

# SUCCESSIVE RECURRENCE TIMES IN A STATIONARY PROCESS

BY SHU-TEH CHEN MOY

Wayne State University

Let  $X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a stationary sequence of random variables. Let  $B$  be any linear Borel set for which  $P(X_0 \in B) > 0$ . We are concerned with the successive recurrence times  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots$  of  $B$ ; their time averages and their expectations. Without loss of generality, we shall assume the basic probability space  $\Omega$  to be the collection of all sequences  $\omega = \{\dots, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, \dots\}$  and  $X_n$  to be the coordinate variables, i.e.,  $X_n(\omega) = x_n$ . Let  $T$  be the shift transformation. The  $n$ th coordinate of  $T\omega$  is the  $(n+1)$ th coordinate of  $\omega$ . Then  $T$  is 1-1 and preserves the probability measure  $P$ . For any

$$\omega = \{\dots x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, \dots\},$$

if there are infinitely many positive integers  $n$  with  $x_n \in B$ , let

$$\nu_1, \nu_1 + \nu_2, \dots, \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \dots + \nu_k, \dots$$

be the successive positive integers for which  $x_{\nu_2+\dots+\nu_k} \in B$ . If there are finitely many, say  $K$ , positive integers  $n$  with  $x_n \in B$ , define  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_K$  as before but define  $\nu_{K+1} = \nu_{K+2} = \dots = \infty$ . In this paper, Theorem 1 is concerned with the time average of the successive recurrence times, the  $\nu$ 's. In Theorem 2 the successive recurrence times are proved to be stationary given  $X_0 \in B$ . Theorem 3 may be considered as a generalization of a theorem of M. Kac in which he proved the formula (7) for the first recurrence time  $\nu_1$  ([2], pp. 1006).

THEOREM 1: For almost all  $\omega$

$$(1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nu_1(\omega) + \dots + \nu_k(\omega)}{k}$$

exists. The limit may be finite or infinite. It is finite for almost all  $\omega \in E$  where  $E = [X_0 \in B]$ . In particular, if  $T$  is ergodic, the limit is equal to  $1/P(E)$  with probability one.

PROOF: Let  $I_E$  be the indicator function of  $E$ , i.e.,  $I_E(\omega) = 1$  if  $\omega \in E$  and  $I_E(\omega) = 0$  if  $\omega \notin E$ . By the ergodic theorem, for almost all  $\omega$

$$(2) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_E(T\omega) + \dots + I_E(T^k\omega)}{k} = f(\omega),$$

where  $f(\omega) > 0$  for almost all  $\omega \in E$ . If  $T$  is ergodic  $f(\omega) \equiv P(E)$ .

In fact,  $[I_E(T\omega) + \dots + I_E(T^k\omega)]k^{-1}$  is the relative frequency of occurrence of  $B$ . If the limit of the relative frequency, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , is positive,  $B$  must occur infinitely often; therefore,  $\nu_1(\omega), \nu_2(\omega), \dots$  are all finite. Thus all successive recurrence times are finite for almost all  $\omega \in E$ . In particular, if  $T$  is ergodic, they are all finite for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

Received October 14, 1958; revised June 28, 1959.

Let  $\Omega'$  be the collection of all  $\omega$  for which  $\nu_1(\omega), \nu_2(\omega), \dots$  are all finite. Let  $\omega \in \Omega'$ . For any positive integer  $k$ , let  $n_k = \nu_1(\omega) + \dots + \nu_k(\omega)$ . Then

$$\frac{\nu_1(\omega) + \dots + \nu_k(\omega)}{k} = \frac{n_k}{I_E(T\omega) + \dots + I_E(T^{n_k}\omega)}.$$

Therefore, for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega'$ , there exists the limit

$$(3) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nu_1(\omega) + \dots + \nu_k(\omega)}{k} = \frac{1}{f(\omega)}.$$

The limit is finite or infinite according as  $f(\omega) > 0$  or  $f(\omega) = 0$ . If  $\omega \notin \Omega'$  then there is a positive integer  $K$  for which  $\nu_{K+1}(\omega) = \nu_{K+2}(\omega) = \dots = \infty$ . Therefore

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nu_1(\omega) + \dots + \nu_k(\omega)}{k} = \infty.$$

It is clear that  $I_E(T\omega) + \dots + I_E(T^n\omega) \leq K$  for all  $n$  and that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_E(T\omega) + \dots + I_E(T^n\omega)}{n} = 0.$$

Therefore (3) again holds true. Hence (3) holds true with probability one. If  $T$  is ergodic,  $1/f(\omega) \equiv 1/p(E)$ .

Let  $P_E, E = [X_0 \in B]$ , be the conditional probability measure given  $X_0 \in B$ , i.e., for any measurable set  $F$ ,

$$(4) \quad P_E(F) = P(E \cap F)/P(E).$$

Then  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots$  are finite valued with probability one under the probability measure  $P_E$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *The random variables  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots$  are stationary under the conditional probability measure  $P_E$ , i.e.,*

$$(5) \quad P_E(\nu_1 = i_1, \dots, \nu_k = i_k) = P_E(\nu_{m+1} = i_1, \dots, \nu_{m+k} = i_k)$$

for any positive integers,  $m, k$ , and any  $k$ -tuple of positive integers,  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ .

**PROOF:** We shall proceed by induction on the integer  $m$ . Let  $F_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = [\nu_1 = i_1, \dots, \nu_k = i_k]$ , and let  $E' = \Omega - E$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_E[\nu_2 = i_1, \dots, \nu_{k+1} = i_k] &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_E[\nu_1 = n, \nu_2 = i_1, \dots, \nu_{k+1} = i_k] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_E[T^{-1}E' \cap \dots \cap T^{-(n-1)}E' \cap T^{-n}E \cap T^{-n}F_{i_1, \dots, i_k}] \\ &= \frac{1}{P(E)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[E \cap T^{-1}E' \cap \dots \cap T^{-(n-1)}E' \cap T^{-n}E \cap T^{-n}F_{i_1, \dots, i_k}] \\ &= \frac{1}{P(E)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[T^nE \cap T^{(n-1)}E' \cap \dots \cap TE' \cap E \cap F_{i_1, \dots, i_k}] \\ &= \frac{1}{P(E)} P \left[ \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T^nE \right) \cap E \cup F_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \right] \end{aligned}$$

The Poincaré recurrence theorem ([1], pp. 10) asserts that

$$P\left[\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T^n E\right) \cap E\right] = P(E).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P_E[\nu_2 = i_1, \dots, \nu_{k+1} = i_k] &= \frac{1}{P(E)} P[E \cap F_{i_1, \dots, i_k}] = P_E[F_{i_1}, \dots, i_k] \\ &= P_E[\nu_1 = i_1, \dots, \nu_k = i_k]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (5) is true for  $m = 1$  and any  $k$  and any  $k$ -tuple  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ . Now assume that (5) holds true for all  $m \leq M$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P_E[\nu_{M+2} = i_1, \dots, \nu_{M+1+k} = i_k] &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_E[\nu_{M+1} = n, \nu_{M+2} = i_1, \dots, \nu_{M+1+k} = i_k] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_E[\nu_1 = n, \nu_2 = i_1, \dots, \nu_{1+k} = i_k] = P_E[\nu_2 = i_1, \dots, \nu_{k+1} = i_k] \\ &= P_E[\nu_1 = i_1, \dots, \nu_k = i_k]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (5) is true for all  $m$ .

**THEOREM 3:** Let  $f(\omega)$  be defined by (2), i.e.,  $f(\omega)$  is the limit, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , of the relative frequency of occurrence of  $B$ . Then, for any  $k$ ,

$$(6) \quad \int \nu_k(\omega) P_E(d\omega) = \int \frac{1}{f(\omega)} P_E(d\omega).$$

The conditional expectation of the  $k$ th recurrence time given  $X_0 \in B$  is finite if and only if  $1/f(\omega)$  is integrable with respect to  $P_E$ . In particular, if the shift transformation  $T$  is ergodic, then

$$(7) \quad \int \nu_k(\omega) P_E(d\omega) = \frac{1}{P(E)}.$$

**PROOF.** By Theorem 1, the set of all  $\omega$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nu_1(\omega) + \dots + \nu_k(\omega)}{k} = \frac{1}{f(\omega)}$$

has  $P_E$  measure 1. Since the process  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots$  is stationary under  $P_E$  by Theorem 2, the conditional expectations  $\int \nu_k(\omega) P_E(d\omega)$  are the same for all  $k$ . If  $\int \nu_k(\omega) P_E(d\omega) < \infty$ , since  $\{\nu_k\}$  is stationary, (6) follows easily from the ergodic theorem. If  $\int \nu_k(\omega) P_E(d\omega) = \infty$ , let

$$\nu_k^N(\omega) = \begin{cases} \nu_k(\omega), & \nu_k(\omega) \leq N, \\ N, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the process  $\nu_1^N, \nu_2^N, \dots$  is again stationary under  $P_E$ , and therefore the set of  $\omega$  for which  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\nu_1^N(\omega) + \dots + \nu_k^N(\omega))/k$  exists has  $P_E$  measure 1. Let  $g_N(\omega)$  be the limit. We have

$$\int \nu_k^N(\omega) P_E(d\omega) = \int g_N(\omega) P_E(d\omega).$$

But  $g_N(\omega) \leq 1/f(\omega)$ , hence

$$\int \nu_k^N(\omega) P_E(d\omega) \leq \int \frac{1}{f(\omega)} P_E(d\omega).$$

Since

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \nu_k^N(\omega) P_E(d\omega) = \int \nu_k(\omega) P(d\omega) = \infty,$$

hence

$$\int \frac{1}{f(\omega)} P_E(d\omega) = \infty,$$

and again (7) is true.

#### REFERENCES

- (1) P. R. HALMOS, *Lectures on Ergodic Theory*, The Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 1956.
- (2) M. KAC, "On the Notion of Recurrence in Discrete Processes," *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 53 (1947), pp. 1002-1010.