components is not unique. Although the particular case employed in the paper (Ann. Math. Stat., Vol. 26 (1955), pp. 294–300) is stated unambiguously on the second page it is hoped that the following changes on the first page will help in avoiding any possible misinterpretation:

- (i) Page 294. First sentence of summary; line 2. Insert the words "a particular case of a" before the words "multivariate analogue".
- (ii) Page 294. Last sentence. Remove period at end of sentence and add the following: "and a special case of the multivariate analogue of the Pearson Type III distribution represented by (2)."

The following corrections are also kindly pointed out by Krishnaiah and Rao:

- (iii) Page 294. Line 6 from bottom. Replace  $\rho$  by  $\rho^2$ .
- (iv) Page 295. Equation (2) is valid for  $\lambda = n/2$  but not for all  $\lambda > 0$ . This does not affect the validity of the results obtained in the paper since the infinitely divisible distribution in (4) is valid for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

#### CORRECTIONS TO

# "APPROXIMATION AND GRADUATION ACCORDING TO THE PRINCIPLE OF LEAST SQUARES BY ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS"

By Charles Jordan

The following corrections should be made in the above-titled paper (Ann. Math. Stat., Vol. 3(1932), pp. 257–357):

On page 335, instead of

$$\sum_{s=0}^{m+1} C_{ms} = 2m + 1 \text{ and } \lim_{N=\infty} C_{mo} = 2m + 1,$$

it should read

$$\sum_{s=0}^{m+1} |C_{ms}| = 2m+1 \text{ and } \lim_{N=\infty} |C_{mo}| = 2m+1.$$

On p. 356,  $\binom{20}{10}$  should be 184756. In the original the last number is incorrect.

### CORRECTIONS TO

## "QUASI-RANGES IN SAMPLES FROM AN EXPONENTIAL POPULATION"

By PAUL R. RIDER

Wright Air Development Center

In the paper cited in the title (Ann. Math. Stat., Vol. 30(1959), pp. 252-254), p. 253, fourth display, the exponent of the factor e should be  $-x_{r+1} - (r+1)x_{n-r}$  instead of  $-rx_{n-r}$ . I thank Mr. George E. Bardwell for pointing this out.

The journal in reference [6] should be Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics instead of Ann. Math. Stat.

## CORRECTIONS TO "ON BALANCING IN FACTORIAL EXPERIMENTS"

By B. V. Shah

University of Bombay

In the paper cited in the title (Ann. Math. Stat., Vol. 29(1958), pp. 766–779), on p. 766, lines 23–26, the sentence should read as follows: "The set up assumed is that yield of a plot in the jth block having ith treatment is  $\mu + \alpha_j + t_i + \epsilon_{ij}$ , where  $\mu$  is over all effect,  $\alpha_j$  is the effect of the jth block,  $t_i$  is the effect of the ith treatment and  $\epsilon_{ij}$  is the experimental error."

On p. 776, line 2, change "any contrast" to "any normalised contrast". On p. 777, in equations (7.7), (7.8) and (7.9), change " $(-1)^{q-1}$ " to " $(-1)^{q-i}$ ".

I am indebted to a referee of a subsequent paper for pointing out these corrections.

## CORRECTION TO

## "A TABLE FOR COMPUTING TRIVARIATE NORMAL PROBABILITIES"

By George P. Steck

Sandia Corporation

The following correction should be made to the paper of the above title (*Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 29 (1958), pp. 780–800):

Pages 790-799: replace "m" by "h" in the table headings.

### CORRECTIONS TO

## "A GENERALIZATION OF THE GLIVENKO-CANTELLI THEOREM"

By HOWARD G. TUCKER

University of California, Riverside

The paper cited above (Ann. Math. Stat., Vol. 30 (1959), pp. 828-830) contains several errors for which corrections are given below.

Inequality (4) should read