or $[f^*(a', b', w_3, \dots, w_n), g^*(a', b', w_3, \dots, w_n)]$, is the center of gravity of the points (a, b) for which the cube C, shifted to have center at $(a, b, 0, \dots, 0)$, contains the point $(a', b', w_3, \dots, w_n)$. However, it is equivalent to state that the estimate of (α, β) is the center of gravity of the points (a, b) for which the line y = a + bx is a possible regression line for the observed points $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$, i.e., for which y = a + bx is within $\delta/2$ vertically of each point $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$.

It is of interest to note that the estimates of α and β are \bar{y} and $\sum y_i x_i / \sum x_i^2$ plus corrections which depend only on the deviations from the usual regression line. This is essentially the invariance requirement.

The methods of Section 3 up to formulas (7) and (8) may be applied in much the same manner to any regression problem for which the errors are a sample from some given fixed distribution.

REFERENCE

[1] DAVID BLACKWELL AND M. A. GIRSHICK, Theory of Games and Statistical Decisions, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1954.

ON DISCRETE VARIABLES WHOSE SUM IS ABSOLUTELY CONTINUOUS

By David Blackwell

University of California, Berkeley

1. Summary. If $\{Z_n\}$, $n=1,2,\ldots$ is a stationary stochastic process with D states $0,1,\cdots,D-1$, and $X=\sum_1^\infty Z_k/D^n$, Harris [1] has shown that the distribution of X is absolutely continuous if and only if the Z_n are independent and uniformly distributed over $0,1,\cdots,D-1$, i.e., if and only if the distribution of X is uniform on the unit interval. In this note we show that if $\{Z_n\}$, $n=1,2,\cdots$ is any stochastic process with D states $0,1,\cdots,D-1$ such that $X=\sum_{n=1}^\infty Z_n/D^n$ has an absolutely continuous distribution, then the conditional distribution of $R_k=\sum_{n=1}^\infty Z_{k+n}/D^n$ given Z_1,\cdots,Z_k converges to the uniform distribution on the unit interval with probability 1 as $k\to\infty$. It follows that the unconditional distribution of R_k converges to the uniform distribution as $k\to\infty$. Since if $\{Z_n\}$ is stationary the distribution of R_k is independent of k, the result of Harris follows.

2. Proof of the theorem.

Theorem. If $\{Z_n\}$, $n=1, 2, \cdots$ is a sequence of random variables, each assuming only values $0, 1, \cdots, D-1$ such that $X=\sum_{1}^{\infty}Z_n/D^n$ has an absolutely continuous distribution, and

$$0 < \lambda \leq 1$$
, then $U_k(\lambda) = P(\sum_{1}^{\infty} Z_{k+n}/D^n < \lambda \mid Z_1, \dots, Z_k) \rightarrow \lambda$ with probability 1 as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Received July 18, 1956.

¹ This paper was prepared with the partial support of the Air Research and Development Command under contract AF 41(657)-29), with the USAF School of Aviation Medicine.

S.P.R.T. 521

PROOF. Say X has density p with respect to Lebesgue measure on the unit interval. Then

$$U_k(\lambda) = \lambda d(y_k(X), \lambda D^{-k}) / d(y_k(X), D^{-k}),$$

where $y_k(s) = mD^{-k}$ for $mD^{-k} \le s < (m+1)D^{-k}$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, D^k - 1$, and $d(a, h) = h^{-1} \int_a^{a+h} p(s) ds$.

We must show that

$$\lambda d(y_k(s), \lambda D^{-k})/d(y_k(s), D^{-k}) \to \lambda$$

for almost all s (Lebesgue measure) for which p(s) > 0, and this will follow from

(1)
$$d(y_k(s), \lambda D^{-k}) \to p(s)$$
 a.e.

Now a basic theorem of real variable theory asserts that

(2)
$$d(s,h) \rightarrow p(s)$$
 a.e.

as $h \to 0$. Let $a_k(s) = (s - y_k(s))/\lambda D^{-k}$

Then

$$d(y_k(s), \lambda D^{-k}) = a_k(s) d(s, y_k(s) - s) + [1 - a_k(s)] d(s, y_k(s) + \lambda D^{-k} - s)$$

$$= a_k(s)[d(s, y_k(s) - s) - d(s, y_k(s) + \lambda D^{-k} - s)] + d(s, y_k(s) + \lambda D^{-k} - s).$$

Since $a_k(s)$ is bounded, letting $k \to \infty$ in (3) and using (2) yields (1), and the proof is complete.

REFERENCE

[1] T. E. HARRIS, "On chains of infinite order," Pacific J. Math., Vol. 5 (1955), pp. 707-724.

A PROOF THAT THE SEQUENTIAL PROBABILITY RATIO TEST (S.P.R.T.) OF THE GENERAL LINEAR HYPOTHESIS TERMINATES WITH PROBABILITY UNITY

By W. D. RAY

British Coal Utilisation Research Association

1. Introduction. It can be shown [1] [2] that the S.P.R.T. of the general linear hypothesis resolves itself into the following form of procedure: Continue sampling at stage (n) if

(1)
$$\frac{\beta}{1-\alpha} < e^{-\lambda^{(n)}/2} M\left(\alpha(n), \gamma; \frac{\frac{1}{2}\lambda^{(n)}G^{(n)}}{1+G^{(n)}}\right) < \frac{1-\beta}{\alpha} \cdots;$$

otherwise accept or reject the null hypothesis depending upon whether the left-hand or right-hand inequality is violated.

Received June 14, 1956; revised September 4, 1956.