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## Abstract

Let $\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{X}_{1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{2}, \ldots$ be i.i.d. $R^{d}$-valued random variables. We prove large and moderate deviations for Hotelling's $T^{2}$-statistic when $\boldsymbol{X}$ is in the generalized domain of attraction of the normal law.

## 1 Introduction

Let $\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{X}_{1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{2}, \ldots$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) nondegenerate $R^{d}$-valued random vectors with mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, where $d \geq 1$. Let

$$
\boldsymbol{S}_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}, \quad \boldsymbol{V}_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}-\boldsymbol{S}_{n} / n\right)\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}-\boldsymbol{S}_{n} / n\right)^{\prime}
$$

Define Hotelling's $T^{2}$ statistic by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}^{2}=\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{n}-n \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{\prime} \boldsymbol{V}_{n}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{n}-n \boldsymbol{\mu}\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]The $T^{2}$-statistic is used for testing hypotheses about the mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and for obtaining confidence regions for the unknown $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. When $\boldsymbol{X}$ has a normal distribution $N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$, it is known that $(n-d) T_{n}^{2} /(d n)$ is distributed as an $F$-distribution with $d$ and $n-d$ degrees of freedom (see, e.g., Anderson (1984)). The $T^{2}$-test has a number of optimal properties. It is uniformly most powerful in the class of tests whose power function depends only on $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ (Simaika (1941)), is admissible (Stein (1956) and Kiefer and Schwartz (1965)), and is robust (Kariya (1981)). One can refer to Muirhead (1982) for other invariant properties of the $T^{2}$-test. When the distribution of $\boldsymbol{X}$ is not normal, it was proved by Sepanski (1994) that the limiting distribution of $T_{n}^{2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is a $\chi^{2}$-distribution with $d$ degrees of freedom. An asymptotic expansion for the distribution of $T_{n}^{2}$ is obtained by Fujikoshi (1997) and Kano (1995) independently. The main aim of this note is to give a large and moderate deviations for the $T^{2}$-statistic.

Theorem 1.1 Assume that $\boldsymbol{\mu}=0$. For $\alpha \in(0,1)$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(\alpha)=\sup _{b \geq 0} \sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1} \inf _{t \geq 0} E \exp \left(t\left(b \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}-\alpha\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{2}+b^{2}\right) / 2\right)\right) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for all $x>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(T_{n}^{2} \geq x n\right)^{1 / n}=K(\sqrt{x /(1+x)}) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

ThEOREM 1.2 Let $\left\{x_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers with $x_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_{n}=$ $o(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that $h(x):=E\|\boldsymbol{X}\|^{2} 1\{\|\boldsymbol{X}\| \leq x\}$ is slowly varying and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in R^{d},\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1} E\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{2} 1\{\|\boldsymbol{X}\| \leq x\} / h(x)>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\boldsymbol{\mu}=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}^{-1} \ln P\left(T_{n}^{2} \geq x_{n}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem 1.2 we have the following law of the iterated logarithm.

Theorem 1.3 Assume that $h(x):=E\|\boldsymbol{X}\|^{2} 1\{\|\boldsymbol{X}\| \leq x\}$ is slowly varying and (1.4) is satisfied. If $\boldsymbol{\mu}=0$, then

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{n}^{2}}{2 \log \log n}=1 \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 demonstrate again that the Hotelling's $T^{2}$ statistic is very robust. Theorem 1.1 also provides a direct tool to estimate the efficiency of the $T^{2}$ test, such as the Bahadur efficiency. See He and Shao (1996).
Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are in the context of the so-called self-normalized limit theorems. The past decade has witnessed important developments in this area. One can refer to Griffin and Kuelbs (1989) for the self-normalized law of the iterated logarithm when $d=1$; Dembo and Shao (1998a, 1998b) for $d \geq 1$; Shao (1997) for self-normalized large and moderate deviations of i.i.d. sums; Faure (2002) for self-normalized large deviation for Markov chains; Jing, Shao and Zhou (2004) for self-normalized saddlepoint approximation; Jing, Shao and Wang (2003) for self-normalized Cramér- type large deviations for independent random variables; Bercu, Gassiat and Rio (2002) for large and moderate deviations for self-normalized empirical processes; Chistyakov and Götze (2004a) for the necessary and sufficient condition for having a
non-degenerate limiting distribution of self-normalized sums; Shao (1998, 2004) for surveys of recent developments in this subject. Other self-normalized large deviation results can be found in Chistyakov and Götze (2004b), Robinson and Wang (2004) and Wang (2005).

Remark 1.1 Following Dembo and Shao (1998b), it is possible to have a large deviation principle for $T_{n}^{2}$. Formula (1.2) may become clearer from the large deviation principle point of view. However, it may be not easy to compute $K(\alpha)$ in general.

Remark 1.2 It is easy to see that when $E\|\boldsymbol{X}\|^{2}<\infty$ and $\boldsymbol{X}$ is nondegenerate, $h(x)$ converges to a constant and (1.4) is satisfied.

Remark 1.3 In (1.1) when $\boldsymbol{V}_{n}$ is not full rank, i.e., $\boldsymbol{V}_{n}$ is degenerate, $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{V}_{n}^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}$ is defined as (see (2.1) in the next section)

$$
\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{V}_{n}^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}=\sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{x} \geq 0} \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{x}\right)^{2}}{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{V}_{n} \boldsymbol{\theta}},
$$

where $0 / 0$ is interpreted as $\infty$. The latter convention is the reason why $b=0$ is allowed in the definition (1.2) of $K(\alpha)$, which is essential for the validity of Theorem 1.1 in case the law of $\boldsymbol{X}$ has atoms.

Remark 1.4 $\boldsymbol{X}$ is said to be in the generalized domain of attraction of the normal law $(\boldsymbol{X} \in G D O A N)$ if there exist nonrandom matrices $\boldsymbol{A}_{n}$ and constant vector $\boldsymbol{b}_{n}$ such that

$$
\boldsymbol{A}_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{n}-\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \boldsymbol{I}) .
$$

Hahn and Klass (1980) proved that $\boldsymbol{X} \in G D O A N$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1} \frac{x^{2} P\left(\left|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}\right|>x\right)}{E\left|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}\right|^{2} I\left\{\left|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}\right| \leq x\right\}}=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If conditions in Theorem 1.2 are satisfied, then (1.6) holds. We conjecture that Theorem 1.3 remains valid under condition (1.6).

## 2 Proofs

Let $\boldsymbol{B}$ be an $d \times d$ symmetric positive definite matrix. Then, clearly,

$$
\begin{align*}
\forall \boldsymbol{x} \in R^{d}, \quad \boldsymbol{x}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{B}^{-1} \boldsymbol{x} & =\sup _{\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in R^{d}}\left(2 \boldsymbol{\vartheta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\vartheta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{\vartheta}\right)=\sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1, b \geq 0}\left\{2 b \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{x}-b^{2} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{\theta}\right\} \\
& =\sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{x} \geq 0} \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{x}\right)^{2}}{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{\theta}} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

(taking $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}=b \boldsymbol{\theta}$, with $b \geq 0$ and $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1$ ).
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Letting

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{X}_{i} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}^{\prime}
$$

we can rewrite $\boldsymbol{V}_{n}$ as

$$
\boldsymbol{V}_{n}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}-\boldsymbol{S}_{n} \boldsymbol{S}_{n}^{\prime} / n
$$

By (2.1), for any $a>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{T_{n}^{2} \geq a^{2}\right\} & =\left\{\boldsymbol{S}_{n}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{V}_{n}^{-1} \boldsymbol{S}_{n} \geq a^{2}\right\}  \tag{2.2}\\
& =\left\{\exists \boldsymbol{\theta} \in R^{d},\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{S}_{n} / \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{V}_{n} \boldsymbol{\theta}} \geq a\right\} \\
& =\left\{\exists \boldsymbol{\theta} \in R^{d},\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{S}_{n} \geq a \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n} \boldsymbol{\theta}-\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{S}_{n}\right)^{2} / n}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\exists \boldsymbol{\theta} \in R^{d},\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{S}_{n} \geq \frac{a}{\sqrt{1+a^{2} / n}} \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n} \boldsymbol{\theta}}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, for all $x>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(T_{n}^{2} \geq x n\right)=P\left(\sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1} \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{S}_{n}}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n} \boldsymbol{\theta}}} \geq(x /(1+x))^{1 / 2} n^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{S}_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}_{i} \text { and } \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n} \boldsymbol{\theta}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

By Theorem 1.1 of Shao (1997), it follows from (2.3) that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(T_{n}^{2} \geq x n\right)^{1 / n} \geq K(\sqrt{x /(x+1)})
$$

(for $K(\cdot)$ of (1.2)). To prove the upper bound of (1.3), it suffices to show that for $\alpha \in(0,1)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1}\left\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{S}_{n}-\alpha n^{1 / 2} \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n} \boldsymbol{\theta}}\right\} \geq 0\right)^{1 / n} \leq K(\alpha) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A \geq 2$ and define $\xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}):=\xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, A)=\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}_{i} 1\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right\| \leq A\right\}$. We can make the proof of the upper bound with any fixed $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $\varepsilon \in(0,1 / 2)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(\sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1}\left\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{S}_{n}-\alpha n^{1 / 2} \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n} \boldsymbol{\theta}}\right\} \geq 0\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \quad \leq P\left(\sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta})-(1-\varepsilon) \alpha n^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right)^{1 / 2}\right\} \geq 0\right) \\
& \quad+P\left(\sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}_{i} 1\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right\|>A\right\}-\varepsilon \alpha n^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\} \geq 0\right) \\
& \quad:=I_{1}+I_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

By the Cauchy inequality and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall a>0, \quad P(B(n, p) \geq a n) \leq(3 p / a)^{a n} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the binomial random variable $B(n, p)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{2}^{1 / n} & \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right\|>A\right\} \geq(\varepsilon \alpha)^{2} n\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
& \leq\left(3(\alpha \varepsilon)^{-2} P(\|\boldsymbol{X}\|>A)\right)^{(\alpha \varepsilon)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

It remains to bound $I_{1}$. Using the representation

$$
\forall y>0, x \geq 0, z \geq x / y \quad x y=(1 / 2) \inf _{0<b \leq z} \frac{1}{b}\left(x^{2}+b^{2} y^{2}\right)
$$

we see that

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right)^{1 / 2} n^{1 / 2}=(1 / 2) \inf _{0<b \leq A} \frac{1}{b}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})+b^{2} n\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1} & =P\left(\bigcup_{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \frac{(1-\varepsilon) \alpha}{2} \inf _{0<b \leq A} \frac{1}{b}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})+b^{2} n\right)\right\}\right)  \tag{2.8}\\
& =P\left(\sup _{0 \leq b \leq A} \sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, b) \geq 0\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $Z_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, b):=b \xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta})-(1-\varepsilon) \alpha\left(\xi_{i}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})+b^{2}\right) / 2$. Let $0<\eta<1 / 4$ and consider a finite $\eta$-cover $\mathcal{G}$ of $\left\{(\boldsymbol{\theta}, b): \boldsymbol{\theta} \in R^{d},\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1,0 \leq b \leq A\right\}$ with respect to maximum norm in $R^{d+1}$. That is, for any $0 \leq b \leq A$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in R^{d}$ with $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1$, there exists $\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}, b_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{G}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \eta,\left|b-b_{0}\right| \leq \eta, \text { and }\left\|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right\|=1 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|\xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right| \leq A$ it follows that for some $C=C(\alpha, d)<\infty$ all $i$ and all $\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}, b_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\left|b-b_{0}\right| \leq \eta} \sup _{\left\|\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \eta}\left|Z_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, b)-Z_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}, b_{0}\right)\right| \leq C A^{2} \eta \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Chebyshev's inequality we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(\sup _{\left|b-b_{0}\right| \leq \eta} \sup _{\left\|\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \eta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, b) \geq 0\right)  \tag{2.11}\\
& \quad \leq \inf _{t \geq 0}\left\{e^{t C A^{2} \eta} E \exp \left(t Z\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}, b_{0}\right)\right)\right\}^{n} \\
& \quad \leq \inf _{0 \leq t \leq m}\left\{e^{t C A^{2} \eta} E \exp \left(t Z\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}, b_{0}\right)\right)\right\}^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $m>0$, where $Z(\boldsymbol{\theta}, b):=b \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X} 1\{\|\boldsymbol{X}\| \leq A\}-(1-\varepsilon) \alpha\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{2} 1\{\|\boldsymbol{X}\| \leq A\}+b^{2}\right) / 2$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{1}^{1 / n} \leq \sup _{0 \leq b \leq A} \sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1} \inf _{0 \leq t \leq m} e^{t C A^{2} \eta} E \exp (t Z(\boldsymbol{\theta}, b)) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, b, \varepsilon):=b \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}-(1-\varepsilon) \alpha\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{2}+b^{2}\right) / 2$. Then, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$
E \exp (t Z(\boldsymbol{\theta}, b)) \leq E \exp (t V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, b, \varepsilon))+P(\|\boldsymbol{X}\|>A)
$$

Therefore, considering $\eta \downarrow 0$ and then $A \uparrow \infty$, it follows from (2.5), (2.7) and (2.12) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1} \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{S}_{n}}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n} \boldsymbol{\theta}}} \geq \alpha n^{1 / 2}\right)^{1 / n} \\
& \quad \leq \sup _{b \geq 0} \sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1} \inf _{0 \leq t \leq m} E \exp (t V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, b, \varepsilon))
\end{aligned}
$$

Observing that (see the proof of (A.1) in Shao (1997))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{b \geq k} \sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1} \inf _{0 \leq t \leq m} E \exp (t V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, b, \varepsilon))=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1 / 2$ and $m \geq 1$, we have

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \sup _{b \geq 0} \sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1} \inf _{0 \leq t \leq m} E \exp (t V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, b, \varepsilon))=\sup _{b \geq 0} \sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1} \inf _{0 \leq t \leq m} E \exp (t V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, b, 0)) .
$$

Finally by Lemma 4 of Chernoff (1952) and (2.13) again,

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{b \geq 0} \sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1} \inf _{0 \leq t \leq m} E \exp (t V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, b, 0))=\sup _{b \geq 0} \sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1} \inf _{0 \leq t} E \exp (t V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, b, 0))=K(\alpha)
$$

This proves Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. By (2.2), it suffices to show that for all $y_{n} \rightarrow \infty, y_{n}=o(n)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}^{-1} \ln P\left(\sup _{\| \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\|=1}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \geq y_{n}^{1 / 2}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that for any $R^{d}$-valued random variable $\boldsymbol{X}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\|\boldsymbol{X}\|^{2} 1\{\|\boldsymbol{X}\| \leq x\} \text { slowly varying } \Leftrightarrow x^{2} P(\|\boldsymbol{X}\|>x) / E\|\boldsymbol{X}\|^{2} 1\{\|\boldsymbol{X}\| \leq x\} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see for example, Theorem 1.8 .1 of Bingham et al. (1987)). Since $h(x)=E\|\boldsymbol{X}\|^{2} 1\{\|\boldsymbol{X}\| \leq x\}$ is slowly varying, it follows from (2.15) and (1.4) that for every $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in R^{d}$ with $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{2} P\left(\left|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}\right|>x\right) & \leq x^{2} P(\|\boldsymbol{X}\|>x)=o(h(x)) \\
& =o\left(E\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{2} 1\{\|\boldsymbol{X}\| \leq x\}\right)=o\left(E\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{2} 1\left\{\left|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}\right| \leq x\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying (2.15) for the $R$-valued $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}$, we see that $E\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{2} 1\left\{\left|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}\right| \leq x\right\}$ is slowly varying. With $E \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}=0$ it follows from Theorem 3.1 of Shao (1997) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}^{-1} \ln P\left(\sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}}{\left.\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \geq y_{n}^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \geq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}^{-1} \ln P\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \geq y_{n}^{1 / 2}\right)=-\frac{1}{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

establishing the lower bound in (2.14). Since $y_{n}=o(n)$ there exists $z_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ such that $y_{n}=(1+o(1)) n z_{n}^{-2} h\left(z_{n}\right)$ (cf. Proposition 1.3.6 and Theorems 1.8.2, 1.8.5 of Bingham et al. (1987)). It thus suffices to prove the complementary upper bound in (2.14) for $y_{n}=n z_{n}^{-2} h\left(z_{n}\right)$ and any $z_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Fixing $z_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $0<\varepsilon<1 / 4$ set

$$
\xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}):=\xi_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}, z_{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}_{i} 1\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right\| \leq \varepsilon z_{n}\right\}
$$

Similarly to (2.5), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(\sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \geq y_{n}^{1 / 2}\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
& \quad \leq P\left(\sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta})-(1-\varepsilon) y_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right)^{1 / 2}\right\} \geq 0\right) \\
& \quad+\quad P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right\|>\varepsilon z_{n}\right\} \geq \varepsilon^{2} y_{n}\right) \\
& \quad:=J_{1}+J_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

With $y_{n}=n z_{n}^{-2} h\left(z_{n}\right)$ and $z_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, it follows by (2.6) that

$$
y_{n}^{-1} \ln J_{2} \leq \varepsilon^{2} \ln \left(3 z_{n}^{2} P\left(\|\boldsymbol{X}\|>\varepsilon z_{n}\right) /\left(\varepsilon^{2} h\left(z_{n}\right)\right)\right)
$$

With $h(x)$ slowly varying, it follows from (2.15) that $\left(\varepsilon z_{n}\right)^{2} P\left(\|\boldsymbol{X}\| \geq \varepsilon z_{n}\right) / h\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}^{-1} \ln J_{2}=-\infty \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\eta \in(0,1 /(4 d))$. Consider a finite $\eta$-cover $\mathcal{H}$ of $\left\{\boldsymbol{\theta}: \boldsymbol{\theta} \in R^{d},\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1\right\}$ with respect to the maximum norm in $R^{d}$. Thus, for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in R^{d}$ with $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1$, there exists $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \eta \text { and }\left\|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right\|=1
$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is linear in $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, it follows that

$$
\sup _{\left\|\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \eta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\max _{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}),
$$

where $\mathcal{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right):=\left\{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}+\eta \boldsymbol{\delta}: \quad \boldsymbol{\delta} \in\{-1,1\}^{d}\right\}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(\sup _{\left\|\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \eta}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta})-(1-\varepsilon) y_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right)^{1 / 2}\right\} \geq 0\right)  \tag{2.18}\\
& \leq P\left(\max _{\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \geq(1-\varepsilon) y_{n}^{1 / 2} \inf _{\left\|\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \eta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right)}\left\{P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \geq(1-\varepsilon)^{2} y_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(n E \xi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\right)^{1 / 2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+P\left(\inf _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\vartheta}\|_{\infty} \leq 2 \eta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq(1-\varepsilon) n E \xi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\right)\right\} \\
& :=\sum_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right)}\left\{J_{1,1}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})+J_{1,2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $E\|\boldsymbol{X}\| 1\{\|\boldsymbol{X}\|>x\}=x P(\|\boldsymbol{X}\|>x)+\int_{x}^{\infty} P(\|\boldsymbol{X}\|>y) d y=o(h(x) / x)$ (cf. Proposition 1.5.10 of Bingham et al. (1987), or (4.5) of Shao (1997)). Thus, with $E \boldsymbol{X}=0$ it follows that

$$
|E \xi(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})|=\left|E \boldsymbol{\vartheta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X} 1\left\{\|\boldsymbol{X}\|>\varepsilon z_{n}\right\}\right| \leq\|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}\| E\|\boldsymbol{X}\| 1\left\{\|\boldsymbol{X}\|>\varepsilon z_{n}\right\}=o\left(h\left(\varepsilon z_{n}\right) /\left(\varepsilon z_{n}\right)\right)
$$

By assumption (1.4) we have $E \xi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \geq c_{0} h\left(\varepsilon z_{n}\right) / 2$ and hence

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} E \xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \leq \varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)^{2} y_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(n E \xi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

for all $n$ large enough and all $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right), \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$. As $\|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}\| \leq 1+1 /(4 \sqrt{d}) \leq 5 / 4,|\xi(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})| \leq$ $(5 / 4) \varepsilon z_{n}$. It follows by (1.4) and Bernstein's inequality that for some $C<\infty$ and all $n$ large enough, $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right), \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{1,1}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) & \leq P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})-E \xi_{i}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\right) \geq(1-\varepsilon)^{3} y_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(n E \xi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\right)^{1 / 2}\right)  \tag{2.19}\\
& \leq \exp \left(-\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{6} y_{n} n E \xi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})}{2 n E \xi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})+2(1-\varepsilon)^{3}\left(y_{n} n E \xi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon z_{n}\right)}\right) \\
& \leq \exp \left(-\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{6} y_{n}}{2(1+C \varepsilon)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

As to $J_{1,2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})$, noting that

$$
\inf _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}-\boldsymbol{\vartheta}\|_{\infty} \leq 2 \eta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})-8 \sqrt{d} \eta \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right\|^{2} 1\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right\| \leq \varepsilon z_{n}\right\}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{1,2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) & \leq P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \leq(1-\varepsilon / 2) n E \xi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\right)  \tag{2.20}\\
& +P\left(8 \sqrt{d} \eta \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right\|^{2} 1\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right\| \leq \varepsilon z_{n}\right\} \geq \varepsilon n E \xi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) / 2\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \xi^{4}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \leq\|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}\|^{4} E\|\boldsymbol{X}\|^{4} 1\left\{\|\boldsymbol{X}\| \leq \varepsilon z_{n}\right\}=o\left(\left(\varepsilon z_{n}\right)^{2} h\left(z_{n}\right)\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. Proposition 1.5.10 of Bingham et al. (1987)). Using (1.4), (2.21) and Bernstein's inequality, we see that for all sufficiently large $n, \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}\right), \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \leq(1-\varepsilon / 2) n E \xi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\right)  \tag{2.22}\\
& \quad \leq \quad \exp \left(-\frac{\left(\varepsilon n E \xi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) / 2\right)^{2}}{2 n E \xi^{4}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})+\varepsilon n E \xi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\left(\varepsilon z_{n}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \exp \left(-\frac{\left(n E \xi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\right)^{2}}{o(1) n z_{n}^{2} h\left(z_{n}\right)}\right)+\exp \left(-\frac{n E \xi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})}{4 \varepsilon z_{n}^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \exp \left(-y_{n} c_{0}^{2} / o(1)\right)+\exp \left(-y_{n} c_{0} /(8 \varepsilon)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, for $\eta$ sufficiently small, say $\eta<\varepsilon c_{0} /(32 \sqrt{d})$, by (1.4),

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right\|^{2} 1\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right\| \leq \varepsilon z_{n}\right\} \geq \frac{\varepsilon n E \xi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})}{16 \sqrt{d} \eta}\right)  \tag{2.23}\\
& \quad \leq P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right\|^{2} 1\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right\| \leq \varepsilon z_{n}\right\}-E\left\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right\|^{2} 1\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right\| \leq \varepsilon z_{n}\right\}\right) \geq n h\left(\varepsilon z_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \leq \exp \left(-y_{n} /\left(2 \varepsilon^{2}+o(1)\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.18), (2.19), (2.20), (2.22) and (2.23) yields for all $\varepsilon$ small enough and $n$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}=O(1) \exp \left(-(1-\varepsilon)^{6} y_{n} /(2(1+C \varepsilon))\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ this proves the upper bound of (2.14).
Proof of Theorem 1.3. By using the Ottaviani maximum inequality and following the proof of Theorem 1.2, one can have a stronger version of (2.14): for arbitrary $0<\varepsilon<1 / 2$, there exist $0<\delta<1, y_{0}>1$ and $n_{0}$ such that for any $n \geq n_{0}$ and $y_{0}<y<\delta n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\sup _{n \leq k \leq(1+\delta) n} \sup _{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|=1} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \geq y^{1 / 2}\right) \leq \exp (-(1-\varepsilon) y / 2) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the subsequence method it follows from (2.25) and the Borel-Cantelli lemma that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{n}^{2}}{2 \log \log n} \leq 1 \text { a.s. }
$$

As to the lower bound, it follows from the representation (2.1) and the self-normalized law of the iterated logarithm for $d=1$ (see Theorem 1 of Griffin and Kuelbs (1989)). For a similar proof, see that of Corollary 5.2 of Dembo and Shao (1998).
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