

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF JORDAN LEFT DERIVATIONS ON SOME ALGEBRAS

GUANGYU AN, YANA DING, and JIANKUI LI*

Communicated by A. R. Villena

ABSTRACT. A linear mapping δ from an algebra \mathcal{A} into a left \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} is called a *Jordan left derivation* if $\delta(A^2) = 2A\delta(A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We prove that if an algebra \mathcal{A} and a left \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} satisfy one of the following conditions—(1) \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{M} is a Banach left \mathcal{A} -module; (2) $\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ with $\cap\{L_- : L \in \mathcal{L}\} = (0)$ and $\mathcal{M} = B(X)$; and (3) \mathcal{A} is a commutative subspace lattice algebra of a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{B} and $\mathcal{M} = B(\mathcal{H})$ —then every Jordan left derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is zero. δ is called *left derivable* at $G \in \mathcal{A}$ if $\delta(AB) = A\delta(B) + B\delta(A)$ for each $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $AB = G$. We show that if \mathcal{A} is a factor von Neumann algebra, G is a left separating point of \mathcal{A} or a nonzero self-adjoint element in \mathcal{A} , and δ is left derivable at G , then $\delta \equiv 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{R} be an associative ring. For an integer $n \geq 2$, \mathcal{R} is said to be *n-torsion-free* if $nA = 0$ implies $A = 0$ for every A in \mathcal{R} . Recall that a ring \mathcal{R} is *prime* if $A\mathcal{R}B = (0)$ implies that either $A = 0$ or $B = 0$ for each A, B in \mathcal{R} , and it is *semiprime* if $A\mathcal{R}A = (0)$ implies $A = 0$ for every A in \mathcal{R} .

Suppose that \mathcal{M} is an \mathcal{R} -bimodule. An additive mapping δ from \mathcal{R} into \mathcal{M} is called a *derivation* if $\delta(AB) = \delta(A)B + A\delta(B)$ for each A, B in \mathcal{R} , and δ is called a *Jordan derivation* if $\delta(A^2) = \delta(A)A + A\delta(A)$ for every A in \mathcal{R} . Obviously, every derivation is a Jordan derivation. The converse is, in general, not true. A classi-

Copyright 2016 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Received Apr. 1, 2015; Accepted Aug. 18, 2015.

*Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B47; Secondary 47L35, 47C15.

Keywords. C^* -algebra, Jordan left derivation, left derivable point, left separating point.

cal result of Herstein [13] asserts that every Jordan derivation on a 2-torsion-free prime ring is a derivation. In [4], Brešar and Vukman give a brief proof of [13, Theorem 3.1]. In [7], Cusack generalizes [13, Theorem 3.1] to 2-torsion-free semiprime rings. In [3], Brešar gives an alternative proof of [7, Corollary 5].

In [5], Brešar and Vukman introduce the concepts of left derivations and Jordan left derivations. In [24], Vukman introduces the concept of (m, n) -Jordan derivations.

Let \mathcal{M} be a left \mathcal{R} -module. An additive mapping δ from \mathcal{R} into \mathcal{M} is called a *left derivation* if $\delta(AB) = A\delta(B) + B\delta(A)$ for each A, B in \mathcal{R} , and δ is called a *Jordan left derivation* if $\delta(A^2) = 2A\delta(A)$ for every A in \mathcal{R} . Let $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$ be two fixed integers with $m + n \neq 0$; δ is called an (m, n) -Jordan derivation if $(m + n)\delta(A^2) = 2mA\delta(A) + 2n\delta(A)A$ for every A in \mathcal{R} . The concept of (m, n) -Jordan derivations covers the concept of Jordan derivations, as well as the concept of Jordan left derivations.

In [5], Brešar and Vukman prove that if there exists a nonzero Jordan left derivation from a prime ring \mathcal{R} into a left \mathcal{R} -module \mathcal{M} of characteristic not 2 and 3, then \mathcal{R} is commutative. In [10], Deng shows that [5, Theorem 2.1] is still true when \mathcal{M} is only characteristic not 2. In [23], Vukman shows that every Jordan left derivation from a complex semisimple Banach algebra into itself is zero. In [15], Kosi-Ulbl and Vukman prove that if $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ are two integers with $m \neq n$, then every (m, n) -Jordan derivation from a complex semisimple Banach algebra into itself is zero.

Throughout this paper, \mathcal{A} denotes an algebra over the complex field \mathbb{C} , and \mathcal{M} denotes a left \mathcal{A} -module. In the paper, we assume that all mappings from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} are linear.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we show that every Jordan left derivation from a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} into its Banach left module \mathcal{M} is zero.

In Section 3, we show that if \mathcal{L} is a subspace lattice on a complex Banach space X with $\cap\{L_- : L \in \mathcal{L}\} = (0)$, then every Jordan left derivation from $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ into $B(X)$ is zero. The class of reflexive algebras $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ with $\cap\{L_- : L \in \mathcal{L}\} = (0)$ is very large, and it includes the following:

- (1) \mathcal{P} -subspace lattice algebras;
- (2) completely distributive subspace lattice algebras;
- (3) reflexive algebras $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ such that $(0)_+ \neq (0)$.

In Section 4, we show that if \mathcal{B} is a von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ is a commutative subspace lattice (CSL) on \mathcal{H} , then every Jordan left derivation from $\mathcal{B} \cap \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ into $B(\mathcal{H})$ is zero.

A linear mapping δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is called *left derivable at* $G \in \mathcal{A}$ if $\delta(AB) = A\delta(B) + B\delta(A)$ for each $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $AB = G$. In [16], Li and Zhou show that if \mathcal{L} is a \mathcal{J} -subspace lattice, then every left derivable mapping at a unit on $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ is zero.

For a unital algebra \mathcal{A} and a unital left \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} , we call an element $W \in \mathcal{A}$ a *left separating point* of \mathcal{M} if $WM = 0$ implies $M = 0$ for every $M \in \mathcal{M}$. It is easy to see that every left invertible element in \mathcal{A} is a left separating point of \mathcal{M} .

In Section 5, we prove that if \mathcal{A} is a factor von Neumann algebra, then every left derivable mapping at a left separating point or a nonzero self-adjoint element is zero.

Let X be a complex Banach space, and let $B(X)$ be the set of all bounded linear operators on X . We denote by X^* and X^{**} the dual space and the double dual space of X , respectively. In this paper, every subspace of X is a closed linear manifold. By a *subspace lattice* on X , we mean a collection \mathcal{L} of subspaces of X with (0) and X in \mathcal{L} such that, for every family $\{M_r\}$ of elements of \mathcal{L} , both $\cap M_r$ and $\vee M_r$ belong to \mathcal{L} , where $\vee M_r$ denotes the closed linear span of $\{M_r\}$.

Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on X . Define

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{L}} = \{E \in \mathcal{L} : E \neq (0) \text{ and } E_- \neq X\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}} = \{E \in \mathcal{L} : E_- \not\subseteq E\},$$

where $E_- = \vee\{F \in \mathcal{L} : F \not\subseteq E\}$. \mathcal{L} is called a *\mathcal{J} -subspace lattice* on X if it satisfies $E \vee E_- = X$ and $E \cap E_- = (0)$ for every E in $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{L}}$; $\vee\{E : E \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{L}}\} = X$ and $\cap\{E_- : E \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{L}}\} = (0)$. \mathcal{L} is called a *\mathcal{P} -subspace lattice* on X if it satisfies $\vee\{E : E \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}\} = X$ or $\cap\{E_- : E \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}\} = (0)$.

\mathcal{L} is said to be *completely distributive* if its subspaces satisfy the identity

$$\bigwedge_{a \in I} \bigvee_{b \in J} L_{a,b} = \bigvee_{f \in J^I} \bigwedge_{a \in I} L_{a,f(a)},$$

where J^I denotes the set of all $f : I \rightarrow J$. For some properties of completely distributive subspace lattices and \mathcal{J} -subspace lattices, see [17] and [18].

For every subspace lattice \mathcal{L} on X , we use $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ to denote the algebra of all operators in $B(X)$ that leave members of \mathcal{L} invariant; and for a subalgebra \mathcal{A} of $B(X)$, we use $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ to denote the lattice of all subspaces of X that are invariant under all operators in \mathcal{A} . An algebra \mathcal{A} is called *reflexive* if $\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg Lat } \mathcal{A}$.

The following two lemmas will be used repeatedly.

Lemma 1.1 ([16, Lemma 2.1]). *Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra, let \mathcal{M} be a left \mathcal{A} -module, and let δ be a Jordan left derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} . Then for each A, B in \mathcal{A} , the following two statements hold:*

- (1) $\delta(AB + BA) = 2A\delta(B) + 2B\delta(A)$;
- (2) $\delta(ABA) = A^2\delta(B) + 3AB\delta(A) - BA\delta(A)$.

Lemma 1.2 ([16, Lemma 2.2]). *Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra, let \mathcal{M} be a left \mathcal{A} -module, and let δ be a Jordan left derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} . Then for every A and every idempotent P in \mathcal{A} , the following two statements hold:*

- (1) $\delta(P) = 0$;
- (2) $\delta(PA) = \delta(AP) = \delta(PAP) = P\delta(A)$.

2. JORDAN LEFT DERIVATIONS ON C^* -ALGEBRAS

In this section, we study Jordan left derivations from a C^* -algebra into its Banach left module and prove that these Jordan left derivations are zero.

Proposition 2.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra, and let \mathcal{M} be a Banach left \mathcal{A} -module. If δ is a Jordan left derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} , then δ is automatically continuous.*

To prove Proposition 2.1, we need the following lemma. The proof of Lemma 2.2 is similar to the proof of [22, Theorem 2], but, for the sake of completeness, we give it here.

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra, and let \mathcal{M} be a Banach left \mathcal{A} -module. If δ is a left derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} , then δ is automatically continuous.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{J} = \{J \in \mathcal{A} : D_J(T) = \delta(JT) \text{ is continuous for every } T \text{ in } \mathcal{A}\}$. Since δ is a left derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} , we have that

$$J\delta(T) = \delta(JT) - T\delta(J)$$

for every T in \mathcal{A} and every J in \mathcal{J} . Then

$$\mathcal{J} = \{J \in \mathcal{A} : S_J(T) = J\delta(T) \text{ is continuous every } T \text{ in } \mathcal{A}\}.$$

We divide the proof into three steps.

First, we show that \mathcal{J} is a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} . Clearly, \mathcal{J} is a right ideal in \mathcal{A} . Moreover, for each A, T in \mathcal{A} and every J in \mathcal{J} , we have that

$$\delta(AJT) = A\delta(JT) + JT\delta(A);$$

thus $D_{AJ}(T)$ is continuous for every T in \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{J} is also a left ideal in \mathcal{A} .

Suppose that $\{J_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ and $J \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_n = J$. Then every S_{J_n} is a continuous linear operator; hence we obtain

$$S_J(T) = J\delta(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_n\delta(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{J_n}(T)$$

for every T in \mathcal{A} . By the principle of uniform boundedness, we have that S_J is norm continuous and $J \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, \mathcal{J} is a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} .

Next, we show that the restriction $\delta|_{\mathcal{J}}$ is norm continuous. Suppose the contrary. We can choose $\{J_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|J_n\|^2 \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\delta(J_n)\| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $B = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n J_n^*)^{1/4}$. Then B is a positive element in \mathcal{J} with $\|B\| \leq 1$. By [22, Lemma 1] it follows that $J_n = BC_n$ for some $\{C_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ with $\|C_n\| \leq 1$, and

$$\|D_B(C_n)\| = \|\delta(BC_n)\| = \|\delta(J_n)\| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This leads to a contradiction; hence $\delta|_{\mathcal{J}}$ is norm continuous.

Finally, we show that the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} is finite-dimensional. Otherwise, by [19] we know that \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} has an infinite-dimensional abelian C^* -subalgebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Since the carrier space X of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is infinite, it follows easily from the isomorphism between $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and $C_0(X)$ that there is a positive element H in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ whose spectrum is infinite; hence we can choose nonnegative continuous functions f_1, f_2, \dots , defined on the positive real axis such that

$$f_j f_k = 0 \quad \text{if } j \neq k \quad \text{and} \quad f_j(H) \neq 0 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Let φ be a natural mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} . Then there exists a positive element K in \mathcal{A} such that $\varphi(K) = H$. Denote $A_j = f_j(K)$ for each j . Then we have that $A_j \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\varphi(A_j^2) = \varphi(f_j(K))^2 = [f_j(\varphi(K))]^2 = f_j(H)^2 \neq 0.$$

It follows that $A_j^2 \notin \mathcal{J}$ and $A_j A_k = 0$ if $j \neq k$. If we replace A_j by an appropriate scalar multiple, we may suppose that $\|A_j\| \leq 1$. By $A_j^2 \notin \mathcal{J}$, we have that $D_{A_j^2}$ is unbounded. Thus, we can choose $T_j \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\|T_j\| \leq 2^{-j} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\delta(A_j^2 T_j)\| \geq M \|\delta(A_j)\| + j,$$

where M is the bound of the linear mapping

$$(T, x) \rightarrow xT : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}.$$

Let $C = \sum_{j \geq 1} A_j T_j$. Then we have that $\|C\| \leq 1$ and $A_j C = A_j^2 T_j$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_j \delta(C)\| &= \|\delta(A_j C) - C \delta(A_j)\| \\ &\geq \|\delta(A_j^2 T_j)\| - M \|C\| \|\delta(A_j)\| \\ &\geq M \|\delta(A_j)\| + j - M \|\delta(A_j)\| = j. \end{aligned}$$

However, this is impossible because, in fact, $\|A_j\| \leq 1$ and the linear mapping

$$T \rightarrow T \delta(C) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

is bounded; hence we prove that \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} is finite-dimensional.

Since $\delta|_{\mathcal{J}}$ is continuous and \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} is finite-dimensional, it follows that δ is automatically continuous. \square

Given an element A of the algebra $B(\mathcal{H})$ of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we denote by $\mathcal{G}(A)$ the C^* -algebra generated by A . For any self-adjoint subalgebra \mathcal{A} of $B(\mathcal{H})$, if $\mathcal{G}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ for every self-adjoint element $B \in \mathcal{A}$, then we call \mathcal{A} *locally closed*. Obviously, every C^* -algebra is locally closed.

Lemma 2.3 ([6, Corollary 1.2]). *Let \mathcal{A} be a locally closed subalgebra of $B(\mathcal{H})$, let Y be a locally convex linear space, and let ψ be a linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into Y . If ψ is continuous from every commutative self-adjoint subalgebra of \mathcal{A} into Y , then ψ is continuous.*

Proof of Proposition 2.1. By Lemma 2.3, it is sufficient to prove that δ is continuous from each commutative self-adjoint subalgebra \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} . It is clear that the norm closure $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$ of \mathcal{B} is an abelian C^* -algebra. Thus, we only need to show that the restriction $\delta|_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}$ is continuous.

In fact, for each A, B in $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$, we have that

$$\delta(AB + BA) = \delta(2AB) = 2A\delta(B) + 2B\delta(A).$$

This means that $\delta|_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}$ is a left derivation. By Lemma 2.2 we know that $\delta|_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}$ is automatically continuous; hence δ is continuous on $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$. \square

By Lemma 1.2(1) and Proposition 2.1, we can easily show the following result.

Corollary 2.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a von Neumann algebra, and let \mathcal{M} be a Banach left \mathcal{A} -module. If δ is a Jordan left derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} , then $\delta \equiv 0$.*

Applying some techniques from [1], [8], and [9], we can obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra, and let \mathcal{M} be a Banach left \mathcal{A} -module. If δ is a Jordan left derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} , then $\delta \equiv 0$.*

Proof. By [9, p. 26], we can define a product \diamond in \mathcal{A}^{**} by $a^{**} \diamond b^{**} = \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} \alpha_{\lambda} \beta_{\mu}$ for each a^{**}, b^{**} in \mathcal{A}^{**} , where (α_{λ}) and (β_{μ}) are two nets in \mathcal{A} with $\|\alpha_{\lambda}\| \leq \|a^{**}\|$ and $\|\beta_{\mu}\| \leq \|b^{**}\|$ such that $\alpha_{\lambda} \rightarrow a^{**}$ and $\beta_{\mu} \rightarrow b^{**}$ in the weak*-topology $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^*)$. By [14, p. 726], we know that \mathcal{A}^{**} is $*$ -isomorphic to a von Neumann algebra, and so we may assume that $(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \diamond)$ is a von Neumann algebra.

It is well known that \mathcal{M}^{**} turns into a Banach left $(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \diamond)$ -module with the operation defined by

$$a^{**} \cdot m^{**} = \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} a_{\lambda} m_{\mu}$$

for every a^{**} in \mathcal{A}^{**} and every m^{**} in \mathcal{M}^{**} , where (a_{λ}) is a net in \mathcal{A} with $\|a_{\lambda}\| \leq \|a^{**}\|$ and $(a_{\lambda}) \rightarrow a^{**}$ in $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^*)$, (m_{μ}) is a net in \mathcal{M} with $\|m_{\mu}\| \leq \|m^{**}\|$, and $(m_{\mu}) \rightarrow m^{**}$ in $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^{**}, \mathcal{M}^*)$.

By Proposition 2.1 we have that $\delta^{**} : (\mathcal{A}^{**}, \diamond) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{**}$ is the weak*-continuous extension of δ to the double duals of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{M} .

Let a^{**}, b^{**} be in \mathcal{A}^{**} , and let $(a_{\lambda}), (b_{\mu})$ be two nets in \mathcal{A} with $\|a_{\lambda}\| \leq \|a^{**}\|$ and $\|b_{\mu}\| \leq \|b^{**}\|$ such that $a^{**} = \lim_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}$ and $b^{**} = \lim_{\mu} b_{\mu}$ in $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^*)$. We have that

$$\delta^{**}(a^{**} \diamond b^{**} + b^{**} \diamond a^{**}) = \delta^{**} \left(\lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} a_{\lambda} b_{\mu} + \lim_{\mu} \lim_{\lambda} b_{\mu} a_{\lambda} \right).$$

By [1, p. 553], we know that every continuous bilinear map φ from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M}$ into \mathcal{M} is Arens regular, which means that

$$\lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} \varphi(a_{\lambda}, m_{\mu}) = \lim_{\mu} \lim_{\lambda} \varphi(a_{\lambda}, m_{\mu})$$

for every $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ -convergent net (a_{λ}) in \mathcal{A} and every $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^{**}, \mathcal{M}^*)$ -convergent net (m_{μ}) in \mathcal{M} . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{**} \left(\lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} a_{\lambda} b_{\mu} + \lim_{\mu} \lim_{\lambda} b_{\mu} a_{\lambda} \right) &= \delta^{**} \left(\lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} a_{\lambda} b_{\mu} + \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} b_{\mu} a_{\lambda} \right) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} \delta(a_{\lambda} b_{\mu} + b_{\mu} a_{\lambda}) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} 2a_{\lambda} \delta(b_{\mu}) + \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} 2b_{\mu} \delta(a_{\lambda}) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} 2a_{\lambda} \delta(b_{\mu}) + \lim_{\mu} \lim_{\lambda} 2b_{\mu} \delta(a_{\lambda}) \\ &= 2a^{**} \delta^{**}(b^{**}) + 2b^{**} \delta^{**}(a^{**}). \end{aligned}$$

It means that δ^{**} is a Jordan left derivation from \mathcal{A}^{**} into \mathcal{M}^{**} . Thus, by Corollary 2.4 we obtain

$$\delta^{**}(a^{**}) = 0$$

for every a^{**} in \mathcal{A}^{**} ; hence $\delta(a) = 0$ for every a in \mathcal{A} . □

3. JORDAN LEFT DERIVATIONS ON REFLEXIVE ALGEBRAS

Let X be a complex Banach space. For any nonzero elements x in X and f in X^* , the rank 1 operator $x \otimes f \in B(X)$ is defined by $(x \otimes f)y = f(y)x$ for every y in X . For every nonempty subset E of X , let $E^\perp = \{f \in X^* : f(x) = 0 \text{ for every } x \text{ in } E\}$, and let $E_-^\perp = (E_-)^\perp$.

The main result in this section is Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on X such that $\cap\{L_- : L \in \mathcal{L}\} = (0)$. If δ is a Jordan left derivation from $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ into $B(X)$, then $\delta \equiv 0$.*

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.2 ([12, Lemma 3.2]). *Let X be a Banach space, let $E \subseteq X$ and $F \subseteq X^*$, and let ϕ be a bilinear mapping from $E \times F$ into $B(X)$. If $\phi(x, f)X \subseteq \mathbb{C}x$ for every $x \in E$ and $f \in F$, then there exists a linear mapping S^* from F into X^* such that $\phi(x, f) = x \otimes S^*f$.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on X , and let E and L be in \mathcal{L} such that $E_- \not\subseteq L$. If δ is a Jordan left derivation from $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ into $B(X)$, then $\delta(x \otimes f) \subseteq \mathbb{C}x$ for every $x \in E$ and $f \in L_-^\perp$.*

Proof. Since $E_- \not\subseteq L$, it follows that $E \subseteq L$ and $x \otimes f \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$. Obviously, we can choose an element z in L and an element g in E_-^\perp such that $g(z) = 1$. In the following proof, we let $x \in E$ and $f \in F_-^\perp$; then $x \in F$.

Case 1: Suppose that $f(x) \neq 0$.

It is easy to show that

$$\left(\frac{1}{f(x)}(x \otimes f)\right)^2 = \frac{1}{f(x)}(x \otimes f)$$

and that $\frac{1}{f(x)}(x \otimes f)$ is an idempotent in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$. By Lemma 1.2(1) we obtain

$$\delta(x \otimes f) = f(x)\delta\left(\frac{1}{f(x)}(x \otimes f)\right) = 0.$$

Case 2: Suppose that $f(x) = 0$.

If $g(x) \neq 0$, then $(g + f)(x) \neq 0$. Hence

$$\delta(x \otimes (g + f)) = \delta(x \otimes g) = 0.$$

Thus $\delta(x \otimes f) = 0$.

If $g(x) = 0$, then since $g(z) = 1$, by Lemma 1.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x \otimes f) &= \delta((x \otimes g)(z \otimes f) + (z \otimes f)(x \otimes g)) \\ &= 2(x \otimes g)\delta(z \otimes f) + 2(z \otimes f)\delta(x \otimes g) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$0 = \delta((x \otimes g)(z \otimes f)(x \otimes g)) = 3(x \otimes f)\delta(x \otimes g). \quad (3.2)$$

By (3.2) we have

$$(\delta(x \otimes g))^*f = 0.$$

Hence

$$(z \otimes f)\delta(x \otimes g) = z \otimes (\delta(x \otimes g)^* f) = 0.$$

By (3.1) we know that

$$\delta(x \otimes f) = 2(x \otimes g)\delta(z \otimes f). \quad (3.3)$$

By (3.3) we have that $\delta(x \otimes f) \subseteq \mathbb{C}x$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $F \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})$. There exists an element $E \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})$ such that $E_- \not\supseteq F$. Let $x \in E$, $f \in F_-^\perp$. First we prove that $\delta(x \otimes f) = 0$.

If $f(x) \neq 0$, then we have $\delta(x \otimes f) = 0$. In the following we assume that $f(x) = 0$.

Case 1: Suppose that $\dim(E) = 1$.

Since $\cap\{L_- : L \in \mathcal{J}_\mathcal{L}\} = (0)$, there exists an element $L \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})$ such that $L_- \not\supseteq E$, and so $L \subseteq E$. Since $\dim(E) = 1$, we have that $L = E$ and $E_- \not\supseteq E$. Hence there exists an element $g \in E_-^\perp$ such that $g(x) \neq 0$. Since $f(x) = 0$, we have that $(f + g)(x) \neq 0$ and

$$\delta(x \otimes (f + g)) = \delta(x \otimes g) = 0,$$

Thus $\delta(x \otimes f) = 0$.

Case 2: Suppose that $\dim(E) \geq 2$.

By Lemma 3.3 we know that $\delta(x \otimes f) \subseteq \mathbb{C}x$ for every $x \in E$ and $f \in F_-^\perp$. By Lemma 3.2 there exists a linear mapping S^* from F_-^\perp to X^* such that

$$\delta(x \otimes f) = x \otimes S^* f.$$

We only need to prove that $S^* f = 0$.

Let $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$. We have that $Ax \in E$, $A^* f \in F_-^\perp$. By Lemma 1.1(1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(A(x \otimes f) + (x \otimes f)A) &= 2A\delta(x \otimes f) + 2(x \otimes f)\delta(A) \\ &= Ax \otimes S^* f + x \otimes S^* A^* f \\ &= 2Ax \otimes S^* f + 2x \otimes (\delta(A))^* f. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

By (3.4) we have that

$$Ax \otimes S^* f = x \otimes (S^* A^* f - 2(\delta(A))^* f). \quad (3.5)$$

If $S^* f \neq 0$, then there exists an element $z \in X$ such that $(S^* f)(z) \neq 0$. By (3.5),

$$(S^* f)(z)Ax = (S^* A^* f - 2(\delta(A))^* f)(z)x.$$

Hence there exists a number λ_A such that $Ax = \lambda_A x$ for every $x \in E$.

Since $\cap\{L_- : L \in \mathcal{J}_\mathcal{L}\} = (0)$, there exists an element $L \in \mathcal{J}_\mathcal{L}$ such that $L_- \not\supseteq E$, and we can choose an element $x_1 \in E$ and $\eta \in L_-^\perp$ such that $\eta(x_1) = 1$. Let $0 \neq y \in L$. We have that

$$(y \otimes \eta)x_1 = \lambda_{y \otimes \eta} x_1,$$

and thus

$$y = \lambda_{y \otimes \eta} x_1. \quad (3.6)$$

Since $y \neq 0$, $\lambda_{y \otimes \eta} \neq 0$. Because $\dim(E) \geq 2$, there exists an $x_2 \in E$ such that x_1 and x_2 are linearly independent. If $\eta(x_2) = 0$, then $\eta(x_1 + x_2) = 1$, and it follows that

$$(y \otimes \eta)(x_1 + x_2) = \lambda_{y \otimes \eta}(x_1 + x_2);$$

thus

$$y = \lambda_{y \otimes \eta}(x_1 + x_2).$$

By (3.6) we know that $x_2 = 0$. If $\eta(x_2) \neq 0$, then

$$(y \otimes \eta)(x_2) = \lambda_{y \otimes \eta}x_2;$$

thus

$$y = \frac{\lambda_{y \otimes \eta}}{\eta(x_2)}x_2.$$

By (3.6) we know that x_1 and x_2 are linearly dependent. Hence $S^*f = 0$ and $\delta(x \otimes f) = 0$.

In the following we prove that $\delta(A)^* = 0$ for every $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$. Let $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$, $x \in E$, and $f \in F_-^\perp$. We have that

$$\delta((x \otimes f)A + A(x \otimes f)) = 2(x \otimes f)\delta(A) = 0;$$

thus,

$$\delta(A)^*f = 0.$$

Since $\cap\{L_- : L \in \mathcal{J}_\mathcal{L}\} = (0)$, we obtain $\vee\{L_-^\perp : L \in \mathcal{J}_\mathcal{L}\} = X^*$. It implies that

$$\delta(A)^* = 0$$

for every $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$. Since $\|\delta(A)\| = \|\delta(A)^*\|$, we have $\delta(A) = 0$ for every $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$. \square

Remark. Similarly to the definition of Jordan left derivations, we can define a *Jordan right derivation*. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can show that every Jordan right derivation from $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ with $\vee\{L : L \in \mathcal{J}_\mathcal{L}\} = X$ into $B(X)$ is zero.

4. JORDAN LEFT DERIVATIONS ON CSL SUBALGEBRAS OF VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

For a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we disregard the distinction between a closed subspace and the orthogonal projection onto it. Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on \mathcal{H} . \mathcal{L} is called a *CSL* if it consists of mutually commuting projections. Let \mathcal{B} be a von Neumann algebra on \mathcal{H} , and let $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be a CSL on \mathcal{H} . Then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \cap \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ is said to be a *CSL subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra* \mathcal{B} .

Theorem 4.1. *If δ is a Jordan left derivation from \mathcal{A} into $B(\mathcal{H})$, then $\delta \equiv 0$.*

To prove Theorem 4.1, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let \mathcal{L} be a CSL in a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{B} on \mathcal{H} . Define*

$$Q = \{P^\perp A^* P x : P \in \mathcal{L}, A \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Then we have

- (1) $Q \in \mathcal{L}' \cap \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$;
- (2) $Q^\perp \mathcal{A} Q^\perp$ is a von Neumann algebra on $Q^\perp \mathcal{H}$ when $Q \neq I$.

Proof. (1) Since \mathcal{L} is a CSL in \mathcal{B} , it is easy to show that $\mathcal{L}' \cap \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Then we only need to prove that $Q \in \mathcal{L}' \cap \mathcal{B}$.

For every T in $\mathcal{B} \cap \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}^\perp$, it means that $PTP^\perp = 0$ for every P in \mathcal{L} . Hence by the definition of Q we have that $Q^\perp T Q = 0$ and $Q \in \text{Lat}(\mathcal{B} \cap \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}^\perp)$. It follows that

$$PQ = QPQ \quad \text{and} \quad QP = QPQ$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{L}$, and so $Q \in \mathcal{L}'$.

Letting $P \in \mathcal{L}$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, $B \in \mathcal{B}'$, and $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have that $P^\perp A^* P \in \mathcal{B}$. It follows that

$$QBP^\perp A^* Px = QP^\perp A^* PBx = P^\perp A^* PBx = BP^\perp A^* Px.$$

By the definition of Q we obtain $QBQ = BQ$.

Similarly, since $B^* \in \mathcal{B}'$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}'$, we have that $QB^*Q = B^*Q$. It follows that $QB = BQ$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}'$. This means that $Q \in \mathcal{B}'' = \mathcal{B}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{L}' \cap \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

(2) It is obvious that $Q^\perp \mathcal{A} Q^\perp$ is a weakly closed operator algebra with an identity Q^\perp on $Q^\perp \mathcal{H}$; hence it is sufficient to prove that $Q^\perp \mathcal{A} Q^\perp$ is a self-adjoint algebra.

Fix an element $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $P \in \mathcal{L}$. By the fact that Q commutes with P and the definition of Q , we have that

$$P(AQ^\perp)P^\perp = (Q^\perp P^\perp A^* P)^* = 0.$$

This means that $AQ^\perp \in \mathcal{B} \cap \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}^\perp$. Then we obtain

$$AQ^\perp \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}^\perp \cap \text{Alg } \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{L}' \cap \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}.$$

It follows that $Q^\perp A^* \in \mathcal{A}$; thus $Q^\perp A^* Q^\perp \in Q^\perp \mathcal{A} Q^\perp$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, which tells us that $Q^\perp \mathcal{A} Q^\perp$ is a von Neumann algebra on $Q^\perp \mathcal{H}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Letting Q be as in Lemma 4.2, it is obvious that if $Q = I$, then $\delta(A) = Q\delta(A)$. We suppose that $Q \neq I$. Let $Q_1 = Q$, $Q_2 = I - Q$, and $\mathcal{A}_{ij} = Q_i \mathcal{A} Q_j$. Then we have the Peirce decomposition of \mathcal{A} as follows:

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{11} + \mathcal{A}_{12} + \mathcal{A}_{21} + \mathcal{A}_{22}.$$

By Lemma 1.2(2), we have that

$$\delta(\mathcal{A}_{12}) = \delta(\mathcal{A}_{21}) = 0.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 4.2 we know that \mathcal{A}_{22} is a von Neumann algebra on $Q^\perp \mathcal{H}$; hence by Corollary 2.4 we obtain

$$\delta(\mathcal{A}_{22}) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\delta(A) = \delta(QAQ) = Q\delta(A)$$

for every A in \mathcal{A} .

In the following, we show that $Q\delta(A) \equiv 0$ for every A in \mathcal{A} . Let P be in \mathcal{L} , and let A, B be in \mathcal{A} . By Lemmas 1.1(1) and 1.2(2) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta(PBP^\perp P^\perp AP^\perp) \\ &= \delta((PBP^\perp P^\perp AP^\perp) + (P^\perp AP^\perp PBP^\perp)) \\ &= 2PBP^\perp \delta(P^\perp AP^\perp) \\ &= 2PBP^\perp \delta(A). \end{aligned}$$

It implies that $\delta(A)^* P^\perp B^* P = 0$; that is, $\delta(A)^* Q = 0$. Thus $Q\delta(A) \equiv 0$ for every A in \mathcal{A} . \square

Remark. In [21, pp. 741–742], Park introduces the concept of Jordan higher left derivations as follows.

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital algebra, and let $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N}^* \cup \{0\}$ be the set of all nonnegative integers. $\Delta = (\delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of linear mappings on \mathcal{A} , where $\delta_0 = id_{\mathcal{A}}$. Suppose that $c_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and $c_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$. Δ is called a *Jordan higher left derivation* if

$$\delta_n(A^2) = \sum_{\substack{i+j=n \\ i \leq j}} [(c_{ij} + 1)\delta_i(A)\delta_j(A)]$$

for every A in \mathcal{A} , n in \mathbb{N}^* , and i, j in \mathbb{N} . It is clear that δ_1 is a Jordan left derivation on \mathcal{A} .

By the definition of Jordan higher left derivations, it is easy to show that each Jordan higher left derivation on these algebras, which are studied in Sections 2 to 4, is zero.

5. LEFT DERIVABLE MAPPINGS AT SOME POINTS

In this section, we consider left derivable mappings on factor von Neumann algebras at every left separating point or every nonzero self-adjoint element.

Lemma 5.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital algebra, let \mathcal{M} be a unital left \mathcal{A} -module, and let δ be a linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} . If δ is left derivable at a left separating point W , then $\delta(P) = 0$ for every idempotent P in \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. It is clear that $\delta(W) = W\delta(I) + \delta(W)$. Then $W\delta(I) = 0$. Since W is a left separating point of \mathcal{M} , it follows that $\delta(I) = 0$.

For every idempotent $P \in \mathcal{A}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \neq 1$, it is easy to show

$$I = (I - tP)\left(I - \frac{t}{t-1}P\right).$$

Thus, we have that

$$W = (I - tP)\left(W - \frac{t}{t-1}PW\right)$$

and

$$\delta(W) = (I - tP)\delta\left(W - \frac{t}{t-1}PW\right) + \left(W - \frac{t}{t-1}PW\right)\delta(I - tP);$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(W) &= \delta(W) - \frac{t}{t-1}\delta(PW) - tP\delta(W) \\ &\quad + \frac{t^2}{t-1}P\delta(PW) - tW\delta(P) + \frac{t^2}{t-1}PW\delta(P).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any $t \neq 0, 1$, we obtain

$$0 = -\delta(PW) - (t-1)P\delta(W) + tP\delta(PW) - (t-1)W\delta(P) + tPW\delta(P);$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= t(PW\delta(P) + P\delta(PW) - P\delta(W) - W\delta(P)) \\ &\quad - (\delta(PW) - P\delta(W) - W\delta(P)).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$PW\delta(P) + P\delta(PW) - P\delta(W) - W\delta(P) = 0 \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\delta(PW) - P\delta(W) - W\delta(P) = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Multiplying P from the left-hand sides of (5.1) and (5.2), we have that

$$PW\delta(P) + P\delta(PW) - P\delta(W) - PW\delta(P) = 0 \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$P\delta(PW) - P\delta(W) - PW\delta(P) = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

Comparing (5.3) and (5.4), we have that $PW\delta(P) = 0$ and $P\delta(PW) - P\delta(W) = 0$. Thus, by (5.1), we have that $W\delta(P) = 0$. Since W is a left separating point of \mathcal{M} , we obtain $\delta(P) = 0$ for every idempotent P in \mathcal{A} . \square

By Lemma 5.1 and [16, Proposition 4.4], we have the following result.

Corollary 5.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a weakly closed unital algebra of $B(\mathcal{H})$ of infinite multiplicity, and let δ be a linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into a unital left \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} . If δ is left derivable at a left separating point W , then $\delta \equiv 0$.*

Lemma 5.3 ([11, Theorem 3]). *Let \mathcal{A} be a von Neumann algebra. Then any self-adjoint operator in \mathcal{A} can be written as a linear combination of 12 projections with 4 central and 8 real coefficients.*

By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.3, it is easy to prove the following result.

Theorem 5.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a factor von Neumann algebra, let \mathcal{M} be a unital left \mathcal{A} -module, and let δ be a linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} . If δ is left derivable at a left separating point W , then $\delta \equiv 0$.*

Lemma 5.5 ([2, Lemma 5]). *Let \mathcal{A} be a von Neumann algebra, and \mathcal{A} has no direct summands of finite type I. Then each invertible operator $A \in \mathcal{A}^+$ can be written as a linear combination of projections in \mathcal{A} with positive coefficients, where \mathcal{A}^+ denotes the set of all positive operators in \mathcal{A} .*

By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a von Neumann algebra, and \mathcal{A} has no direct summands of finite type I. Let \mathcal{M} be a unital left \mathcal{A} -module, and let δ be a linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} . If δ is left derivable at a left separating point W , then $\delta \equiv 0$.*

By [16, Lemma 3.1] and Lemma 5.3, we know that if \mathcal{A} is a factor von Neumann algebra and δ is a left derivable mapping at zero from \mathcal{A} into any unital left \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} with $\delta(I) = 0$, then $\delta \equiv 0$. Now we consider left derivable mappings at every nonzero self-adjoint element of factor von Neumann algebras.

Theorem 5.7. *Let \mathcal{A} be a factor von Neumann algebra, let C in \mathcal{A} be a nonzero self-adjoint element, and let δ be a linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into itself. If δ is left derivable at C , then $\delta \equiv 0$.*

Proof. If $\ker C = 0$, then C is a left separating point of \mathcal{A} . By Theorem 5.4 we know the conclusion holds.

In the following, we suppose that $\ker C \neq 0$.

Since \mathcal{A} is a factor von Neumann algebra, it is well known that \mathcal{A} is a prime algebra; that is,

$$AAB = (0) \quad \text{implies} \quad A = 0 \text{ or } B = 0 \quad (5.5)$$

for each A, B in \mathcal{A} .

Let $P = \overline{\text{ran } C}$, and let $Q = I - P$. By assumption, we know $P \neq 0$ and $Q \neq 0$. For every M in \mathcal{A} , by $C = C^*$, we have that $MC = 0$ implies $MP = 0$ and $CM = 0$ implies $PM = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{11} = PAP$, $\mathcal{A}_{12} = PAQ$, $\mathcal{A}_{21} = QAP$, and $\mathcal{A}_{22} = QAQ$. It follows that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{11} + \mathcal{A}_{12} + \mathcal{A}_{21} + \mathcal{A}_{22}$. Since $CQ = QC = 0$, we have that $C = C_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$. We divide the proof into two steps.

First we show that $\delta(\mathcal{A}_{22}) = \delta(\mathcal{A}_{12}) = P\delta(\mathcal{A}_{21}) = Q\delta(\mathcal{A}_{11}) = 0$.

Since $CI = C$ and δ is left derivable at C , it is easy to show that $C\delta(I) = P\delta(I) = 0$.

Letting $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$ be invertible, $A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$, $A_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$, and $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{R}$. By a simple computation, we have that

$$A_{11}(A_{11}^{-1}C) = C$$

and

$$(A_{11} + tA_{11}A_{12})(A_{11}^{-1}C - A_{12}A_{22} + t^{-1}A_{22}) = C.$$

It follows that

$$\delta(C) = A_{11}^{-1}C\delta(A_{11}) + A_{11}\delta(A_{11}^{-1}C) \quad (5.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(C) &= (A_{11}^{-1}C - A_{12}A_{22} + t^{-1}A_{22})\delta(A_{11} + tA_{11}A_{12}) \\
&\quad + (A_{11} + tA_{11}A_{12})\delta(A_{11}^{-1}C - A_{12}A_{22} + t^{-1}A_{22}) \\
&= [(A_{11}^{-1}C - A_{12}A_{22})\delta(A_{11}) + A_{11}\delta(A_{11}^{-1}C - A_{12}A_{22}) \\
&\quad + A_{22}\delta(A_{11}A_{12}) + A_{11}A_{12}\delta(A_{22})] \\
&\quad + t[(A_{11}^{-1}C - A_{12}A_{22})\delta(A_{11}A_{12}) + A_{11}A_{12}\delta(A_{11}^{-1}C - A_{12}A_{22})] \\
&\quad + t^{-1}[A_{22}\delta(A_{11}) + A_{11}\delta(A_{22})]. \tag{5.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Since t is an arbitrary nonzero number in \mathbb{R} , by (5.7) and [20, Proposition 2.0] it is easy to obtain some identities as follows:

$$(A_{11}^{-1}C - A_{12}A_{22})\delta(A_{11}A_{12}) + A_{11}A_{12}\delta(A_{11}^{-1}C - A_{12}A_{22}) = 0, \tag{5.8}$$

$$A_{22}\delta(A_{11}) + A_{11}\delta(A_{22}) = 0, \tag{5.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(C) &= (A_{11}^{-1}C - A_{12}A_{22})\delta(A_{11}) + A_{11}\delta(A_{11}^{-1}C - A_{12}A_{22}) \\
&\quad + A_{22}\delta(A_{11}A_{12}) + A_{11}A_{12}\delta(A_{22}) \\
&= A_{11}^{-1}C\delta(A_{11}) + A_{11}\delta(A_{11}^{-1}C) \\
&\quad - A_{12}A_{22}\delta(A_{11}) - A_{11}\delta(A_{12}A_{22}) \\
&\quad + A_{22}\delta(A_{11}A_{12}) + A_{11}A_{12}\delta(A_{22}). \tag{5.10}
\end{aligned}$$

By (5.6) and (5.10) we have that

$$-A_{12}A_{22}\delta(A_{11}) - A_{11}\delta(A_{12}A_{22}) + A_{22}\delta(A_{11}A_{12}) + A_{11}A_{12}\delta(A_{22}) = 0. \tag{5.11}$$

Multiplying Q from the left of (5.9) and taking $A_{22} = Q$ in it, we have that

$$Q\delta(A_{11}) = 0.$$

Since \mathcal{A}_{11} is a von Neumann algebra, it can be linearly generated by its invertible elements. Since δ is linear, we have

$$Q\delta(\mathcal{A}_{11}) = 0.$$

It follows that $Q\delta(C) = 0$ and $\delta(C) = P\delta(C)$. Similarly, we have that $P\delta(\mathcal{A}_{22}) = 0$.

Multiplying P from the left of (5.9) and taking $A_{11} = P$ and $A_{22} = Q$ in (5.9), we have that

$$P\delta(Q) = Q\delta(P) = 0.$$

It follows that $\delta(P) = P\delta(P) = P\delta(I) = 0$.

Multiplying Q from the left of (5.11) and taking $A_{11} = P$ and $A_{22} = Q$ in it, we have that

$$Q\delta(A_{12}) = 0.$$

Taking $A_{11} = P$ and $A_{22} = Q$ in (5.8), we obtain

$$(C - A_{12})\delta(A_{12}) + A_{12}\delta(C - A_{12}) = 0. \tag{5.12}$$

By $Q\delta(A_{12}) = 0$ and $Q\delta(C) = 0$, we have that $C\delta(A_{12}) = P\delta(A_{12}) = 0$; thus

$$\delta(A_{12}) = 0$$

for every A_{12} in \mathcal{A}_{12} , which means that $\delta(\mathcal{A}_{12}) = 0$.

By $Q\delta(\mathcal{A}_{11}) = 0$ and taking $A_{11} = P$ in (5.11), we have that

$$A_{12}\delta(A_{22}) = 0. \quad (5.13)$$

Since A_{12} is arbitrary, it follows that $PAQ\delta(A_{22}) = 0$. By (5.5) and $P \neq 0$ we obtain

$$Q\delta(A_{22}) = 0$$

for every A_{22} in \mathcal{A}_{22} , which means that $Q\delta(\mathcal{A}_{22}) = 0$. Using $P\delta(\mathcal{A}_{22}) = 0$ and $Q\delta(\mathcal{A}_{22}) = 0$, we have that $\delta(\mathcal{A}_{22}) = 0$.

Taking $A_{22} = Q$ in (5.13), we obtain

$$A_{12}\delta(Q) = 0.$$

Similarly, by (5.5) it follows that $Q\delta(Q) = 0$; that is, $\delta(Q) = 0$ by $P\delta(Q) = 0$.

By $P(C + A_{21}) = C$, we have that

$$(C + A_{21})\delta(P) + P\delta(C + A_{21}) = \delta(C).$$

Since $\delta(P) = 0$, it follows that $P\delta(C + A_{21}) = \delta(C)$; hence we obtain $P\delta(A_{21}) = 0$ for every A_{21} in \mathcal{A}_{21} . Thus $P\delta(\mathcal{A}_{21}) = 0$.

Similarly, letting $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$ be invertible, $A_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$, $A_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$, and $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{R}$. We have that

$$(CA_{11}^{-1} - A_{22}A_{21} + t^{-1}A_{22})(A_{11} + tA_{21}A_{11}) = C.$$

Thus, applying the same technique as in the previous proof, we can prove that $Q\delta(\mathcal{A}_{21}) = P\delta(\mathcal{A}_{11}) = 0$. Hence $\delta(\mathcal{A}_{21}) = \delta(\mathcal{A}_{11}) = 0$. \square

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the referee for his or her suggestions. This research was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 11371136).

REFERENCES

1. J. Alaminos, M. Brešar, J. Extermera, and R. Villena, *Characterizing Jordan maps on C^* -algebras through zero products*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **53** (2010), no. 3, 543–555. [Zbl 1216.47063](#). [MR2720236](#). [DOI 10.1017/S0013091509000534](#). [471](#)
2. A. Bikchentaev, *On representation of elements of a von Neumann algebra in the form of finite sums of products of projections*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **46** (2005), no. 1, 24–34. [MR2141300](#). [DOI 10.1007/s11202-005-0003-4](#). [478](#)
3. M. Brešar, *Jordan derivations on semiprime rings*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), no. 4, 1003–1006. [Zbl 0691.16039](#). [MR0929422](#). [DOI 10.2307/2047580](#). [467](#)
4. M. Brešar and J. Vukman, *Jordan derivations on prime rings*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **37** (1988), no. 3, 321–322. [Zbl 0634.16021](#). [MR0943433](#). [DOI 10.1017/S0004972700026927](#). [467](#)
5. M. Brešar and J. Vukman, *On left derivations and related mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), no. 1, 7–16. [Zbl 0703.16020](#). [MR1028284](#). [DOI 10.2307/2048234](#). [467](#)
6. J. Cuntz, *On the continuity of Semi-Norms on operator algebras*, Math. Ann. **220** (1976), no. 2, 171–183. [Zbl 0306.46071](#). [MR0397419](#). [470](#)

7. J. Cusack, *Jordan derivations on rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1975), no. 2, 321–324. [Zbl 0327.16020](#). [MR0399182](#). [467](#)
8. H. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, London Math. Soc. Monog. Ser. **24**, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 2000. [MR1816726](#). [471](#)
9. H. Dales, F. Ghahramani, and N. Grønbaek, *Derivations into iterated duals of Banach algebras*, Studia Math. **128** (1998), no. 1, 19–54. [Zbl 0903.46045](#). [MR1489459](#). [471](#)
10. Q. Deng, *On Jordan left derivations*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **34** (1992), 145–147. [Zbl 0813.16021](#). [MR1272614](#). [467](#)
11. S. Goldstein and A. Paszkiewicz, *Linear combinations of projections in von Neumann algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **116** (1992), no. 1, 175–183. [Zbl 0768.47017](#). [MR1094501](#). [DOI 10.2307/2159311](#). [477](#)
12. J. Guo and J. Li, *On centralizers of reflexive algebras*, Aequationes Math. **84** (2012), no. 1, 1–12. [Zbl 1257.47071](#). [MR2968197](#). [DOI 10.1007/s00010-012-0137-y](#). [472](#)
13. I. Herstein, *Jordan derivations of prime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 1104–1110. [Zbl 0216.07202](#). [MR0095864](#). [467](#)
14. R. Kadison and J. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras, I*, Pure Appl. Math. **100**, Academic Press, New York, 1983. [MR0719020](#). [471](#)
15. I. Kosi-Ulbl and J. Vukman, *A note on (m, n) -Jordan derivations on semiprime rings and Banach algebras*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **93** (2016), no. 2, 231–237. [MR3480933](#). [DOI 10.1017/S0004972715001203](#). [467](#)
16. J. Li and J. Zhou, *Jordan left derivations and some left derivable maps*, Oper. Matrices **4** (2010), 127–138. [Zbl 1198.47054](#). [MR2655008](#). [DOI 10.7153/oam-04-06](#). [467](#), [468](#), [477](#), [478](#)
17. W. Longstaff, *Strongly reflexive lattices*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **11** (1975), no. 4, 491–498. [Zbl 0313.47002](#). [MR0394233](#). [468](#)
18. W. Longstaff and O. Panaia, *\mathcal{J} -subspace lattices and subspace \mathcal{M} -bases*, Studia Math. **139** (2000), no. 3, 197–212. [Zbl 0974.46016](#). [MR1762581](#). [468](#)
19. T. Ogasawara, *Finite dimensionality of certain Banach algebras*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A **17** (1954), 359–364. [Zbl 0056.10902](#). [MR0066569](#). [469](#)
20. Z. Pan, *Derivable maps and derivational points*, Linear Algebra Appl. **436** (2012), no. 11, 4251–4260. [Zbl 1236.47030](#). [MR2915280](#). [DOI 10.1016/j.laa.2012.01.027](#). [479](#)
21. K. Park, *Jordan higher left derivations and commutativity in prime rings*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **23** (2010), 741–748. [476](#)
22. J. Ringrose, *Automatically continuous of derivations of operator algebras*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **5** (1972), no. 3, 432–438. [MR0374927](#). [469](#)
23. J. Vukman, *On left Jordan derivations of rings and Banach algebras*, Aequationes Math. **75** (2008), no. 3, 260–266. [Zbl 1155.46019](#). [MR2424134](#). [DOI 10.1007/s00010-007-2872-z](#). [467](#)
24. J. Vukman, *On (m, n) -Jordan derivations and commutativity of prime rings*, Demonstr. Math. **41** (2008), no. 4, 773–778. [MR2484502](#). [467](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EAST CHINA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHANGHAI 200237, CHINA.

E-mail address: anguangyu310@163.com; dingyana@mail.ecust.edu.cn; jiankuili@yahoo.com