ORDER OF NORMAL APPROXIMATION FOR RANK TEST STATISTICS DISTRIBUTION

By Jana Jurečková and Madan L. Puri¹

Charles University and Indiana University

0. Summary. Under suitable assumptions, it is established that the rate of convergence of the cdf (cumulative distribution function) of the simple linear rank statistics

$$S_N = \sum_{i=1}^N C_{Ni} \varphi\left(\frac{R_{Ni}}{N+1}\right)$$

to the normal one is $O(N^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta})$ for any $\delta > 0$. Here C_{N1}, \dots, C_{NN} are known constants, R_{N1}, \dots, R_{NN} are the ranks of independent observations X_{N1}, \dots, X_{NN} , and φ is a score generating function defined in Section 1.

1. Introduction. Let X_{Ni} , $i=1,\dots,N$ be independent rvs distributed according to the cdf $F_i(x)=F(x-\Delta d_{Ni})$, $i=1,\dots,N$. We assumed that F(x) is absolutely continuous having the density function f(x) whose derivative f'(x) exists. Furthermore, F(x) is assumed to have the finite Fisher information, that is,

(1.1)
$$I(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [f'(x)/f(x)]^2 f(x) \, dx < \infty.$$

 Δ is an unknown parameter, and d_{Ni} , $i=1,\dots,N$ are known constants. Let R_{Ni} be the rank of X_{Ni} among X_{N1},\dots,X_{NN} . Setting u(x)=1 if $x\geq 0$, and u(x)=0 otherwise, we can write

(1.2)
$$R_{Ni} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} u(X_{Ni} - X_{Nj}), \qquad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Consider now the simple linear rank statistics

$$(1.3) S_N = \sum_{i=1}^N C_{Ni} a_N(R_{Ni})$$

where C_{N1} , ..., C_{NN} are known constants, and $a_N(i)$, i = 1, ..., N are "scores" generated by a function $\varphi(t)$ in the following manner:

(1.4)
$$a_N(i) = \varphi\left(\frac{i}{N+1}\right), \qquad 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

Statistics of the type (1.3) play an important role in the theory of nonparametric inference. For example, in the two sample problem where $F_1 = \cdots = F_m \equiv F$, and

$$F_{m+1}=\cdots=F_N\equiv G,$$

Received March 26, 1974; revised October 1, 1974.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 62E20; Secondary 60F05, 60F99.

Key words and phrases. Linear rank statistics, score generating function, rate of convergence.

526

¹ Work supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, AFSC, USAF, under Grant No. AFOSR 71-2009. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the U.S. Government. Part of this work was done while the author was the recipient of a Senior U.S. Scientist Award from the Alexander-von-Humboldt-Foundation.

for testing the hypothesis H_0 : $F \equiv G$, many rank tests are based on the statistic $S_{N'} = \sum_{i=1}^m a_N(R_{Ni})$

which is a special case of (1.3) when $C_{N1} = \cdots = C_{Nm} = 1$ and $C_{Nm+1} = \cdots = C_{NN} = 0$. It is well known (see e.g., Capon (1961)) that the statistics of the form (1.3) for different score functions yield locally most powerful rank tests. Under suitable assumptions on the C's and the score generating function φ , Hájek (1962) [see also Hájek-Šidák (1967)] established the asymptotic normality of S_N . However, the problem of determining the rate of convergence of the cdf of S_N to the limiting normal distribution has remained open. This problem is investigated in this paper for the case $\Delta = 0$ as well as for $\Delta \neq 0$. In both cases, the rate of convergence is proved to be $O(N^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta})$ for $\delta > 0$. For the case $\Delta = 0$, the result is valid for the φ functions having the bounded first derivative, and for the case $\Delta \neq 0$, it is necessary to assume the boundedness of the fourth derivative of φ .

Throughout the paper, we shall make the following assumptions on C's and d's.

(1.5)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{Ni} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_{Ni} = 0, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{Ni}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_{Ni}^{2} = 1,$$

(1.6)
$$\max_{1 \le i \le N} C_{Ni}^2 = O(N^{-1} \log N)$$
, $\max_{1 \le i \le N} d_{Ni}^2 = O(N^{-1} \log N)$.

It may be noted that the assumption (1.5) can be made without any loss of generality. Furthermore, it may be noted [cf. Hájek-Šidák (1967)] that if φ is the difference of two non-decreasing, square integrable functions in (0, 1), then S_N has asymptotically $\eta(0, \sigma^2)$ distribution under $\Delta = 0$, and $\eta(ES_N, \sigma^2)$ or

$$\eta(\Delta \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{Ni} d_{Ni} \int_{0}^{1} \varphi(t) \varphi(t, f) dt, \sigma^{2})$$

distribution under $\Delta \neq 0$. Here

$$\sigma^2 = \int_0^1 (\varphi(t) - \tilde{\varphi})^2 dt , \qquad \tilde{\varphi} = \int_0^1 \varphi(t) dt , \qquad \varphi(t, f) = \frac{-f'(F^{-1}(t))}{f(F^{-1}(t))}$$

and $\eta(\xi, \sigma^2)$ stands for the normal distribution with mean ξ and variance σ^2 .

2. Rate of convergence for $\Delta = 0$. The main result of this section is the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $\Delta=0$ and the first derivative of $\varphi(t)$ exist and be bounded in (0, 1). Then, under the assumptions of Section 1, corresponding to any $\delta>0$, there exists a constant $A(\delta)>0$, and a positive integer N_{δ} such that for all $N>N_{\delta}$,

(2.1)
$$\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |F_N(x) - \Phi(x)| \le A(\delta) N^{(-\frac{1}{2} + \delta)}$$

where $F_N(x)$ is the cdf of $\sigma^{-1}S_N$ and $\Phi(x)$ is the standard normal cdf.

The proof of this theorem is based on the following two lemmas, the second of which is a consequence of Theorem 6, Chapter 5 of Petrov (1972).

LEMMA 2.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, corresponding to any positive integer k, where 2k + 1 < N, there exists a constant B(k) > 0 and a positive integer N_k such that for all $N > N_k$,

(2.2)
$$E(S_N - T_N)^{2k} \le B(k)N^{-k}$$

where

$$(2.3) T_N = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \varphi(F(X_i)).$$

Lemma 2.2. Under assumptions of Section 2 and Theorem 2.1, for any positive integer N,

$$(2.4) \qquad \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |F_N^*(x) - \Phi(x)| \le A \int_0^1 |\varphi(t) - \bar{\varphi}|^3 dt \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N |C_{Ni}|^3$$

where A > 0 is a constant independent of N, and F_N^* is the cdf of $\sigma^{-1}T_N$ under $\Delta = 0$.

In what follows, we shall suppress the subscript N in C_{Ni} , d_{Ni} , R_{Ni} , etc. whenever there is no confusion.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.1. Set $U_i = F(X_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Denoting $Y_i = a_N(R_i) - \varphi(U_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, we get

(2.5)
$$E[(S_N - T_N)^{2k}] = E\{(\sum_{i=1}^N c_i Y_i)^{2k}\}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(2k)!}{p_i! \cdots p_N!} c_1^{p_1} \cdots c_N^{p_N} E(\prod_{i=1}^N Y_i^{p_i})$$

where the sum extends over the set A of vectors (p_1, \dots, p_N) of integers such that $0 \le p_i \le 2k$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, $\sum_{i=1}^N p_i = 2k$.

Each point of A could have at most 2k positive components. Noting this fact, we may decompose A into 2k disjoint parts such that the jth part consists of those points which have just j positive components. Thus we may rewrite (2.5) as

(2.6)
$$E[(S_{N} - T_{N})^{2k}] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i}^{2k} E Y_{i}^{2k} + \cdots + \sum_{1 \leq p_{1}, \dots, p_{m} < 2k, p_{1} + \dots + p_{m} = 2k} \frac{(2k)!}{p_{1}! \cdots p^{m}!} \times \sum_{i_{1}, \dots, i_{m} = 1, \text{ different }}^{N} c_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \cdots c_{i_{m}}^{p_{m}} E(Y_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \cdots Y_{i_{m}}^{p_{m}}) + \cdots + \sum_{i_{1}, \dots, i_{2k} = 1, \text{ different }}^{N} c_{i_{1}} \cdots c_{i_{2k}} E(Y_{i_{1}} \cdots Y_{i_{2k}}).$$

In view of (1.5) and (1.6), it follows that

for any $m = 1, \dots, 2k$ and any p_i , $0 < p_i < 2k$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i = 2k$, K > 0 is a constant dependent only on k. Actually, if $p_i \ge 2$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, then

$$|\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m = 1, \text{ different }}^{N} c_{i_1}^{p_1} \cdots c_{i_m}^{p_m} \leq |\prod_{j = 1}^{m} \left(\sum_{i = 1}^{N} |c_i|^{p_j}\right) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |c_i|^{2(k - m)}.$$

On the other hand, suppose that some of p_i 's are equal to one, say $p_m = 1$. Then in view of (1.5)

(2.8)
$$\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{m-1},\text{ different }}^{N} c_{i_1}^{p_1} \cdots c_{i_1}^{p_m} = \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{m-1}=1,\text{ different }}^{N} c_{i_1}^{p_1} \cdots c_{i_{m-1}}^{p_{m-1}} (-c_{i_1} - \cdots - c_{i_{m-1}})$$

so that we get m-1 sums of similar type; each of them sums the products of (m-1) factors. Considering any of these sums, we may have again two cases:

either all exponents are at least two, so that we are in the first case; or some of them equal one and we may write an equality analogous to (2.8). We continue in this way until after a finite number of steps (in which we decompose the original expression into at most m! sums) we get only the sums with exponents greater than or equal to two. Actually, the extreme case is the sum of the type

$$\sum_{i_1,i_2=1,i_1\neq i_2}^{N}c_{i_1}^{2k-1}c_{i_2}=-\sum_{i_1=1}^{N}c_{i_1}^{2k}$$
 ,

so that (2.7) is proved.

Further, using the generalized Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

(2.9)
$$E\left|\prod_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}\right| \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} E\left|Z_{1}^{n}\right|\right)^{1/n}, \qquad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

we see that

(2.10)
$$E|Y_{i_1}^{p_1} \cdots Y_{i_m}^{p_m}| \leq (\prod_{j=1}^m E|Y_{i_j}^{m_{p_j}}|)^{1/m} < (\prod_{j=1}^m E|Y_{i_j}^{2k_{p_j}}|)^{1/2k}$$

$$= (\prod_{j=1}^m E|a_N(R_1) - \varphi(U_1)|^{2k_{p_j}})^{1/2k}$$

holds for any $m = 1, \dots, 2k$ and any p_i , $0 < p_i \le 2k$, $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i = 2k$. Finally, the expression

(2.11)
$$\sum_{m=1}^{2k} \sum_{1 \leq p_1, \dots, p_m \leq 2k, p_1 + \dots + p_m = 2k} \frac{(2k)!}{p_1! \cdots p_m!}$$

depends only on k.

Now, if $a_N(i) = \varphi(i/(N+1))$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, where φ has a bounded derivative we get the inequality

(2.12)
$$E[a_N(R_1) - \varphi(U_1)]^{2kp_j} \leq B_2(k)E\left[\frac{R_1}{N+1} - U_1\right]^{2kp_j}$$

which is varied for $j = 1, \dots, m; m = 1, \dots, 2k$.

 U_1 being fixed, R_1 is the sum of independent zero-one random variables (see (1.2)) so that

(2.13)
$$E\left(\frac{R_{N1}}{N \perp 1} - U_{N1}\right)^{2kp_j} \leq B_3(k)N^{-kp_j}.$$

(2.6), (2.7), (2.10), (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13) then prove the lemma.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. Since for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any N, we have

(2.14)
$$P\{\sigma^{-1}S_N \leq x\} \leq P\{\sigma^{-1}T_N \leq x + \varepsilon\} + P\{\sigma^{-1}|S_N - T_N| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

and analogously

$$(2.15) P\{\sigma^{-1}S_N \leq x\} \geq P\{\sigma^{-1}T_N \leq x - \varepsilon\} - P\{\sigma^{-1}|S_N - T_N| \geq \varepsilon\},$$

it follows using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, that

(2.16)
$$\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |F_N(x) - \Phi(x)| \le (\varepsilon \sigma)^{-2k} B(k) N^{-k} + c_2 \sum_{i=1}^N |c_{Ni}|^3 + O(\varepsilon)$$

holds for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any k and for $N > N_k$.

For $\delta > 0$ being fixed, take k such that $2k + 1 > 1/2\delta \ge 2k$ and put $\varepsilon = N^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-1/(2k+1))}$. The theorem then follows from (2.13) and from the assumption (1.6).

3. Rate of convergence for $\Delta \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\Delta > 0$. For convenience we shall use the following representation in this section. Let X_{Ni} , $i = 1, \dots, N$ be independent and identically distributed rvs each having the cdf F(x) such that $I(f) < \infty$. Let R_{Ni}^{Δ} be the rank of $X_{Ni} + \Delta d_{Ni}$, that is

$$R_{Ni}^{\Delta} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} u(X_{Ni} - X_{Nj} + \Delta(d_{Ni} - d_{Nj}))$$
.

Consider now the statistics

$$S_{\Delta N} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{Ni} \varphi\left(\frac{R_{Ni}^{\Delta}}{N+1}\right).$$

The asymptotic distribution of $S_{\Delta N} - S_{0N}$ was investigated by Jurečková for Wilcoxon scores in (1973a) and for general score function φ in (1973b). In the case of general scores function φ , it was assumed that the φ function has the four bounded derivatives in (0, 1).

Suppose now that the vectors (c_{N1}, \dots, c_{NN}) and (d_{N1}, \dots, d_{NN}) satisfy (1.5), (1.6) and the following:

(3.1)
$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{Ni} d_{Ni} = a^2, \qquad 0 < a^2 < \infty,$$

(3.2)
$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \left[\max_{1\leq i\leq N} \left(c_{Ni}^2 \, d_{Ni}^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N c_{Ni}^2 \, d_{Ni}^2 \right)^{-1} \right] = 0 ,$$

and

(3.3)
$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \left[N^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N c_{Ni} d_{Ni} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N c_{Ni}^2 d_{Ni}^2 \right)^{-1} \right] = \gamma \ge 0.$$

Then, [cf. Jurečková (1973b)] for φ having four bounded derivatives in (0, 1), the asymptotic distribution of

$$A_N^{-1}(S_{\Lambda N} - S_{0N} - \Delta a_N - \Delta^2 b_N)$$

is $\eta(0, \Delta^2 \rho^2)$ where

$$(3.5) A_N^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N c_{Ni}^2 d_{Ni}^2 + 3N^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^N c_{Ni} d_{Ni})^2$$

(3.6)
$$a_N = \sum_{i=1}^N c_{Ni} d_{Ni} \int \varphi'(F(x)) f^2(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^N c_{Ni} d_{Ni} \int_0^1 \varphi(t) \varphi(t, f) dt$$

(3.7)
$$b_N = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N c_{Ni} d_{Ni}^2 \int \varphi''(F(x)) f^3(x) dx$$

and

(3.8)
$$\rho^{2} = \int [\varphi'(F(x))]^{2} f^{3}(x) dx - (\int [\varphi'(F(x))]^{2} f^{2}(x) dx)^{2} + 2\gamma (1 + 3\gamma)^{-1} \times [\int \int_{x < y} F(x) (1 - F(y)) \varphi''(F(x)) \varphi''(F(y)) f^{2}(x) f^{2}(y) dx dy + \int \int_{x < y} \varphi'(F(x)) \varphi''(F(y)) f^{2}(x) f^{2}(y) dx dy - \int \varphi'(F(x)) f(x) dx \cdot \int \varphi''(F(x)) F(x) f^{2}(x) dx.$$

Let $F_{N\Delta}$ denote the cdf of $\sigma^{-1}(S_{\Delta N}-\Delta a_N)$. Then we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose that c_{Ni} , d_{Ni} , $i = 1, \dots, N$ satisfy (1.5), (1.6), (3.1)—(3.3) and that the score-generating function has four bounded derivatives on (0, 1).

Then

$$\sup_{x} |F_{N\Delta}(x) - \Phi(x)| = O(N^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta})$$

holds for any $\delta > 0$ and any fixed Δ .

PROOF. We may write for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and for any x

$$(3.10) P\{\sigma^{-1}(S_{\Delta N} - \Delta a_N - \Delta^2 b_N) \leq x\}$$

$$\leq P\{\sigma^{-1}S_{0N} \leq x + \varepsilon\}$$

$$+ P\{\sigma^{-1}|S_{\Delta N} - S_{0N} - \Delta a_N - \Delta^2 b_N| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

and analogously

$$\begin{split} P\{\sigma^{-1}(S_{\Delta N} - \Delta a_N - \Delta^2 b_N) &\leq x\} \\ &\geq P\{\sigma^{-1}S_{0N} \leq x - \varepsilon\} - P\{\sigma^{-1}|S_{\Delta N} - S_{0N} - \Delta a_N - \Delta^2 b_N| \geq \varepsilon\} \;. \end{split}$$

Then by Theorem 2.1,

(3.11)
$$\sup_{x} |F_{N\Delta}(x + \sigma^{-1}\Delta^{2}b_{N}) - \Phi(x)| \\ \leq C \cdot \varepsilon + P\{\sigma^{-1}|S_{\Delta N} - S_{0N} - \Delta a_{N} - \Delta^{2}b_{N}| \geq \varepsilon\} \\ + A(\delta)N^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$$

holds for any $\delta > 0$ and $N > N_{\delta}$.

Let us consider the third member of the right-hand side of (3.11). We shall use the following theorem:

THEOREM 3.2 (Petrov). Let H(x) be any cdf and $\Phi(x)$ cdf of the normal (0, 1) distribution.

Let

$$\nu = \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |H(x) - \Phi(x)|$$

and let M_p denote the set of distribution functions possessing the finite absolute moment of order p>0. Then, if $0<\nu\leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $H(x)\in M_p$, there exists a constant C_p depending on p only such that

(3.12)
$$|H(x) - \Phi(x)| \le \frac{C_p \nu \left(\log \frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{p/2} + \lambda_p}{1 + |x|^p}$$

holds for all real x; here

$$\lambda_p = |\int |x|^p dH(x) - \int |x|^p d\Phi(x)|.$$

For the proof, see Petrov (1972).

Let us denote by $G_{N\Delta}$ the cdf of $\Delta^{-1}A_N^{-1}\rho^{-1}(S_{\Delta N}-S_{0N}-\Delta a_N-\Delta^2b_N)$. On account of the boundedness of φ , $G_{N\Delta}$ has finite absolute moments of any order for any fixed N and any fixed Δ . On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 2.1 of [6] (see (3.1)—(3.8) of the present paper) that $\lim_{N\to\infty}\sup_x |G_{N\Delta}(x)-\Phi(x)|=0$ for any fixed Δ and that for $N>N_\Delta$

$$\sup_{x} |G_{N\Delta}(x) - \Phi(x)| < e^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The assumptions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied for any $p = k = 1, 2, \dots$, so that there exists a constant C_k^* to any k such that

$$|G_{N\Delta}(x) - \Phi(x)| \le C_k^* (1 + |x|^k)^{-1}$$

holds for all $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$.

We have

(3.14)
$$P\{\sigma^{-1}|S_{\Delta N}-S_{0N}-\Delta a_N-\Delta^2 b_N|\geq \varepsilon\}=2[1-G_{N\Delta}(\Delta^{-1}\rho^{-1}\sigma A_N^{-1}\varepsilon)]$$
 so that (3.13) implies that

$$(3.15) P\{\sigma^{-1}|S_{\Delta N} - S_{0N} - \Delta a_N - \Delta^2 b_N| \ge \varepsilon\}$$

$$\le 2[1 - \Phi(\Delta^{-1}\rho^{-1}\sigma A_N^{-1}\varepsilon)] + 2C_k^*[1 + (\Delta^{-1}\rho^{-1}\sigma)^k A_N^{-k}\varepsilon^k]^{-1}$$

holds for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ and for $N > N_{\Delta}$.

Let us fix δ , $\delta > 0$ and put $\varepsilon = A_N \cdot N^{\delta/2}$. Then in view of (3.15) and Lemma 2, Chapter VII of Feller (1957) we have that for any $N > N_{\Delta}$ and sufficiently large k

(3.16)
$$\sup |F_{N\Delta}(x + \sigma^{-1}\Delta^2 b_N) - \Phi(x)| \leq C_{\delta}'' N^{-\frac{1}{2} + \delta} + O(N^{-1 + 2\delta}).$$

Thus

(3.17)
$$\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |F_{N\Delta}(x) - \Phi(x)|$$

$$\leq \sup_{x} |F_{N\Delta}(x) - \Phi(x + \sigma^{-1}\Delta^{2}b_{N})|$$

$$+ \sup_{x} |\Phi(x + \sigma^{-1}\Delta^{2}b_{N}) - \Phi(x)|$$

$$\leq \sup_{x} |F_{N\Delta}(x - \sigma^{-1}\Delta^{2}b_{N}) - \Phi(x)| + K \cdot \sigma^{-1}\Delta^{2}b_{N}.$$

(3.16) and (3.17) together with assumption (1.5) complete the proof of the Theorem.

Acknowledgment. It is a pleasure to express our appreciation to Dr. Søren Johansen and Martin Jacobsen for some helpful discussions, and to the referee for some very valuable comments. We would also like to thank the referee for bringing to our attention a paper of Bickel (1974) where he established that a Berry-Esseén bound of order $N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ was valid for the Wilcoxon statistic. This suggests that the bound in the present paper may be improved upon. The reader is also referred to Vizková, (1974) for a related problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] BICKEL, P. J. (1974). Edgeworth expansions in nonparametric statistics. Ann. Statist. 2 1-20.
- [2] Capon, J. (1961). Asymptotic efficiency of certain locally most powerful rank tests. Ann. Math. Statist. 32 88-100.
- [3] Feller, W. (1957). An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications. Wiley, New York.
- [4] HAJEK, J. (1962). Asymptotically most powerful rank order tests. Ann. Math. Statist. 33 1124-1147.
- [5] HÁJEK, J. and Sidác, Z. (1967). Theory of Rank Tests. Academic Press, New York.
- [6] JUREČKOVÁ, J. (1973 a). Central limit theorem for Wilcoxon rank statistics process. Ann. Statist. 1 1046-1060.

- [7] Jurečková, J. (1973 b). Central limit theorem for rank statistics process. (Submitted).
- [8] Petrov, V. V. (1972). The Sums of Independent Random Variables (in Russian). Nauka, Moscow.
- [9] Vízková, Z. (1974). Local limit theorems for rank statistics. Ph. D. dissertation, Charles Univ., Prague.

Jana Jurečková Charles University Department of Statistics Sokolovska Ul. 83 Prague 8, Czechoslovakia MADAN L. PURI
INSTITUT FUR MATHEMATISCHE STATISTICK
UND WIRTSCHAFTSMATIK
UNIVERSITAT GOTTINGEN
34 GOTTINGEN
LOTZESTRABE 13, WEST GERMANY