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## Abstract

Let $\left(\xi_{k}, k \geq 0\right)$ be a Markov chain on $\{-1,+1\}$ with $\xi_{0}=1$ and transition probabilities $P\left(\xi_{k+1}=1 \mid \xi_{k}=1\right)=a$ and $P\left(\xi_{k+1}=-1 \mid \xi_{k}=-1\right)=b<a$. Set $X_{0}=0, X_{n}=\xi_{1}+\cdots+\xi_{n}$ and $M_{n}=\max _{0 \leq k \leq n} X_{k}$. We prove that the process $2 M-X$ has the same law as that of $X$ conditioned to stay non-negative.

Pitman's representation theorem [19] states that, if ( $X_{t}, t \geq 0$ ) is a standard Brownian motion and $M_{t}=\max _{s \leq t} X_{s}$, then $2 M-X$ has the same law as the 3 -dimensional Bessel process. This was extended in [20] to the case of non-zero drift, where it is shown that, if $X_{t}$ is a standard Brownian motion with drift, then $2 M-X$ is a certain diffusion process. This diffusion has the significant property that it can be interpreted as the law of $X$ conditioned to stay positive (in an appropriate sense). Pitman's theorem has the following discrete analogue [19, 18]: if $X$ is a simple random walk with non-negative drift (in continuous or discrete time) then $2 M-X$ has the same law as $X$ conditioned to stay non-negative (for the symmetric random walk this conditioning is in the sense of Doob).
Here we present a version of Pitman's theorem for a random walk with Markovian increments. Let $\left(\xi_{k}, k \geq 0\right)$ be a Markov chain on $\{-1,+1\}$ with $\xi_{0}=1$ and transition probabilities $P\left(\xi_{k+1}=1 \mid \xi_{k}=1\right)=a$ and $P\left(\xi_{k+1}=-1 \mid \xi_{k}=-1\right)=b$. We will assume that $1>a>b>0$. Set $X_{0}=0, X_{n}=\xi_{1}+\cdots+\xi_{n}$ and $M_{n}=\max _{0 \leq k \leq n} X_{k}$.

Theorem 1 The process $2 M-X$ has the same law as that of $X$ conditioned to stay nonnegative.
Note that, if $b=1-a$, then $X$ is a simple random walk with drift and we recover the original statement of Pitman's theorem in discrete time.
To prove Theorem 1, we first consider a two-sided stationary version of $\xi$, which we denote by $\left(\eta_{k}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right)$, and define a stationary process $\left\{Q_{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ by

$$
Q_{n}=\max _{m \leq n}\left(-\sum_{j=m}^{n} \eta_{j}\right)^{+}
$$

Note that $Q$ satisfies the Lindley recursion $Q_{n+1}=\left(Q_{n}-\eta_{n+1}\right)^{+}$, and we have the following queueing interpretation. The number of customers in the queue at time $n$ is $Q_{n}$; if $\eta_{n+1}=-1$ a new customer arrives at the queue and $Q_{n+1}=Q_{n}+1$; if $\eta_{n+1}=1$ and $Q_{n}>0$, a customer departs from the queue and $Q_{n+1}=Q_{n}-1$; otherwise $Q_{n+1}=Q_{n}$.
Note that the process $\eta$ can be recovered from $Q$, as follows:

$$
\eta_{n}= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { if } Q_{n}>Q_{n-1}  \tag{1}\\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $\bar{Q}_{n}=Q_{-n}$.
Theorem 2 The processes $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$ have the same law.
Proof: We first note that it suffices to consider a single excursion of the process $Q$ from zero. This follows from the fact that, at the beginning and end of a single excursion, the values of $\eta$ are determined, and so these act as regeneration points for the process. To see that the law of a single excursion is reversible, note that the probability of a particular excursion path depends only on the numbers of transitions (in the underlying Markov chain $\eta$ ) of each type which occur within that excursion path, and these numbers are invariant under time-reversal.

Thus, if we define, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\hat{\eta}_{n}= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { if } Q_{n}>Q_{n+1}  \tag{2}\\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

we have the following corollary of Theorem 2 .
Corollary 3 The process $\hat{\eta}$ has the same law as $\eta$.
Proof of Theorem 1: Note that we can write $\hat{\eta}_{n}=\eta_{n+1}+2\left(Q_{n+1}-Q_{n}\right)$. Summing this, we obtain, for $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{\eta}_{j}=\tilde{X}_{n}+2\left(Q_{n}-Q_{0}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{X}_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \eta_{j}$. If we adopt the convention that empty sums are zero, and set $\tilde{X}_{0}=0$, then this formula remains valid for $n=0$. It follows that, on $\left\{Q_{0}=0\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{\eta}_{j}=2 \tilde{M}_{n}-\tilde{X}_{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{M}_{n}=\max _{0 \leq m \leq n} \tilde{X}_{m}$.
Note also that, from the definitions, for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{m}=\left(Q_{m+1}-\hat{\eta}_{m}\right)^{+}=\max _{n \geq m}\left(-\sum_{j=m}^{n} \hat{\eta}_{j}\right)^{+} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The law of $X$ conditioned to stay non-negative is the same as the law of $\tilde{X}$ conditioned to stay non-negative, since the events $X_{1} \geq 0$ and $\tilde{X}_{1} \geq 0$ respectively require that $\xi_{1}=1$ and $\eta_{1}=1$, and so the difference in law between $\xi$ and $\eta$ becomes irrelevant. By Corollary 3, the law of $\tilde{X}$ conditioned to stay non-negative is the same as the law of the process

$$
\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{\eta}_{j}, n \geq 0\right)
$$

given that

$$
Q_{0}=\max _{n \geq 0}\left(-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{\eta}_{j}\right)=0
$$

By (4) this is the same as the law of $2 \tilde{M}-\tilde{X}$ given that $Q_{0}=0$ or, equivalently, that $\eta_{0}=1$; but this is the same as the law of $2 M-X$, so we are done.
In the queueing interpretation, $\hat{\eta}=-1$ whenever there is a departure from the queue and $\hat{\eta}=1$ otherwise. Thus, Corollary 3 states that the process of departures from the queue has the same law as the process of arrivals to the queue; it can therefore be regarded as an extension of the celebrated theorem in queueing theory, due to Burke [5], which states that the output of a stable $M / M / 1$ queue in equilibrium has the same law as the input (both are Poisson processes; by considering the embedded chain in the $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{M} / 1$ queue, Burke's theorem is equivalent to the statement of Corollary 3 with $b=1-a)$. Our proof of Theorem 2 is inspired by the kind of reversibility arguments used often in queueing theory. For general discussions on the role of reversibility in queueing theory, see $[4,13,22]$; the idea of using reversibility to prove Burke's theorem is originally due to Reich [21].
To describe the finite dimensional distributions of the process $2 M-X$ appearing in Theorem 1, one can consider the Markov chain $(X, \xi)$ conditioned on $X$ staying non-negative; this is a $h$-transform of $(X, \xi)$ with

$$
h(k,-1)=1-\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{k+1}
$$

and

$$
h(k, 1)=1-\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{k}\left(\frac{1-a}{1-b}\right) .
$$

It is well-known (see, for example, [11]) that the particular case of Theorem 1 with $b=$ $1-a$ is more or less equivalent to a collection of random walk analogues of Williams' pathdecomposition and time-reversal results relating Brownian motion and the three-dimensional Bessel process. The same is true for general $a$ and $b$. For example, $X$ conditioned to stay non-negative has a shift-homogeneous regenerative property at last exit times, like the threedimensional Bessel process. Moreover, if we set $\hat{X}_{n}=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{\eta}$, then, by Corollary $3,\left(\hat{X}_{n}, n \geq\right.$ 1) has the same law as ( $\tilde{X}_{n}, n \geq 1$ ), and this can be interpreted as the analogue of Williams'
path-decomposition for Brownian motion with drift. The analogue of Williams' time-reversal theorem for the three-dimensional Bessel process can also be verified. In this case we have, setting $R=2 M-X, L_{k}=\max \left\{n: R_{n}=k\right\}$ and $T_{k}=\min \left\{n: X_{n}=k\right\}$, that $\{k-$ $\left.X_{T_{k+1}-1-n}, 0 \leq n \leq T_{k+1}-1\right\}$ and $\left\{R_{n}, 0 \leq n \leq L_{k}\right\}$ have the same law.
Finally, we remark that the following analogue of Theorem 1 holds in continuous time: let $\left(\xi_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ be a continuous-time Markov chain on $\{-1,+1\}$ with $\xi_{0}=1$, and set $X_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \xi_{s} d s$, $M_{t}=\max _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}$. We assume that the transition rates of the chain are such that the event that $X$ remains non-negative forever has positive probability. Then $2 M-X$ has the same law as that of $X$ conditioned to stay non-negative. The proof is identical to that of Theorem 1 ; in particular, the following analogues of Theorem 2 and Corollary 3 also hold: if we let $\left(\eta_{t}, t \in \mathbb{R}\right)$ be a stationary version of $\xi$ and, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$
Q_{t}=\max _{s \leq t}\left(-\int_{s}^{t} \eta_{s} d s\right)
$$

then $\bar{Q}$ (defined as $\left.\bar{Q}_{t}=Q_{-t}\right)$ has the same law as $Q$, and $\hat{\eta}$, defined by

$$
\hat{\eta}_{t}= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { if } \eta_{t}=1 \text { and } Q_{t}>0  \tag{6}\\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

has the same law as $\eta$. The process $X$ in this setting is sometimes called the telegrapher's random process, because it is connected with the telegrapher equation. It was introduced by Kac [12], where it is also shown to be related to the Dirac equation. There is a considerable literature on this process and its connections with relativistic quantum mechanics (see, for example, $[6,7]$ and references therein).
For other variants and multidimensional extensions of Pitman's theorem see $[1,2,9,10,15,8$, $16,17,18]$ and references therein. In [16] a version of Pitman's theorem for geometric functionals of Brownian motion is presented. In [17] connections with Burke's theorem are discussed. In [18], a representation for non-colliding Brownian motions is given (the case of two motions is equivalent to Pitman's theorem); this extends a partial representation (for the rightmost motion at a single epoch) given in $[1,8]$. The corresponding result for continuous-time random walks is also presented in [18]. The corresponding discrete-time random walk result is presented in [15], and this extends a partial representation given in [10]. See also [9] for a related but not yet well understood representation; this is also discussed in [15]. (See also [14].) In [2] an extension of Pitman's theorem is given for spectrally positive Lévy processes. A partial extension of Pitman's theorem for Brownian motion in a wedge of angle $\pi / 3$ is presented in [3].
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