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#### Abstract

In this article, we study the local dynamical structure of a rational mapping $F$ of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ at a fixed indeterminate point $p$. Using a sequence of blowups, we construct a family $\left\{\tilde{W}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{\mathrm{j} \in J}$ of germs of holomorphic curve at the point $p$, where $J$ is a subset of a Cantor set $\{1,2\}^{\mathbf{N}}$. This is a new construction for a Cantor bouquet.


## 1. Introduction

The dynamics of a rational mapping $F$ on the 2-dimensional complex projective space $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ at an indeterminate point $p$ have been studied by Y. Yamagishi [7], [8] and T. C. Dinh, R. Dujardin, and N. Sibony [2]. Roughly speaking, they showed that if $F$ contracts some open neighborhood $U_{p}$ of $p$ in some direction, then there exists a family of uncountably many currents or stable manifolds of $p$ which is called a Cantor bouquet of $p$. Their results show that a chaotic phenomenon occurs in a neighborhood of the indeterminate point at which the mapping is not continuous.

In this article, we try another approach to the construction of a Cantor bouquet. By using a sequence of blowups, we construct a family $\left\{\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in J}$ of germs of holomorphic curve at the point $p$, where $J$ is a subset of a Cantor set $\{1,2\}^{\mathbf{N}}$. We remark here that the family $\left\{\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in J}$ contains not only stable manifolds of $p$ but also center or unstable manifolds of $p$. Hence our $\left\{\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in J}$ is a generalization of a Cantor bouquet.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state some preliminary facts and our main theorems. Section 3 is devoted to the construction of the family $\left\{\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in J}$ of germs of holomorphic curve at the point $p$. In the final Section 4, as an application, we consider a specific rational mapping $F$ and completely determine the number of germs of $\left\{\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in J}$. In particular, $J$ is a proper subset of the Cantor set $\{1,2\}^{\mathbf{N}}$, and every $W_{\mathbf{j}}$ is an unstable manifold of $p$. This is a new dynamical structure at an indeterminate point $p$ where $F$ is not continuous.

## 2. Preliminaries and main theorems

In this section, we fix the notation that is used throughout this article and state our main theorems. First, we fix once and for all a homogeneous coordinate system $[x: y: z]$ in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$; we often use the natural identification given by

$$
\mathbf{C}^{2}=\left\{[x: y: z] \in \mathbf{P}^{2} \mid z \neq 0\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad(x, y)=[x: y: 1] .
$$

Let $f_{i}(x, y, z)(i=0,1,2)$ be homogeneous polynomials of degree $d$. Then, by setting

$$
F([x: y: z])=\left[f_{0}: f_{1}: f_{2}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{F}(x, y, z)=\left(f_{0}, f_{1}, f_{2}\right),
$$

we have a rational mapping $F$ on $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ and a polynomial mapping $\hat{F}$ on $\mathbf{C}^{3}$ with $\hat{\pi} \circ \hat{F}=F \circ \hat{\pi}$ on $\mathbf{C}^{3}$ outside some proper analytic sets, where $\hat{\pi}: \mathbf{C}^{3} \backslash\{(0,0,0)\} \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ is the canonical projection. A point $p \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is said to be an indeterminate point of $F$ if $\hat{F}(\hat{p})=(0,0,0)$ for some point $\hat{p} \in \hat{\pi}^{-1}(p)$. In this article, we assume that a rational mapping $F$ has an indeterminate point $p=[0: 0: 1]$. In general, if $p$ is an indeterminate point, then $F$ is not continuous at $p$ and $\bigcap_{N_{p}} \overline{F\left(N_{p} \backslash\{p\}\right)}$ is not a singleton, where the intersection is taken over all open neighborhoods $N_{p}$ of $p$. Moreover, $p$ is said to be a fixed indeterminate point if $p \in \bigcap_{N_{p}} \overline{F\left(N_{p} \backslash\{p\}\right)}$. We remark here that a fixed indeterminate point $p$ is nonwandering; nevertheless, $F$ is not continuous at $p$. Therefore, it is important to study the local dynamical structure at such a point.

Next, we introduce some notation and terminology from algebraic geometry. We refer the reader to $[3, \S 2.4]$. Consider the product space $\mathbf{C}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$, and define the subvariety $X \subset \mathbf{C}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ as the following:

$$
X:=\left\{(x, y) \times[u: v] \in \mathbf{C}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \mid x v-(y-\alpha) u=0\right\}
$$

for the point $(0, \alpha) \in \mathbf{C}^{2}$.

## DEFINITION 2.1

The mapping $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ defined by restricting the first projection $\mathbf{C}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ to $X$ is called the blowup of $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ centered at $(0, \alpha)$.

It follows from the definition that $\pi^{-1}(0, \alpha)=\{(0, \alpha)\} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ and that

$$
\pi: X \backslash \pi^{-1}(0, \alpha) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2} \backslash\{(0, \alpha)\} \quad \text { is biholomorphic. }
$$

Put $E:=\pi^{-1}(0, \alpha) ; E$ is called the exceptional curve. $X$ has the local chart $\left\{\left(U^{i}, \varphi^{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1,2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
U^{1}:=\{(x, y) \times[u: v] \in X \mid u \neq 0\}=\left\{(x, y) \times[u: v] \in X \left\lvert\, y=\alpha+x \frac{v}{u}\right.\right\}, \\
U^{2}:=\{(x, y) \times[u: v] \in X \mid v \neq 0\}=\left\{(x, y) \times[u: v] \in X \left\lvert\, x=(y-\alpha) \frac{u}{v}\right.\right\}, \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi^{1}: U^{1} \ni(x, y) \times[u: v] \mapsto(x, v / u) \in \mathbf{C}^{2}, \\
\varphi^{2}: U^{2} \ni(x, y) \times[u: v] \mapsto(u / v, y) \in \mathbf{C}^{2} .
\end{array}\right. \tag{C.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Observe that the restriction of $\pi$ to $U^{i}$ can be written as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.\pi\right|_{U^{1}}: U^{1} \ni(x, \eta) \mapsto(x, x \eta+\alpha) \in \mathbf{C}^{2}  \tag{C.2}\\
\left.\pi\right|_{U^{2}}: U^{2} \ni(\xi, y) \mapsto(\xi(y-\alpha), y) \in \mathbf{C}^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

by using local charts $\varphi^{i}$. The verification of the following proposition is straightforward; therefore, the proof is left to reader.

## PROPOSITION 2.1

We have the following:
(1) $X \backslash U^{1}=\left\{(\xi, y) \in U^{2} \mid \xi=0\right\}$.
(2) $E \cap U^{1}=\left\{(x, \eta) \in U^{1} \mid x=0\right\}$ and $E \cap U^{2}=\left\{(\xi, y) \in U^{2} \mid y=\alpha\right\}$.
(3) $E \cap\left(U^{2} \backslash U^{1}\right)=\left\{(\xi, y)=(0, \alpha) \in U^{2}\right\}$.

By pasting $\mathbf{C}^{2}=\left\{[x: y: z] \in \mathbf{P}^{2} \mid z \neq 0\right\}$ on the other charts of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$, one can obtain the blowup of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ centered at $[0: \alpha: 1]$. To simplify our notation, we denote this also by $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$.

Throughout this article, we concentrate our attention on the dynamics of $F$ in the chart $\mathbf{C}^{2}=\left\{[x: y: z] \in \mathbf{P}^{2} \mid z \neq 0\right\}$. Observe that $p=(0,0)$ is our indeterminate point. We also denote the restriction of $F$ to $\mathbf{C}^{2}=\left\{[x: y: z] \in \mathbf{P}^{2} \mid z \neq 0\right\}$ by $F$.

The investigation of the local dynamical structure at an indeterminate point originated with Y. Yamagishi [7], [8]; we introduce his idea of Cantor bouquet here. Let us define a rational mapping

$$
\tilde{F}: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2} \quad \text { by } \tilde{F}:=F \circ \pi,
$$

where $\pi$ is the blowup centered at $p=(0,0)$. Yamagishi assumed that $\tilde{F}$ satisfies the following:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1) \tilde{F} \text { is a holomorphic mapping on a neighborhood of } E ;  \tag{A.0}\\
(2) \tilde{F}^{-1}(p) \cap E \text { consists of two points } p_{j_{1}}\left(j_{1}=1,2\right) ; \text { and } \\
\text { (3) there exists an open neighborhood } N_{j_{1}} \text { of } p_{j_{1}}\left(j_{1}=1,2\right) \\
\quad \text { such that } \tilde{F} \text { is biholomorphic on } N_{j_{1}} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Notice that $p$ is a fixed indeterminate point of $F$ under condition (2) of (A.0). Moreover, he showed that if $F$ contracts some open neighborhood $U_{p}$ of $p$ in some direction, then there exists a family $\left\{W_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in\{1,2\}^{\mathrm{N}}}$ of uncountably many local stable manifolds of $p$ (for details, see [7]). $\left\{W_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in\{1,2\}^{\mathrm{N}}}$ is called a Cantor bouquet of $p$.

Instead of a Cantor bouquet, in this article, we consider the following family of germs of holomorphic curve.

## DEFINITION 2.2

$W_{\lambda}$ is a holomorphic curve which passes through $p$ if there exist a holomorphic function $\phi_{\lambda}$ on $\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda}}$ and a holomorphic mapping $\Phi_{\lambda}: \Delta_{\rho_{\lambda}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}, t \mapsto\left(t, \phi_{\lambda}(t)\right)$ such that $\Phi_{\lambda}(0)=p$ and $\Phi_{\lambda}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda}}\right)=W_{\lambda}$, where $\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda}}:=\left\{t \in \mathbf{C}| | t \mid<\rho_{\lambda}\right\}$.

Two holomorphic curves $W_{\lambda}$ and $W_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ which pass through $p$ are called equivalent if there is an open neighborhood $U$ of $p$ such that $W_{\lambda} \cap U=W_{\lambda^{\prime}} \cap U$, and it is denoted by $W_{\lambda} \sim W_{\lambda^{\prime}}$. This is an equivalence relation, and an equivalence class is called a germ of a holomorphic curve at the point $p$. Any holomorphic curve $W_{\lambda}$ which passes through $p$ belongs to some equivalence class; this class is called the germ of the holomorphic curve $W_{\lambda}$ and is denoted by $\tilde{W}_{\lambda}$.

## DEFINITION 2.3

A family $\left\{\tilde{W}_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of germs of holomorphic curve at the point $p$ is invariant if for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exist a unique $\lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda$ and a positive constant $\rho_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ with $0<\rho_{\lambda^{\prime}} \leq \rho_{\lambda}$ such that $F \circ \Phi_{\lambda}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda^{\prime}}}\right)$ is a holomorphic curve that passes through $p$ and $F \circ \Phi_{\lambda}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda^{\prime}}}\right) \in \tilde{W}_{\lambda^{\prime}}$.

REMARK 1
The mapping $F \circ \Phi_{\lambda}$ is well defined at $t=0$, although $p=\Phi_{\lambda}(0)$ is an indeterminate point of $F$. Indeed, there exists a unique holomorphic mapping $g: \Delta_{\rho_{\lambda^{\prime}}} \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ such that $g(t)=F \circ \Phi_{\lambda}(t)$ for any $t \in \Delta_{\rho_{\lambda^{\prime}}} \backslash\{0\}$ (for more detail, see [1]).

REMARK 2
The family $\left\{\tilde{W}_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ contains not only stable manifolds of $p$ but also center or unstable manifolds of $p$. Hence, our $\left\{\tilde{W}_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a generalization of a Cantor bouquet in the sense of Yamagishi.

In this article, we assume that a rational mapping $F$ satisfies the condition (A.0).
By Proposition 2.1, if $p_{j_{1}} \in E \cap U^{1}$, then one can set $p_{j_{1}}:=\left(0, \alpha_{j_{1}}\right) \in U^{1}$; and if $p_{j_{1}} \in E \cap\left(U^{2} \backslash U^{1}\right)$, then $p_{j_{1}}=(0,0) \in U^{2}$. Hence, one can put $p_{j_{1}}=\left(0, \alpha_{j_{1}}\right) \in U^{i}$ in any case. Together with the identification $U^{i} \cong \mathbf{C}^{2}(i=1,2)$, for $p_{j_{1}} \in U^{i}$ we can define the subvariety

$$
X_{j_{1}}:=\left\{(x, y) \times[u: v] \in U^{i} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \mid x v-\left(y-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right) u=0\right\},
$$

the blowup $\pi_{j_{1}}: X_{j_{1}} \rightarrow U^{i}$ centered at $p_{j_{1}}$, and the exceptional curve $E_{j_{1}}:=$ $\pi_{j_{1}}^{-1}\left(p_{j_{1}}\right)$ analogous to the definitions for $X, \pi$, and $E$. Moreover, by pasting the chart $U^{i}$ which contains $p_{j_{1}}$ on the other charts of $X$, one can obtain the blowup $\pi_{j_{1}}: X_{j_{1}} \rightarrow X$. By repeating this process inductively, we can obtain the following theorem (see Figure 1).

## THEOREM 2.2

Assume that a rational mapping $F$ with the indeterminate point $p$ satisfies the condition (A.0). Then, for every $n \in \mathbf{N}, j_{n}=1,2$, the following claims hold.
(1.1) Define the composition $F_{j_{1}}:=\pi^{-1} \circ \tilde{F}: N_{j_{1}} \rightarrow X$. Then, the point $p_{j_{1}}$ is an indeterminate point of $F_{j_{1}}$.
(1.2) Let us define the mapping

$$
\tilde{F}_{j_{1}}:=F_{j_{1}} \circ \pi_{j_{1}}: \pi_{j_{1}}^{-1}\left(N_{j_{1}}\right) \rightarrow X,
$$



Figure 1
where $\pi_{j_{1}}: X_{j_{1}} \rightarrow X$ is the blowup of $X$ centered at $p_{j_{1}}$. Then, the exceptional curve $E_{j_{1}} \subset \pi_{j_{1}}^{-1}\left(N_{j_{1}}\right)$.
(1.3) It is seen that $\left.\tilde{F}_{j_{1}}\right|_{j_{1}}: E_{j_{1}} \rightarrow E$ is bijective. Hence, we can put $p_{j_{1} j_{2}}:=$ $\tilde{F}_{j_{1}}^{-1}\left(p_{j_{2}}\right) \in E_{j_{1}}$.
(1.4) There exists an open neighborhood $N_{j_{1} j_{2}}$ of $p_{j_{1} j_{2}}$ such that $\left.\tilde{F}_{j_{1}}\right|_{N_{j_{1} j_{2}}}$ is biholomorphic.
(2.1) Define the composition $F_{j_{1} j_{2}}:=\pi_{j_{2}}^{-1} \circ \tilde{F}_{j_{1}}: N_{j_{1} j_{2}} \rightarrow X_{j_{2}}$. Then, the point $p_{j_{1} j_{2}}$ is an indeterminate point of $F_{j_{1} j_{2}}$.
(2.2) Let us define the mapping

$$
\tilde{F}_{j_{1} j_{2}}:=F_{j_{1} j_{2}} \circ \pi_{j_{1} j_{2}}: \pi_{j_{1} j_{2}}^{-1}\left(N_{j_{1} j_{2}}\right) \rightarrow X_{j_{2}},
$$

where $\pi_{j_{1} j_{2}}: X_{j_{1} j_{2}} \rightarrow X_{j_{1}}$ is the blowup of $X_{j_{1}}$ centered at $p_{j_{1} j_{2}}$. Then, the exceptional curve $E_{j_{1} j_{2}} \subset \pi_{j_{1} j_{2}}^{-1}\left(N_{j_{1} j_{2}}\right)$.
(2.3) It is seen that $\left.\tilde{F}_{j_{1} j_{2}}\right|_{E_{j_{1} j_{2}}}: E_{j_{1} j_{2}} \rightarrow E_{j_{2}}$ is bijective. Hence, we can put $p_{j_{1} j_{2} j_{3}}:=\tilde{F}_{j_{1} j_{2}}^{-1}\left(p_{j_{2} j_{3}}\right) \in E_{j_{1} j_{2}}$.
(2.4) There exists an open neighborhood $N_{j_{1} j_{2} j_{3}}$ of $p_{j_{1} j_{2} j_{3}}$ such that $\left.\tilde{F}_{j_{1} j_{2}}\right|_{N_{j_{1} j_{2} j_{3}}}$ is biholomorphic.

We can repeat this process inductively and define the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { the point } p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}:=\tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}^{-1}\left(p_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}\right) \in E_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}, \\
& F_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}:=\pi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}^{-1} \circ \tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}: N_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} \rightarrow X_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}},
\end{aligned}
$$

the blowup $\pi_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}: X_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} \rightarrow X_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}$ centered at $p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}$,
and the mapping $\tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}:=F_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} \circ \pi_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}: \pi_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}^{-1}\left(N_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}\right) \rightarrow X_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}$, where $E_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}$ is the exceptional curve of $X_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}$ and $N_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}$ is an open neighborhood of $p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}$ such that $\left.\tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\right|_{N_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}}$ is biholomorphic. Then, the following claims hold.
(1) The point $p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}$ is an indeterminate point of $F_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}$.
(2) The exceptional curve $E_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} \subset \pi_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}^{-1}\left(N_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}\right)$.
(3) It is seen that $\left.\tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}\right|_{E_{1} \cdots j_{n}}: E_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} \rightarrow E_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}$ is bijective. Hence, we can define the point

$$
p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n+1}}:=\tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}^{-1}\left(p_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n+1}}\right) \in E_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} .
$$

(4) There exists some open neighborhood $N_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n+1}}$ of $p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n+1}}$ such that $\left.\tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}\right|_{N_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n+1}}}$ is biholomorphic.

We denote the local charts for the $X_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}$ by $U_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}^{i}(i=1,2)$ defined similarly to $U^{i}(i=1,2)$ for $X$.

To state our Theorem 2.3, we need the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j_{1}} \in U^{1} \cap E \quad \text { and } \quad p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n+1}} \in U_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}^{1} \cap E_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { for any } n \in \mathbf{N}, j_{n}=1,2
$$

By using this chart, we can define $p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}=\left(0, \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}\right) \in U_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}^{1}$. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $j_{n}=1,2$, let us define the space of symbol sequences

$$
\{1,2\}^{\mathbf{N}}:=\left\{\mathbf{j}=\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots\right) \mid j_{n}=1 \text { or } 2\right\} .
$$

For every $\mathbf{j} \in\{1,2\}^{\mathbf{N}}$, define formal power series

$$
y=\phi_{\mathbf{j}}(x):=\alpha_{j_{1}} x+\alpha_{j_{1} j_{2}} x^{2}+\cdots
$$

and

$$
J:=\left\{\mathbf{j} \in\{1,2\}^{\mathbf{N}} \mid \rho_{\mathbf{j}}>0\right\},
$$

where $\rho_{\mathbf{j}}$ is the radius of the domain of definition of $\phi_{\mathbf{j}}$. For all $\mathbf{j} \in J$, put a holomorphic mapping

$$
\Phi_{\mathbf{j}}: \Delta_{\rho_{\mathbf{j}}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2} \quad \text { by } t \mapsto\left(t, \phi_{\mathbf{j}}(t)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad W_{\mathbf{j}}:=\Phi_{\mathbf{j}}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{\mathbf{j}}}\right)
$$

Let us define $\sigma:\{1,2\}^{\mathbf{N}} \rightarrow\{1,2\}^{\mathbf{N}}$ to be the left shift mapping $\sigma\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots\right)=$ $\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots\right)$. Then, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.3
Assume that rational mapping $F$ with indeterminate point $p$ satisfies conditions (A.0) and (A.1). Then, the following hold.
(1) The family $\left\{\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in J}$ of germs of holomorphic curve at the point $p$ is invariant and the maximal of such a family. Here, to say $\left\{\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in J}$ is maximal means that every family $\left\{\tilde{V}_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of germs of holomorphic curve at the point $p$
which is invariant satisfies the following:
for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there exists a unique sequence $\mathbf{j} \in J$ such that $\tilde{V}_{\lambda}=\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}$ as a germ.
(2) There exists an injective mapping $\Psi:\left\{\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in J} \ni \tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}} \mapsto \mathbf{j} \in\{1,2\}^{\mathbf{N}}$ such that $\Psi \circ F=\sigma \circ \Psi$.

## 3. Proof of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3

## Proof of Theorem 2.2

For polynomials $p(x, y), q(x, y)$, let us denote by

$$
O(p(x, y), q(x, y)):=\sum_{\substack{i+j \geq 2 \\ i, j \geq 0}} \beta_{i j} p(x, y)^{i} q(x, y)^{j}
$$

some formal power series of $p(x, y)$ and $q(x, y)$, where $\beta_{i j} \in \mathbf{C}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $p_{j_{1}} \in U^{1} \cap E$ and identify it with $p_{j_{1}}:=\left(0, \alpha_{j_{1}}\right)$ by using the chart of $U^{1}$. From (A.0), $\tilde{F}$ has the following Taylor expansion on some open neighborhood $N_{j_{1}}$ of $p_{j_{1}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}(x, \eta)= & \left(a_{10} x+a_{01}\left(\eta-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right)+O\left(x, \eta-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right),\right. \\
& \left.b_{10} x+b_{01}\left(\eta-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right)+O\left(x, \eta-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set the right-hand side of the above to $(f(x, \eta), g(x, \eta))$. Since $\tilde{F}$ is biholomorphic at $p_{j_{1}}, J \tilde{F}\left(0, \alpha_{j_{1}}\right)=a_{10} b_{01}-a_{01} b_{10} \neq 0$, where $J \tilde{F}\left(0, \alpha_{j_{1}}\right)$ is the Jacobian determinant of $\tilde{F}: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ at $\left(0, \alpha_{j_{1}}\right)$. For $(x, \eta) \in N_{j_{1}} \cap U^{1}, F_{j_{1}}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{j_{1}}(x, \eta):=\pi^{-1} \circ \tilde{F}(x, \eta)=(f(x, \eta), g(x, \eta)) \times[f(x, \eta): g(x, \eta)] . \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove the assertion (1.1), we assume, to the contrary, that $p_{j_{1}}=$ $\left(0, \alpha_{j_{1}}\right)$ is not an indeterminate point of $F_{j_{1}}$. Then, the convergent power series $f(x, \eta)$ and $g(x, \eta)$ have a common factor $h(x, \eta)$ such that

$$
\left\{(x, \eta) \in N_{j_{1}} \mid h(x, \eta)=0\right\} \subset \tilde{F}^{-1}(p) .
$$

This contradicts the fact that $\tilde{F}^{-1}(p)=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$.
It is easy to see (1.2) from the definition of the blowup.
By (C.2) and (i), $\tilde{F}_{j_{1}}$ has the following form on $\pi_{j_{1}}^{-1}\left(N_{j_{1}}\right) \cap U_{j_{1}}^{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}_{j_{1}}(x, \eta):= & F_{j_{1}} \circ \pi_{j_{1}}(x, \eta)=F_{j_{1}}\left(x, x \eta+\alpha_{j_{1}}\right) \\
= & \left(f\left(x, x \eta+\alpha_{j_{1}}\right), g\left(x, x \eta+\alpha_{j_{1}}\right)\right) \\
& \times\left[a_{10}+a_{01} \eta+\tilde{O}(x, x \eta): b_{10}+b_{01} \eta+\tilde{O}(x, x \eta)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{O}(x, x \eta)=O(x, x \eta) / x$, and we note here that $\tilde{O}(x, x \eta)$ is a convergent power series. Then, it follows from

$$
a_{10} b_{01}-a_{01} b_{10} \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{F}_{j_{1}}(0, \eta)=(0,0) \times\left[a_{10}+a_{01} \eta: b_{10}+b_{01} \eta\right]
$$

that $\tilde{F}_{j_{1}}$ is injective on $U_{j_{1}}^{1} \cap E_{j_{1}}=\left\{(x, \eta) \in U_{j_{1}}^{1} \mid x=0\right\}$. Similarly, by (C.2) and (i), we see that $\tilde{F}_{j_{1}}$ has the following form on $\pi_{j_{1}}^{-1}\left(N_{j_{1}}\right) \cap U_{j_{1}}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}_{j_{1}}(\xi, y)= & F_{j_{1}}\left(\xi\left(y-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right), y\right) \\
= & \left(f\left(\xi\left(y-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right), y\right), g\left(\xi\left(y-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right), y\right)\right) \\
& \times\left[a_{10} \xi+a_{01}+\tilde{O}\left(\xi\left(y-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right), y-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right):\right. \\
& \left.\quad b_{10} \xi+b_{01}+\tilde{O}\left(\xi\left(y-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right), y-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{O}\left(\xi\left(y-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right), y-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right)=O\left(\xi\left(y-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right), y-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right) /\left(y-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right)$ and it is a convergent power series. It implies that

$$
\tilde{F}_{j_{1}}\left(0, \alpha_{j_{1}}\right)=(0,0) \times\left[a_{01}: b_{01}\right] .
$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.1(3), one can see that $E_{j_{1}} \cap\left(U_{j_{1}}^{2} \backslash U_{j_{1}}^{1}\right)=$ $\left(0, \alpha_{j_{1}}\right)$ and $\left.\tilde{F}_{j_{1}}\right|_{E_{j_{1}}}: E_{j_{1}} \rightarrow E$ is bijective; this implies (1.3).

Since $F_{j_{1}}$ is biholomorphic on $N_{j_{1}} \backslash\left\{p_{j_{1}}\right\}$ and $\pi_{j_{1}}$ is biholomorphic on $\pi_{j_{1}}^{-1}\left(N_{j_{1}}\right) \backslash E_{j_{1}}, \tilde{F}_{j_{1}}$ is biholomorphic on $\pi_{j_{1}}^{-1}\left(N_{j_{1}}\right) \backslash E_{j_{1}}$. Together with (1.3), $\tilde{F}_{j_{1}}$ is biholomorphic on $\pi_{j_{1}}^{-1}\left(N_{j_{1}}\right)$, and this shows (1.4). By repeating this process inductively, the proof of Theorem 2.2 is completed.

## Proof of Theorem 2.3

First, we want to show that $\left\{\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in J}$ is a maximal family. For this purpose, fix any family $\left\{\tilde{V}_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of germs of holomorphic curve at the point $p$ which is invariant. Take a representative element $V_{\lambda} \in \tilde{V}_{\lambda}$. By Definition 2.2, for every $V_{\lambda}$ there exists a holomorphic mapping

$$
\Phi_{\lambda}: \Delta_{\rho_{\lambda}} \ni t \mapsto\left(t, \phi_{\lambda}(t)\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{2}
$$

such that $V_{\lambda}=\Phi_{\lambda}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda}}\right)$. Denote the Taylor expansion of $\phi_{\lambda}(t)$ at $t=0$ by $\phi_{\lambda}(t):=c_{1} t+c_{2} t^{2}+\cdots$. For $V_{\lambda}$, the following lemma holds.

## LEMMA 3.1

(1) For every $V_{\lambda}$, there exist an open neighborhood $N_{\lambda}$ of $p$ and a point $p_{j_{1}} \in$ $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}=\tilde{F}^{-1}(p)$ such that $\left\{p_{j_{1}}\right\}=\overline{\pi^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda} \cap N_{\lambda} \backslash\{p\}\right)} \cap E$, where the closure is taken with respect to the relative topology of $\pi^{-1}\left(N_{\lambda}\right)$. Put

$$
V_{\lambda j_{1}}:=\overline{\pi^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda} \cap N_{\lambda} \backslash\{p\}\right)} .
$$

(2) There exists a holomorphic function $\phi_{\lambda_{j_{1}}}$ on $\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda}}$ satisfying the following condition. Define a holomorphic mapping $\Phi_{\lambda_{j_{1}}}: \Delta_{\rho_{\lambda}} \rightarrow U^{1}$ by $t \mapsto\left(t, \phi_{\lambda_{j_{1}}}(t)\right)$. Then, $V_{\lambda_{1}} \sim \Phi_{\lambda_{1}}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda}}\right)$ and $c_{1}=\alpha_{j_{1}}$.

Proof
It follows from the definition of the blowup $\pi$ that for $x \in \Delta_{\rho_{\lambda}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda} \cap N_{\lambda}\right) \cap U^{1} & =\left\{(x, \eta) \in U^{1} \mid x \eta=c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+c_{n} x^{n}+\cdots\right\} \\
& =\left\{(x, \eta) \in U^{1} \mid x=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cup\left\{(x, \eta) \in U^{1} \mid \eta=c_{1}+c_{2} x+\cdots+c_{n} x^{n-1}+\cdots\right\} \\
& \pi^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda} \cap N_{\lambda} \backslash\{p\}\right) \cap U^{1}=\left\{(x, \eta) \in U^{1} \mid \eta=c_{1}+c_{2} x+\cdots\right\} \backslash\left\{\left(0, c_{1}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we obtain the result that for $x \in \Delta_{\rho_{\lambda}}$,

$$
\overline{\pi^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda} \cap N_{\lambda} \backslash\{p\}\right) \cap U^{1}}=\left\{(x, \eta) \in U^{1} \mid \eta=c_{1}+c_{2} x+\cdots+c_{n} x^{n-1}+\cdots\right\} .
$$

Put $\phi_{\lambda j_{1}}(t):=c_{1}+c_{2} t+\cdots+c_{n} t^{n-1}+\cdots$. It is clear that the radius of the domain of definition of $\phi_{\lambda j_{1}}$ is $\rho_{\lambda}$, too. Set $\tilde{p}:=\left(0, c_{1}\right)$.

To complete the proof of Lemma 3.1, we need to show that $\tilde{p} \in\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}=$ $\tilde{F}^{-1}(p)$. Since $\tilde{V}_{\lambda}$ is a germ of a holomorphic curve at the point $p$ which is in an invariant family of germs, there exists some sequence of points $p_{n} \in V_{\lambda}$ such that $p_{n} \neq p, p_{n} \rightarrow p$, and $F\left(p_{n}\right) \rightarrow p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Put $\tilde{p}_{n}:=\pi^{-1}\left(p_{n}\right)$. Then, $\tilde{p}_{n} \in \pi^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda} \cap N_{\lambda} \backslash\{p\}\right)$ and $\tilde{p}_{n} \rightarrow \tilde{p}=\left(0, c_{1}\right) \in E$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From the continuity of $\tilde{F}$, it follows that $\tilde{F}(\tilde{p})=p$. By (2) of (A.0), $\tilde{F}^{-1}(p) \cap E=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$ and $\tilde{p} \in\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$.

From Definition 2.3, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exist $\lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda$ and a positive constant $\rho_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ with $0<\rho_{\lambda^{\prime}} \leq \rho_{\lambda}$ such that $F \circ \Phi_{\lambda}\left(\Delta_{\lambda_{\lambda^{\prime}}}\right) \in \tilde{V}_{\lambda^{\prime}}$. Take a representative element $V_{\lambda^{\prime}} \in \tilde{V}_{\lambda^{\prime}}$. By Lemma $3.1(1)$, for $V_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ there exist $p_{i_{1}} \in\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$ and $V_{\lambda^{\prime} i_{1}}$ such that $p_{i_{1}} \in V_{\lambda^{\prime} i_{1}} \cap E$. Then, we obtain the following Lemma 3.2 (see Figure 2).

LEMMA 3.2
We have $F_{j_{1}} \circ \Phi_{\lambda j_{1}}(0)=p_{i_{1}}$ and $F_{j_{1}} \circ \Phi_{\lambda j_{1}}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda^{\prime}}}\right) \in \tilde{V}_{\lambda^{\prime} i_{1}}$, where $\tilde{V}_{\lambda^{\prime} i_{1}}$ is the germ of the holomorphic curve $V_{\lambda^{\prime} i_{1}}$ at $p_{i_{1}}$.

## Proof

Since $F_{j_{1}}$ is biholomorphic on $N_{j_{1}} \backslash\left\{p_{j_{1}}\right\}$, there exists an open neighborhood $N_{i_{1}}$ of $p_{i_{1}}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{j_{1}}\left(V_{\lambda_{j_{1}}} \backslash\left\{p_{j_{1}}\right\}\right) \cap N_{i_{1}} \subset \pi^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime}} \backslash\{p\}\right), \\
\overline{F_{j_{1}} \circ \Phi_{\lambda_{j_{1}}}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda^{\prime}}} \backslash\{0\}\right)} \cap N_{i_{1}} \subset \overline{\pi^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime}} \backslash\{p\}\right)}=V_{\lambda^{\prime} i_{1}} .
\end{gathered}
$$
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Moreover, by Remark 1, $F_{j_{1}} \circ \Phi_{\lambda j_{1}}$ is well defined on $\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda}}$ and

$$
F_{j_{1}} \circ \Phi_{\lambda_{j_{1}}}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda^{\prime}}}\right) \cap N_{i_{1}} \subset V_{\lambda^{\prime} i_{1}} \quad \text { and } \quad F_{j_{1}} \circ \Phi_{\lambda_{j_{1}}}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda^{\prime}}}\right) \sim V_{\lambda^{\prime} i_{1}} .
$$

Inductively, for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $j_{n}=1,2$, we can define curves

$$
V_{\lambda_{1} \cdots j_{n}}:=\overline{\left(\pi \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\right)^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda} \cap N_{\lambda} \backslash\{p\}\right)} \quad \text { in } X_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}
$$

and have the following Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 (see Figure 3). Since the lemmas are proved by arguments similar to those for the proofs of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we omit the proofs.

LEMMA 3.3
(1) For every $V_{\lambda j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}$, there exist an open neighborhood $N_{\lambda j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}$ of $p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}$ and a point $p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} \in \tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}^{-1}\left(p_{i_{1} \ldots i_{n-1}}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\{p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}\right\}=\overline{\pi_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}} \cap N_{\lambda j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}} \backslash\left\{p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\right\}\right)} \cap E_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}} .
$$

(2) There exists a holomorphic function $\phi_{\lambda j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}$ on $\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda}}$ which has the following Taylor expansion at $t=0$ :

$$
\phi_{\lambda j_{1} \ldots j_{n}}(t)=c_{n}+c_{n+1} t+c_{n+2} t^{2}+\cdots .
$$

Define a holomorphic mapping $\Phi_{\lambda_{j_{1}} \ldots j_{n}}: \Delta_{\rho_{\lambda}} \rightarrow U_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}^{1}$ by $t \mapsto\left(t, \phi_{\lambda j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}(t)\right)$. Then, $V_{\lambda j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} \sim \Phi_{\lambda j_{1} \ldots j_{n}}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda}}\right)$ and $c_{n}=\alpha_{j_{1} \ldots j_{n}}$.

From Definition 2.3, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exists $\lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda$ such that $F \circ \Phi_{\lambda}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda^{\prime}}}\right) \in$ $\tilde{V}_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ as a germ. Take a representative element $V_{\lambda^{\prime}} \in \tilde{V}_{\lambda^{\prime}}$. By Lemma 3.3(1), there exist $p_{i_{1} \ldots i_{n}} \in V_{\lambda^{\prime} i_{1} \ldots i_{n}} \cap E_{i_{1} \ldots i_{n-1}}$ and $V_{\lambda^{\prime} i_{1} \ldots i_{n}}$. Then, we obtain the following.

LEMMA 3.4
We have

$$
F_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} \circ \Phi_{\lambda_{1} \cdots j_{n}}(0)=p_{i_{1} \ldots i_{n}}
$$
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and

$$
F_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} \circ \Phi_{\lambda_{1} \cdots j_{n}}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{\lambda^{\prime}}}\right) \in \tilde{V}_{\lambda^{\prime} i_{1} \cdots i_{n}},
$$

where $\tilde{V}_{\lambda^{\prime} i_{1} \ldots i_{n}}$ is the germ of the holomorphic curve $V_{\lambda^{\prime} i_{1} \ldots i_{n}}$.
From Lemma 3.3(2), $c_{n}=\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}$ for all $n$. Therefore, for every $V_{\lambda}$ there exists a unique $\mathbf{j} \in J$ such that $V_{\lambda} \in \tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}$. Hence, we conclude that $\left\{\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in J}$ is maximal.

To complete the proof of Theorem 2.3, we need to prove that $\left\{\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in J}$ is invariant. Select and fix a representative element $W_{\mathbf{j}} \in \tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}$. For the proof, it is enough to show that for every $\mathbf{j} \in J$, there exist $\mathbf{j}^{\prime} \in J$ and a positive constant $\rho_{\mathbf{j}^{\prime}}$ with $0<\rho_{\mathbf{j}^{\prime}} \leq \rho_{\mathbf{j}}$ such that $F \circ \Phi_{\mathbf{j}}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{\mathbf{j}^{\prime}}}\right) \in \tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}^{\prime}}$ (see Figure 4).

Inductively, let us set, for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{\mathbf{j}}^{1}:=\overline{\pi^{-1}\left(W_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \backslash E}, \quad W_{\mathbf{j}}^{2}:=\overline{\left(\pi \circ \pi_{j_{1}}\right)^{-1}\left(W_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \backslash E_{j_{1}}}, \ldots \\
W_{\mathbf{j}}^{n}:=\overline{\left(\pi \circ \pi_{j_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\right)^{-1}\left(W_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \backslash E_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By the same argument as in the proofs of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, one may obtain the following.

## LEMMA 3.5

For every $n \geq 1$,
(1) $W_{\mathbf{j}}^{n} \cap E_{j_{1} \ldots j_{n-1}}=\left\{p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}\right\}$;
(2) Define a holomorphic function $\phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{n}$ on $\Delta_{\rho_{\mathbf{j}}}$ by $t \mapsto \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}+\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n+1}} t+\cdots$ and a holomorphic mapping

$$
\Phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{n}: \Delta_{\rho_{\mathbf{j}}} \rightarrow U_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}^{1} \quad \text { by } t \mapsto\left(t, \phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{n}(t)\right) .
$$

Then $W_{\mathbf{j}}^{n} \sim \Phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{n}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{\mathbf{j}}}\right)$.
By Theorem 2.2,

$$
\tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\left(p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}\right)=p_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}} \in \tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\left(W_{\mathbf{j}}^{n}\right) .
$$

Using the finite symbol sequence $\left(j_{2} \cdots j_{n}\right)$, we define the set

$$
V_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}:=\tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\left(W_{\mathbf{j}}^{n}\right) .
$$

## LEMMA 3.6

For all $n$, there exist a positive number $\rho_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}$ and a holomorphic function $\psi_{j_{2} \ldots j_{n}}$ on $\Delta_{\rho_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}}$ satisfying the following condition. Define a holomorphic mapping $\Psi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}: \Delta_{\rho_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}} \rightarrow U_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n-1}}^{1}$ by $t \mapsto\left(t, \psi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}(t)\right)$. Then,

$$
V_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}} \sim \Psi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}}\right) .
$$

Proof
Here we use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 2.2. Denote the Taylor expansion of $\tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-2}}$ at $p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}=\left(0, \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-2}}(x, \eta):= & \left(a_{10} x+a_{01}\left(\eta-\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\right)+\cdots,\right. \\
& \left.\alpha_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n-1}}+b_{10} x+b_{01}\left(\eta-\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\right)+\cdots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set the term of the above to $(\hat{f}(x, \eta), \hat{g}(x, \eta))$. Then,

$$
F_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}:=\pi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n-1}}^{-1} \circ \tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-2}}=(\hat{f}, \hat{g}) \times\left[\hat{f}: \hat{g}-\alpha_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n-1}}\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}:= & F_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}} \circ \pi_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}(x, \eta)=F_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\left(x, x \eta+\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\right) \\
= & \left(\hat{f}\left(x, x \eta+\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\right), \hat{g}\left(x, x \eta+\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\right)\right) \\
& \times\left[a_{10}+a_{01} \eta+\cdots: b_{10}+b_{01} \eta+\cdots\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for $(x, \eta) \in U_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}^{1}$. From the condition (A.1), $p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} \in U_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}^{1}$ and $p_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}} \in$ $U_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n-1}}^{1}$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\left(p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}\right) & =\tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\left(0, \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}\right) \\
& =(0,0) \times\left[a_{10}+a_{01} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}: b_{10}+b_{01} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}\right] \\
& =p_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}} \in U_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n-1}}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $a_{10}+a_{01} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} \neq 0$.
By using the local chart, $\tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}: U_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}^{1} \rightarrow U_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n-1}}^{1}$ is written as

$$
\tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}(x, \eta)=\left(a_{10} x+a_{01} x \eta+O(x, x \eta), \frac{b_{10}+b_{01} \eta+\cdots}{a_{10}+a_{01} \eta+\cdots}\right) .
$$

Set the right-hand side of the above to $(f(x, \eta), g(x, \eta)):=(u, v)$. By differentiating the holomorphic function $u=f\left(x, \phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{n}(x)\right)$, with respect to the variable $x$ and using the fact that $\phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{n}(0)=\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}$,

$$
\frac{d u}{d x}(0)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\left(0, \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}\right)+\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\left(0, \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}\right) \frac{d \phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{n}}{d x}(0)=a_{10}+a_{01} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} \neq 0 .
$$

Hence, the inverse function $x=\tilde{f}(u)$ of $u=f\left(x, \phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{n}(x)\right)$ exists in some neighborhood of $u=0$. Therefore, there exists some positive constant $\rho_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}$ and some neighborhood $N_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}$ of $p_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}} \cap N_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}} \\
& \quad=\left\{(u, v) \in U_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n-1}}^{1} \mid v=g\left(\tilde{f}(u), \phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{n}(\tilde{f}(u))\right), u \in \Delta_{\rho_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By setting

$$
\psi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}(x):=g\left(\tilde{f}(x), \phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{n}(\tilde{f}(x))\right),
$$

the proof is completed.
Put the Taylor expansions

$$
\psi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}(x):=\alpha_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}+\beta_{1} x+\beta_{2} x^{2}+\cdots
$$

and

$$
\psi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}^{n}(x):=\alpha_{j_{2}} x+\alpha_{j_{2} j_{3}} x^{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}} x^{n-1}+\beta_{1} x^{n}+\beta_{2} x^{n+1}+\cdots
$$

and the formal power series

$$
\psi_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}:=\alpha_{j_{2}} x+\alpha_{j_{2} j_{3}} x^{2}+\cdots, \quad \text { where } \sigma(\mathbf{j}):=\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots\right)
$$

and the set

$$
V_{n}:=\pi \circ \pi_{j_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n-1}}\left(V_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}} \cap N_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}\right) .
$$

## LEMMA 3.7

(1) For any $n \geq 2, \psi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}^{n}$ is holomorphic on $\Delta_{\rho_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}}$. Define a holomorphic mapping $\Psi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}^{n}: \Delta_{\rho_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ by $t \mapsto\left(t, \psi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}^{n}(t)\right)$. Then,

$$
V_{n} \sim \Psi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}^{n}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}}\right) .
$$

(2) There exists an open neighborhood $N_{n}$ of $p$ such that

$$
\overline{F\left(W_{\mathbf{j}} \backslash\{p\}\right)} \cap N_{n} \subset \overline{V_{n} \backslash\{p\}} .
$$

(3) For any $n, m \geq 2, V_{n} \sim V_{m}$. In particular, there exists a positive constant $\tilde{\rho}_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}$ such that $0<\tilde{\rho}_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})} \leq \rho_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}$ for any $n \geq 2$ and

$$
\psi_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}(x)=\psi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}^{n}(x) \quad \text { for }|x|<\tilde{\rho}_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})} .
$$

(4) Define a holomorphic mapping

$$
\Psi_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}: \Delta_{\tilde{\rho}_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2} \quad \text { by } t \mapsto\left(t, \psi_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}(t)\right)
$$

and a set

$$
W_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}:=\Psi_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}\left(\Delta_{\tilde{\rho}_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}}\right)
$$

Then, there exists an open neighborhood $N_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}$ of $p$ such that

$$
\overline{F\left(W_{\mathbf{j}} \backslash\{p\}\right)} \cap N_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})} \subset \overline{W_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})} \backslash\{p\}}=W_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})} \quad \text { and } \quad W_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})} \sim \Psi_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}\left(\Delta_{\left.\tilde{\rho}_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}\right)}\right) .
$$

Proof
For every $(x, \eta) \in V_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}$, define

$$
\pi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n-1}}(x, \eta)=\left(x, x \eta+\alpha_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n-1}}\right)
$$

Set the right-hand side of the above to $(X, Y)$. Then,

$$
\eta=\psi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}(x), \quad x=X, \eta=\frac{Y-\alpha_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n-1}}}{X}
$$

By eliminating $x$ and $\eta$, we have the following equation:

$$
Y=\alpha_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n-1}}+\alpha_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}} X+\beta_{0} X^{2}+\cdots
$$

Repeating this process inductively, one can obtain the claim (1).
Since the blowup $\pi_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}: X_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}} \backslash E_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}} \rightarrow X_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-2}} \backslash\left\{p_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\right\}$ is biholomorphic, there exists some open neighborhood $N_{n}$ of $p$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(W_{\mathbf{j}} \backslash\{p\}\right) \cap N_{n} \subset \pi \circ \pi_{j_{2}} \cdots \circ \pi_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n-1}} \\
& \left(\tilde{F}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}} \circ\left(\pi \circ \pi_{j_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\right)^{-1}\left(W_{\mathbf{j}} \backslash\{p\}\right) \cap N_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}}\right)=V_{n} \backslash\{p\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, one can see that claim (2) holds.
Together with the identity theorem, (3) and (4) follow immediately from (2).

Using Lemma 3.7(4), we can complete the proof of (2) of Theorem 2.3.

## 4. Example

In this section, as an application, consider the following rational map of $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ :

$$
F(x, y)=\left(a x, \frac{y(y-x)}{x^{2}}\right) \quad \text { with }|a|>4 .
$$

We retain the notation from Section 3. It is easy to see that $F$ satisfies conditions (A.0) and (A.1). Hence, Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 hold for $F$, and we have the family $\left\{\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in J}$ of germs of holomorphic curve at $p$ which is invariant. It is remarked
here that if $|a|<1$, then there exists a Cantor bouquet of $p$ in the sense of Yamagishi and $J=\{1,2\}^{\mathbf{N}}$.

Here, to say that there is a local unstable manifold $W_{\text {loc }}^{u}(p)$ of $p$ means that there exists an open neighborhood $N_{p}$ of $p$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{\text {loc }}^{u}(p)=\{ & (x, y) \in N_{p} \mid \text { for all } n \geq 0, F^{-n}(x, y) \in N_{p} \text { and } \\
& \left.F^{-n}(x, y) \rightarrow p \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty\right\} \cup\{p\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define the set

$$
J_{0}:=\left\{\mathbf{j} \in\{1,2\}^{\mathbf{N}} \mid n_{0} \in \mathbf{N} \text { with } j_{n_{0}}=2 \text { are finitely many }\right\} .
$$

For our mapping, the following theorem holds.

## THEOREM 4.1

For all symbol sequences $\mathbf{j}=\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots\right) \in\{1,2\}^{\mathbf{N}}$, one of the following claims holds.
(1) If $\mathbf{j} \in J_{0}$, then there exists an integer $n_{0}$ such that $j_{n}=1$ for any $n \geq n_{0}$ and $\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}} \neq \emptyset$. Take a representative element $W_{\mathbf{j}} \in \tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}$. Then, $W_{\mathbf{j}} \subset F^{-n_{0}}\left(W_{11 \ldots}\right)=$ $F^{-n_{0}}(\{y=0\})$, and each $W_{\mathbf{j}}$ is a local unstable manifold of $p$.
(2) If $\mathbf{j} \notin J_{0}$, then $\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}=\emptyset$.

Hence, $J=J_{0}$.

## Proof of Theorem 4.1

The remainder of this article is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.1. For any $\mathbf{j} \in J$, there exists a positive constant $\rho_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}$ with $0<\rho_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})} \leq \rho_{\mathbf{j}}$ such that $F \circ$ $\Phi_{\mathbf{j}}\left(\Delta_{\rho_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}}\right) \in \tilde{W}_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}$. Set $F(x, y):=(X, Y)$, and recall the notation from Section 3:

$$
W_{\mathbf{j}}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{C}^{2} \mid y=\phi_{\mathbf{j}}(x)=\alpha_{j_{1}} x+\alpha_{j_{1} j_{2}} x^{2}+\cdots, x \in \Delta_{\rho_{\mathbf{j}}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
W_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{C}^{2} \mid y=\phi_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}(x)=\alpha_{j_{2}} x+\alpha_{j_{2} j_{3}} x^{2}+\cdots, x \in \Delta_{\rho_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}}\right\} .
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=a x, \quad Y=\frac{y(y-x)}{x^{2}}, y=\alpha_{j_{1}} x+\alpha_{j_{1} j_{2}} x^{2}+\cdots, \\
& Y=\alpha_{j_{2}} X+\alpha_{j_{2} j_{3}} X^{2}+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

By eliminating $y, X$ and $Y$, we have the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{2}\left(\alpha_{j_{2}} a x+\alpha_{j_{2} j_{3}} a^{2} x^{2}+\cdots\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\alpha_{j_{1}} x+\alpha_{j_{1} j_{2}} x^{2}+\cdots\right)\left\{\left(\alpha_{j_{1}}-1\right) x+\alpha_{j_{1} j_{2}} x^{2}+\cdots\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{j_{2}} a x^{3}+\alpha_{j_{2} j_{3}} a^{2} x^{4}+\cdots=\left(\alpha_{j_{1}} x+\alpha_{j_{1} j_{2}} x^{2}+\cdots\right)^{2}-\left(\alpha_{j_{1}} x^{2}+\alpha_{j_{1} j_{2}} x^{3}+\cdots\right), \\
\sum_{n=2} \alpha_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n}} a^{n-1} x^{n+1}=\sum_{n=2} \sum_{\substack{k+l=n \\
k, l \geq 1}} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{k}} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{l}} x^{n}-\sum_{n=1} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} x^{n+1},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1} \alpha_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n+1}} a^{n} x^{n+2} \\
& \quad=\left(\alpha_{j_{1}}^{2}-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right) x^{2}+\sum_{n=1} \sum_{\substack{k+l=n+2 \\
k, l \geq 1}} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{k}} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{l}} x^{n+2}-\sum_{n=1} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n+1}} x^{n+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{j_{1}}^{2}-\alpha_{j_{1}} & =0 \\
\alpha_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n+1}} a^{n} & =\sum_{\substack{k+l=n+2 \\
k, l \geq 1}} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{k}} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{l}}-\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n+1}} \\
& =\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n+1}}\left(2 \alpha_{j_{1}}-1\right)+\sum_{\substack{k+l=n+2 \\
k, l \geq 2}} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{k}} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{l}} \quad \text { for } n \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result, we have the following recurrence system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=0, \quad \alpha_{2}=1 \\
& \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n+1}}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha_{j_{1}}-1}\left\{\alpha_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n+1}} a^{n}-\sum_{\substack{k+l=n+2 \\
k, l \geq 2}} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{k}} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{l}}\right\} \quad \text { for } n \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

By a direct calculation, one can check that $\alpha_{11 \ldots 1}=0$,

$$
W_{11 \ldots}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{C}^{2} \mid y=0\right\}
$$

and that $W_{11 \ldots}$ is a local unstable manifold of $p$.
First, we show that the inverse image of $W_{11 \ldots}$ with respect to $F$ is the graph of some holomorphic function of $x$. To do this, we prove the following lemma. Denote the Taylor expansion of $\tilde{F}$ at $p_{j_{1}}=\left(0, \alpha_{j_{1}}\right)$ by

$$
\tilde{F}(x, y)=\left(a_{10} x+a_{01}\left(y-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right)+\cdots, b_{10} x+b_{01}\left(y-\alpha_{j_{1}}\right)+\cdots\right)
$$

Set the right-hand side of the above to $(f(x, y), g(x, y))$.

## LEMMA 4.2

For every $\tilde{W}_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})} \in\left\{\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in J}$, take a representative element $W_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})} \in \tilde{W}_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}$. If $b_{01}-$ $\alpha_{j_{2}} a_{01} \neq 0$, then $F^{-1}\left(W_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}\right)$ is given by the graph of a holomorphic function of $x$.

## Proof

From the definition,

$$
\tilde{F}^{-1}\left(W_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}\right)=\left\{(x, y) \in N_{j_{1}} \mid g(x, y)-\phi_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}(f(x, y))=0\right\}
$$

Define $\Psi(x, y):=g(x, y)-\phi_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}(f(x, y))$, and differentiate with respect to $y$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y}(x, y) & =\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x, y)-\frac{d \phi_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}}{d x}(f(x, y)) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \\
\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y}\left(0, \alpha_{j_{1}}\right) & =b_{01}-\alpha_{j_{2}} a_{01}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the condition $b_{01}-\alpha_{j_{2}} a_{01} \neq 0$ that $\tilde{F}^{-1}\left(W_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}\right)$ is given by the graph of a holomorphic function of $x$ on a neighborhood of $x=0$. This result, together with the facts $\pi \circ \tilde{F}^{-1}\left(W_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}\right)=F^{-1}\left(W_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}\right)$ and (C.2), proves the lemma.

We remark here that $\tilde{F}$ has the following Taylor expansion:

$$
\tilde{F}(x, y)=\left(a x,-y+y^{2}\right) \quad \text { on } N_{1}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{F}(x, y)=\left(a x,(y-1)+(y-1)^{2}\right) \quad \text { on } N_{2},
$$

where $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are open neighborhoods of $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, respectively. Hence, $a_{01}=0, b_{01} \neq 0$, and our $F$ satisfies the condition of Lemma 4.2. By applying Lemma 4.2 for $W_{11 \ldots}$ repeatedly, we can prove the claim of Theorem 4.1(1).

To complete the proof of Theorem 4.1, we need the following lemma.

## LEMMA 4.3

(1) For every $\mathbf{j}=\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots\right) \in\{1,2\}^{\mathbf{N}}$, there exist sequences $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 2}$ and $\left\{M_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \geq 2}$ of positive constants such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{2}=1, \quad M_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{3}{2}, \quad M_{n+1}=M_{n} M_{n}^{\prime}, \quad 1 \leq M_{n}^{\prime} \leq \frac{3}{2}, \\
\left|\alpha_{j_{1} \ldots j_{n}}\right| \leq M_{n}|a|^{(n(n-1)) / 2} \quad \text { for } n \geq 2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

(2) For any finite sequence $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n_{0}}\right) \in\{1,2\}^{n_{0}}$ with $j_{n_{0}}=2$, there exist sequences $\left\{m_{n}\right\}_{2 \leq n \leq n_{0}}$ and $\left\{m_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{2 \leq n \leq n_{0}}$ of positive constants such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{2}=1, \quad m_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{3}{4}, \quad m_{n+1}=m_{n} m_{n}^{\prime}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq m_{n}^{\prime} \leq 1 \\
m_{n}|a|^{(n(n-1)) / 2} \leq\left|\alpha_{j_{1} \ldots j_{n}}\right| \quad \text { for } 2 \leq n \leq n_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof
To prove this lemma, we proceed by induction on $n$. By a direct calculation, we have $\alpha_{11}=0, \alpha_{12}=-a, \alpha_{21}=0, \alpha_{22}=a$, and if $n=2$, then (1) holds. Assume that claim (1) is proved for $n \geq 2$. We then have the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n+1}}\right| \leq & \left|\alpha_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n+1}} a^{n}\right|+\sum_{\substack{k+l=n+2 \\
k, l \geq 2}}\left|\alpha_{j_{1} \ldots j_{k}} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{l}}\right| \\
\leq & \left|a^{n} \alpha_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n+1}}\right|+\left|\alpha_{j_{1} j_{2}} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}\right|+\left|\alpha_{j_{1} j_{2} j_{3}} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\right|+\cdots \\
& +\left|\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} \alpha_{j_{1} j_{2}}\right| \\
\leq & |a|^{n} M_{n}|a|^{(n(n-1)) / 2}+M_{2} M_{n}|a||a|^{(n(n-1)) / 2} \\
& +M_{3} M_{n-1}|a|^{3}|a|^{((n-1)(n-2)) / 2}+\cdots \\
& +M_{n} M_{2}|a|^{(n(n-1)) / 2}|a| . \tag{ii}
\end{align*}
$$

Put $n_{0}:=[(n+2) / 2]$. Since $M_{k}$ is not decreasing,
if $2 \leq k \leq n_{0}$, then $M_{k} \leq M_{n_{0}}$ and if $n_{0} \leq k \leq n$, then $M_{k} \leq M_{n}$, and

$$
\text { (ii) } \leq M_{n}\left\{|a|^{n+(n(n-1)) / 2}+M_{n_{0}}|a|^{1+(n(n-1)) / 2}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+M_{n_{0}}|a|^{3+((n-1)(n-2)) / 2}+\cdots+M_{n_{0}}|a|^{1+(n(n-1)) / 2}\right\} \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\beta(k):=k(k-1) / 2$, and for $2 \leq k \leq n_{0}$ and $n-k+2 \geq n_{0}$,

$$
\gamma(k):=-\beta(k)-\beta(n-k+2)+\frac{n^{2}+n}{2}=(k-1)(n+1-k) .
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (iii) } \leq M_{n}\left\{|a|^{\left(n^{2}+n\right) / 2}+M_{n_{0}}|a|^{\beta(2)+\beta(n)}+M_{n_{0}}|a|^{\beta(3)+\beta(n-1)}+\cdots\right. \\
&\left.+M_{n_{0}}|a|^{\beta(n)+\beta(2)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) $\quad=M_{n}|a|^{\left(n^{2}+n\right) / 2}\left\{1+\frac{M_{n_{0}}}{|a|^{\gamma(2)}}+\frac{M_{n_{0}}}{|a|^{\gamma(3)}}+\cdots+\frac{M_{n_{0}}}{|a|^{\gamma(2)}}\right\}$.

Moreover, $\gamma(k)-\gamma(2)=(n-k)(k-2) \geq 0$ for $n \geq k \geq 2$. It implies from $|a| \geq 4$ that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{|a|^{\gamma(2)}} \geq \frac{1}{|a|^{\gamma(k)}} \quad \text { and } \\
\text { (iv) } \leq M_{n}|a|^{\left(n^{2}+n\right) / 2}\left\{1+\frac{M_{n_{0}}}{|a|^{\gamma(2)}}(n-1)\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

To complete the proof of (1), it is enough to show that for $n \geq 2$,

$$
\frac{M_{n_{0}}}{|a|^{n-1}}(n-1) \leq \frac{1}{2} .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{n_{0}} \leq M_{n_{0}-1} M_{n_{0}-1}^{\prime} \leq M_{n_{0}-1} \frac{3}{2} \leq \cdots \leq M_{3}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{n_{0}-3} \leq\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{(n-2) / 2} \\
\frac{M_{n_{0}}}{|a|^{n-1}}(n-1) \leq \frac{(3 / 2)^{(n-2) / 2}(n-1)}{4^{n-1}} \leq \frac{(5 / 4)^{n-2}(n-1)}{4^{n-1}}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{5}{16}\right)^{n-2}(n-1) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, we need to show, for $n \geq 2$,

$$
\beta_{n}:=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{5}{16}\right)^{n-2}(n-1) \leq \frac{1}{2} .
$$

By a direct calculation, one knows that $\beta_{2}=1 / 4$ and $\beta_{3}=5 / 32$. For $n \geq 3$,

$$
\frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_{n}}=\frac{5}{16}\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)=\frac{5}{16}\left(1+\frac{1}{n-1}\right) \leq \frac{5}{16} \times \frac{3}{2}=\frac{15}{32} .
$$

Therefore, $\beta_{n}$ is monotone decreasing. This completes the proof of (1).
Next, we prove (2). Put $m_{2}:=1$. From the facts $\alpha_{1}=0, \alpha_{2}=1, \alpha_{12}=-a$, $\alpha_{22}=a$, we obtain the claim for $n=2$. For $n=3$, it follows from a direct calculation that $\alpha_{112}=a^{3}, \alpha_{122}=-a^{3}+a^{2}, \alpha_{212}=-a^{3}, \alpha_{222}=a^{3}-a^{2}$, and

$$
\left|\alpha_{122}\right|=\left|\alpha_{222}\right|=\left|a^{3}-a^{2}\right|=|a|^{3}\left(1-\frac{1}{|a|}\right) \geq \frac{3}{4}|a|^{3} .
$$

Put $m_{2}^{\prime}=3 / 4$; the claim follows. Assume that claim (2) is proved for $n \geq 3$. By using (1) of Lemma 4.3, we have the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n+1}}\right| \geq & \left|\alpha_{j_{2} \cdots j_{n+1}} a^{n}\right|-\sum_{\substack{k+l=n+2 \\
k, l \geq 2}}\left|\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{k}} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{l}}\right| \\
\geq & m_{n}|a|^{(n(n-1)) / 2}|a|^{n}-\left|\alpha_{j_{1} j_{2}} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}\right|-\left|\alpha_{j_{1} j_{2} j_{3}} \alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-1}}\right|-\cdots \\
& -\left|\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} \alpha_{j_{1} j_{2}}\right| \\
\geq & m_{n}|a|^{(n(n-1)) / 2+n}-M_{2} M_{n}|a|^{1+(n(n-1)) / 2} \\
& -M_{3} M_{n-1}|a|^{3+((n-1)(n-2)) / 2}-\cdots-M_{n} M_{2}|a|^{(n(n-1)) / 2+1} \\
= & m_{n}|a|^{(n(n+1)) / 2}\left\{1-\frac{M_{2} M_{n}}{m_{n}|a|^{\gamma(2)}}-\frac{M_{3} M_{n-1}}{m_{n}|a|^{\gamma(3)}}-\cdots-\frac{M_{n} M_{2}}{m_{n}|a|^{\gamma(2)}}\right\} . \tag{v}
\end{align*}
$$

From the facts

$$
\begin{aligned}
|a| \geq 4, & M_{n} \leq\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{n-2}, \quad m_{n} \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-2} \quad \text { and } \\
\gamma(k) \geq \gamma(2) & \text { for } n \geq k \geq 2,
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{v}) \geq & m_{n}|a|^{(n(n+1)) / 2}\left\{1-\frac{(3 / 2)^{n-2}}{(1 / 2)^{n-2} 4^{n-1}}-\frac{(3 / 2)^{n-2}}{(1 / 2)^{n-2} 4^{n-1}}-\cdots\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{(3 / 2)^{n-2}}{(1 / 2)^{n-2} 4^{n-1}}\right\} \\
= & m_{n}|a|^{(n(n+1)) / 2}\left\{1-\frac{3^{n-2}}{4^{n-1}}(n-1)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $\delta_{n}:=3^{n-2}(n-1) / 4^{n-1}$. To prove claim (2), we need to show $\delta_{n} \leq 1 / 2$. By a direct calculation, $\delta_{3}=3 / 8$ and $\delta_{4}=27 / 64$. For $n \geq 3$, we have

$$
\frac{\delta_{n+1}}{\delta_{n}}=\frac{3}{4} \frac{n}{n-1} \leq \frac{3}{4}\left(1+\frac{1}{3}\right)=1 .
$$

Therefore, $\delta_{n}$ is monotone decreasing. This completes the proof of (2).
By using Lemma 4.3, for every infinite sequence $\mathbf{j}=\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots\right) \in\{1,2\}^{\mathbf{N}}$ with infinitely many $j_{n_{0}}=2$, we obtain the result that the radius of the domain of definition $R$ of $\phi_{j}$ is equal to zero. Indeed, for $\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n_{0}}}$ with $j_{n_{0}}=2$, from Lemma 4.3(2),

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n_{0}-2}|a|^{\left(n_{0}\left(n_{0}-1\right)\right) / 2} \leq\left|\alpha_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n_{0}}}\right| .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{R} & =\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty, n \geq k}\left|\alpha_{j_{1} \ldots j_{n}}\right|^{1 / n} \geq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty, n_{0} \geq k}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n_{0}-2}|a|^{\left(n_{0}\left(n_{0}-1\right)\right) / 2}\right\}^{1 / n_{0}} \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty, n_{0} \geq k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1-\left(2 / n_{0}\right)}|a|^{\left(n_{0}-1\right) / 2}=\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is now complete.

## REMARK 3

Suppose that $|a|<1$ in our map $F$; then there exist a Cantor bouquet of $p$ in the sense of Yamagishi and $J=\{1,2\}^{\mathbf{N}}$. In particular, $\phi_{\mathbf{j}}$ gives the Taylor expansion of the function which defines the stable manifold $W_{\mathbf{j}}$ of indeterminate point $p$. On the other hand, if $|a|>4$, then the set $J_{0}$ is countable. Therefore, it may not be appropriate to call $\left\{W_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in J}$ a generalized Cantor bouquet. In [6], we construct another family, $\left\{W_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathbf{j} \in\{1,2\}^{\mathbf{N}}}$, of curves which consist of a center manifold of an indeterminate point $p$. In the article, we show that $\phi_{\mathbf{j}}$ does not necessarily have a positive radius of the domain of definition, but $\phi_{\mathbf{j}}$ gives the asymptotic expansion of the function which defines $W_{\mathbf{j}}$.
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