## RAPIDLY GROWING RANDOM WALKS AND AN ASSOCIATED STOPPING TIME<sup>1</sup>

## BY HENRY TEICHER

Rutgers University

An exponential limit distribution is obtained for stopping times associated with partial sums of independent, identically distributed random variables whose distribution function is slowly varying at infinity. It is also demonstrated that a generalized law of the iterated logarithm cannot obtain in such a case.

1. Introduction. Let  $\{S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, n \ge 1\}$  constitute a random walk whose underlying i.i.d. random variables have distribution function F. Ironically, if  $X_n \ge 0$  and 1 - F is slowly varying, the partial sums  $S_n$  are rapidly growing. In such a case, as pointed out by Lévy [4],  $a_n S_n + c_n$  cannot have a nondegenerate limit distribution regardless of how the constants  $a_n$ ,  $c_n$  are chosen. Nonetheless, it will be shown that a "pseudo limit distribution" is possible. In other words, there may well exist a nondegenerate distribution G(x) and a function b(x) which is nonlinear in x such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\{S_n < cb(nx)\} = G(x), \text{ all } c > 0.$$

Concomitantly, a bona fide limiting distribution exists for the collection of stopping times

$$T_x = T_x(c) = \inf\{j \ge 1 : S_i > cb(x)\}, \qquad x > 0, c > 0$$

which turns out to be exponential and independent of c. The limit G(x) is one of the extreme value distributions.

It will also be demonstrated that such i.i.d. random variables  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  do not obey a generalized law of the iterated logarithm. In other words, there do not exist positive constants  $b_n \uparrow \infty$  and a finite, nonzero  $\alpha$  for which

$$\lim \sup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j = \alpha, \text{ a.c.}$$

**2.** Mainstream. In the context of random walks whose underlying distribution F sustains a (i) barely finite or (ii) barely infinite mean, it was shown in [3] how to choose normalizing constants  $b_n$  such that a one-sided iterated logarithm law and weak law of large numbers hold. The prescription for the function  $b_x$  in the case of infinite mean is precisely the one needed here to obtain a limit distribution for the normalized stopping time  $(1/n)T_n$ . A theorem of Darling [1] plays a basic role in the proof.

Received June 9, 1978.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Research supported by National Science Foundation under grant number MCS-76-07635-A01. AMS 1970 subject classifications. 60F05, 60F15.

Key words and phrases. Random walk, stopping time, slowly varying, generalized law of the iterated logarithm.

THEOREM 1. Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $n \ge 1$  where  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  are nonnegative i.i.d. random variables with distribution function F such that 1 - F is continuous and slowly varying at  $\infty$ . If  $\mu(x) = \int_0^x [1 - F(y)] dy$ , x > 0 and

(1) 
$$b_x = \left(\frac{x}{\mu(x)}\right)^{-1}, T_x = T_x(c) = \inf\{j \ge 1 : S_j > cb_x\};$$

then for x > 0, y > 0, c > 0

(2) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P\{T_{nx} > ny\} = \lim_{n\to\infty} P\{S_{[ny]} \le cb_{nx}\} = e^{-y/x}$$
 and, furthermore, for all  $x > 0$ 

(3) 
$$\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_n}{b_{nx}} = \text{a.c.} 0, \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_n}{b_{nx}} = \text{a.c.} \infty.$$

PROOF. Note at the outset that  $x/\mu(x)$  and hence  $b_x$  is increasing to infinity. Moreover, slow variation ensures

whence  $\mu(x)\uparrow\infty$ . Via slow variation, (4) and the definition of  $b_x$ ,

$$P\{S_n < cb_{nx}\} = P\{n[1 - F(S_n)] > n[1 - F(cb_{xn})]\}$$

$$= P\{n[1 - F(S_n)] > n[1 - F(b_{xn})](1 + o(1))\}$$

$$= P\{n[1 - F(S_n)] > \frac{n\mu(b_{xn})}{b_{xn}}(1 + o(1))\}$$

$$= P\{n[1 - F(S_n)] > \frac{1}{x}(1 + o(1))\}$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{-1/x}$$

by a theorem of Darling [1] and so via continuity of the limit function and monotonicity of  $b_x$ 

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P\left\{T_{nx} > ny\right\} = \lim_{n\to\infty} P\left\{S_{[ny]} \le cb_{nx}\right\} = e^{-y/x}.$$

Apropos of (3), for all positive x and c,

$$P\left\{\lim\inf_{n\to\infty}\frac{S_n}{b_{nx}}\geqslant 2c\right\}\leqslant \lim_{n\to\infty}P\left\{\bigcap_{j=n}^{\infty}\left[S_j>cb_{jx}\right]\right\}$$
  
$$\leqslant \lim_{n\to\infty}P\left\{S_n>cb_{nx}\right\}<1$$

via (2) whence the initial portion of (3) follows from the zero-one law. Analogously,

$$P\left\{\lim\sup_{n\to\infty}\frac{S_n}{b_{nx}}\geqslant c\right\}\geqslant\lim P\left\{\bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty}\left[S_j>cb_{jx}\right]\geqslant\lim P\left\{S_n>cb_{nx}\right\}>0$$

implying the remaining portion of (3). [

The limit in (2) is a distribution function in x for all y > 0 and 1 minus a distribution function in y for all x > 0 and there is a certain resemblance between

(2) and Theorem 1 of [6]. In obtaining (2) and (3), the requirement that  $X \ge 0$  can be weakened to F(-x) = o(1 - F(x)) as  $x \to \infty$  [1].

Next, it will be demonstrated that random walks of this sort cannot obey a generalized law of the iterated logarithm.

THEOREM 2. Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be i.i.d. random variables with df F. If 1 - F is slowly varying and F(0) = 0, then for every positive sequence  $c_n \uparrow \infty$ , either  $\lim_{n \to \infty} S_n/c_n = {}_{\text{a.c.}} 0$  or  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} S_n/c_n = {}_{\text{a.c.}} \infty$  according as  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - F(c_n)]$  converges or diverges.

PROOF. The hypothesis guarantees that F is not in the domain of partial attraction of the normal distribution. In fact,

$$\frac{x^{2}P\{|X| > x\}}{EX^{2}I_{\{|X| \le x\}}} \ge \frac{x^{2}[1 - F(x)]}{2\int_{0}^{x} y[1 - F(y)]dy} \sim 1$$

and  $1 - F(c_n) \sim 1 - F(\varepsilon c_n)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Hence, setting  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , it follows from a result of Heyde-Rogozin that for every positive sequence  $c_n \uparrow \infty$ , either  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [1 - F(c_n)] = \infty$  and

(5) 
$$\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_n}{c_n} = \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|S_n|}{c_n} = \infty, \text{ a.c.},$$

or  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} [1 - F(c_n)] < \infty$  and

(6) 
$$\frac{1}{c_n} \left( S_n - \sum_{j=1}^n EXI_{[|X| \le c_j]} \right) \rightarrow_{\text{a.c.}} 0.$$

Consequently, if

$$\nu(x) = EXI_{[|X| \le x]},$$

integration by parts yields

$$\nu(x) = \int_0^x [1 - F(y)] dy - x[1 - F(x)] = o(x[1 - F(x)])$$

as  $x \to \infty$ . This implies for all sufficiently large m that

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{\nu(c_n)}{c_n} < \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} [1 - F(c_n)] < \infty$$

and so Kronecker's lemma ensures

$$\frac{1}{c_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \nu(c_j) = o(1)$$

which in conjunction with (6), guarantees

$$\frac{S_n}{c_n} \rightarrow_{\text{a.c.}} 0$$

and proves the theorem.  $\square$ 

Acknowledgment. William E. Pruitt suggested the restatement of Theorem 2 to reflect the full strength of what has been proved.

## REFERENCES

- [1] DARLING, D. A. (1952). The influence of the maximum term in the addition of independent random variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 73 95-107.
- [2] HEYDE, C. C. (1969). A note concerning behavior of iterated logarithm type. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23 85-90.
- [3] Klass, M. J. and Teicher, H. (1977). Iterated logarithm laws for asymmetric random variables barely with or without finite mean. *Ann. Probability* 5 861-874.
- [4] LÉVY, P. (1935). Proprietés asymptotiques des sommes de variables aléatoires indépendantes en enchaînés. J. Math. 14 347-402.
- [5] ROGOZIN, B. A. (1968). On the existence of exact upper sequences. Teor. Verojatnost. i Primenen. 13 701-707. (Transl. Theor. Probability Appl. 13 667-672).
- [6] TEICHER, H. (1973). A classical limit theorem without invariance or reflection. Ann. Probability 1 702-704.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
HILL CENTER FOR THE MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
BUSCH CAMPUS
RUTGERS UNIVERSITY
NEW BRUNSWICK, NEW JERSEY 08903