https://doi.org/10.1214/22-ECP479

ISSN: 1083-589X

ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS in PROBABILITY

# Distribution dependent SDEs for Navier-Stokes type equations\*

Feng-Yu Wang<sup>†‡</sup>

#### Abstract

To characterize Navier-Stokes type equations where the Laplacian is extended to a singular second order differential operator, we propose a class of SDEs depending on the distribution in future. The well-posedness and regularity estimates are derived for these SDEs.

Keywords: Navier-Stokes type equation; distribution dependent SDE; well-posednes.

MSC2020 subject classifications: 60H10; 35A01.

Submitted to ECP on March 3, 2022, final version accepted on July 25, 2022.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider the following incompressible Navier-Stokes equation on  $E := \mathbb{R}^d$  or  $\mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ :

$$\partial_t u_t = \kappa \Delta u_t - (u_t \cdot \nabla) u_t - \nabla \wp_t, \ t \in [0, T]$$
(1.1)

with  $\nabla \cdot u_t := \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i u_t^i = 0$ , where T>0 is a fixed time,

$$u := (u^1, \dots, u^d) : [0, T] \times E \to \mathbb{R}^d, \ \wp : [0, T] \times E \to \mathbb{R},$$

and  $u_t \cdot \nabla := \sum_{i=1}^d u_t^i \partial_i$ . This equation describes viscous incompressible fluids, where u is the velocity field of a fluid flow,  $\wp$  is the pressure, and  $\kappa > 0$  is the viscosity constant.

Besides existing probabilistic characterizations on Navier-Stokes equations, see [1] and references therein, in this paper we propose a new type stochastic differential equation (SDE) depending on distributions in the future, such that the solution of (1.1) is explicitly given by the initial datum  $u_0$  and the pressure  $\wp$ . By proving the well-posedness of the SDE, we derive the well-posedness of (1.1) in  $\mathcal{C}_b^n(n \geq 2)$  with given pressure (which is however a part of solution in Navier-Stokes equations), see [3] for an analytic characterization on the pressure to ensure  $\nabla \cdot u_t = 0$ .

Indeed, we will prove a more general result for the following Navier-Stokes type equation on  $E := \mathbb{R}^d$  or  $E := \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$ :

$$\partial_t u_t = L_t u_t - (u_t \cdot \nabla) u_t + V_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$L_t := \operatorname{tr}\{a_t \nabla^2\} + b_t \cdot \nabla$$

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by NNSFC (11831014, 11921001).

<sup>†</sup>Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China. E-mail: wangfy@tju.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Bay Campus, SA1 8EN, United Kingdom.

and

$$V, b: [0,T] \times E \to \mathbb{R}^d, a: [0,T] \times E \to \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes d}$$

are measurable, and  $a_t(x)$  is positive definite for  $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times E$ .

To characterize (1.2), we consider the following SDE on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  where differentials are in  $s \in [t, T]$ :

$$dX_{t,s}^{x} = \sqrt{2a_{T-s}}(X_{t,s}^{x})dW_{s} + \left\{b_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^{x}) - \left[\mathbb{E}u_{0}(X_{s,T}^{y}) + \mathbb{E}\int_{s}^{T}V_{T-r}(X_{s,r}^{y})dr\right]_{y=X_{t,s}^{x}}\right\}ds,$$

$$t \in [0,T], s \in [t,T], X_{t,t}^{x} = x \in \mathbb{R}^{d},$$
(1.3)

where  $(W_s)_{s\in[0,T]}$  is a d-dimensional Brownian motion on a complete filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_s\}_{s\in[0,T]}, \mathbb{P})$ . When  $E = \mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ , by extending a function f from domain E to domain  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as

$$f(x+k) = f(x), \ x \in [0,1)^d, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$
 (1.4)

we also have the SDE (1.3) for the case  $E = \mathbb{T}^d$ .

Regarding s as the present time, the SDE (1.3) depends on the distribution of  $(X_{s,r})_{r\in[s,T]}$  coming from the future. So, this is a future distribution dependent equation, but is essentially different from McKean-Vlasov SDEs which depend on the distribution at present rather than future. We will use  $X:=(X_{t,s}^x)_{0\leq t\leq s\leq T,x\in E}$  to formulate the solution to (1.2).

Let  $D_T := \{(t, s) : 0 \le t \le s \le T\}$ . We define the solution X of (1.3) as follows.

**Definition 1.1.** A family  $X:=(X^x_{t,s})_{(t,s,x)\in D_T\times\mathbb{R}^d}$  of random variables on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is called a solution of (1.3), if  $X^x_{t,s}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_s$ -measurable for all  $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $0\leq t\leq s\leq T$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. continuous in (t,s,x),

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T} \left\{ \left\| a_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^{x}) \right\| + \left| b_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^{x}) - \left[ \mathbb{E} u_{0}(X_{s,T}^{y}) + \mathbb{E} \int_{s}^{T} V_{T-r}(X_{s,r}^{y}) \mathrm{d}r \right]_{y=X_{s}^{x}} \right| \right\} \mathrm{d}s < \infty$$

for  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.

$$\begin{split} &X^x_{t,s} = x + \int_t^s \sqrt{2a_{T-r}}(X^x_{t,r}) \mathrm{d}W_r \\ &+ \int_t^s \left\{ b_{T-r}(X^x_{t,r}) - \left[ \mathbb{E}u_0(X^y_{r,T}) + \mathbb{E}\int_r^T V_{T-r}(X^y_{r,\theta}) \mathrm{d}\theta \right]_{y = X^x_{t,r}} \right\} \mathrm{d}r, \quad (t,s,x) \in D_T \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{split}$$

We will allow the operator  $L_t$  to be singular, where the drift contains a locally integrable term introduced in [4] for singular SDEs. For any p,q>1 and  $0 \le t < s$ , we write  $f \in \tilde{L}^p_q(t,s)$  if  $f=(f_r(x))_{(r,x)\in [t,s]\times \mathbb{R}^d}$  is a measurable function on  $[t,s]\times \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$||f||_{\tilde{L}_{q}^{p}(t,s)} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \left( \int_{t}^{s} ||f_{r}1_{B(z,1)}||_{L^{p}}^{q} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty,$$

where B(z,1) is the unit ball at z, and  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$  is the  $L^p$ -norm for the Lebesgue measure. We denote  $f\in \tilde{H}^{2,p}_q(t,s)$  if  $|f|+|\nabla f|+\|\nabla^2 f\|\in \tilde{L}^p_q(t,s)$ . When (t,s)=(0,T) we simply denote

$$\tilde{L}^p_q = \tilde{L}^p_q(0,T), \ \ \tilde{H}^{2,p}_q = \tilde{H}^{2,p}_q(0,T).$$

We will take (p,q) from the following class:

$$\mathcal{K} := \left\{ (p,q) : p, q > 2, \ \frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1 \right\}.$$

We now make the following assumption on the operator  $L_t$ .

- (H) Let  $b_t = b_t^{(0)} + b_t^{(1)}$ , and when  $E = \mathbb{T}^d$  we extend  $a_t, b_t^{(0)}$  and  $b_t^{(1)}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as in (1.4).
- (1) a is positive definite with

$$||a||_{\infty} + ||a^{-1}||_{\infty} := \sup_{(t,x)\in[0,T]\times E} ||a_t(x)|| + \sup_{(t,x)\in[0,T]\times E} ||a_t(x)^{-1}|| < \infty,$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{|x-y| \le \varepsilon, t \in [0,T]} \|a_t(x) - a_t(y)\| = 0.$$

(2) There exist  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{(p_i, q_i)\}_{0 \le i \le l} \subset \mathcal{K}$  and  $0 \le f_i \in \tilde{L}^{p_i}_{q_i}, 0 \le i \le l$ , such that

$$|b^{(0)}| \le f_0, \quad \|\nabla a\| \le \sum_{i=1}^l f_i.$$

 $(3) \ \|b^{(1)}(0)\|_{\infty} := \sup\nolimits_{(t,x) \in [0,T]} |b^{(1)}(0)| < \infty \text{, and}$ 

$$\|\nabla b^{(1)}\|_{\infty} := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|b_t^{(1)}(x) - b_t^{(1)}(y)|}{|x - y|} < \infty.$$
 (1.5)

Under this assumption, we will prove the well-posedness of (1.3) and solve (1.2) in the class

$$\mathcal{U}(p_0, q_0) := \Big\{ u : [0, T] \times E \to \mathbb{R}^d; \ \|u\|_{\infty} + \|\nabla u\|_{\infty} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_{q_0}^{p_0}} < \infty \Big\}.$$

Recall that  $W^{1,\infty}(E;\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the space of all weakly differentiable functions  $f:E\to\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\|f\|_\infty+\|\nabla f\|_\infty<\infty$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume (H). Let  $u_0 \in W^{1,\infty}(E; \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\int_0^T \|V_t\|_{\infty}^2 dt < \infty$ . Then the following assertions hold.

- (1) The SDE (1.3) has a unique solution  $X := (X_{t,s}^x)_{(t,s,x) \in D_T \times \mathbb{R}^d}$ .
- (2) If u solves (1.2) and  $u \in \mathcal{U}(p_0, q_0)$ , then

$$u_t(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[u_0(X_{T-t,T}^x) + \int_{T-t}^T V_{T-s}(X_{T-t,s}^x) ds\right], \quad (t,x) \in [0,T] \times E.$$
 (1.6)

Moreover, there exists a constant c > 0 such that for any  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $j, j' \in \{0, 1\}$ ,

$$\|\nabla^{i} u_{t}\|_{\infty} \leq ct^{-\frac{i-j}{2}} \|\nabla^{j} u_{0}\|_{\infty} + c \int_{T-t}^{T} (s+t-T)^{-\frac{i-j'}{2}} \|\nabla^{j'} V_{T-s}\|_{\infty} ds, \ t \in (0,T].$$
 (1.7)

(3) If  $b^{(1)}=0$  and  $u_0,V_t\in\mathcal{C}_b^2$  with  $\int_0^T\|V_t\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^2}\mathrm{d}t<\infty$ , then u given by (1.6) solves (1.2), and u is in the class  $\mathcal{U}(p_0,q_0)$ .

In the next two sections, we prove assertions (1) and (2)-(3) of Theorem 1.1 respectively, where in Section 2 the well-posedness is proved for a more general equation than (1.3). Finally, in Section 4 we apply Theorem 1.1 to the equation (1.1).

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1(1)

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of all probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  equipped with the weak topology, let  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}$  be the distribution of a random variable  $\xi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let

$$\Gamma := C(D_T \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{P})$$

be the space of continuous maps from  $D_T \times \mathbb{R}^d$  to  $\mathcal{P}$ . For any  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\Gamma$  is a complete space under the metric

$$\rho_{\lambda}(\gamma^1, \gamma^2) := \sup_{(t, s, x) \in D_T \times \mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\lambda(T-t)} \|\gamma_{t, s, x}^1 - \gamma_{t, s, x}^2\|_{var}, \quad \gamma^1, \gamma^2 \in \Gamma,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{var}$  is the total variation norm defined by

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{var} := \sup_{|f| \le 1} |\mu(f) - \nu(f)|, \ \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}$$

for  $\mu(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f d\mu$ . Note that the convergence in  $\|\cdot\|_{var}$  is stronger than the weak convergence.

We consider the following more general equation than (1.3):

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}X^x_{t,s} &= \Big\{b^{(1)}_{T-s}(X^x_{t,s}) + Z_s(X^x_{t,s},\mathcal{L}_X)\Big\} \mathrm{d}s + \sqrt{2a_{T-s}}(X^x_{t,s}) \mathrm{d}W_s, \\ &\quad t \in [0,T], s \in [t,T], X^x_{t,t} = x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{split} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_X \in \Gamma$  is defined by  $\{\mathcal{L}_X\}_{t,s,x} := \mathcal{L}_{X^x_{t,s}}$ , and

$$Z:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\Gamma\to\mathbb{R}^d$$

is measurable.

It is easy to see that (2.1) covers (1.3) for

$$Z_{t}(x,\gamma) := b_{T-t}^{(0)}(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u_{0}(y)\gamma_{t,T,x}(\mathrm{d}y) - \int_{t}^{T} \mathrm{d}s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} V_{T-s}(y)\gamma_{t,s,x}(\mathrm{d}y),$$

$$(t,x,\gamma) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \Gamma.$$
(2.2)

The solution of (2.1) is defined as in Definition 1.1 using  $b_{T-s}^{(1)}(X_{t,s}^x) + Z_s(X_{t,s}^x, \mathcal{L}_X)$  replacing

$$b_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^x) - \left[ \mathbb{E}u_0(X_{s,T}^y) + \mathbb{E} \int_s^T V_{T-r}(X_{s,r}^y) dr \right]_{y=X_s^x}.$$

We make the following assumption.

(A)  $b^{(1)}$  and a satisfy (H), and there exists  $(p_0,q_0)\in\mathcal{K}$  and  $f_0\in \tilde{L}^{p_0}_{q_0}$  such that

$$|Z_t(x,\gamma)| \le f_0(t,x), (t,x,\gamma) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \Gamma.$$

Moreover, there exists  $0 \le g \in L^2([0,T])$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |Z_t(x, \gamma^1) - Z_t(x, \gamma^2)| \le g_t \sup_{(s, x) \in [t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \|\gamma_{t, s, x}^1 - \gamma_{t, s, x}^2\|_{var}, \ t \in [0, T], \gamma^1, \gamma^2 \in \Gamma.$$

When  $\|u_0\|_{\infty} + \int_0^T \|V_t\|_{\infty}^2 \mathrm{d}t < \infty$ , (H) implies (A) for Z given by (2.2). So, Theorem 1.1(1) follows from the following result, which also includes regularity estimates on the solution.

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume (A). Then the following assertions hold.

(1) (2.1) has a unique solution, and the solution has the flow property

$$X_{t\,r}^{x} = X_{s,r}^{X_{t,s}^{x}}, \quad 0 \le t \le s \le r \le T, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}.$$
 (2.3)

(2) For any  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$\nabla_v X_{t,s}^x := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{X_{t,s}^{x+\varepsilon v} - X_{t,s}^x}{\varepsilon}, \quad s \in [t, T]$$

exists in  $L^j(\Omega \to C([t,T];\mathbb{R}^d),\mathbb{P})$ , and there exists a constant c(j)>0 such that

$$\sup_{(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s\in[t,T]} |\nabla_v X^x_{t,s}|^j\right] \le c(j)|v|^j, \quad v\in\mathbb{R}^d. \tag{2.4}$$

(3) For any  $0 \le t < s \le T$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\nabla_v \left\{ \mathbb{E} f(X_{t,s}) \right\}(x) = \frac{1}{s-t} \mathbb{E} \left[ f(X_{t,s}^x) \int_t^s \left\langle \left( \sqrt{2a_{T-r}} \right)^{-1} (X_{t,r}^x) \nabla_v X_{t,r}^x, \, dW_r \right\rangle \right]. \tag{2.5}$$

*Proof.* (a) We first explain the idea of proof using fixed point theorem on  $\Gamma$ . For any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we consider the following classical SDE

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x} &= \left\{ b_{T-s}^{(1)}(X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x}) + Z_s(X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x},\gamma) \right\} \mathrm{d}s + \sqrt{2a_{T-s}}(X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x}) \mathrm{d}W_s, \\ &\quad t \in [0,T], s \in [t,T], X_{t,t}^{\gamma,x} = x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{split} \tag{2.6}$$

By [2, Theorem 2.1] for [t,T] replacing [0,T], see also [4] for  $b^{(1)}=0$ , this SDE is well-posed, such that for any  $j\geq 1$  and  $v\in\mathbb{R}^d$ , the directional derivative

$$\nabla_{v} X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x} := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x+\varepsilon v} - X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x}}{\varepsilon}, \quad s \in [t,T]$$

exists in  $L^j(\Omega \to C([t,T];\mathbb{R}^d),\mathbb{P})$ , and there exists a constant c(j)>0 such that

$$\sup_{(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s\in[t,T]} |\nabla_v X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x}|^j\right] \le c(j)|v|^j, \quad v\in\mathbb{R}^d, \tag{2.7}$$

and for any  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\nabla_v \left\{ \mathbb{E} f(X_{t,s}^{\gamma,\cdot}) \right\}(x) = \frac{1}{s-t} \mathbb{E} \left[ f(X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x}) \int_t^s \left\langle \left( \sqrt{2a_{T-r}} \right)^{-1} (X_{t,r}^{\gamma,x}) \nabla_v X_{t,r}^{\gamma,x}, \, \mathrm{d}W_r \right\rangle \right]. \tag{2.8}$$

By the pathwise uniqueness of (2.6), the solution satisfies the flow property

$$X_{t,r}^{\gamma,x} = X_{s,r}^{\gamma,X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x}}, \quad 0 \le t \le s \le r \le T, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
 (2.9)

Moreover,

$$\Phi(\gamma)_{t,s,x} := \mathcal{L}_{X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x}}, \ (t,s,x) \in D_T \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

defines a map  $\Phi:\Gamma\to\Gamma$ . If  $\Phi$  has a unique fixed point  $\bar{\gamma}\in\Gamma$ , then (2.6) with  $\gamma=\bar{\gamma}$  reduces to (2.1), the well-posedness of (2.6) implies that of (2.1), and the unique solution is given by

$$X_{t,s}^x = X_{t,s}^{\bar{\gamma},x}.$$

Then (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) follow from (2.9), (2.7) and (2.8) for  $\gamma = \bar{\gamma}$  respectively. Therefore, it remains to prove that  $\Phi$  has a unique fixed point.

(b) By the fixed point theorem, we only need to find constants  $\lambda>0$  and  $\delta\in(0,1)$  such that

$$\rho_{\lambda}(\Phi(\gamma^1), \Phi(\gamma^2)) \le \delta \rho_{\lambda}(\gamma^1, \gamma^2), \quad \gamma^1, \gamma^2 \in \Gamma.$$
(2.10)

Below, we prove this estimate using Girsanov's theorem.

For i = 1, 2, consider the SDE

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}X_{t,s}^{i,x} &= \Big\{b_{T-s}^{(1)}(X_{t,s}^{i,x}) + Z_s(X_{t,s}^{i,x},\gamma^i)\Big\} \mathrm{d}s + \sqrt{2a_{T-s}}(X_{t,s}^{i,x}) \mathrm{d}W_s, \\ &\quad t \in [0,T], s \in [t,T], X_{t,t}^{i,x} = x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{split}$$

By the definition of  $\Phi$ , we have

$$\Phi(\gamma^i)_{t,s,x} = \mathcal{L}_{X_{t,s}^{i,x}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ (t, s, x) \in D_T \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$
 (2.11)

Let

$$\xi_s := \left(\sqrt{2a_{T-s}}(X_{t,s}^{1,x})\right)^{-1} \left\{ Z_s(X_{t,s}^{1,x}, \gamma^1) - Z_s(X_{t,s}^{1,x}, \gamma^2) \right\}, \quad s \in [t, T].$$

By (A), there exists a constant K > 0 such that

$$|\xi_s| \le Kg_s \sup_{(r,x) \in [s,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \|\gamma_{s,r,x}^1 - \gamma_{s,r,x}^2\|_{var}.$$
 (2.12)

By Girsanov theorem,

$$\tilde{W}_s := W_s - \int_t^s \xi_r \mathrm{d}r, \ s \in [t, T]$$

is a Brownian motion under the weighted probability  $d\mathbb{Q}_t := R_t d\mathbb{P}$ , where

$$R_t := \mathrm{e}^{\int_t^T \langle \xi_s, \mathrm{d}W_s \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T |\xi_s|^2 \mathrm{d}s}.$$

With this new Brownian motion, the SDE for  $X^1$  becomes

$$\mathrm{d}X_{t,s}^{1,x} = \Big\{b_{T-s}^{(1)}(X_{t,s}^{1,x}) + Z_s(X_{t,s}^{1,x},\gamma^2)\Big\} \mathrm{d}s + \sqrt{2a_{T-s}}(X_{t,s}^{1,x}) \mathrm{d}\tilde{W}_s, \quad s \in [t,T].$$

By the (weak) uniqueness for the SDE with i = 2, we derive

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_{t,s}^{1,x}|\mathbb{Q}_t} = \mathcal{L}_{X_{t,s}^{2,x}} = \Phi(\gamma^2)_{t,s,x},$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{X_{t,s}^{1,x}|\mathbb{Q}_t}$  is the distribution of  $X_{t,s}^{1,x}$  under  $\mathbb{Q}_t$ . Combining this with (2.11), we get

$$\|\Phi(\gamma^1)_{t,s,x} - \Phi(\gamma^2)_{t,s,x}\|_{var} = \sup_{|f| \le 1} \left| \mathbb{E}[f(X_{t,s}^{1,x}) - f(X_{t,s}^{1,x})R_t] \right| \le \mathbb{E}|R_t - 1|. \tag{2.13}$$

By Pinsker's inequality and the definition of  $R_t$ , we obtain

$$(\mathbb{E}|R_t - 1|)^2 \le 2\mathbb{E}[R_t \log R_t] = 2\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_t}[\log R_t] = 2\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_t} \int_t^T |\xi_s|^2 \mathrm{d}s, \tag{2.14}$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_t}$  is the expectation under the probability  $\mathbb{Q}_t$ . Combining (2.13) and (2.14) with (2.12), and using the definition of  $\rho_{\lambda}$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{split} &\|\Phi(\gamma^1)_{t,s,x} - \Phi(\gamma^2)_{t,s,x}\|_{var} \leq \left(2K^2 \int_t^T g_s^2 \sup_{(r,y) \in [s,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \|\gamma_{s,r,y}^1 - \gamma_{s,r,y}^2\|_{var}^2 \mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \rho_{\lambda}(\gamma^1,\gamma^2) \bigg(2K^2 \int_t^T g_s^2 \mathrm{e}^{2\lambda(T-s)} \mathrm{d}s\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \ (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\rho_{\lambda}(\Phi(\gamma^1), \Phi(\gamma^2)) \le \varepsilon_{\lambda}\rho_{\lambda}(\gamma^1, \gamma^2),$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{\lambda} := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left( 2K^2 \int_t^T g_s^2 \mathrm{e}^{-2\lambda(s-t)} \mathrm{d}s \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \downarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \uparrow \infty.$$

By taking large enough  $\lambda > 0$ , we prove (2.10) for some  $\delta < 1$ .

For later use we present the following consequence of Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 2.2.** Assume (A) and let

$$P_{t,s}f(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(X_{t,s}^x)], \quad (t,s,x) \in D_T \times \mathbb{R}^d, f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then there exists a constant c > 0 such that for any function f,

$$\|\nabla P_{t,s}f\|_{\infty} \le c \min\left\{ (s-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{\infty}, \ \|\nabla f\|_{\infty} \right\},$$
$$\|\nabla^2 P_{t,s}f\|_{\infty} \le c (s-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla f\|_{\infty}, \ \ 0 \le t < t \le T.$$

Proof. By (2.5) we have

$$\|\nabla P_{t,s}f\|_{\infty} \le c(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{\infty}$$

for some constant c > 0. Next, by chain rule and (2.4),

$$|\nabla P_{t,s}f(x)| = \left| \mathbb{E}[\langle \nabla f(X_{t,s}^x), \nabla X_{t,s}^x \rangle] \right| \le c \|\nabla f\|_{\infty}, \quad (t,s,x) \in D_T \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

holds for some constant c > 0. Moreover,

$$\nabla P_{t,s} f(x) = \mathbb{E}[\langle \nabla f(X_{t,s}^x), \nabla X_{t,s}^x \rangle] = \mathbb{E}[g(X_{t,s}^x)],$$

where  $g(X_{t,s}^x) := \langle \nabla f(X_{t,s}^x), \mathbb{E}(\nabla X_{t,s}^x | X_{t,s}^x) \rangle$ . Combining this with (2.5) and (2.4), we find a constant c > 0 such that

$$\begin{split} &\|\nabla^2 P_{t,s} f(x)\| \leq \|\nabla \mathbb{E}[g(X_{t,s}^x)]\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s-t} \mathbb{E}\Big[ \Big| g(X_{t,s}^x) \Big| \cdot \bigg| \int_s^t \Big\langle \left(\sqrt{2a_{T-r}}\right)^{-1} (X_{t,r}^x) \nabla_v X_{t,r}^x, \; \mathrm{d}W_r \Big\rangle \bigg| \Big] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t-s} \Big( \mathbb{E}|g(X_{t,s}^x)|^2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigg( \mathbb{E} \int_t^s \|a^{-1}\|_\infty \|\nabla X_{t,r}^x\|^2 \mathrm{d}r \bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c \|\nabla f\|_\infty. \end{split}$$

Then the proof is finished.

# **3 Proofs of Theorem 1.1(2)-(3)**

We will need the following lemma implied by [5, Theorem 2.1, Theorem 3.1, Lemma 3.3], see also [4] and references within for the case  $b^{(1)} = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume (A)(1), (A)(3) and  $||b^{(0)}||_{\tilde{L}_{q_0}^{p_0}} < \infty$  for some  $(p_0, q_0) \in \mathcal{K}$ . Let  $\sigma_t = \sqrt{2a_t}$ . Then the following assertions hold.

(1) For any p, q > 1,  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 \leq T$  and  $f \in \tilde{L}_a^p(t_0, t_1)$ , the PDE

$$(\partial_t + L_t)u_t = \lambda u_t + f_t, \quad t \in [t_0, t_1], u_{t_1} = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

has a unique solution in  $\tilde{H}_q^{2,p}(t_0,t_1)$ . If  $(2p,2q) \in \mathcal{K}$ , then there exist a constant c>0 such that for any  $0 \le t_0 < t_1 \le T$  and  $f \in \tilde{L}_q^p(t_0,t_1)$ , the solution satisfies

$$||u||_{\infty} + ||\nabla u||_{\infty} + ||(\partial_t + \nabla_{b^{(1)}})u||_{\tilde{L}_q^p(t_0, t_1)} + ||\nabla^2 u||_{\tilde{L}_q^p(t_0, t_1)} \le c||f||_{\tilde{L}_q^p(t_0, t_1)}.$$

(2) Let  $(X_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$  be a continuous adapted process on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying

$$X_{t} = X_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} b_{s}(X_{s}) ds + \int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{s}(X_{s}) dW_{s}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$
(3.2)

For any p, q > 1 with  $(2p, 2q) \in \mathcal{K}$ , there exists a constant c > 0 such that for any  $X_t$  satisfying (3.2),

$$\mathbb{E}\bigg(\int_t^s |f_r(X_r)| \mathrm{d}r \bigg| \mathcal{F}_t \bigg) \le c \|f\|_{\tilde{L}^p_q(t,s)}, \quad (t,s) \in D_T, f \in \tilde{L}^p_q(t,s).$$

(3) Let p,q>1 with  $\frac{d}{p}+\frac{2}{q}<1$ . For any  $u\in \tilde{H}^{2,p}_q$  with  $\|(\partial_t+b^{(1)})u\|_{\tilde{L}^p_q}<\infty$ ,  $\{u_t(X_t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$  is a semimartingale satisfying

$$du_t(X_t) = L_t u_t(X_t) dt + \langle \nabla u_t(X_t), \sigma_t(X_t) dW_t \rangle, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

In the following we consider  $E=\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbb{T}^d$  respectively.

### **3.1** $E = \mathbb{R}^d$

Proof of Theorem 1.1(2). Let  $u \in \mathcal{U}(p_0, q_0)$  solve (1.2). Then

$$u \in \tilde{H}_{q_0}^{2,p_0}, \ \|(\partial_t + b^{(1)} \cdot \nabla)u\|_{\tilde{L}_{q_0}^{p_0}} < \infty$$
 (3.3)

as required by Lemma 3.1(3). It remains to prove (1.6), which together with Corollary 2.2 implies (1.7).

Let

$$\mathcal{L}_{t} := \operatorname{tr}\{a_{T-t}\nabla^{2}\} + \tilde{b}_{t} \cdot \nabla,$$

$$\tilde{b}_{t}(x) := b_{T-t}(x) - \mathbb{E}u_{0}(X_{t,T}^{x}) - \mathbb{E}\int_{t}^{T} V_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^{x}) ds, \quad (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}.$$
(3.4)

Since  $\|u_0\|_{\infty} + \int_0^T \|V_t\|_{\infty} dt < \infty$ ,  $\|b^{(0)}\|_{\tilde{L}_{q_0}^{p_0}} < \infty$  implies  $\tilde{b}_t(x) := b_{T-t}^{(1)}(x) + \tilde{b}_t^{(0)}(x)$  with  $\|\tilde{b}^{(0)}\|_{\tilde{L}_{q_0}^{p_0}} < \infty$ . Then (A) holds for  $\tilde{b}$  replacing b, so that by (3.3) and Lemma 3.1(3), the following Itô's formula holds for  $X_{t,s}^x$  solving (1.3):

$$du_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^x) = (\partial_s + \mathcal{L}_s)u_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^x)ds + \{\nabla u_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^x)\}^* \sqrt{2a_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^x)}dW_s, \quad s \in [t, T],$$
(3.5)

where  $(\nabla u)_{ij}^*:=(\partial_j u^i)_{1\leq i,j\leq d}$ . By (1.2) and (3.4), we obtain

$$(\partial_s + \mathcal{L}_s) u_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^x) + V_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^x)$$

$$= \left\{ \left[ u_{T-s}(y) - \mathbb{E}u_0(X_{s,T}^y) - \mathbb{E} \int_s^T V_{T-r}(X_{s,r}^y) dr \right]_{y=X_{t,s}^x} \cdot \nabla \right\} u_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^x).$$

Combining this with the follow property (2.3) and (3.5), we derive

$$\begin{split} &\mathbb{E}u_{0}(X_{t,T}^{x}) - u_{T-t}(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[u_{T-T}(X_{t,T}^{x}) - u_{T-t}(X_{t,t}^{x})\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\int_{t}^{T}\left\{\left(u_{T-s}(y) - \mathbb{E}u_{0}(X_{s,T}^{y}) - \mathbb{E}\int_{s}^{T}V_{T-r}(X_{s,r}^{y})\mathrm{d}r\right)_{y=X_{t,s}^{x}} \cdot \nabla\right\}u_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^{x})\mathrm{d}s \\ &- \mathbb{E}\int_{t}^{T}V_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^{x})\mathrm{d}s, \quad (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}. \end{split}$$

Letting

$$h_t := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left| u_{T-t}(x) - \mathbb{E}u_0(X_{t,T}^x) - \mathbb{E} \int_t^T V_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^x) \mathrm{d}s \right|, \ \ t \in [0,T],$$

we arrive at

$$h_t \le \int_t^T h_s \|\nabla u\|_{\infty} \mathrm{d}s, \ t \in [0, T].$$

By Grownwall's inequality we prove  $h_t = 0$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ , hence (1.6) holds.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(3). (a) Let  $P_{t,s}f = \mathbb{E}[f(X_{t,s}^x)]$  for  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $X_{t,s}^x$  solves (1.3). For u given by (1.6) we have

$$u_t = P_{T-t,T}u_0 + \int_{T-t}^{T} P_{T-t,s}V_{T-s}ds, \quad t \in [0,T].$$
(3.6)

By  $||u_0||_{\infty} + \int_0^T ||V_t||_{\infty} dt < \infty$  and (1.7), we find a constant c > 0 such that

$$||u||_{\infty} + ||\nabla u||_{\infty} \le c, \quad ||\nabla^2 u_t||_{\infty} \le ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad t \in (0, T].$$
 (3.7)

Moreover, the SDE (1.3) becomes

$$dX_{t,s}^{x} = \sqrt{2a_{T-s}}(X_{t,s}^{x})dW_{s} + \{b_{T-s} - u_{T-s}\}(X_{t,s}^{x})ds, t \in [0,T], s \in [t,T], X_{t,t}^{x} = x \in \mathbb{R}^{d},$$
(3.8)

and the generator in (3.4) reduces to

$$\mathcal{L}_s := \operatorname{tr} \{ a_{T-s} \nabla^2 \} + \{ b_{T-s} - u_{T-s} \} \cdot \nabla, \ s \in [0, T].$$

(b) We prove the Kolmogorov backward equation

$$\partial_t P_{t,s} f = -\mathcal{L}_t P_{t,s} f, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_b^2, t \in [0, s], s \in (0, T].$$
 (3.9)

For any  $f \in \mathcal{C}^2_b$ , by Itô's formula we have

$$P_{t,s}f(x) = f(x) + \int_{t}^{s} P_{t,r}(\mathcal{L}_{r}f)(x)dr, \quad (t,s) \in D_{T},$$
 (3.10)

where  $\int_t^s P_{t,r}(\mathcal{L}_r f)(x) \mathrm{d}r = \mathbb{E} \int_t^s \mathcal{L}_r f(X_{t,r}^x) \mathrm{d}r$  exists, since Krylov's estimate in Lemma 3.1(2) holds under (A) and  $\|u\|_{\infty} < \infty$ .

By (3.10), we obtain the Kolmogorov forward equation

$$\partial_s P_{t,s} f = P_{t,s}(\mathcal{L}_s f), \quad s \in [t, T]. \tag{3.11}$$

On the other hand,  $b^{(1)} = 0$  and (A) imply

$$\|\mathcal{L}f\|_{\tilde{L}_{aa}^{p_0}} \le c_0 \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^2} \tag{3.12}$$

for some constant  $c_0 > 0$ . By Lemma 3.1(1), for any  $s \in (0,T]$ , the PDE

$$(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_t)\tilde{u}_t = -\mathcal{L}_t f, \quad t \in [0, s], \tilde{u}_s = 0$$
(3.13)

has a unique solution  $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{U}(p_0,q_0)$ , such that

$$\|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{ao}(0,s)} \le c_1 \|\mathcal{L}f\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{ao}(0,s)} \tag{3.14}$$

holds for some constant  $c_1 > 0$  independent of s. By Itô's formula in Lemma 3.1(3),

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{u}_t(X_{0,t}^x) = -\mathcal{L}_t f(X_{0,t}^x) + \left\langle \nabla f(X_{0,t}^x), \sqrt{2a_{T-t}}(X_{0,t}^x) \mathrm{d}W_t \right\rangle, \ \ t \in [0,s].$$

This and (3.11) imply

$$0 = \tilde{u}_s(x) = \tilde{u}_t(x) - \int_t^s (P_{t,r} \mathcal{L}_r f)(x) dr$$
$$= \tilde{u}_t(x) - \int_t^s \frac{d}{dr} (P_{t,r} f) dr = \tilde{u}_t(x) - P_{t,s} f(x) + f(x), \quad t \in [0, s].$$

Thus,

$$\tilde{u}_t = P_{t,s}f - f, \ t \in [0, s].$$
 (3.15)

Combining this with (3.13) we derive (3.9).

(c) By (3.7) and (3.9), we see that u solves (1.6) with  $u \in \mathcal{U}(p_0, q_0)$  provided

$$\|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_{q_0}^{p_0}} < \infty. \tag{3.16}$$

By (3.12), (3.14) and (3.15), we find a constant  $c_2 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,s]} \|\nabla^2 P_{\cdot,s} f\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{q_0}(0,s)} \le c_2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^2_b}, \quad s \in (0,T], f \in \mathcal{C}^2_b.$$

Combining this with (3.6),  $b^{(1)}=0$  and  $\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^2_b}+\int_0^T\|V_t\|_{\mathcal{C}^2_b}\mathrm{d}t<\infty$ , we prove (3.16).

### **3.2** $E = \mathbb{T}^d$

In this case, all functions on E are extended to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as in (1.4), so that the proof for  $E = \mathbb{R}^d$  works also for the present setting if we could verify the following periodic property for the solution of (1.3):

$$X_{t,s}^{x+k} = X_{t,s}^x + k, \ (t,s) \in D_T, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$
 (3.17)

Let  $\tilde{X}_{s,t}^x := X_{t,s}^x + k$ . Since the coefficients of (1.3) satisfies (1.4),  $\tilde{X}_{t,s}^x$  solves (1.3) with  $\tilde{X}_{t,t}^x = x + k$ . By the uniqueness of (1.3) ensured by Theorem 1.1(1), we derive (3.17).

# 4 Application to (1.1)

For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{C}^n_b$  be the class of real functions f on E having derivatives up to order n such that

$$||f||_{\mathcal{C}_b^n} := \sum_{i=0}^n ||\nabla^i f||_{\infty} < \infty,$$

where  $\nabla^0 f:=f.$  Moreover, for  $\alpha\in(0,1)$ , we denote  $f\in\mathcal{C}^{n+lpha}_b$  if  $f\in\mathcal{C}^n_b$  such that

$$||f||_{\mathcal{C}_b^{n+\alpha}} := ||f||_{\mathcal{C}_b^n} + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{||\nabla^n f(x) - \nabla^n f(y)||}{|x - y|^{\alpha}} < \infty.$$

Consider the following future distribution dependent SDE on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$dX_{t,s}^{x} = \left[ \mathbb{E} \int_{s}^{T} \nabla \wp_{T-r}(X_{s,r}^{y}) dr - \mathbb{E}u_{0}(X_{s,T}^{y}) \right]_{y=X_{t,s}^{x}} ds + \sqrt{2\kappa} dW_{s}, \quad X_{t,t}^{x} = x, s \in [t,T].$$

$$(4.1)$$

See Definition 1.1 below for the definition of solution. When  $E = \mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ , we extend  $u_0$  and  $\wp_t$  to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  periodically, i.e. for a function f on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ , it is extended to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as in (1.4). With this extension, we also have the SDE (4.1) for the case  $E = \mathbb{T}^d$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** If there exists  $n \geq 2$  such that  $u_0 \in \mathcal{C}_b^n$  and  $\wp_t \in \mathcal{C}_b^n$  for a.e.  $t \in [0,T]$  with

$$\int_0^T \left( \|\nabla \wp_t\|_\infty^2 + \|\wp_t\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^n} \right) \mathrm{d}t < \infty.$$

Then (4.1) is well-posed and (1.1) has a unique solution satisfying

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u_t\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^n}<\infty,\tag{4.2}$$

and the solution is given by

$$u_t(x) = \mathbb{E}u_0(X_{T-t,T}^x) - \mathbb{E}\int_{T-t}^T \nabla \wp_{T-s}(X_{T-t,s}^x) \mathrm{d}s. \tag{4.3}$$

We only prove for  $E = \mathbb{R}^d$  as the case for  $E = \mathbb{T}^d$  follows by extending functions from  $\mathbb{T}^d$  to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as in (1.4).

Let  $I_d$  be the  $d \times d$  identity matrix. By Theorem 1.1 with  $b=0, a=\kappa I_d$  and  $V=-\nabla \wp$ , for any  $(p_0,q_0)\in \mathcal{K}$ , (1.1) has a unique solution in the class  $\mathcal{U}(p_0,q_0)$ , and by (4.3),

$$\begin{split} u_t(x) &:= \mathbb{E} u_0(X_{T-t,T}^x) - \mathbb{E} \int_{T-t}^T \nabla \wp_{T-s}(X_{T-t,s}^x) \mathrm{d}s \\ &= P_{T-t,T} u_0(x) - \int_{T-t}^T P_{T-t,s} \nabla \wp_{T-s}(x) \mathrm{d}s, \ \ (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{split} \tag{4.4}$$

By (3.8) for the present a and b,  $X_{t,s}^x$  solves the SDE

$$dX_{t,s}^{x} = \sqrt{2\kappa} dW_{s} - u_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^{x}) ds, \quad X_{t,t}^{x} = x, t \in [0, T], s \in [t, T],$$
(4.5)

and the generator is

$$\mathcal{L}_s := \kappa \Delta - u_{T-s} \cdot \nabla, \ s \in [0, T].$$

It remains to prove (4.2). To this end, we present the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $P_{t,s}f:=\mathbb{E}[f(X_{t,s}^x)]$  for the SDE (4.5). Let  $m\geq 1$  such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|u_t\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^m} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^{m+1}} < \infty, \tag{4.6}$$

then  $\sup_{(t,s)\in D_T} \|P_{t,s}f\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^{m+1}} < \infty$ .

*Proof.* By (4.5) and  $\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u_t\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^m}<\infty$ , we have

$$\sup_{(t,s,x)\in D_T\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}\big[\|\nabla^i X^x_{t,s}\|\big] < \infty, \ 1 \le i \le m.$$

By chain rule, this implies that for some constant  $c_0 > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{(t,s)\in D_T} \|P_{t,s}g\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^m} \le c_0 \|g\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^m}, \quad g \in \mathcal{C}_b^m. \tag{4.7}$$

Let  $P_t^0 = e^{\kappa \Delta t}$ . By  $\partial_r P_{r-t}^0 = P_{r-t}^0 \kappa \Delta$  and (3.9), we have

$$\partial_r P_{r-t}^0 P_{r,s} f = P_{r-t}^0 \langle \nabla P_{r,s} f, u_{T-r} \rangle, \quad r \in [t, s].$$

So,

$$P_{t,s}f = P_{s-t}^0 f - \int_t^s P_{r-t}^0 \langle \nabla P_{r,s} f, u_{T-r} \rangle \mathrm{d}r. \tag{4.8}$$

It is well known that for any  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  there exists a constant  $c_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$  such that

$$||P_t^0 g||_{\mathcal{C}_b^{\alpha+\beta}} \le c_{\alpha,\beta} t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} ||g||_{\mathcal{C}_b^{\beta}}, \quad t > 0, g \in \mathcal{C}_b^{\beta}.$$

$$\tag{4.9}$$

This together with (4.8) implies that for some constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$ ,

$$||P_{t,s}f||_{\mathcal{C}_{b}^{m+\frac{1}{2}}} \leq c_{1}||f||_{\mathcal{C}_{b}^{m+\frac{1}{2}}} + c_{1} \int_{t}^{s} (t+r-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} ||\langle \nabla P_{r,s}f, u_{T-r}\rangle||_{\mathcal{C}_{b}^{m-1}} dr.$$

Combining this with (4.7) and  $||f||_{\mathcal{C}_b^m} + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} ||u_t||_{\mathcal{C}_b^m} < \infty$ , we obtain

$$\sup_{(t,s)\in D_T} \|P_{t,s}f\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^{m+\frac{1}{2}}} < \infty.$$

By this together with (4.8) and (4.6), we find a constant  $c_2 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{(t,s)\in D_T} \|P_{t,s}f\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^{m+1}} \le c_2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^{m+1}}$$

$$+ c_2 \sup_{(t,s)\in D_T} \int_t^s (t+r-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \|\langle \nabla P_{r,s}f, u_{T-r}\rangle\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^{m-\frac{1}{2}}} \mathrm{d}r < \infty.$$

We now prove (4.2) as follows. By  $u \in \mathcal{U}(p_0, q_0)$ , we have

$$||u||_{\infty} + ||\nabla u||_{\infty} < \infty.$$

Combining this with (4.4) and Lemma 4.2, we prove (4.2) by inducing in m up to m = n.

# References

- [1] Qian, Z., Süli, E. and Zhang, Y.: Random vortex dynamics via functional stochastic differential equations, arXiv:2201.00448v1
- [2] Wang, F.-Y.: Regularity estimates and intrinsic-Lions derivative formula for singular McKean-Vlasov SDEs, arXiv:arXiv:2109.02030
- [3] Wang, F.-Y.: Well-posedness, Smoothness and Blow-up for Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations, arXiv:2201.09480
- [4] Xia, P., Xie, L., Zhang, X. and Zhao, G.:  $L^q(L^p)$ -theory of stochastic differential equations. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 130, (2020), 5188–5211. MR4108486
- [5] Yuan, C. and Zhang, S.-Q.: A study on Zvonkin's transformation for stochastic differential equations with singular drift and related applications. J. Diff. Equat. 297, (2021), 277–319. MR4280266

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank the referee for helpful comments, and Professor Zhongmin Qian for sending him their interesting papers.