ON R. VON MISES' CONDITION FOR THE DOMAIN OF ATTRACTION OF $\exp(-e^{-x})^1$

BY A. A. BALKEMA AND L. DE HAAN

University of Amsterdam and Mathematisch Centrum Amsterdam

There exist well-known necessary and sufficient conditions for a distribution function to belong to the domain of attraction of the double exponential distribution Λ . For practical purposes a simple sufficient condition due to von Mises is very useful. It is shown that each distribution function F in the domain of attraction of Λ is tail equivalent to some distribution function satisfying von Mises' condition.

Suppose X_1, X_2, X_3, \cdots are independent real-valued random variables with common distribution function F. We say that F is in the domain of attraction of the double exponential distribution (notation $F \in D(\Lambda)$; $\Lambda(x) = \exp(-e^{-x})$) if there exist two sequences of real constants $\{b_n\}$ and $\{a_n\}$ (with $a_n > 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \cdots$) such that for all real x

(1)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P\left\{\frac{\max(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_n)-b_n}{a_n}\leq x\right\}=\exp(-e^{-x}).$$

It is convenient to use the symbol x_0 for the upper bound of X_1 defined by

$$x_0(F) = \sup\{x \mid F(x) < 1\}.$$

Necessary and sufficient conditions for $F \in D(\Lambda)$ are well-known (Gnedenko (1943), de Haan (1970)) but rather intricate. The following relatively simple criterion is due to R. von Mises ((1936) page 285):

Suppose F(x) is a distribution function with a density f(x) which is positive and differentiable on a left neighborhood of x_0 . If

(2)
$$\lim_{x \uparrow x_0} \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1 - F(x)}{f(x)} \right) = 0,$$

then $F \in D(\Lambda)$.

A distribution function F satisfying (2) will be called a von Mises function. We shall prove

THEOREM. A distribution function F lies in the domain of attraction of Λ if and only if there exists a von Mises function F_* such that $x_0(F_*) = x_0(F) = x_0$ and

(3)
$$\lim_{x \uparrow x_0} \frac{1 - F(x)}{1 - F_*(x)} = 1.$$

REMARK. Relation (3) implies (see Resnick (1971) Lemma 2.5) that for the

Received May 21, 1971; revised December 28, 1971.

¹ Report SW 10/71, Afdeling Mathematische Statistiek, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam.

convergence of the distribution functions F^n and F_*^n the same norming constants $a_n > 0$ and b_n may be used.

PROOF. The if statement is an immediate consequence of Gnedenko (1943), Théorème 7.

Now suppose $F \in D(\Lambda)$ with endpoint x_0 . We define the sequence U_0, U_1, \cdots by

$$U_0(x) = 1 - F(x)$$

 $U_{n+1}(x) = \int_{x^0}^{x_0} U_n(t) dt$ $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

By de Haan ((1970) Lemma 2.5.1 or (1971) Lemma 6 and Theorem 8) the distribution function F_n defined by $F_n(x) = \max(0, 1 - U_n(x))$ belongs to $D(\Lambda)$ if F_{n-1} does. In particular, the integral above converges. Then $F_n \in D(\Lambda)$ for n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots and by de Haan ((1970) Theorem 2.5.2 or (1971) Theorem 10) we have

(4)
$$\lim_{x \uparrow x_0} \frac{U_{n-1}(x)U_{n+1}(x)}{U_{n}(x)} = 1 \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

We now define the function U_* on $(-\infty, x_0)$ by

$$U_*(x) = \{U_3(x)\}^4 \{U_4(x)\}^{-3}$$
.

Then $U_*(x)$ is twice differentiable on a left neighborhood of x_0 and

(5)
$$\frac{d}{dx} \log U_* = -4 \frac{U_2}{U_3} + 3 \frac{U_3}{U_4} = \frac{3 - 4U_2 U_3^{-2} U_4}{U_4 U_3^{-1}}.$$

Consider

$$\frac{U_4 U_3^{-1}}{3 - 4 U_2 U_3^{-2} U_4} = \frac{U_*}{\frac{d}{dx} U_*}.$$

By (4) the denominator is asymptotic to -1 as $x \uparrow x_0$ and both $(d/dx)U_4U_3^{-1}$ and $U_4U_3^{-1}(d/dx)(3-4U_2U_3^{-2}U_4)$ vanish as $x \uparrow x_0$. Hence

(6)
$$\lim_{x \uparrow x_0} \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{U_*(x)}{U_*'(x)} \right) = 0.$$

Observe that

$$U_0 = rac{U_0 \, U_2}{U_1^{\, 2}} \, \cdot \left(rac{U_1 \, U_3}{U_2^{\, 2}}
ight)^2 \cdot \left(rac{U_2 \, U_4}{U_3^{\, 2}}
ight)^3 \cdot \, U_* \; .$$

Hence by (4) we obtain

(7)
$$\lim_{x \uparrow x_0} \frac{U_0(x)}{U_*(x)} = 1.$$

Then $\lim_{x \uparrow x_0} U_*(x) = 0$, and since by (5) U_* is decreasing on a left neighborhood of x_0 , there exists a twice differentiable distribution function $F_*(x)$ which coincides with $1 - U_*(x)$ on a left neighborhood of x_0 . F_* is a von Mises function by (6) and satisfies (2) by (7). \square

COROLLARY. A distribution function F belongs to $D(\Lambda)$ if and only if there exist

a positive function c satisfying $\lim_{x \uparrow x_0} c(x) = 1$ and a positive differentiable function ϕ satisfying $\lim_{x \uparrow x_0} \phi'(x) = 0$ such that

$$1 - F(x) = c(x) \cdot \exp\left\{-\int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{dt}{\phi(t)}\right\} \qquad \text{for } x < x_0.$$

This improves the representation theorem (Theorem 2.5.3) in de Haan (1970).

Proof. Set

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1 - F_*(x)}{F_*'(x)}$$

in a left neighborhood of x_0 .

REFERENCES

GNEDENKO, B. V. (1943). Sur la distribution limite du terme maximum d'une série aléatoire.

Ann. of Math. 44 423-453.

De Haan, L. (1970). On regular variation and its application to the weak convergence of sample extremes. MC tract 32, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam.

DE HAAN, L. (1971). A form of regular variation and its application to the domain of attraction of the double exponential distribution. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 17 241-258.

Von Mises, R. (1936). La distribution de la plus grande de n valeurs. In Selected Papers 2 (American Mathematical Society) 271-294.

RESNICK, S. I. (1971). Tail equivalence and applications. J. Appl. Probability 8 136-156.