E$ be a Banach space. A base point preserving mapping $T \in$ $\operatorname{Lip}_{0}(X, E)$ is called $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely Lipschitz if there exist a Banach space $F$ and a Lipschitz operator $S \in \Pi_{p}^{L}(X, F)$ such that

$$
\left\|T(x)-T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|S(x)-S\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}
$$

for all $x, x^{\prime} \in X$. Let $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(T)$ denote the infimum of all $\pi_{p}^{L}(S)^{1-\sigma}$ when $S$ varies over all Lipschitz $p$-summing operators defined on $X$ that fulfill the above condition.

The space of all $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely Lipschitz mappings between $X$ and $E$ is denoted by $\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(X, E)$. An easy calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{p}^{L} \subset \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{L} \subset \operatorname{Lip}_{0} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Lip $\leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{L} \leq \pi_{p}^{L}$ for every $0<\sigma<1$. Section 3 contains a factorization theorem that provides a prototype of a $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely Lipschitz mapping in the sense that any other $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely Lipschitz mapping is the composition
of this kind of prototype with Lipschitz mappings. That gives the whole spectrum of $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely Lipschitz mappings.

Remark 2.2. When $\sigma=0,(p, 0)$-absolutely Lipschitz mappings extend the notion of Lipschitz $p$-dominated operators that was in [7]. A Lipschitz p-dominated operator $T$ is defined between Banach spaces, and satisfies a similar condition to the one given in Definition 2.1, but there the dominating mapping $S$ is a linear absolutely $p$-summing operator. We don't know if the Lipschitz mapping $S$ in our definition can be replaced with a linear one even if $T$ is linear. In particular, we don't know if being $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely Lipschitz implies $(p, \sigma)$-absolute continuity whenever the mapping $T$ is linear. The converse is of course clearly true. Our aim is to work in the setting of Lipschitz mappings.

Let $p>1,1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$, and let $0<\sigma<1$. López Molina and Sánchez-Pérez in [12, Example 1.9] proved that the operator $u: \ell_{p^{\prime}} \rightarrow \ell_{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}$ defined by $u\left(e_{i}\right)=$ $\left(\frac{1}{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} e_{i}$, where $\left(e_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is the unit vector basis of $\ell_{p^{\prime}}$, is $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous and $u \notin \Pi_{p}\left(\ell_{p^{\prime}}, \ell_{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)$. Then $u$ is trivially $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely Lipschitz, but, by Theorem 2 in [9], $u \notin \Pi_{p}^{L}\left(\ell_{p^{\prime}}, \ell_{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)$, and then the inclusion $\Pi_{p}^{L} \subset \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}$ is strict.

Proposition 2.3. Let $X$ be a pointed metric space, and let $E$ be a Banach space. Then, for $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $0 \leq \sigma<1$, the space $\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(X, E)$ is a Banach space.

Proof. We prove the triangle inequality. Consider $T_{1}, T_{2} \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(X, E), F_{1}, F_{2}$ Banach spaces, and consider $S_{i} \in \Pi_{p}^{L}\left(X, F_{i}\right), i=1,2$, such that

$$
\left\|T_{i}(x)-T_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|S_{i}(x)-S_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma} \quad \text { for all } x, x^{\prime} \in X
$$

Let $F$ be the $\ell_{1}$-sum of $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, and let $I_{i}: F_{i} \rightarrow F$ be the canonical injections. The map

$$
S:=\pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{1}\right)^{-\sigma} I_{1} \circ S_{1}+\pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{2}\right)^{-\sigma} I_{2} \circ S_{2}
$$

belongs to $\Pi_{p}^{L}(X, F)$ and

$$
\pi_{p}^{L}(S) \leq \pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{1}\right)^{1-\sigma}+\pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{2}\right)^{1-\sigma}
$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right)(x)-\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|T_{1}(x)-T_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|+\left\|T_{2}(x)-T_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\|\pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{i}\right)^{-\sigma}\left(S_{i}(x)-S_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\|_{F_{i}}^{1-\sigma}\left(\pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{i}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma} \\
& \quad \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\|\pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{i}\right)^{-\sigma}\left(S_{i}(x)-S_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\|_{F_{i}}\right)^{1-\sigma}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{i}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma} \\
& \quad=\left(\pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{1}\right)^{1-\sigma}+\pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{2}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\sigma}\left\|S(x)-S\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{F}^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, x^{\prime} \in X$. Thus $T_{1}+T_{2} \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(X, E)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right) & \leq\left(\pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{1}\right)^{1-\sigma}+\pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{2}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} \pi_{p}^{L}(S)^{1-\sigma} \\
& \leq \pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{1}\right)^{1-\sigma}+\pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{2}\right)^{1-\sigma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the infimum, we finally get that $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right) \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}\left(T_{1}\right)+\pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}\left(T_{2}\right)$.
To prove the completeness of the space, take a sequence $\left(T_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(X, E)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}\left(T_{n}\right)<\infty$. Since $\operatorname{Lip} \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}$ and $\left(\operatorname{Lip}_{0}(X, E)\right.$, Lip) is a Banach space, there exists $T:=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_{n} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{0}(X, E)$. We prove that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_{n}=T$ for $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}$. Let $\epsilon>0$, and, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $S_{n} \in \Pi_{p}^{L}\left(X, F_{n}\right)$ be such that

$$
\left\|T_{n}(x)-T_{n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|S_{n}(x)-S_{n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}
$$

for all $x, x^{\prime} \in X$ and $\pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{n}\right)^{1-\sigma} \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}\left(T_{n}\right)+\epsilon / 2^{n}$. Then

$$
\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{1-\sigma} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{n}\right)^{1-\sigma} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}\left(T_{n}\right)+\epsilon<\infty
$$

Let $S=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{n}\right)^{-\sigma}\left(I_{n} \circ S_{n}\right) \in \Pi_{p}^{L}(X, F)$, where $F$ is the $\ell_{1}$-sum of all $F_{n}$ and $I_{n}: F_{n} \rightarrow F$ is the natural inclusion. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T(x)-T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\| & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|T_{n}(x)-T_{n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|S_{n}(x)-S_{n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{F_{n}}^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma} \\
& \leq\left\|S(x)-S\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{F}^{1-\sigma}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{n}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies $T \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(X, E)$ and

$$
\pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(T) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{n}\right)^{1-\sigma} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}\left(T_{n}\right)+\epsilon
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}\left(T-\sum_{k=1}^{n} T_{k}\right)=\pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}\left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} T_{k}\right) \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \pi_{p}^{L}\left(S_{k}\right)^{1-\sigma} .
$$

Thus $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_{n}=T$ for $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}$.
Note that $\Pi_{p, 0}^{L}=\Pi_{p}^{L}$ and $\pi_{p, 0}^{L}=\pi_{p}^{L}$. Therefore, the class $\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}$ for $0 \leq \sigma<1$ can be considered as an interpolating class between $\Pi_{p}^{L}$ and $\operatorname{Lip}_{0}$.

The next result is an extension of [7, Theorem 3.2] for $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely Lipschitz mappings. To prove the domination part, we will use an alternative technique: the unified abstract version of the Pietsch domination theorem given in [4, Theorem 2.2] (see also [16]).
Theorem 2.4. Let $1 \leq p<\infty$, let $0 \leq \sigma<1$, and let $T \in \operatorname{Lip}_{0}(X, E)$. The following statements are equivalent:
(1) $T \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(X, E)$.
(2) There is a constant $C \geq 0$ and a regular Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $B_{X} \#$ such that

$$
\left\|T(x)-T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq C\left(\int_{B_{X} \#}\left(\left|f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} d \mu(f)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
$$

for all $x, x^{\prime} \in X$.
(3) There is a constant $C \geq 0$ such that, for all $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n},\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ in $X$ and all $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left\|T\left(x_{i}\right)-T\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right\|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad \leq C \sup _{f \in B_{X} \#}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left(\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x_{i}, x_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, the infimum of the constants $C \geq 0$ in (2) and (3) is $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(T)$.
Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) If $T \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(X, E)$, then there exist a Banach space $F$ and $S \in$ $\Pi_{p}^{L}(X, F)$ such that

$$
\left\|T(x)-T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|S(x)-S\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}
$$

for all $x, x^{\prime} \in X$. By [9, Theorem 1], since $S$ is Lipschitz $p$-summing, then there exists a regular Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $B_{X} \#$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T(x)-T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\| & \leq\left\|S(x)-S\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma} \\
& \leq \pi_{p}^{L}(S)^{1-\sigma}\left(\int_{B_{X} \#}\left|f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p} d \mu(f)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma} \\
& =\pi_{p}^{L}(S)^{1-\sigma}\left(\int_{B_{X} \#}\left(\left|f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} d \mu(f)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, x^{\prime} \in X$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ Let $A$ be the natural isometric embedding from $X$ into $C\left(B_{X} \#\right)$ composed with the formal identity from $C\left(B_{X \#}\right)$ into $L_{\infty}(\mu)$ given by $A(x)(f)=f(x)$, $x \in X, f \in B_{X \#}$. Let $I_{\infty, p}: L_{\infty}(\mu) \longrightarrow L_{p}(\mu)$ be the canonical mapping $I_{\infty, p}(g)=g$. Note that $\pi_{p}^{L}\left(I_{\infty, p}\right)=1$. Therefore, by (2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|T(x)-T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\int_{B_{X} \#}\left(\left|f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} d \mu(f)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad=\left(\int_{B_{X} \#}\left|C^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} I_{\infty, p} A(x)(f)-C^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} I_{\infty, p} A\left(x^{\prime}\right)(f)\right|^{p} d \mu(f)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, there is a Banach space $F=L_{p}(\mu)$ and a Lipschitz $p$-summing operator $S=C^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} I_{\infty, p} A$ such that

$$
\left\|T(x)-T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|S(x)-S\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}
$$

as required.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ If

$$
\left\|T(x)-T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq C\left(\int_{B_{X} \#}\left(\left|f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} d \mu(f)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
$$

for all $x, x^{\prime} \in X$, then, for $n \in \mathbb{N}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime} \in X$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left\|T\left(x_{i}\right)-T\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right\|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} \\
& \quad \leq C^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{B_{X} \#} a_{i}\left(\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x_{i}, x_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} d \mu(f) \\
& \quad=C^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} \int_{B_{X} \#} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left(\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x_{i}, x_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} d \mu(f) \\
& \quad \leq C^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} \sup _{f \in B_{X} \#} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left(\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x_{i}, x_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$(3) \Rightarrow(2)$ We will use the unified abstract version of the Pietsch domination theorem given in [4, Theorem 2.2].

Let $R: B_{X \#} \times(X \times X \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty[$ be given by

$$
R\left(f,\left(x, x^{\prime}, a\right), \lambda\right)=|a|^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}\left|f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}|\lambda|
$$

and let $S: \operatorname{Lip}_{0}(X, E) \times(X \times X \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty[$ be given by

$$
S\left(T,\left(x, x^{\prime}, a\right), \lambda\right)=|a|^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}\left\|T(x)-T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\||\lambda| .
$$

Then $T$ is $R$ - $S$-abstract $p /(1-\sigma)$-summing (see [4, Definition 2.1]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} S\left(T,\left(x_{i}, x_{i}^{\prime}, a_{i}\right), \lambda_{i}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}\right|\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} \| T\left(x_{i}\right)-\left.T\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad \leq C \sup _{f \in B_{X} \#}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}\right|\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\left(\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x_{i}, x_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad=C \sup _{f \in B_{X} \#}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} R\left(f,\left(x_{i}, x_{i}^{\prime}, a_{i}\right), \lambda_{i}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by [4, Theorem 2.2], there is a constant $C \geq 0$ and a regular Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $B_{X \#}$ such that

$$
S\left(T,\left(x, x^{\prime}, a\right), \lambda\right) \leq C\left(\int_{B_{X} \#} R\left(f,\left(x, x^{\prime}, a\right), \lambda\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} d \mu(f)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
$$

for all $\left(x, x^{\prime}, a\right) \in X \times X \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, we have

$$
\left\|T(x)-T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq C\left(\int_{B_{X} \#}\left(\left|f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} d \mu(f)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
$$

for all $x, x^{\prime} \in X$.
Remark 2.5. The notion of a ( $p, \sigma$ )-absolutely Lipschitz mapping can be defined for Lipschitz mappings between pointed metric spaces. Given pointed metric spaces $X$ and $Y$, a map $T \in \operatorname{Lip}_{0}(X, Y)$ is called $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely Lipschitz if there exist a constant $k \geq 0$, a pointed metric space $G$, and a Lipschitz operator $S \in \Pi_{p}^{L}(X, G)$ such that

$$
d\left(T(x), T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq k d\left(S(x), S\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}
$$

for all $x, x^{\prime} \in X$. In this case $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(T)$ denotes the infimum of all $k \pi_{p}^{L}(S)^{1-\sigma}$. Theorem 2.4 can be easily adapted whenever $T \in \operatorname{Lip}_{0}(X, Y)$ and $X$ and $Y$ are pointed metric spaces.

Proposition 2.6 (Ideal property). Let $X, Y, X_{0}, Y_{0}$ be pointed metric spaces. If $v: X_{0} \longrightarrow X, w: Y \longrightarrow Y_{0}$ are Lipschitz mappings and $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ is $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely Lipschitz, then $w T v$ is $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely Lipschitz and

$$
\pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(w T v) \leq \operatorname{Lip}(w) \operatorname{Lip}(v) \pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(T)
$$

Proof. Since $T$ is $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely Lipschitz, then there exist a constant $k \geq 0$, a pointed metric space $G$, and a Lipschitz operator $S \in \Pi_{p}^{L}(X, G)$ such that

$$
d\left(T(x), T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq k d\left(S(x), S\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma} \quad \text { for all } x, x^{\prime} \in X
$$

Let $x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime} \in X_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(w T v\left(x_{0}\right), w T v\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right) & \leq \operatorname{Lip}(w) d\left(T v\left(x_{0}\right), T v\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \leq k \operatorname{Lip}(w) d\left(S \circ v\left(x_{0}\right), S \circ v\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{1-\sigma} d\left(v\left(x_{0}\right), v\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\sigma} \\
& \leq k \operatorname{Lip}(w) \operatorname{Lip}(v)^{\sigma} d\left(S \circ v\left(x_{0}\right), S \circ v\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{1-\sigma} d\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $S \circ v \in \Pi_{p}^{L}\left(X_{0}, G\right)$, it follows that $w \circ T \circ v \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(w T v) & \leq k \operatorname{Lip}(w) \operatorname{Lip}(v)^{\sigma} \pi_{p}^{L}(S \circ v)^{1-\sigma} \\
& \leq k \operatorname{Lip}(w) \operatorname{Lip}(v) \pi_{p}^{L}(S)^{1-\sigma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the infimum, we get

$$
\pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(w T v) \leq \operatorname{Lip}(w) \operatorname{Lip}(v) \pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(T)
$$
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Remark 2.7. Using (2.2), Proposition 2.3, and Proposition 2.6, it can be shown that all $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely Lipschitz mappings form a Lipschitz operator ideal (see [2]).

## 3. FACTORIZATION THEOREM

Let $\mu$ be a Borel probability measure on $B_{X \#}$. Consider the canonical inclusion $i: \nsubseteq(X) \longrightarrow C\left(B_{X \#}\right)$ given by $i\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} m_{x_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}}\right):=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j}\left\langle m_{x_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}}, \cdot\right\rangle$. On $i(\nVdash(X))$, we define the semi-norm

$$
\|i(m)\|_{p, \sigma}:=\inf \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{j}\right| d\left(x_{j}, x_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}\left(\int_{B_{X \#}}\left|f\left(x_{j}\right)-f\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p} d \mu(f)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}\right\}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations of $m$ of the form $m=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} m_{x_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}}$. Consider on $i \circ \delta_{X}(X)$ the pseudometric induced by $\|\cdot\|_{p, \sigma}$ :

$$
d_{p, \sigma}\left(i \circ \delta_{X}(x), i \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right):=\left\|i \circ \delta_{X}(x)-i \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{p, \sigma}
$$

and the relation of equivalence $\mathcal{R}$ given by

$$
i \circ \delta_{X}(x) \mathcal{R} i \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \Leftrightarrow d_{p, \sigma}\left(i \circ \delta_{X}(x), i \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=0
$$

We set $X_{p, \sigma}^{\mu}:=\frac{i \circ \delta_{X}(X)}{\mathcal{R}}$, and let $q: i \circ \delta_{X}(X) \longrightarrow X_{p, \sigma}^{\mu}$ be the projection.
Note that, if we consider the canonical map $j_{p}: C\left(B_{X \#}\right) \longrightarrow L_{p}(\mu)$, then $i \circ \delta_{X}(x) \mathcal{R} i \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $j_{p}\left(i \circ \delta_{X}(x)\right)=j_{p}\left(i \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Hence $X_{p, \sigma}^{\mu}$ can be seen as a subset of $L_{p}(\mu)$ via the formal identity $I$.
Theorem 3.1. Let $1 \leq p<\infty$, and let $0 \leq \sigma<1$. Let $X$ and $Y$ be pointed metric spaces, and let $T \in \operatorname{Lip}_{0}(X, Y)$. The following statements are equivalent:
(1) $T \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(X, Y)$,
(2) there exist a regular Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $B_{X \#}$ and a Lipschitz operator $v: X_{p, \sigma}^{\mu} \rightarrow Y$ such that the following diagram commutes:


Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ Assume first that $T \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(X, Y)$. By Theorem 2.4 and Remark 2.5 , there is a regular Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $B_{X} \#$ such that

$$
d\left(T(x), T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(T)\left(\int_{B_{X} \#}\left(\left|f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} d \mu(f)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
$$

for all $x, x^{\prime} \in X$. Define $v\left(q \circ i \circ \delta_{X}(x)\right):=T(x), x \in X$. If $x, x^{\prime} \in X$ are so that $q\left(i \circ \delta_{X}(x)\right)=q\left(i \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$, then $0=d_{p, \sigma}\left(i \circ \delta_{X}(x), i \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left\|\left\langle m_{x x^{\prime}}, \cdot\right\rangle\right\|_{p, \sigma}$. Therefore, given $\epsilon>0$, there exists a representation of $m_{x x^{\prime}}, m_{x x^{\prime}}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} m_{x_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}}$ such that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{j}\right| d\left(x_{j}, x_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}\left(\int_{B_{X} \#}\left|f\left(x_{j}\right)-f\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}<\epsilon
$$

Let $g \in B_{Y \#}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|g(T(x))-g\left(T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \quad=\left|\left\langle m_{x x^{\prime}}, g \circ T\right\rangle\right| \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|\left|\left\langle m_{x_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}}, g \circ T\right\rangle\right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{j}\right| d\left(T\left(x_{j}\right), T\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(T) \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{j}\right| d\left(x_{j}, x_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}\left(\int_{B_{X} \#}\left|f\left(x_{j}\right)-f\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}<\epsilon \pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(T) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\epsilon \longrightarrow 0$, it follows that $g(T(x))-g\left(T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$ for all $g \in B_{Y}$. Hence $T(x)=T\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. This proves that $v$ is well defined.

We now show that $v$ is Lipschitz. Take $g \in B_{Y \#}$, and let $m_{x x^{\prime}}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} m_{x_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}}$. Then, by Proposition 2.6,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|g \circ v\left(q \circ i \circ \delta_{X}(x)\right)-g \circ v\left(q \circ i \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \quad=\left|g \circ T(x)-g \circ T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|\left\langle m_{x x^{\prime}}, g \circ T\right\rangle\right| \\
& \quad \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(T) \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{j}\right| d\left(x_{j}, x_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}\left(\int_{B_{X} \#}\left|f\left(x_{j}\right)-f\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the infimum over all representations of $m_{x x^{\prime}}$, we get

$$
\left|g \circ v\left(q \circ i \circ \delta_{X}(x)\right)-g \circ v\left(q \circ i \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right| \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(T) d_{p, \sigma}\left(i \circ \delta_{X}(x), i \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

for all $g \in B_{Y \#}$. We conclude now that

$$
d\left(v\left(q \circ i \circ \delta_{X}(x)\right), v\left(q \circ i \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(T) d_{p, \sigma}\left(i \circ \delta_{X}(x), i \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ Assume that $T$ factors as in (2). By Proposition 2.6, it suffices to prove that $q \circ i: \delta_{X}(X) \longrightarrow X_{p, \sigma}^{\mu}$ is $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely Lipschitz, but this is clear as
$d_{p, \sigma}\left(i \circ \delta_{X}(x), i \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left\|i\left(m_{x x^{\prime}}\right)\right\|_{p, \sigma} \leq\left\|m_{x x^{\prime}}\right\|^{\sigma}\left(\int_{B_{X} \#}\left|f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}$.

Farmer and Johnson [9, Theorem 1] proved that $\pi_{p}^{L}(T) \leq C$ if and only if for some (or any) isometric embedding $J$ of $Y$ into a 1-injective space $Z$ there is a factorization

with $\mu$ a probability and $\operatorname{Lip}(A) \cdot \operatorname{Lip}(B) \leq C$.
Letting $\sigma=0$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain a factorization theorem for Lipschitz absolutely $p$-summing operators which is equivalent to the above. In that case, $X_{p, 0}^{\mu}=j_{p} \circ i \circ \delta_{X}(X)$, where $j_{p}: C\left(B_{X} \#\right) \rightarrow L_{p}(\mu)$ is the canonical mapping, and
the induced metric $d_{p, 0}$ generates the $L_{p}$-norm on $X_{p, 0}^{\mu}$. Then Theorem 3.1 is a generalization of the Farmer and Johnson factorization.
Theorem 3.2. Let $1 \leq p<\infty$. Let $X$ and $Y$ be pointed metric spaces. The following statements are equivalent for a mapping $T \in \operatorname{Lip}_{0}(X, Y)$ and a positive constant $C$ :
(1) $T \in \Pi_{p}^{L}(X, Y)$ and $\pi_{p}^{L}(T) \leq C$.
(2) There exists a regular Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $B_{X} \#$ such that

$$
d\left(T(x), T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq C\left(\int_{B_{X} \#}\left|\left\langle\delta_{X}(x)-\delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right), f\right\rangle\right|^{p} d \mu(f)\right)^{1 / p}
$$

for all $x, x^{\prime} \in X$.
(3) There exist a regular Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $B_{X} \#$ and a Lipschitz operator $v: X_{p, 0}^{\mu} \rightarrow Y$ such that the following diagram commutes:


Furthermore, the infimum of the constants $C \geq 0$ in (1) and (2) is $\pi_{p}^{L}(T)$.
Let us end showing the duality for $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely Lipschitz operators.
Let $1 \leq p, r<\infty$, and $0 \leq \sigma<1$ such that $r^{\prime}=\frac{p^{\prime}}{1-\sigma}$, where $p^{\prime}$ is the conjugate of $p$; that is, $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. For $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}$ in $X$ and scalars $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$, we define

$$
\delta_{p, \sigma}^{L i p}\left(\left(\lambda_{j}, x_{j}, x_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{j=1}^{n}\right):=\sup _{f \in B_{X} \#}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{j}\right|\left|f\left(x_{j}\right)-f\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma} d\left(x_{j}, x_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
$$

If we denote

$$
w_{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}^{L i p}\left(\left(\lambda_{j}, x_{j}, x_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{j=1}^{n}\right):=\sup _{f \in B_{X} \#}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{j}\right|\left|f\left(x_{j}\right)-f\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}},
$$

then we have

$$
w_{\frac{1 p}{1-\sigma}}^{L i p}\left(\left(\lambda_{j}, x_{j}, x_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{j=1}^{n}\right) \leq \delta_{p, \sigma}^{L i p}\left(\left(\lambda_{j}, x_{j}, x_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{j=1}^{n}\right) .
$$

As a remark, the above inequality shows that $\Pi_{p /(1-\sigma)}^{L}(X, Y) \subset \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{L}(X, Y)$.
For a molecule $m \in \mathcal{M}(X, E)$, we define its $(p, \sigma)$-Chevet-Saphar norm by

$$
c s_{p, \sigma}(m)=\inf \left\{\left\|\left(\lambda_{j}\left\|v_{j}\right\|\right)_{j=1}^{n}\right\|_{r} \delta_{p^{\prime}, \sigma}^{L i p}\left(\left(\lambda_{j}^{-1}, x_{j}, x_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{j=1}^{n}\right): m=\sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{j} m_{x_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}}, \lambda_{j}>0\right\} .
$$

We denote by $C S_{p, \sigma}(X, E)$ the space $\mathcal{M}(X, E)$ endowed with the norm $c s_{p, \sigma}$.
The following theorem can be proved as in [5, Theorem 4.3].
Theorem 3.3. The spaces $C S_{p, \sigma}(X, E)^{*}$ and $\Pi_{p^{\prime}, \sigma}^{L}\left(X, E^{*}\right)$ are isometrically isomorphic via the canonical pairing $\langle m, T\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle v_{j}, T\left(x_{j}\right)-T\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$.
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