## THE DISTRIBUTION OF A QUADRATIC FORM OF NORMAL RANDOM VARIABLES<sup>1</sup>

## By Bruno Baldessari<sup>2</sup>

## University of North Carolina

1. Introduction and notation. In this article we obtain a necessary and sufficient conditition under which a quadratic form, in normal random variables, is distributed as a given linear combination of independent chi-square variates (Theorem 2). This result is a generalization of the known theorem that a quadratic form, in a set of normal random variables, is distributed as a chi-square variable (or a difference between two independent chi-squares) if and only if the product of the matrix of the quadratic form and the variance-covariance matrix is idempotent (see [3], p. 685) (or tripotent), (see [4], p. 683).

X will denote an n-dimensional random vector and we assume that X is distributed like  $N(\mu, V)$  an n-variate normal distribution with mean vector  $\mu$  and variance covariance matrix V. The matrix V is positive definite (V > 0). Also we denote by  $\chi'^2(n, \lambda)$  a non-central chi-square random variable with n degrees of freedom and non-centrality parameter  $\lambda$ . We denote by S an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix, and by  $\Lambda$  an  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix. If X and Y are random variables (or random vectors), we will write  $\mathfrak{L}(X) = \mathfrak{L}(Y)$  to say that the distribution of X is the same as that of Y. Also we will write  $\mathfrak{L}(X) = N(\mu, V)$  for X is an  $N(\mu, V)$  variable.

**2.** A simple lemma in matrix theory. First we recall the following definition: an  $n \times n$  matrix A has spectral decomposition  $A = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j E_j$ , if  $a_i$ , j = 1,  $\dots$ , s, are the distinct characteristic roots of A, and if the  $n \times n$  matrices  $E_j$  are non-negative definite matrices satisfying the conditions  $E_i E_j = 0$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $E_j^2 = E_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots$ , s (see [2], p. 64).

LEMMA 1. If S and V are  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrices and if V > 0, then the matrix SV has a spectral decomposition.

PROOF. Lemma 1 follows from the known fact that there exists an  $n \times n$  matrix M such that  $|M| \neq 0$ ,  $M'V^{-1}M = I$ ,  $M'SM = \Lambda$ , (where the diagonal elements  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  of  $\Lambda$  are the n roots of the equation  $|\lambda V^{-1} - S| = 0$ ). In fact, let  $a_j, j = 1, \dots, s$ , be the distinct roots of the equation  $|\lambda I - SV| = 0$ , and let  $B_j$  be the  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix which has elements 1 where  $\Lambda$  has elements  $a_j$  and 0 otherwise. Then  $SV = M'^{-1}\Lambda M' = \sum_{j=1}^s a_j M'^{-1}B_j M' = \sum_{j=1}^s a_j E_j$ , is the required spectral decomposition with  $E_j = M'^{-1}B_j M'$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ .

Received 16 May 1966; revised 19 June 1967.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This research was supported by the Mathematics Division of the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, contract No. AF-AFOSR-760-65.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Now at the University of Rome.

REMARK. Rank  $(E_j) = r_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ , where  $|\lambda I - SV| = \prod_{j=1}^s (\lambda - a_j)^{r_j}$ ,  $a_j \neq a_k$ ,  $k \neq j$ , i.e. the rank of  $E_j$  is the order of multiplicity of the root  $a_j$ .

**3.** The moment generating function of X'SX. If X is a set of normal random variables, then the moment generating function of the random variable X'SX is well known, but we need the following new form.

LEMMA 2. If  $\mathcal{L}(X) = N(\mu, V)$ , V > 0, and if S is a real symmetric matrix, then the moment generating function  $m_{X'SX}(\theta; \mu, V)$  of the random variable X'SX is

(1) 
$$m_{X'SX}(\theta; \mu, V) = |I - 2SV|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{1}{2} (\mu' E_j V^{-1} \mu) [2\theta a_j/(1 - 2\theta a_j)] \right\}$$
, where  $a_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots$ ,  $s$ , are the distinct roots of the equation  $|\lambda I - SV| = 0$ ,  $(a_j \text{ with multiplicity } r_j)$ , and where  $E_j$  is defined by the spectral decomposition  $SV = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j E_j$ .

Proof. By definition, we have

$$m_{X'SX}(\theta; \mu, V) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}|V|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\int \exp\left\{\theta X'SX - \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)'V^{-1}(x - \mu)\right\}dx$$
, where " $\int$ " is an  $n$  fold integral and where  $dx = dx_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot dx_n$ . We make the transformation  $x = My + \mu$  and write  $c' = \mu'M'^{-1} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ , say, so that

$$\begin{split} m_{X'SX}(\theta;\mu,V) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp \left\{ \theta \lambda_i (y_i + c_i)^2 - \frac{1}{2} y_i^2 \right\} dy_i \\ &= \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - 2\theta \lambda_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{1}{2} c_i^2 \left[ 2\theta \lambda_i / (1 - 2\theta \lambda_i) \right] \right\} \\ &= |I - 2\theta SV|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{1}{2} C_j \left[ 2\theta a_j / (1 - 2\theta a_j) \right] \right\}, \end{split}$$

where we suppose that we have  $r_j$  roots  $\lambda_i$  with value  $a_j$ ,  $j=1, \dots, s$ , and we write  $C_j = \sum_{i=1}^{(j)} c_i^2$ , where  $\sum_{i=1}^{(j)} c_i^2$  is the sum on the  $r_j$  subscripts i such that  $\lambda_i = a_j$ . In order to prove the lemma we must show that

(2) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{1}{2}C_{j}[2\theta a_{j}/(1-2\theta a_{j})] = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{1}{2}\mu'E_{j}V^{-1}\mu[2\theta a_{j}/(1-2\theta a_{j})],$$
 where the matrices  $E_{j}$  are defined by the spectral decomposition  $SV = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{j}E_{j}$ , by means of the matrix  $M$ .

Decomposing the matrix  $M^{-1}$  into its row vectors  $m_1', \dots, m_n'$  it follows from from  $c = M^{-1}\mu$  that  $c_i = m_i'\mu$ . We will denote by  $B^{(i)}$  the diagonal matrix which has all elements equal to 0 except for the *i*th element of the diagonal which has the value 1.

By calculation it can be verified that  $c_i^2 = \mu' m_i m_i' \mu = \mu M'^{-1} B^{(i)} M^{-1} \mu$ . So we have  $C_j = \sum_{j=1}^{(j)} c_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{(j)} \mu' m_i m_i' \mu = \mu' M'^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{(j)} B^{(i)} M^{-1} \mu = \mu' M'^{-1} B_j M^{-1} \mu$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ . We have  $E_j = M'^{-1} B_j M'$  so that  $M'^{-1} B_j M^{-1} = M'^{-1} B_j M' M'^{-1} M^{-1} = E_j V^{-1}, j = 1, \dots, s$ . Therefore  $C_j = \mu' E_j V^{-1} \mu, j = 1, \dots, s$ , which proves (2) and so also Lemma 2.<sup>3</sup>

**4.** The distribution of X'SX and SV. In the following Theorem 1 we will consider the random variable Y which has distribution

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The proof of Lemma 2 is very simple if we use the properties  $E_jV^{-1} = (E_jV^{-1})', (E_jV^{-1}) \cdot V(E_kV^{-1}) = 0, j \neq k$ , and  $[(E_jV^{-1})V]^2 = (E_jV^{-1})V$ , but the proof given is independent from the theorem of Graybill and Marsaglia (see [3], p. 685) which is a particular case of our Theorem 2.

$$\mathfrak{L}(Y) = \mathfrak{L}(\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j \chi'^2(r_j, \frac{1}{2}\mu' L_j \mu)),$$

where :  $a_j \neq a_{j'}$ ,  $j \neq j'$ , the  $\chi'^2$ 's are mutually independent and where the  $n \times n$  matrix  $L_j$  is symmetric, positive semidefinite and of rank  $r_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ .

THEOREM 1. If  $\mathfrak{L}(X) = N(\mu, V)$ , V > 0, and if S is a real symmetric matrix, then  $\mathfrak{L}(X'SX) = \mathfrak{L}(Y)$  if and only if the matrix SV has the spectral decomposition  $SV = \sum_{j=1}^s a_j E_j$ , with  $\mu' E_j V^{-1} \mu = \mu' L_j \mu$ , rank  $(E_j) = r_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^s r_j = n$ .

Proof of Sufficiency. Follows immediately from Lemma 1. Proof of Necessity. If  $\mathfrak{L}(X'SX) = \mathfrak{L}(Y)$ , then:

(3) 
$$m_{X'SX}(\theta; \mu, V) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} (1 - 2\theta a_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}r_j} \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{1}{2} \mu' L_j \mu [2\theta a_j/(1 - 2\theta a_j)] \right\}.$$

We observe now that the spectral decomposition of SV is independent of  $\mu$ , (being dependent on S and V only, through M) and so the spectral decomposition of SV corresponding to the random variable X'SX where  $\mathfrak{L}(X) = N(\mu, V)$ , is the same as the spectral decomposition of SV corresponding to the random variable Z'SZ where  $\mathfrak{L}(Z) = N(0, V)$ . But  $m_{Z'SZ}(\theta; 0, V) = \prod_{j=1}^s (1 - 2\theta a_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}r_j} = |I - 2\theta SV|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , in which we take  $2\theta = \lambda^{-1}$  so that  $|\lambda I - SV| = \prod_{j=1}^s (\lambda - a_j)^{r_j}$ , and therefore we have  $SV = \sum_{j=1}^s a_j E_j$ , rank  $(E_j) = r_j$ , j = 1,  $\cdots$ , s,  $\sum_{j=1}^s r_j = n$ . From the sufficiency we know that this implies that

$$m_{X'SX}(\theta; \mu, V) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} (1 - 2\theta a_j)^{\frac{1}{2}r_j} \exp\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{1}{2} (\mu' E_j V_{\mu}^{-1}) [2\theta a_j/(1 - 2\theta a_j)]\right\}$$

so that, by the hypothesis (3), we must have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{1}{2} \mu' (L_j - E_j V^{-1}) \mu [2\theta a_j / (1 - 2\theta a_j)] = 0,$$

for all  $\theta$  sufficiently small. Here we can, obviously, suppose that  $a_j \neq 0$ , j = 1,  $\dots$ , s. Expanding  $(1 - 2\theta a_j)^{-1}$  in a geometric series it is now easily seen that  $\mu' L_j \mu = \mu' E_j V^{-1} \mu$ ,  $j = 1, \dots$ , s. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

In the following Theorem 2 we will use the notation  $Y = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j \chi_j^{2}(\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $(a_j \neq a_{j'}, j \neq j')$  to mean that the random variable Y is a linear combination, with coefficients  $a_j$ ,  $(a_j \neq a_{j'}, j \neq j')$ , of s mutually independent non-central chi-squares, in which we do not specify the individual d.f.'s and non-centrality parameters except for the fact that the d.f.'s are positive and sum to n, and the non-centrality parameters are non-negative.

THEOREM 2. If  $\mathfrak{L}(X) = N(\mu, V)$ , V > 0, and if S is a real symmetric matrix, then  $\mathfrak{L}(X'SX) = \mathfrak{L}(Y)$  if and only if

(4) 
$$\prod_{j=1}^{s} (SV - a_{j}I) = 0,$$

(b) 
$$\prod_{j=1, j\neq k}^{s} (SV - a_{j}I) \neq 0, \qquad k = 1, \dots, s.$$

PROOF OF NECESSITY. First we show that if  $\mathfrak{L}(X'SX) = \mathfrak{L}(Y)$  then the condition (4) (a) is satisfied. In fact, by Theorem 1 and Lemma 1, since  $\mathfrak{L}(X'SX) = \mathfrak{L}(Y)$  then  $SV = \sum_{j=1}^s a_j E_j$ , where rank  $(E_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ , is unspecified except for the fact that rank  $(E_j) \geq 1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^s \operatorname{rank}(E_j) = n$ . This implies that, for every polynomial p(x), we have (see [1], p. 170)

 $p(SV) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} p(a_j)E_j$ , and so, for the polynomial  $(x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_n)$  we have  $(SV - a_1I) \cdots (SV - a_sI) = (a_1 - a_1) \cdots (a_1 - a_s)E_1 + \cdots + (a_s - a_1) \cdots (a_s - a_s)E_s = 0$  and then the condition (4) (a) is satisfied.

We prove, now, that if  $\mathfrak{L}(X'SX) = \mathfrak{L}(Y)$  then the conditions (4) (b) are satisfied. For, suppose that within 1,  $\cdots$ , s, there exists a number k such that  $\prod_{j=1, j\neq k}^s (SV - a_j I) = 0$ . If it is so, since  $SV = \sum_{t=1}^s a_t E_t$ ,  $I = \sum_{t=1}^s E_t$ , we would then have

(5) 
$$\prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^{s} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{s} (a_t - a_j) E_t \right] = 0$$

so that, multiplying (5) by  $E_k$  and recalling that  $E_k E_j = 0$ ,  $t \neq k$ , we would have  $\prod_{j=1, j\neq k}^s (a_k - a_j) E_k = 0$ . This implies that either one of the numbers  $a_j$ ,  $j \neq k$ , is equal to  $a_k$  (contradicting to the hypotheses  $a_j \neq a_k$ ,  $j \neq k$ ) or  $E_k = 0$  which contradicts the hypothesis that each of the chi-squares involved in Y have at least one d.f. since this would imply (Theorem 1) that rank  $(E_j) = 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ .

PROOF OF SUFFICIENCY. First we prove that the condition (4) (a) implies that the random variable X'SX is distributed like a linear combination of q ( $1 \le q \le s$ ) independent non-central chi-square variates where the coefficients are q of the  $a_j$  numbers, that is,  $\mathfrak{L}(X'SX) = \mathfrak{L}(\sum_{p=1}^q a_{j_p}\chi_{j_p}^{\prime 2}(\cdot,\cdot))$ , where  $1 \le q \le s$ , and the numbers  $j_1, \dots, j_q$  constitute any non-empty sub-set of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ . We shall then say that X'SX is distributed "at most" like the random variable  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i \chi_j^{\prime 2}(\cdot,\cdot)$ ,  $a_i \ne a_{j'}$ ,  $j \ne j'$ .

Now suppose that the condition (4) (a) is satisfied and that  $\mathcal{L}(X'SX) = \mathcal{L}(\sum_{t=1}^k b_t \chi_t'^2(\cdot,\cdot))$ , with  $b_l \neq b_t$ ,  $t \neq l$ , and k > s. Then, by Theorem 1 and Lemma 1, the matrix SV has the spectral decomposition  $SV = \sum_{t=1}^k b_t F_t$  where  $b_t \neq b_l$ ,  $t \neq l$  and  $\sum_{t=1}^k F_t = I$ . By (4) (a) we have

$$\left(\sum_{t=1}^{k} b_t F_t - a_1 \sum_{t=1}^{k} F_t\right) \cdots \left(\sum_{t=1}^{k} b_t F_t - a_s \sum_{t=1}^{k} F_t\right) = 0$$

and multiplying by  $F_l^s$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, k$ , we would have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{s} (b_{l} - a_{j}) F_{l} = 0, l = 1, \dots, k,$$

but this is possible only if either  $F_l = 0$ , which is excluded, or  $b_l$  is equal to one of the numbers  $a_j$  ( $a_j \neq a_{j'}$ ,  $j \neq j'$ ), in which case the characteristic roots of the equation |I - SV| = 0 would take their values among the numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_s$ . Therefore, by Theorem 1, X'SX is distributed like a linear combination of non-central chi-squares and the coefficients of this linear combination are among  $a_1, \dots, a_s$ , i.e., X'SX is distributed at most like Y.

Now we can prove the sufficiency. In fact, (4) (a) implies that X'SX is distributed at most like Y, and this fact leaves open two possibilities. Either  $\mathfrak{L}(X'SX) = \mathfrak{L}(Y)$  (and in this case sufficiency follows), or  $\mathfrak{L}(X'SX) = \mathfrak{L}(\sum_{p=1}^{q} a_{j_p} \chi_{j_p}^{\prime 2}(\cdot, \cdot)), q \leq s - 1, a_{j_p} \neq a_{j_p}$ ,  $p \neq p'$ , and we have a contradiction. In fact, in the latter case, by Theorem and Lemma 1, we have the spectral decomposition  $SV = \sum_{p=1}^{q} a_{j_p} E_{j_p}$  and this implies that  $(SV - a_1I) \cdots$ 

 $(SV - a_q I) = 0$ ,  $q \le s - 1$ , which contradicts at least one of the equations (4) (b).

**5. Acknowledgment.** The author is grateful to Professor N. L. Johnson for his kind encouragement and helpful discussions, and to the referees for suggestions that greatly improved the exposition of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ayres, F. (1962). Theory and Problems in Matrices. Schaum, New York.
- [2] Bellman, R. (1960). An Introduction to Matrix Analysis. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [3] Graybill, F. A. and Marsaglia, G. (1957). Independent matrices and quadratic forms in the general linear hypothesis. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **28** 678–686.
- [4] LUTHER, N. Y. (1965). Decomposition of symmetric matrices and distributions of quadratic forms. Ann. Math. Statist. 36 683-690.