

Electron. J. Probab. **23** (2018), no. 14, 1–39. ISSN: 1083-6489 https://doi.org/10.1214/18-EJP139

## Temporal asymptotics for fractional parabolic Anderson model

Xia Chen<sup>\*</sup> Yaozhong Hu<sup>†</sup> Jian Song<sup>‡</sup> Xiaoming Song<sup>§</sup>

#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider fractional parabolic equation of the form  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u + u\dot{W}(t,x)$ , where  $-(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  with  $\alpha \in (0,2]$  is a fractional Laplacian and  $\dot{W}$  is a Gaussian noise colored both in space and time. The precise moment Lyapunov exponents for the Stratonovich solution and the Skorohod solution are obtained by using a variational inequality and a Feynman-Kac type large deviation result for space-time Hamiltonians driven by  $\alpha$ -stable process. As a byproduct, we obtain the critical values for  $\theta$  and  $\eta$  such that  $\mathbb{E} \exp \left(\theta \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |r-s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds\right)^{\eta}\right)$  is finite, where X is d-dimensional symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process and  $\gamma(x)$  is  $|x|^{-\beta}$  or  $\prod_{j=1}^d |x_j|^{-\beta_j}$ .

**Keywords:** Lyapunov exponent; Gaussian noise;  $\alpha$ -stable process; fractional parabolic Anderson model; Feynman-Kac representation.

AMS MSC 2010: 60F10; 60H15; 60G15; 60G52.

Submitted to EJP on January 18, 2017, final version accepted on January 9, 2018.

## **1** Introduction

Let  $\{\dot{W}(t,x), t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$  be a general mean zero Gaussian noise on some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  whose covariance function is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[\dot{W}(r,x)\dot{W}(s,y)] = |r-s|^{-\beta_0}\gamma(x-y),$$

where  $\beta_0 \in [0,1)$  and

$$\gamma(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{-\beta} & \text{where } \beta \in [0, d) \text{ or} \\ \prod_{j=1}^{d} |x_j|^{-\beta_j} & \text{where } \beta_j \in [0, 1), j = 1, \dots, d. \end{cases}$$

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, Knoxville TN 37996, USA. E-mail: xchen@math.utk.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G1. E-mail: yaozhong@ualberta.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics and Department of Statistics & Actuarial Science, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong. E-mail: txjsong@hku.hk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>Department of Mathematics, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA. E-mail: song@math.drexel.edu

If we abuse the notation  $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i$ , the spatial covariance function has the following scaling property

$$\gamma(cx) = |c|^{-\beta}\gamma(x) \tag{1.1}$$

for both cases. In this paper, we shall study the following fractional parabolic Anderson model,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u + u \dot{W}(t, x), \ t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

where  $-(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  with  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$  is the fractional Laplacian and the initial condition satisfies  $0 < \delta \leq |u_0(x)| \leq M < \infty$ . Without loss of of generality, we assume  $u_0(x) \equiv 1$  when we study the long-term asymptotics of u(t, x). The product  $u\dot{W}(t, x)$  appearing in the above equation will be understood in the sense of Skorohod and in the sense of Stratonovich.

Let us recall some results from [30] for the SPDE (1.2).

(i) Theorem 5.3 in [30] implies that, under the following condition:

$$\beta < \alpha \,, \tag{1.3}$$

Eq. (1.2) in the Skorohod sense has a unique mild solution  $\tilde{u}(t,x)$ , and its *n*-th moment can be represented as (see [30, Theorem 5.6])

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{u}(t,x)^{n}] = \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{n} u_{0}(X_{t}^{j}+x) \exp\left(\sum_{1 \le j < k \le n} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} |r-s|^{-\beta_{0}} \gamma(X_{r}^{j}-X_{s}^{k}) dr ds \right) \right]$$
(1.4)

where  $X^1, \ldots, X^n$  are *n* independent copies of *d*-dimensional symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process starting from 0 and are independent of *W*, and  $\mathbb{E}_X$  denotes the expectation with respect to  $(X^1, \ldots, X^n)$ .

(ii) Under a more restrictive condition:

$$\alpha\beta_0 + \beta < \alpha \tag{1.5}$$

the following Feynman-Kac formula

$$u(t,x) = \mathbb{E}_X \left[ u_0(X_t^x) \exp\left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta_0(X_{t-r}^x - y)W(dr, dy)\right) \right],$$
(1.6)

is a mild Stratonovich solution to (1.2) (see [30, Theorem 4.6]), where  $\delta_0(x)$  is the Dirac delta function. Consequently, Theorem 4.8 in [30] provides a Feynman-Kac type representation for *n*-th moment of u(t, x)

$$\mathbb{E}[u(t,x)^{n}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} u_{0}(X_{t}^{j}+x) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{t}|r-s|^{-\beta_{0}}\gamma(X_{r}^{j}-X_{s}^{k})drds\right)\right].$$
(1.7)

The stronger condition (1.5), in comparison with (1.3), is to ensure that the "diagonal" terms, i.e., the sum  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} |r-s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r^k - X_s^k) dr ds$  appearing in (1.7) are exponentially integrable (see Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.3, or [30, Theorem 3.3] in a more general setting). To deal with the moments given by (1.4) and that given by (1.7) simultaneously, we introduce, under the condition (1.5), for  $\rho \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$u^{\rho}(t,x) := \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[ u_{0}(X_{t}^{x}) \exp\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \delta_{0}(X_{t-r}^{x} - y) W(dr, dy) - \frac{\rho}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} |r - s|^{-\beta_{0}} \gamma(X_{r} - X_{s}) dr ds \right) \right].$$
(1.8)

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

When  $\rho = 0$ ,  $u^{\rho}(t, x)$  is the Stratonovich solution u(t, x) to (1.2), and when  $\rho = 1$ ,  $u^{\rho}(t, x)$  is the Skorohod solution  $\tilde{u}(t, x)$  to (1.2). The *n*-th moment of  $u^{\rho}(t, x)$  for a positive integer n is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[(u^{\rho}(t,x))^{n}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} u_{0}(X_{t}^{j}+x) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{t}|r-s|^{-\beta_{0}}\gamma(X_{r}^{j}-X_{s}^{k})drds - \frac{\rho}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{t}|r-s|^{-\beta_{0}}\gamma(X_{r}^{j}-X_{s}^{j})drds\right)\right].$$
(1.9)

Let us point out that when  $\rho = 1$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[|u^{\rho}(t, x)|^n]$  is finite under the weaker condition (1.3).

The goal of this article is to obtain the precise asymptotics, as  $t \to \infty$ , of the *p*-th moment  $\mathbb{E}\left[|u^{\rho}(t,x)|^{p}\right]$  for any (fixed) positive real number *p*. To describe our main result, we recall the definition of Fourier transform and introduce some notations. Denote by  $S(\mathbb{R}^{d})$  the Schwartz space of smooth functions that are rapidly decreasing on  $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ , and let  $S'(\mathbb{R}^{d})$  be its dual space, i.e., the space of tempered distributions. Let  $\widehat{f}(\xi)$  or  $(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi)$  denote the Fourier transform of *f*, for *f* in the space  $S'(\mathbb{R}^{d})$  of tempered distributions. In particular, we set

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} f(x) dx, \text{ for } f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

We will also need the following notations.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(f,f) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\xi|^{\alpha} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \,; \\ \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,d} &:= \left\{ f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) : \|f\|_{2} = 1 \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(f,f) < \infty \right\} \,; \\ \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d} &:= \left\{ g(s,x) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} g^{2}(s,x) dx = 1, \forall s \in [0,1] \text{ and } \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\xi|^{\alpha} |\hat{g}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi ds < \infty \right\} \,; \\ (1.11) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{M}(\alpha,\beta_{0},d,\gamma) := \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{\gamma(x-y)}{|r-s|^{\beta_{0}}} g^{2}(s,x) g^{2}(r,y) dx dy dr ds - \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\xi|^{\alpha} |\widehat{g}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi ds \right\}.$$
(1.12)

The finiteness of the variational representation  $\mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma)$ , when  $\beta < \alpha$ , is established in Section 7. Note that  $\mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma)$  has the scaling property, for any  $\theta > 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{M}(\alpha,\beta_0,d,\theta\gamma) = \theta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}} \mathbf{M}(\alpha,\beta_0,d,\gamma), \tag{1.13}$$

which can be derived in the same way as Lemma 4.1 in [11]. The following is the main result in this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\rho \in [0,1]$  and assume the condition (1.5) (and when  $\rho = 1$ , the condition (1.5) is replaced by the condition (1.3)). Let  $p \ge 2$  be any real number or p = 1. Then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-\frac{2\alpha - \beta - \alpha \beta_0}{\alpha - \beta}} \log \|u^{\rho}(t, x)\|_p = (p - \rho)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \beta}} \mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma)$$

where  $||u^{\rho}(t,x)||_{p} = \left(\mathbb{E}[|u^{\rho}(t,x)|^{p}]\right)^{1/p}$ .

We conclude this introduction with some remarks on the motivation of our work and a brief literature review for the related results. The following three points motivate us to obtain the above asymptotics. (i) The limit related to the long-term asymptotics is known as the moment Lyapunov exponent in literature and the problem is closely related to the issue of intermittency (see, e.g., [25]). To illustrate our idea, write the limit in Theorem 1.1 in the following form:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-\frac{2\alpha - \beta - \alpha \beta_0}{\alpha - \beta}} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\left(p \log |u^{\rho}(t, x)|\right) = \Lambda(p).$$

The system satisfies the usual definition of intermittency, i.e., the function  $\Lambda(p)/p$  is strictly increasing on  $[2, \infty)$ . By the large deviation theory (see, e.g., Theorem 1.1.4 in [8] and its proof for the lower bound), for any sufficiently large l > 0

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-\frac{2\alpha - \beta - \alpha \beta_0}{\alpha - \beta}} \log \mathbb{P}(A_{t,l}) = -\sup_{p > 0} \left\{ lp - \Lambda(p) \right\} < 0$$

and there is  $p_l > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\big[|u^{\rho}(t,x)|^{p_l}\mathbf{1}_{A_{t,l}}\big]}{\mathbb{E}[|u^{\rho}(t,x)|^{p_l}]} = 1,$$

where

$$A_{t,l} = \bigg\{ \log |u^{\rho}(t,x)| \ge lt^{\frac{2\alpha-\beta-\alpha\beta_0}{\alpha-\beta}} \bigg\}.$$

This observation shows that as in other cases of intermittency, it is rare for the solution u(t, x) to take large values but the impact of taking large values should not be ignored.

(ii) When the noise  $\dot{W}$  is the space-time white noise with dimension one in space, the parabolic Anderson model (1.2) is the model for the *continuum directed polymer* in random environment (see [1] for the case  $\alpha = 2$  and [5] for the case  $\alpha < 2$ ), where (1.2) is understood in the Skorohod sense, the solution  $\tilde{u}(t, x)$  is the partition function for the polymer measure, and  $\log \tilde{u}(t, x)$  is the free energy for the polymer (see, e.g., [14]). Similarly, if we consider an  $\alpha$ -stable motion X in the random environment modelled by  $\dot{W}$ , one may consider the Hamiltonian

$$H^{\rho}(t,x) := \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \delta_{0}(X_{t-r}^{x} - y) W(dr, dy) - \frac{\rho}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} |r - s|^{-\beta_{0}} \gamma(X_{r} - X_{s}) dr ds \,.$$

Then,  $u^{\rho}(t,x) = \mathbb{E}_X[e^{H^{\rho}(t,x)}]$  is the partition function for the polymer measure, and  $\log u^{\rho}(t,x)$  is the free energy for the polymer.

(iii) The equation (1.2), as one of the basic SPDEs, describes a variety of models, such as the parabolic Anderson model (see, e.g. [6]) and the model for continuum directed polymer in random environment (see, e.g., [1]), in which the long-term asymptotic property of the solution is desirable. In the recent publication [7], the space-time fractional diffusion equation of the form

$$\left(\partial^\nu+\frac{\nu}{2}(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\right)u(t,x)=\lambda u(t,x)\dot W(t,x)\,,$$

has been studied, where  $\partial^{\nu}$  is the Caputo derivative in time *t*. It is highly non-trivial to obtain precise asymptotics in general case. Our model (1.2) corresponds to the case  $\nu = 1$ , and our result may provide some perspective for the general situation.

The moment Lyapunov exponent has been studied extensively with vast literature. To our best knowledge, however, the investigation in the setting of white/colored space-time Gaussian noise started only recently, especially at the level of precision given in this paper. When the driving processes are Brownian motion instead of stable process, i.e., the operator in (1.2) is  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta$  instead of the fractional Laplacian, the long-term asymptotic lower and upper bounds for the moments of the solution were studied in [3] for the Skorohod solution and in [29] for the Stratonovich solution; the *precise* moment Lyapunov exponents were obtained in recent publications [9, 10] for the Skorohod solutions, and [11] for the Stratonovich solution. In [2], the authors obtained the intermittency property for the fractional heat equation in the Skorohod sense, by studying the lower and upper asymptotic bounds of the solution.

We would like to point out that the Lyapunov exponents of the moments of the solution may take different forms depending on whether the noise is white or colored in time. For instance, when  $\alpha = 2$ , the *n*-th moment Lyapunov exponent of the Skorohod solution is a multiple of  $n(n-1)^{\frac{2}{2-\beta}}$  when the noise is colored in time (see [10, Theorem 1.1] and see also [11, Theorem 6.1] for Stratonovich solution). While it is a multiple of  $n(n^2 - 1)$  when the noise is a 1 + 1 space-time white noise (see [9, Theorem 1.1]). The approach in the present article does not apply to the model with noise white in time technically because the truncation procedure for the temporal covariance function  $|\cdot|^{-\beta_0}$  that we use in Section 6 fails to approximate the Dirac delta function  $\delta_0(\cdot)$ .

In the present paper, we aim to obtain the *precise* p-th moment Lyapunov exponents for both Stratonovich solution and Skorohod solution to the fractional heat equation in a unified way, for any real positive number  $p \ge 2$ . The mathematical challenges and/or the originality of this work come from the following aspects. First, compared with the case of heat equation, the fact that the fractional Laplacian is not a local operator makes the computations and analysis more sophisticated. New ideas and methodologies are required. In particular, Fourier analysis is involved in a more substantial way. Second, the Feynman-Kac large deviation result for stable process (Proposition 3.1) is a key to our approach. However, the method used to derive a similar result for Brownian motion in [11] can no longer be applied, as the behavior of stable process is totally different from that of Brownian motion. Third, we obtain the precise long-term asymptotics for  $u^{\rho}(t,x)$  with  $\rho \in [0,1]$ , which in particular enables us to get the precise moment Lyapunov exponents for the Stratonovich solution  $u^0(t, x)$  and the Skorohod solution  $u^{1}(t,x)$ . Finally, the existing results on precise Lyapunov exponents were mainly for n-th moment with n a positive integer, due to the fact that the Feynman-Kac type representation is only valid for integer-order moments. We are able to extend the result from positive integers to real numbers  $p \ge 2$ . The idea is to use the variational inequality ([10]) and the hypercontractivity of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup operators ([28]).

The Feynman-Kac formula (1.9) for the moment of the solution is relevant to the study of the polaron, an electron moving through a dielectric crystal, interacting with the lattice ions via electrostatic forces. The evaluation of the partition function can be represented by Feynman path integral  $\mathbb{E}xp\left\{\alpha \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{e^{-|r-s|}}{|X_r-X_s|} dr ds\right\}$ , where X is a three-dimensional Brownian motion (see [20]). Donsker and Varadhan ([18]) made a seminal contribution to understand the above integral. It is worthy to point out that in a recent publication, Mukherjee and Varadhan ([27]) propose a new way of compactification, which leads not only to the precise asymptotics for the partition function of the polaron model (as in [18]), but also provides some new and interesting informations on the path behavior of the empirical measures weighed by the polaron partition function.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish some rough bounds for the long-term asymptotics of the Stratonovich solution by comparison method. The rough bounds will be used in the derivation of the precise upper bound in Section 6. The critical exponential integrability of  $\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |r - s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma (X_r - X_s) dr ds$  is also studied. In Section 3, we obtain a Feynman-Kac type large deviation result for  $\alpha$ -stable processes, which plays a critical role in obtaining the variational representation for the precise moment Lyapunov exponent. In Section 4, we establish a lower bound for the *p*-th moment of

 $u^{\rho}(t, x)$  which is also valid if the  $\alpha$ -stable process is replaced by some general symmetric Lévy process. In Sections 5 and 6, we validate the lower bound and the upper bound in Theorem 1.1, respectively. Finally, in Section 7, the well-posedness of the variational formula given in (1.12) which appears in Theorem 1.1 is justified, and the proof of a technical lemma that is used in Section 6 is provided.

## 2 Asymptotic bounds by comparison method

In this section, we establish some long-term asymptotic bounds for  $\mathbb{E}\exp(Y_t)$ , where

$$Y_t = \int_0^t \int_0^t |r - u|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r - X_u) dr du.$$
 (2.1)

To achieve this goal, we first prove in Theorem 2.3 that  $Y_t$  is exponentially integrable using the sub-additivity of  $Y_t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , and then we obtain, in Proposition 2.8, the main result on the asymptotic bounds (without identification of constants) by comparing  $Y_t$  with  $\int_0^t \int_0^t \gamma(X_r - X_u) dr du$ .

The moment method is a common approach to obtain the exponential integrability for  $Y_t$  (see, eg, [22, 30]). However, in this section, we will use techniques from large deviation theory, which turns out to yield a stronger result (see Remarks 2.4 and 2.5 for discussions). Eventually, as a corollary of Theorem 1.1, the *critical* exponential integrability and the corresponding *critical* exponent for  $Y_1$  are provided in Theorem 2.6.

Recall that  $\{X_{at}, t \ge 0\}$  and  $\{a^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} X_t, t \ge 0\}$  have the same law for any a > 0. The selfsimilarity of X together with the homogeneity of the covariance function  $|r-s|^{-\beta_0}\gamma(x-y)$ yields that, for any a > 0, the process Y has the following scaling property (selfsimilarity),

$$\{Y_{at}, t \ge 0\} \stackrel{d}{=} \left\{ a^{2 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} - \beta_0} Y_t, t \ge 0 \right\}.$$
(2.2)

We point out that the scaling property (1.13) of the variational representation  $\mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma)$ , along with the above-mentioned "scaling" properties of X, Y and the covariance function, will play a crucial rule in the derivation of the results in this article.

In the following two lemmas, we introduce some basic properties of the process Y.

**Lemma 2.1.**  $\mathbb{E}[Y_t] < \infty$  if and only if  $\alpha \beta_0 + \beta < \alpha$ .

*Proof.* Using the self-similarity of X, and the scaling property of  $\gamma(x)$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[\gamma(X_r - X_s)] = |r - s|^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \mathbb{E}[\gamma(X_1)]$ , noting that  $0 < \mathbb{E}[\gamma(X_1)] < \infty$ , under the condition of this lemma. Hence, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_t] = \mathbb{E}[\gamma(X_1)] \int_0^t \int_0^t |r-s|^{-\beta_0} |r-s|^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} dr ds,$$

which concludes the proof.

**Lemma 2.2.** Under the condition (1.5), the process  $\{Y_t, t \ge 0\}$  has a continuous version.

*Proof.* We shall use the notation  $||F||_p = (\mathbb{E}[|F|^p])^{1/p}$ . For any  $0 \le s < t \le \infty$ , we have

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

for any  $p \ge 1$ ,

$$\begin{split} \|Y_t - Y_s\|_p \\ &\leq \int_s^t \int_0^s |r - u|^{-\beta_0} \|\gamma(X_r - X_u)\|_p dr du + \int_0^s \int_s^t |r - u|^{-\beta_0} \|\gamma(X_r - X_u)\|_p dr du \\ &\quad + \int_s^t \int_s^t |r - u|^{-\beta_0} \|\gamma(X_r - X_u)\|_p dr du \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \,. \end{split}$$

By scaling property, when 1 ,

$$\|\gamma(X_r - X_u)\|_p = (\mathbb{E}[|\gamma(X_r - X_u)|^p])^{1/p} = C_p |r - u|^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}},$$

with  $C_p = \|\gamma(X_1)\|_p < \infty$ . Thus,

$$\begin{split} I_1 &\leq \int_s^t \int_0^s |r-u|^{-\beta_0 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} du dr \leq \frac{1}{1 - \beta_0 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \int_s^t r^{1 - \beta_0 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} dr \\ &\leq C \int_s^t t^{1 - \beta_0 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} dr = C t^{1 - \beta_0 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} |t-s| \,. \end{split}$$

This means

$$I_1^p \le C t^{p(1-\beta_0 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha})} |t - s|^p.$$

Similar estimates for  $I_2$  and  $I_3$  can also be obtained. Thus for  $0 \le s, t \le T$ , there is a constant  $C_T$  depending only on  $(\alpha, \beta, \beta_0, T)$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} |Y_t - Y_s|^p = ||Y_t - Y_s||_p^p \le C_T |t - s|^p.$$

It follows from Kolmogorov continuity criterion that  $\{Y_t\,,t\geq 0\}$  has a continuous version.  $\Box$ 

Now we justify the exponential integrability of  $Y_t$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Under the condition (1.5) and further assuming  $\max\{\beta_0, \beta\} > 0$ , then there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that when  $\theta \in (0, \delta)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\exp\left(\theta Y_1^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha\beta_0+\beta}}\right) < \infty, \tag{2.3}$$

and consequently, for all  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\exp\left(\lambda Y_t\right) < \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Denote

$$Z_t = Y_t^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_0^t \int_0^t |s - r|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_s - X_r) ds dr\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ for } t \ge 0.$$
 (2.5)

First we shall show that  $Z_t$  is sub-additive and hence exponentially integrable by [8, theorem 1.3.5].

The following identity holds

$$|s-r|^{-\beta_0} = C_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |s-u|^{-\frac{\beta_0+1}{2}} |r-u|^{-\frac{\beta_0+1}{2}} du,$$
(2.6)

where  $C_0 > 0$  depends on  $\beta_0$  only. Similarly, for the function  $\gamma(x)$  we also have

$$\gamma(x) = C(\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(y - x) K(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$
(2.7)

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

where  $C(\gamma) > 0$  is a constant and

$$K(x) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^{d} |x_j|^{-\frac{1+\beta_j}{2}} & \text{if } \gamma(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} |x_j|^{-\beta_j}, \\ |x|^{-\frac{d+\beta}{2}} & \text{if } \gamma(x) = |x|^{-\beta}. \end{cases}$$
(2.8)

With these identities, we can rewrite  $Z_t$  as

$$Z_t = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d} \xi_t^2(u, x) du dx\right)^{1/2}$$

where

$$\xi_t(u,x) = C_0 C(\gamma) \int_0^t |s-u|^{-\frac{\beta_0+1}{2}} K(X_s - x) ds \,.$$

For  $t_1, t_2 > 0$ , by the triangular inequality

$$Z_{t_1+t_2} \le Z_{t_1} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\xi_{t_1+t_2}(u,x) - \xi_{t_1}(u,x)\right]^2 du dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

Let  $\widetilde{X}_s = X_{t_1+s} - X_{t_1}$ , which is independent of  $\{X_r, 0 \leq r \leq t_1\}$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{t_1+t_2}(u,x) &- \xi_{t_1}(u,x) \\ &= C_0 C(\gamma) \int_{t_1}^{t_1+t_2} |s-u|^{-\frac{\beta_0+1}{2}} K(X_s-x) ds \\ &= C_0 C(\gamma) \int_0^{t_2} |s+t_1-u|^{-\frac{\beta_0+1}{2}} K(\widetilde{X}_s+X_{t_1}-x) ds \end{aligned}$$

The translation invariance of the integral on  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\xi_{t_1+t_2}(u,x) - \xi_{t_1}(u,x)\right]^2 du dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\widetilde{\xi}_{t_2}(u,x)\right]^2 du dx\,,$$

where

$$\widetilde{\xi}_{t_2}(u,x) = C_0 C(\gamma) \int_0^{t_2} |s-u|^{-\frac{\beta_0+1}{2}} K(\widetilde{X}_s - x) ds.$$

Therefore, the process  $Z_t$  is sub-additive, which means that for any  $t_1, t_2 > 0$ ,  $Z_{t_1+t_2} \leq Z_{t_1} + \widetilde{Z}_{t_2}$ , where  $\widetilde{Z}_{t_2}$  is independent of  $\{Z_s, 0 \leq s \leq t_1\}$  and has the same distribution as  $Z_{t_2}$ .

Notice that  $Z_t$  is non-negative, non-decreasing, and pathwise continuous by Lemma 2.2. Thus it follows from [8, Theorem 1.3.5] that, for any t > 0 and  $\theta > 0$ 

$$\mathbb{E}\exp\left[\left(\theta Z_t\right)\right] < \infty,$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \theta Z_t \right) \right] = \Psi(\theta), \tag{2.9}$$

for some  $\Psi(\theta) \in [0,\infty)$ . Moreover, by the scaling property (2.2) we have  $Z_{at} \stackrel{d}{=} a^{\kappa} Z_t$  with  $\kappa = 1 - \frac{\beta}{2\alpha} - \frac{\beta_0}{2} \in (1/2, 1)$ , and hence for all  $\theta > 0$ ,

$$\Psi(\theta) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\left[\left(\theta Z_t\right)\right] = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(Z_{t\theta^{\frac{1}{\kappa}}}\right)\right] = \theta^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \Psi(1).$$
(2.10)

Chebyshev inequality implies that

 $\exp(\theta t)\mathbb{P}(Z_t \geq t) \leq \mathbb{E}\exp(\theta Z_t) \quad \text{and then} \quad \theta t + \log \mathbb{P}(Z_t \geq t) \leq \log \mathbb{E}\exp(\theta Z_t) \,.$ 

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Taking the limit yields, for any  $\theta > 0$ ,

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{P}(Z_t \ge t) \le \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\theta Z_t)\right] - \theta = \theta^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \Psi(1) - \theta.$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{P}(Z_t \ge t) \le \inf_{\theta \in (0,1)} \{ \theta^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \Psi(1) - \theta \},$$
(2.11)

where the term on the right-hand side is strictly negative noting that  $1/\kappa \in (1,2)$  and  $\Psi(1) \ge 0$ , and is denoted by -a for some a > 0. Hence there exists a constant T > 0 such that when  $t \ge T$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_1 \ge t^{1-\kappa}) = \mathbb{P}(Z_t \ge t) \le \exp\left(-at/2\right).$$
(2.12)

Consequently,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\theta Z_1^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}})\right] &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(\theta Z_1^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}} \ge y)e^y dy + 1\\ &\leq \int_0^T e^y dy + \int_T^\infty e^{-a\theta^{-1}y/2}e^y dy + 1. \end{split}$$

where the last term is finite if  $\theta \in (0, a/2)$ . This implies (2.3).

Finally (2.4) is obtained by (2.3), the scaling property (2.2) and the fact that the condition (1.5) implies  $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha\beta_0+\beta} > 1$ .

**Remark 2.4.** Note that by(2.10),  $\Psi(\theta) = \theta^{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\Psi(1)$  with  $\Psi(1) \in [0, \infty)$ . Actually,  $\Psi(1) > 0$  when  $\beta_0 = 0$ , by (2.20) in the proof of Lemma 2.7. However, when  $\beta_0 \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\Psi(1)$  must be 0, which means that the asymptotics given by (2.9) is not optimal. Indeed, if  $\Psi(1) \neq 0$ , Gärtner-Ellis theorem for non-negative random variable ([8, Corollary 1.2.5]) and equation (2.10) imply that for  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{P}(Z_t^2 \ge \lambda t^{2-2\kappa}) = -\sup_{\theta > 0} \left\{ \theta \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} - \theta^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \Psi(1) \right\} = C_1 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2-2\kappa}},$$

where  $C_1 = \Psi(1)^{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa-1}} (\kappa^{\frac{\kappa}{1-\kappa}} - \kappa^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}})$ . Note that the assumption  $\Psi(1) > 0$  guarantees that  $\theta^{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\Psi(1)$  is an essentially smooth function ([8, Definition 1.2.3]), and hence the Gärtner-Ellis theorem can be applied. Then, by the Varadhan's integral lemma ([8, Theorem 1.1.6] or [16, Section 4.3]),

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \exp(\theta t^{2\kappa - 1} Z_t^2) = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \left\{ \lambda \theta - C_1 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2 - 2\kappa}} \right\} = C_2 \theta^{\frac{1}{2\kappa - 1}},$$

where  $C_2$  is a positive constant depending on  $C_1$  and  $\kappa$ . By the scaling property (2.2), this limit is equal to

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\theta Z_{t^{\eta}}^2)\right] = \lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-\frac{1}{\eta}} \log \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\theta Z_t^2)\right] = C_2 \theta^{\frac{1}{2\kappa-1}},$$

where  $\eta = \frac{2\kappa-1}{2\kappa}$  and  $\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{2\alpha-\beta-\alpha\beta_0}{\alpha-\beta-\alpha\beta_0}$ . This contradicts with Proposition 2.8 when  $\beta_0 \in (0,1)$ . **Remark 2.5.** We observe that the restriction  $\theta \in (0,\delta)$  for (2.3) in Theorem 2.3 can be removed when  $\beta_0 \in (0,1)$ . Indeed, the inequality (2.11) in the proof can be replaced by

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{P}(Z_t \ge t) \le \inf_{\theta > 0} \{ \theta^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \Psi(1) - \theta \}.$$

Noting that by Remark 2.4,  $\Psi(1) = 0$  when  $\beta_0 \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{P}(Z_t \ge t) = -\infty.$$

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Page 9/39

This enables us to choose any positive number for a in (2.12), and hence (2.3) holds for any  $\theta > 0$ . Moreover, solely based on the scaling property of  $Y_t$  and Theorem 1.1, the critical exponential integrability and the corresponding critical exponent for  $Y_1$  are obtained by using large deviation techniques in the following theorem.

## Theorem 2.6. Let

$$C_0 := \frac{\beta}{\alpha - \beta} \left( \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \left( \mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma) \right)^{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\beta}}.$$
 (2.13)

Then under the condition (1.5), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\exp\left(\theta Y_{1}^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}\right) < \infty, \text{ for any } \theta < C_{0},$$
(2.14)

and

$$\mathbb{E}\exp\left(\theta Y_{1}^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}\right) = \infty, \text{ for any } \theta > C_{0}.$$
(2.15)

*Proof.* Recall that  $Z_t$  is defined in (2.5). Theorem 1.1 implies that, when p = 1 and  $\rho = 0$ ,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-\frac{2\alpha - \beta - \alpha \beta_0}{\alpha - \beta}} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}Z_t^2\right) = \mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma).$$

By the scaling property (2.2) of  $Z_t^2$  and the change of variable  $s = t^{\frac{2\alpha-\beta-\alpha\beta_0}{\alpha-\beta}}$ , the above equation is equivalent to

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{1}{s} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta s^{1-\beta/\alpha} Z_1^2\right) = (2\theta)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}} \mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma).$$

Then the Gärtner-Ellis theorem implies

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{1}{s} \log \mathbb{P}(s^{-\beta/\alpha} Z_1^2 \ge \lambda) = -\sup_{\theta > 0} \left\{ \theta \lambda - (2\theta)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \beta}} \mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma) \right\}$$
$$= -\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \frac{\beta}{\alpha - \beta} \left( \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \left( \mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma) \right)^{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\beta}}.$$

Thus we have

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{1}{s} \log \mathbb{P}(Z_1^{\frac{2\alpha}{\beta}} \ge s) = -C_0, \tag{2.16}$$

with  $C_0$  given by (2.13), which yields (2.14) and (2.15). The proof is concluded.

With the integrability of  $\exp(Y_t)$  obtained in Theorem 2.3, we are ready to study the long-term asymptotic bounds for  $\mathbb{E} \exp(Y_t)$ . The following lemma provides the asymptotics for  $\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\int_0^t \int_0^t \gamma(X_s - X_r) ds dr\right)$ , which will be used to obtain the the asymptotic bounds for  $\mathbb{E} \exp(Y_t)$  in Proposition 2.8.

**Lemma 2.7.** Under the condition (1.3), there exists a constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$ , such that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-\frac{2\alpha-\beta}{\alpha-\beta}} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta \int_0^t \int_0^t \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds\right) = C \theta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}}, \quad \forall \theta > 0.$$
(2.17)

Let  $\tilde{X}$  be an independent copy of X. Then under the condition (1.3), there exist  $0 < D_1 \le D_2 < \infty$  such that for all  $\theta > 0$ ,

$$D_1 \theta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}} \leq \underline{\lim}_{t \to \infty} t^{-\frac{2\alpha-\beta}{\alpha-\beta}} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta \int_0^t \int_0^t \gamma(X_r - \tilde{X}_s) dr ds\right) \leq D_2 \theta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}},$$
(2.18)

where to simplify notation we use  $A \leq \underline{\lim} \leq B$  to represent  $A \leq \underline{\lim} \leq \overline{\lim} \leq B$ .

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

*Proof.* When  $\gamma(x) = |x|^{-\beta}$ , (2.17) is a direct consequence of [13, Equation (1.18)] using the scaling property of the  $\int_0^t \int_0^t \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds$ . When  $\gamma(x) = \prod_{j=1}^d |x_j|^{-\beta_j}$ , it suffices to show that there there exists a constant  $C_1 < \infty$  such that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-\frac{2\alpha-\beta}{\alpha-\beta}} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta \int_0^t \int_0^t \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds\right) = C_1 \theta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}} .$$
(2.19)

This is because that  $\prod_{j=1}^{d} |x_j|^{-\beta_j} \ge |x|^{-\beta}$  and hence  $C_1$  is greater than or equal to the constant C > 0 in (2.17) when  $\gamma(x) = |x|^{-\beta}$ .

By the scaling property (2.2) with  $\beta_0 = 0$ , and by a Gärtner-Ellis type result for non-negative random variables ([8, Corollary 1.2.5]), we have that (2.19) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta \left(\int_0^t \int_0^t \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds\right)^{1/2}\right) = C \theta^{\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha - \beta}}, \quad \forall \ \theta > 0$$
(2.20)

for some constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$ , which can be proved in the same way as we did to get (2.10).

Now we prove (2.18). The upper bound can be obtained by (2.17) and the observation that

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \gamma(X_{r} - \tilde{X}_{s}) dr ds\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta C(\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{0}^{t} K(X_{r} - x) dr \int_{0}^{t} K(\tilde{X}_{s} - x) ds dx\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\frac{\theta}{2} C(\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \left(\int_{0}^{t} K(X_{r} - x) dr\right)^{2} + \left(\int_{0}^{t} K(\tilde{X}_{r} - x) dr\right)^{2} dx\right) \\ &= \left[\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\frac{\theta}{2} C(\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \left(\int_{0}^{t} K(X_{r} - x) dr\right)^{2} dx\right)\right]^{2} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta C(\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \left(\int_{0}^{t} K(X_{r} - x) dr\right)^{2} dx\right) = \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \gamma(X_{r} - X_{s}) dr ds\right), \end{split}$$

where the second inequality follows from Jensen's inequality and the last equality follows from (2.7). For the lower bound, it suffices to consider the case  $\gamma(x) = |x|^{-\beta}$ . By [4, Theorem 1.2] and the scaling property (2.2) adapted to  $\int_0^t \int_0^t \gamma(X_r - \tilde{X}_s) dr ds$ ,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}t^{-\frac{2\alpha-\beta}{\alpha-\beta}}\log\mathbb{P}\left(t^{-\frac{2\alpha-\beta}{\alpha-\beta}}\int_0^t\int_0^t\gamma(X_r-\tilde{X}_s)drds\geq\lambda\right)=-a\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}},\text{ for all }\lambda>0.$$

Then by Varadhan's integral lemma,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-\frac{2\alpha-\beta}{\alpha-\beta}} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta \int_0^t \int_0^t \gamma(X_r - \tilde{X}_s) dr ds\right) = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \{\theta\lambda - a\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}\} = b\theta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}},$$

for some b > 0. The proof is concluded.

The following Proposition is the main result of this section, and it will be used in Section 6 where the upper bound in Theorem 1.1 is proven. More specifically, it will be used to deduce inequalities (6.9) and (6.21).

**Proposition 2.8.** Under the condition (1.5), there are constants  $0 < C_1 < C_2 < \infty$  such that for any  $\theta > 0$ ,

$$C_1 \theta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}} \leq \overline{\lim_{t \to \infty}} t^{-\frac{2\alpha-\beta-\alpha\beta_0}{\alpha-\beta}} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta Y_t\right) \leq C_2 \theta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}}.$$
(2.21)

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Similarly, under the condition (1.3), there are constants  $0 < D_1 < D_2 < \infty$  such that for any  $\theta > 0$ ,

$$D_1 \theta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}} \leq \overline{\lim_{t \to \infty}} t^{-\frac{2\alpha-\beta-\alpha\beta_0}{\alpha-\beta}} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta \int_0^t \int_0^t |r-s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r - \tilde{X}_s) dr ds\right) \leq D_2 \theta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}}.$$
(2.22)

**Remark 2.9.** By the scaling property (2.2), the above asymptotics (2.21) is equivalent to

$$C_1 \theta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}} \leq \underline{\lim}_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta t^{\beta_0 - 1} Y_t\right) \leq C_2 \theta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}}.$$
(2.23)

We also have a similar result for (2.22).

*Proof.* The proof is similar to [11, Proposition 2.1], but we include details for the reader's convenience. First we prove the lower bound in (2.21). Note that

$$Y_t = \int_0^t \int_0^t |r - s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds \ge t^{-\beta_0} \int_0^t \int_0^t \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds,$$

where the term on the right-hand side has the same distribution as

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\frac{2\alpha-\beta-\alpha\beta_{0}}{2\alpha-\beta}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\frac{2\alpha-\beta-\alpha\beta_{0}}{2\alpha-\beta}} \gamma(X_{r}-X_{s}) dr ds$$

by the scaling property (2.2). Then the lower bound is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.7.

Now we show the upper bound of (2.21). By the symmetry of the integrand function, we have

$$Y_t = 2 \iint_{[0 \le s \le r \le t]} |r - s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds \,.$$

Thus, the upper bound in inequality (2.21) is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} t^{-\frac{2\alpha - \beta - \alpha \beta_0}{\alpha - \beta}} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta \iint_{[0 \le s \le r \le t]} |r - s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds\right) \le C \theta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \beta}} .$$
(2.24)

Compared with lower bound, the estimation (2.24) is more difficult to obtain because  $|r-s|^{-\beta_0}$  is unbounded when r and s are close. We shall decompose the integral  $\int_{[0\leq s\leq r\leq t]}|r-s|^{-\beta_0}\gamma(X_r-X_s)drds$  and then apply Hölder inequality to obtain the desired result. More precisely, let  $[0\leq s\leq r\leq t]=I_1\cup I_2\cup I_3$ , where  $I_1=[0\leq s\leq r\leq t/2], I_2=[t/2\leq s\leq r\leq t]$  and  $I_3=[0,t/2]\times[t/2,t]$ . Noting that  $\iint_{I_1}|r-s|^{-\beta_0}\gamma(X_r-X_s)drds$  and  $\iint_{I_2}|r-s|^{-\beta_0}\gamma(X_r-X_s)drds$  are mutually independent and are equal in law, by the Hölder inequality,

$$\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta \iint_{[0 \le s \le r \le t]} |r - s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds\right)$$
  
$$\leq \left(\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta p \iint_{I_1} |r - s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds\right)\right)^{2/p}$$
  
$$\times \left(\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta q \iint_{I_3} |r - s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds\right)\right)^{1/q},$$

where  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ . Furthermore, by the scaling property (2.2),

$$\iint_{I_1} |r-s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds \stackrel{d}{=} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha - \beta - \alpha\beta_0}{\alpha}} \iint_{[0 \le s \le r \le t]} |r-s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds \, .$$

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Taking  $p=2^{\frac{2\alpha-\beta-\alpha\beta_0}{\alpha}}$  , we have

$$\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta \iint_{[0 \le r \le s \le t]} |r-s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds\right)$$
$$\leq \left(\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta q \int_0^{t/2} \int_{t/2}^t |r-s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q} \frac{p}{p-2}}.$$

Now to obtain (2.21), it suffices to show

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} t^{-\frac{2\alpha - \beta - \alpha\beta_0}{\alpha - \beta}} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta \int_0^{t/2} \int_{t/2}^t |r - s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds\right) \le C \theta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \beta}} .$$
(2.25)

Actually, decomposing  $[0, t/2] \times [t/2, t]$  as  $A \cup B$ , where  $A = [t/4, t/2] \times [t/2, 3t/4]$  and  $B = [0, t/2] \times [t/2, t] \setminus A$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta \int_{0}^{t/2} \int_{t/2}^{t} |r-s|^{-\beta_{0}} \gamma(X_{r}-X_{s}) dr ds\right)$$

$$\leq \left(\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta p \iint_{A} |r-s|^{-\beta_{0}} \gamma(X_{r}-X_{s}) dr ds\right)\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\times \left(\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta q \iint_{B} |r-s|^{-\beta_{0}} \gamma(X_{r}-X_{s}) dr ds\right)\right)^{1/q}, \quad (2.26)$$

where 1/p + 1/q = 1 and p, q > 0 are to be determined later. Since X has stationary increments and by (2.2), we have

$$\iint_{A} |r-s|^{-\beta_{0}} \gamma(X_{r}-X_{s}) dr ds \stackrel{d}{=} \int_{0}^{t/4} \int_{t/4}^{t/2} |r-s|^{-\beta_{0}} \gamma(X_{r}-X_{s}) dr ds$$
$$\stackrel{d}{=} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha-\beta-\alpha\beta_{0}}{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{t/2} \int_{t/2}^{t} |r-s|^{-\beta_{0}} \gamma(X_{r}-X_{s}) dr ds.$$

Now let us choose  $p = 2^{\frac{2\alpha - \beta - \alpha\beta_0}{\alpha}}$ , and the above identity combined with (2.26) yields

$$\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta \int_{0}^{t/2} \int_{t/2}^{t} |r-s|^{-\beta_{0}} \gamma(X_{r}-X_{s}) dr ds\right)$$
  
$$\leq \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta q \iint_{B} |r-s|^{-\beta_{0}} \gamma(X_{r}-X_{s}) dr ds\right)$$
  
$$\leq \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta q \left(\frac{t}{4}\right)^{-\beta_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \gamma(X_{r}-X_{s}) dr ds\right)$$
  
$$\leq \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\theta q 4^{\beta_{0}} \int_{0}^{t^{\eta}} \int_{0}^{t^{\eta}} \gamma(X_{r}-X_{s}) dr ds\right),$$

where  $\eta = \frac{2\alpha - \beta - \alpha\beta_0}{2\alpha - \beta}$ . Thus (2.25) follows from Lemma 2.7 with t being replaced by  $t^{\eta}$  and (2.21) is obtained.

The lower bound in (2.22) can be obtained in a similar way as for the lower bound in (2.21), by using the second half of Lemma 2.7. Now we show the upper bound. Noting that the stable process has stationary increments which are independent over disjoint time intervals, we have

$$\int_0^{t/2} \int_{t/2}^t |r-s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds \stackrel{d}{=} \int_0^{t/2} \int_0^{t/2} |r-s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r - \tilde{X}_s) dr ds.$$

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

By Remark 5.7 in [30], under the condition (1.3),

$$\mathbb{E}\exp\left(\lambda\int_0^t\int_0^t|r-s|^{-\beta_0}\gamma(X_r-\tilde{X}_s)drds\right)<\infty\text{ for all }\lambda>0$$

Hence (2.25) still holds under the condition (1.3), and therefore the upper bound in (2.22) is obtained. The proof is concluded.  $\hfill\square$ 

## 3 Feynman-Kac large deviation for stable process

In this section, we will obtain a Feynman-Kac large deviation result (Proposition 3.1 below) for symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process, which is a space-time extension of Lemma 6 in [12] and will play a critical role in the derivation of our main result. In [11] a similar result for Brownian motion was obtained (Proposition 3.1 in that paper) in order to get the precise moment Lyapunov exponent for the Stratonovich solution of heat equation. The approach in [11] heavily depends on the local property of the Laplacian operator and the property of Brownian motion such as the continuity of paths and the Gaussian tail probability, and hence cannot be adapted to our situation, as the fractional Laplacian is a non-local operator, the stable process is a pure jump process, and the stable distribution is fat-tailed. Inspired by the idea in [12], instead of considering the stable process itself, we shall consider the stable process restricted in bounded domains by taking its image of quotient map, which will be elaborated below.

Fix a positive number M. Let  $\mathbb{T}_M^d = \mathbb{R}^d / M\mathbb{Z}^d$  be the *d*-dimensional torus and  $X_t^M$  be the image of  $X_t$  under the quotient map from  $\mathbb{R}^d$  to  $\mathbb{T}_M^d$ . Then,  $X^M$  is a Markov process with independent increments on  $\mathbb{T}_M^d$ , and its associated Dirichlet form is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,M}(f,f) := \frac{1}{M^{d+\alpha}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |k|^{\alpha} |\widehat{f}(k)|^2,$$
(3.1)

where  $\widehat{f}$  denotes the usual Fourier transform for functions on  $\mathbb{T}^d_M$ , i.e., for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,

$$\widehat{f}(k) := \int_{\mathbb{T}_M^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i k \cdot x/M} dx = \int_{[0,M]^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i k \cdot x/M} dx.$$

Here the function f on  $\mathbb{T}_M^d$  is considered as an M-periodic function (with the same symbol f) on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , which means that f(x + kM) = f(x) for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,M} := \{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d_M) : \|f\|_{2,\mathbb{T}^d_M} = 1 \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_{\alpha,M}(f,f) < \infty \},$$
(3.2)

where

$$\|f\|_{2,\mathbb{T}_{M}^{d}} = \left(\langle f, f \rangle_{2,\mathbb{T}_{M}^{d}}\right)^{1/2} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_{M}^{d}} |f(x)|^{2} dx\right)^{1/2} = \left(\int_{[0,M]^{d}} |f(x)|^{2} dx\right)^{1/2}$$

is the  $L^2\text{-norm}$  on  $\mathbb{T}^d_M$  endowed with the Lebesgue measure.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $f(t, x) : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{T}_M^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Then, we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\int_0^t f(\frac{s}{t}, X_s^M) ds\right)\right] = \int_0^1 \lambda_M(f(s, \cdot)) ds,$$
(3.3)

where  $\lambda_M(f) := \sup_{g \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,M}} \Big\{ \langle g, fg \rangle_{2,\mathbb{T}^d_M} - \mathcal{E}_{\alpha,M}(g,g) \Big\}.$ 

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

*Proof.* Let  $\{0 = s_0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_{n-1} < s_n = 1\}$  be a uniform partition of the interval [0, 1]. First, we consider the functions of the form

$$f(s,x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_i(x) I_{[s_i,s_{i+1})}(s) + f_{n-1}(x) I_{\{1\}}(s)$$

By the Markov property, we have

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\int_{0}^{t}f(\frac{s}{t},X_{s}^{M})ds\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{t}{n}}f(\frac{s}{t},X_{s}^{M})ds\right)\exp\left(\int_{\frac{t}{n}}^{t}f(\frac{s}{t},X_{s}^{M})ds\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{t}{n}}f_{0}(X_{s}^{M})ds\right)\mathbb{E}_{X_{\frac{t}{n}}^{M}}\left[\exp\left(\int_{0}^{(1-\frac{1}{n})t}f(\frac{s}{t}+\frac{1}{n},X_{s}^{M})ds\right)\right]\right] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{t}{n}}f_{0}(X_{s}^{M})ds\right);|X_{\frac{t}{n}}^{M}| < \delta\right]\inf_{|x|<\delta}\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\exp\left(\int_{0}^{(1-\frac{1}{n})t}f(\frac{s}{t}+\frac{1}{n},X_{s}^{M})ds\right)\right], \end{split}$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_x$  denotes the expectation with respect to the stable process starting from x. Repeating the above procedure, we can get

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \inf_{|x|<\delta} \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \exp\left( \int_0^{\frac{t}{n}} f_i(X_s^M) ds \right); |X_{\frac{t}{n}}^M| < \delta \right] \le \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp\left( \int_0^t f(\frac{s}{t}, X_s^M) ds \right) \right].$$
(3.4)

Similarly, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\int_0^t f(\frac{s}{t}, X_s^M) ds\right)\right] \le \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}_M^d} \mathbb{E}_x\left[\exp\left(\int_0^{\frac{t}{n}} f_i(X_s^M) ds\right)\right].$$
 (3.5)

First, we show that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \inf_{|x| < \delta} \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \exp\left( \int_0^t f_i(X_s^M) ds \right); |X_t^M| < \delta \right] \ge \lambda_M(f_i).$$
(3.6)

By boundedness of  $f_i$  and the Markov property, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\exp\left(\int_{0}^{t}f_{i}(X_{s}^{M})ds\right);|X_{t}^{M}|<\delta\right]\geq C\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\exp\left(\int_{1}^{t-1}f_{i}(X_{s}^{M})ds\right);|X_{t}^{M}|<\delta\right]$$
$$=C\int_{\mathbb{T}_{M}^{d}}\bar{p}(y-x)\mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\exp\left(\int_{0}^{t-2}f_{i}(X_{s}^{M})ds\right)\mathbb{E}_{X_{t-2}^{M}}\left[I_{[|X_{1}^{M}|<\delta]}\right]\right]dy,$$
(3.7)

where  $\bar{p}(y)$  is the density function of  $X_1^M$ . Note that  $\bar{p}(y)$  is strictly positive and continuous on  $\mathbb{T}_M^d$ , and then, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^M} \bar{p}(y) \ge \varepsilon$  and consequently  $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^M} \mathbb{E}_x \left[ I_{[|X_1^M| < \delta]} \right] \ge \varepsilon \delta^d$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\exp\left(\int_{0}^{t} f_{i}(X_{s}^{M})ds\right); |X_{t}^{M}| < \delta\right] \ge C\varepsilon^{2}\delta^{d}\int_{\mathbb{T}_{M}^{d}}\mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\exp\left(\int_{0}^{t-2} f_{i}(X_{s}^{M})ds\right)\right]dy.$$
(3.8)

On the other hand, for any  $g\in\mathcal{F}_{lpha,M}$  ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_{M}^{d}} \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \exp\left(\int_{0}^{t-2} f_{i}(X_{s}^{M}) ds\right) \right] dy$$

$$\geq \|g\|_{\infty}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{M}^{d}} g(y) \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \exp\left(\int_{0}^{t-2} f_{i}(X_{s}^{M}) ds\right) g(X_{t-2}^{M}) \right] dy$$

$$= \|g\|_{\infty}^{-2} \langle g, e^{-(t-2)(T_{\alpha,M} - V_{f_{i}})} g \rangle_{2,\mathbb{T}_{M}^{d}}, \qquad (3.9)$$

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Page 15/39

## Temporal asymptotics for fractional PAM

where in the last step  $T_{\alpha,M}$  is the self-adjoint operator associated with the Dirichlet form  $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,M}$ ,  $V_f$  is the operator of the multiplication of the function f, and the equality follows from [12, Lemma 5]. By spectral representation theory, there exists a probability measure  $\mu_q(d\lambda)$  such that

$$\langle g, f_i g \rangle_{2, \mathbb{T}_M^d} - \mathcal{E}_{\alpha, M}(g, g) = \langle g, -(T_{\alpha, M} - V_{f_i})g \rangle_{2, \mathbb{T}_M^d} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda \mu_g(d\lambda),$$
(3.10)

and

$$\langle g, e^{-(t-2)(T_{\alpha,M}-V_{f_i})}g \rangle_{2,\mathbb{T}_M^d} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(t-2)\lambda} \mu_g(d\lambda) \ge \exp\left(-(t-2)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda \mu_g(d\lambda)\right).$$
(3.11)

Combining (3.10) and (3.11), we have

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \langle g, e^{-(t-2)(T_{\alpha,M} - V_{f_i})} g \rangle_{2,\mathbb{T}_M^d} \ge \langle g, f_i g \rangle_{2,\mathbb{T}_M^d} - \mathcal{E}_{\alpha,M}(g,g),$$
(3.12)

and then, by choosing g arbitrarily, (3.6) follows from (3.8), (3.9) and (3.12).

Now we show that

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}_M^d} \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \exp\left( \int_0^t f_i(X_s^M) ds \right) \right] \le \lambda_M(f_i).$$
(3.13)

Actually, by the uniform boundedness of  $f_i$  on  $\mathbb{T}^d_M$  and the Markov property of  $X^M$ ,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \exp\left(\int_0^t f_i(X_s^M) ds\right) \right] &\leq C \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \exp\left(\int_1^t f_i(X_s^M) ds\right) \right] \\ &= C \int_{T_M^d} \bar{p}(y-x) \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \exp\left(\int_0^{t-1} f_i(X_s^M) ds\right) \right] dy \\ &= C \langle \bar{p}, e^{-(t-1)\langle T_{\alpha,M} - V_{f_i} \rangle} 1 \rangle_{2, T_M^d}. \end{split}$$

By spectral representation, for any  $g \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,M}$ ,

$$\langle g, e^{-(t-1)(T_{\alpha,M}-V_{f_i})}g\rangle_{2,\mathbb{T}_M^d} = \int_{-\sigma_0}^{\infty} e^{-(t-1)\lambda}\mu_g(d\lambda) \le e^{(t-1)\sigma_0},$$

where  $-\sigma_0 = -\lambda_M(f_i)$  is the infimum of the spectrum of the operator  $T_{\alpha,M} - V_{f_i}$ . Hence

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \exp\left( \int_0^t f_i(X_s^M) ds \right) \right] \le \lambda_M(f_i)$$

Combining (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.13), we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}\left[ \exp\left(\int_0^t f(\frac{s}{t}, X_s^M) ds\right) \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_M(f_i)(s_{i+1} - s_i).$$
(3.14)

Finally, for general continuous function f(s, x) on  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{T}^d_M$ , let

$$f_n(s,x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(s_i,x) I_{[s_i,s_{i+1})}(s) + f(s_{n-1},x) I_{\{1\}}(s).$$

Then, by the uniform continuity of f on  $[0,1] \times \mathbb{T}^d_M$ ,  $f_n$  converges to f uniformly. By letting n go to infinity in (3.14), we can obtain (3.3).

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

In the meantime, the lower bound in (3.3) also holds for the original stable process X.

**Proposition 3.2.** For the stable process X on the whole  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , if we assume that f(s, x) is continuous in (s, x) on  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d$  and that the family  $\{f(\cdot, x), x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$  of functions is equicontinuous, Then, we can obtain the lower bound

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}\left[ \exp\left(\int_0^t f(\frac{s}{t}, X_s) ds\right) \right] \ge \int_0^1 \lambda(f(s, \cdot)) ds, \tag{3.15}$$

where  $\lambda(f) = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,d}} \Big\{ \langle g, fg \rangle_{2,\mathbb{R}^d} - \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(g,g) \Big\}.$ 

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the lower bound part of the proof for Proposition (3.3). We shall only sketch the idea.

We still start with the functions of the form

$$f(s,x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_i(x) I_{[s_i,s_{i+1})}(s) + f_{n-1}(x) I_{\{1\}}(s).$$

Fix a compact set  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , Then, there exists a positive  $\varepsilon$  such that the density function p(y) of  $X_1$  is bigger than  $\varepsilon$  for all  $y \in D$ . For any  $g \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$  with support inside D, using a similar argument as (3.8) – (3.12), we can get

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \exp\left( \int_0^t f_i(X_s) ds \right); |X_t| < \delta \right] \ge \langle g, f_i g \rangle_{\alpha, \mathbb{R}^d} - \mathcal{E}_\alpha(g, g).$$

Therefore, for any  $g \in \mathcal{F}_{lpha}$  with compact support, we have

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}\left[ \exp\left(\int_0^t f_i(X_s) ds\right) \right] \ge \langle g, f_i g \rangle_{\alpha, \mathbb{R}^d} - \mathcal{E}_\alpha(g, g),$$

and hence

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}\left[ \exp\left( \int_0^t f_i(X_s) ds \right) \right] \ge \lambda(f_i).$$

Finally, (3.15) follows from a limiting argument.

## **4** A variational inequality

In this section, we will establish a lower bound for  $||u^{\rho}(t,x)||_p$  for  $p \ge 1, \rho \in [0,1]$ , where  $u^{\rho}$  is given by (1.8) when  $\rho \in [0,1)$  under the condition (1.5) and  $u^1(t,x)$  is the Skorohod solution  $\tilde{u}(t,x)$  under the condition (1.3). This will be used to obtain the lower bound in Theorem 1.1.

First let us introduce some notations by recalling Dalang's approach (see [15]) of defining stochastic integral with respect to the Gaussian noise  $\dot{W}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$  be the set of smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  with compact support, and  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hilbert space spanned by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$  under the inner product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |r-s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(x-y) \varphi(r,x) \psi(s,y) dr ds dx dy, \, \forall \, \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}).$$
(4.1)

In the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , let  $W = \{W(h), h \in \mathcal{H}\}$  be an isonormal Gaussian process with covariance function being given by  $\mathbb{E}[W(h)W(g)] = \langle h, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ . We also write, for  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$W(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(s, x) W(ds, dx).$$

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Denote the Fourier transforms of  $|s|^{-\beta_0}$  and  $\gamma(x)$  by  $\mu_0(d\tau)$  and  $\mu(d\xi)$ , respectively, then

$$\mu_0(d\tau) = C_{\beta_0} |\tau|^{\beta_0 - 1} d\tau; \tag{4.2}$$

$$\mu(d\xi) = \begin{cases} C_{\beta,d}|\xi|^{\beta-d}d\xi, & \text{for } \gamma(x) = |x|^{-\beta}, \\ \prod_{j=1}^{d} C_{\beta_j}|\xi|^{\beta_j - 1}d\xi, & \text{for } \gamma(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} |x_j|^{-\beta_j}. \end{cases}$$
(4.3)

The Parseval's identity provides an alternative representation for the inner product,

$$\mathbb{E}[W(\varphi)W(\psi)] = \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{\varphi}(\tau, \xi) \overline{\widehat{\psi}(\tau, \xi)} \mu_0(d\tau) \mu(d\xi), \text{ for } \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}).$$

With the above notations (1.3) is equivalent to the following general form of the Dalang's condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1+|\xi|^{\alpha}} \mu(d\xi) < \infty, \tag{4.4}$$

and (1.5) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1 + |\xi|^{\alpha(1-\beta_0)}} \mu(d\xi) < \infty.$$
(4.5)

Now we recall the approximation procedure used in [21, 22, 30], which we shall use in the proof of the main result in this section. Denote  $g_{\delta}(t) := \frac{1}{\delta}I_{[0,\delta]}(t)$  for  $t \ge 0$ and  $p_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d}p(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $p(x) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a symmetric probability density function and its Fourier transform  $\hat{p}(\xi) \ge 0$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . For positive numbers  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$ , define

$$\dot{W}^{\epsilon,\delta}(t,x) := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_\delta(t-s) p_\epsilon(x-y) W(ds,dy) = W(\phi_{t,x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}), \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$\phi_{t,x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,y) := g_{\delta}(t-s)p_{\varepsilon}(x-y) \cdot I_{[0,t]}(s).$$

Consider the following approximation of (1.2)

$$\begin{cases} u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x) = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x) + u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x) \dot{W}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x), \\ u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(0,x) = u_0(x). \end{cases}$$
(4.7)

Then, Feynman-Kac formula for the Stratonovich solution  $u^{\varepsilon,\delta}$  is

$$u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x) = \mathbb{E}_X\left[u_0(X_t^x)\exp\left(\int_0^t \dot{W}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(r,X_{t-r}^x)dr\right)\right],$$

and the Feynman-Kac formula for the Skorohod solution  $\tilde{u}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x)$  is

$$\tilde{u}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x) = \mathbb{E}_X \left[ u_0(X_t^x) \exp\left(\int_0^t \dot{W}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(r, X_{t-r}^x) dr - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |\mathcal{F}\Phi_{t,x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi)|^2 \mu_0(d\tau) \mu(d\xi) \right) \right],$$

where

$$\Phi_{t,x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(u,y) := \int_0^t g_\delta(t-u-s) p_\varepsilon(X_s^x-y) ds \cdot I_{[0,t]}(u).$$
(4.8)

Note that

$$\int_0^t \dot{W}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(r, X_{t-r}^x) dr = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_{t,x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(u, y) W(du, dy),$$

by stochastic Fubini's theorem.

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

For  $\rho \in [0,1]$ , define the following random Hamiltonian,

$$H^{\rho}_{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x) := \int_{0}^{t} \dot{W}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(r, X^{x}_{t-r}) dr - \frac{\rho}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |\mathcal{F}\Phi^{\varepsilon,\delta}_{t}(\tau,\xi)|^{2} \mu_{0}(d\tau) \mu(d\xi),$$

and denote

$$u_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{\rho}(t,x) := \mathbb{E}_X \left[ \exp\left( H_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{\rho}(t,x) \right) \right].$$
(4.9)

Then, for all fixed  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , under the condition (1.5), for all  $\rho \in [0, 1]$ ,  $H^{\rho}_{\varepsilon, \delta}(t, x)$  converges to

$$H^{\rho}(t,x) := \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \delta_{0}(X_{t-r}^{x} - y)W(dr, dy) - \frac{\rho}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} |r - s|^{-\beta_{0}} \gamma(X_{r} - X_{s})drds$$

(see Theorem 4.1 in [30]) and  $u^{\rho}_{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x)$  converges to  $u^{\rho}(t,x) := \mathbb{E}_X \left[ \exp \left( H^{\rho}(t,x) \right) \right]$  in  $L^p$  for all  $p \ge 1$  (see Theorem 4.6 in [30]). Under the less restrictive condition (1.3), the  $L^p$  convergence only holds when  $\rho = 1$  (this corresponds to the Skorohod solution  $\tilde{u}(t,x)$  of (1.2). See Theorem 5.6 in [30]).

The following is the main result in this section.

Proposition 4.1. We assume one of the following conditions

- (i) The condition (1.5) is satisfied and  $\rho \in [0, 1]$ .
- (ii) Dalang's condition (1.3) is satisfied and  $\rho = 1$ .

Let  $p \ge 1$ , and when p = 1 we assume  $\rho \in [0, 1)$ . Then, for any  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$(\mathbb{E}|u^{\rho}(t,x)|^{p})^{1/p} \geq \sup_{g\in\mathcal{S}_{H}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[ \exp\left(\int_{0}^{t} (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}g)(s,X_{s})ds - \frac{1}{2(p-\rho)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |g(\tau,\xi)|^{2} \mu_{0}(d\tau)\mu(d\xi) \right) \right],$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_{H}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}); \ g(-\tau, -\xi) = \overline{g(\tau, \xi)} \right\},\tag{4.10}$$

with  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$  denoting the space of complex-valued smooth functions that decrease rapidly, and

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}g)(s,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} e^{-2\pi i(\tau s + \xi \cdot x)} g(\tau,\xi) \mu_0(d\tau) \mu(d\xi).$$
(4.11)

*Proof.* First, we consider the case p > 1 and  $\rho \in [0,1]$ . Let  $q := p(p-1)^{-1}$  be the conjugate of p. Let  $\varphi(t,x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$  be a real function, and denote

$$X_{\varphi} = \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(s, y) W(ds, dy) - \frac{q}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |\widehat{\varphi}(\tau, \xi)|^2 \mu_0(d\tau) \mu(d\xi)\right).$$

Note that  $X_{arphi} \in L^q(\Omega)$  and  $\|X_{arphi}\|_q = 1.$  Hence, by Hölder's inequality, we see

$$\begin{split} \|u_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{\rho}(t,x)\|_{p} &\geq \mathbb{E}\left[u_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{\rho}(t,x)X_{\varphi}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{W}\mathbb{E}_{X}\left[\exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left[\Phi_{t,x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,y) + \varphi(s,y)\right]W(ds,dy)\right. \\ &\left. - \frac{\rho}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}|\mathcal{F}\Phi_{t,x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi)|^{2}\mu_{0}(d\tau)\mu(d\xi) - \frac{q}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}|\widehat{\varphi}(\tau,\xi)|^{2}\mu_{0}(d\tau)\mu(d\xi)\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{X}\left[\exp\left(\frac{1-\rho}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}|\mathcal{F}\Phi_{t,x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi)|^{2}\mu_{0}(d\tau)\mu(d\xi) \\ &\left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}\overline{\mathcal{F}\Phi_{t,x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi)}\widehat{\varphi}(\tau,\xi)\mu_{0}(d\tau)\mu(d\xi) - \frac{q-1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}|\widehat{\varphi}(\tau,\xi)|^{2}\mu_{0}(d\tau)\mu(d\xi)\right)\right]. \end{split}$$

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Note that for any  $x \ge 1$ ,

$$(1-\rho)a^{2} + 2ab - (q-1)b^{2} = (1-\rho)a^{2} + 2(1-x)ab + 2xab - (q-1)b^{2}$$
$$\geq -\frac{(x-1)^{2}}{1-\rho}b^{2} + 2xab - (q-1)b^{2} = 2xab - \left((q-1) + \frac{(x-1)^{2}}{1-\rho}\right)b^{2}$$

If we choose the optimal value  $c_0 = 1 + (1 - \rho)(q - 1)$  for x, Then, we have

$$(1-\rho)a^2 + 2ab - (q-1)b^2 \ge 2a(c_0b) - \frac{1}{p-\rho}(c_0b)^2.$$

In fact this argument also gives

$$(1-\rho)\|a\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} + 2\langle a, b\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - (q-1)\|a\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \ge 2\langle a, (c_{0}b)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \frac{1}{p-\rho}\|c_{0}b\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}, \quad \forall \ a, b \in \mathcal{H},$$
(4.12)

where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a (complex) Hilbert space with scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Applying (4.12) to  $a = \mathcal{F}\Phi_{t,x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi)$ ,  $b = \widehat{\varphi}(\tau,\xi)$  and the scalar product  $\langle a, b \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \overline{a(\tau,\xi)} b(\tau,\xi) \mu_0(d\tau) \mu(d\xi)$  yields

$$\|u_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{\rho}(t,x)\|_{p} \geq \mathbb{E}_{X}\left[\exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \overline{\mathcal{F}\Phi_{t,x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi)}\left(c_{0}\widehat{\varphi}(\tau,\xi)\right)\mu_{0}(d\tau)\mu(d\xi)\right.\right.\\\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{p-\rho}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}|c_{0}\widehat{\varphi}(\tau,\xi)|^{2}\mu_{0}(d\tau)\mu(d\xi)\right)\right]\right]\right.$$
(4.13)

Note that

$$\mathcal{F}\Phi_{t,x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi) = \int_0^t \exp(-2\pi i(\tau(t-s) + \xi \cdot X_s))\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{1}{\delta}I_{[0,(t-s)\wedge\delta]}(\cdot)\right)(\tau)\widehat{p}_\varepsilon(\xi)ds$$

which converges to  $\int_0^t \exp(-2\pi i(\tau(t-s)+\xi\cdot X_s))ds$  as  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  go to 0. Letting  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  go to 0 in (4.13) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{\rho}(t,x)\|_{p} \geq \mathbb{E}_{X} \Bigg[ \exp\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \exp(-2\pi i(\tau(t-s)+\xi\cdot X_{s})) \Big(c_{0}\widehat{\varphi}(\tau,\xi)\Big) \mu_{0}(d\tau) \mu(d\xi) ds \\ &-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{p-\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |c_{0}\widehat{\varphi}(\tau,\xi)|^{2} \mu_{0}(d\tau) \mu(d\xi) \Big) \Bigg]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is concluded for the case p > 1, noting that  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})) = \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ , and  $\widehat{\varphi}(-\tau, -\xi) = \overline{\widehat{\varphi}(\tau, \xi)}$  since  $\varphi$  is a real function.

When p = 1 and  $\rho \in [0, 1)$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[u_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{\rho}(t,x)] = \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{1-\rho}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |\mathcal{F}\Phi_{t,x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi)|^{2} \mu_{0}(d\tau)\mu(d\xi)\right) \right]$$
$$\geq \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[ \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \overline{\mathcal{F}\Phi_{t,x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi)} \left(c_{0}\widehat{\varphi}(\tau,\xi)\right) \mu_{0}(d\tau)\mu(d\xi)\right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1-\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |c_{0}\widehat{\varphi}(\tau,\xi)|^{2} \mu_{0}(d\tau)\mu(d\xi) \right) \right].$$

where the last step follows from  $(1-\rho)a^2 \ge 2ab - \frac{1}{1-\rho}b^2$ . The result can be deduced in a similar way.

**Remark 4.2.** The result still holds if the  $\alpha$ -stable process X in  $u^{\rho}(t, x)$  is replaced by a general symmetric Lévy process with characteristic function  $\mathbb{E}[e^{i\xi \cdot X_t}] = e^{-t\Psi(\xi)}$ . In this case, the conditions (1.5) and (1.3) are  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1+[\Psi(\xi)]^{1-\beta_0}} \mu(d\xi) < \infty$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1+\Psi(\xi)} \mu(d\xi) < \infty$ , respectively.

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

## 5 On the lower bound

In this section, we establish the lower bound in Theorem 1.1 for all  $p \ge 1$ . Note that  $\mu_0(d(c\tau)) = c^{\beta_0}\mu_0(d\tau)$  and  $\mu(d(c\xi)) = c^{\beta}\mu(d\xi)$  for any c > 0, by (4.2) and (4.3). Consequently, for  $h \in S_H(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ , where  $S_H(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$  is given in (4.10), we have

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}h(a,b*))(s,x) = a^{-\beta_0} b^{-\beta} (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}h(\cdot,*))(a^{-1}s,b^{-1}x), a > 0, b > 0,$$
(5.1)

where  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}g$  is defined by (4.11).

Now let

$$t_p = t^{\chi} (p - \rho)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \beta}} \text{ for } p \ge 1, \text{ with } \chi = \frac{2\alpha - \beta - \alpha\beta_0}{\alpha - \beta},$$
 (5.2)

and for any  $h \in \mathcal{S}_H(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$  denote

$$h_t(\tau,\xi) = t(p-\rho)h\Big(t\tau, (p-\rho)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha-\beta}}t^{-\frac{\chi-1}{\alpha}}\xi\Big).$$

Then, by (5.1), change of variables and the self-similarity of the  $\alpha$ -stable process, we have

$$\int_0^{t_p} (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}h)(\frac{s}{t_p}, X_s) ds \stackrel{d}{=} \int_0^t (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}h_t)(s, X_s) ds,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |h_t(\tau,\xi)|^2 \mu_0(d\tau) \mu(d\xi) = (p-\rho)t_p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |h(\tau,\xi)|^2 \mu_0(d\tau) \mu(d\xi) + \frac{1}{2} |h(\tau,\xi)|^2 \mu_0(d\tau) \mu(d\xi) + \frac{1}{2} |h(\tau,\xi)|^2 \mu_0(d\tau) \mu(d\xi) = (p-\rho)t_p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |h(\tau,\xi)|^2 \mu_0(d\tau) \mu(d\xi) + \frac{1}{2} |h(\tau,\xi)|^2 \mu_0(d\tau) \mu(d\xi) + \frac{1}{2}$$

Clearly,  $h_t \in \mathcal{S}_H(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ . Proposition 4.1 and the above two identities imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{\rho}(t,x)\|_{p} &\geq \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[ \exp\left(\int_{0}^{t} (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}h_{t})(s,X_{s})ds - \frac{1}{2(p-\rho)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |h_{t}(\tau,\xi)|^{2} \mu_{0}(d\tau)\mu(d\xi) \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[ \exp\left(\int_{0}^{t_{p}} (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}h)(\frac{s}{t_{p}},X_{s})ds - \frac{t_{p}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |h(\tau,\xi)|^{2} \mu_{0}(d\tau)\mu(d\xi) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.2,

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{t\to\infty} \inf_{t_p} \log \mathbb{E}_X \left[ \exp\left( \int_0^{t_p} (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}h)(\frac{s}{t_p}, X_s) ds \right) \right] \ge \int_0^1 \lambda((\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}h)(s, \cdot)) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \sup_{g\in\mathcal{F}_\alpha} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}h)(s, x) g^2(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^\alpha |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right\} ds \\ &= \sup_{g\in\mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d}} \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}h)(s, x) g^2(s, x) dx ds - \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^\alpha |\widehat{g}(s, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds \right\}, \end{split}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d}$  is given by (1.11). Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{t \to \infty} t^{-\chi} \log \|u^{\rho}(t,x)\|_{p} \\ &\geq (p-\rho)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d}} \left\{ \Gamma(h,g) - \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\xi|^{\alpha} |\widehat{g}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi ds \right\} \\ &\geq (p-\rho)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d}} \left\{ \sup_{h \in \mathcal{S}_{H}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \Gamma(h,g) - \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\xi|^{\alpha} |\widehat{g}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi ds \right\}, \end{split}$$
(5.3)

where

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(h,g) &= \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}h)(s,x) g^2(s,x) dx ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |h(\tau,\xi)|^2 \mu_0(d\tau) \mu(d\xi) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} h(\tau,\xi) (\mathcal{F}g^2)(\tau,\xi) \mu_0(d\tau) \mu(d\xi) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |h(\tau,\xi)|^2 \mu_0(d\tau) \mu(d\xi). \end{split}$$

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Since  $S_H(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mu_0 \otimes \mu)$  (see, e.g., [24]), and  $\Gamma(\cdot, g)$  is continuous with respect to the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mu_0 \otimes \mu)$ -norm, we have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{h \in \mathcal{S}_{H}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \Gamma(h,g) &\geq \Gamma\Big(\mathcal{F}(g^{2})(-\tau,-\xi),g\Big) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |(\mathcal{F}g^{2})(\tau,\xi)|^{2} \mu_{0}(d\tau) \mu(d\xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{\gamma(x-y)}{|s-r|^{\beta_{0}}} g^{2}(s,x) g^{2}(r,y) dx dy dr ds. \end{split}$$

Summarizing the computations starting from (5.3), we have

$$\begin{split} & \liminf_{t \to \infty} t^{-\chi} \log \| u^{\rho}(t, x) \|_{p} \\ & \geq (p - \rho)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \beta}} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha, d}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{\gamma(x - y)}{|s - r|^{\beta_{0}}} g^{2}(s, x) g^{2}(r, y) dx dy dr ds \\ & - \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\xi|^{\alpha} |\widehat{g}(s, \xi)|^{2} d\xi ds \right\} \\ & = (p - \rho)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \beta}} \mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_{0}, d, \gamma), \end{split}$$

and the lower bound is established.

## 6 On the upper bound

In this section, we provide a proof for the upper bound in Theorem 1.1. In Subsections 6.1 and 6.2, we shall obtain the upper bound for any positive integer  $n \ge 1$ , i.e.,

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} t^{-\frac{2\alpha - \beta - \alpha \beta_0}{\alpha - \beta}} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_0^t \int_0^t |r - s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r^j - X_s^k) dr ds - \frac{\rho}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \int_0^t |r - s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r^j - X_s^j) dr ds\right)$$
$$\leq n(n - \rho)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \beta}} \mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma). \tag{6.1}$$

The proof for real number  $p \ge 2$  is inspired by the idea in [26]. We shall compare  $||u^{\rho}(t,x)||_{p}$  with  $||u^{\rho}(t,x)||_{2}$  by using the Mehler's formula and hypercontractivity of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup operators. First, we address the case when  $\rho \in [0,1]$ , under the condition (1.5).

Let  $W' = \{W'(h), h \in \mathcal{H}\}$  be an independent copy of  $W = \{W(h), h \in \mathcal{H}\}$ , and let  $W : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $W' : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{H}}$  be the canonical mappings associated with W and W', respectively. For any  $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ , there is a measurable mapping  $\psi_F$  from  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{H}}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $F = \psi_F \circ W$ . Denote by  $\{T_{\tau}, \tau \geq 0\}$  the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup associated with W. By Mehler's formula (see, e.g., [28]),

$$T_{\tau}(F) = \mathbb{E}' \left[ \psi_F(e^{-\tau}W + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2\tau}}W') \right],$$

where  $\mathbb{E}'$  denotes the expectation with respect to W'. For  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $\tau \ge 0$ , define  $q = 1 + e^{2\tau}(p-1)$ , Then, the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup operators possess the following hypercontractivity property (see, e.g., [28]),

$$\|T_{\tau}F\|_{q} \le \|F\|_{p}. \tag{6.2}$$

Now fix  $q \ge 2$ . Let  $e^{2\tau} = q - 1$ , Then,  $\|T_{\tau}F\|_q \le \|F\|_2$ . Let  $\tilde{\rho} = \frac{\rho + q - 2}{q - 1} \in [0, 1)$ . By (1.8) and

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Mehler's formula,

$$\begin{split} T_{\tau}u^{\tilde{\rho}}(t,x) &= \mathbb{E}'\mathbb{E}_{X}\Bigg[\exp\left(e^{-\tau}\int_{0}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\delta_{0}(X_{t-r}^{x}-y)W(dr,dy)\right.\\ &+\sqrt{1-e^{-2\tau}}\int_{0}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\delta_{0}(X_{t-r}^{x}-y)W'(dr,dy) - \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{2}\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{t}|r-s|^{-\beta_{0}}\gamma(X_{r}-X_{s})drds\Bigg)\Bigg]\\ &= \mathbb{E}_{X}\Bigg[\exp\left(e^{-\tau}\int_{0}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\delta_{0}(X_{t-r}^{x}-y)W(dr,dy)\right.\\ &+\frac{1}{2}(1-\tilde{\rho}-e^{-2\tau})\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{t}|r-s|^{-\beta_{0}}\gamma(X_{r}-X_{s})drds\Bigg)\Bigg]\\ &= \mathbb{E}_{X}\Bigg[\exp\left(\int_{0}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\delta_{0}(X_{t-r}^{x}-y)W_{\tau}(dr,dy) - \frac{\rho}{2}\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{t}|r-s|^{-\beta_{0}}\gamma_{\tau}(X_{r}-X_{s})drds\Bigg)\Bigg], \end{split}$$

where in the last step  $W_{\tau} = e^{-\tau}W$  and  $\gamma_{\tau}(x) = e^{-2\tau}\gamma(x)$ . By (6.2) with p = 2, (6.1) with n = 2, and the scaling property (1.13) of  $\mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\tau}u^{\tilde{\rho}}(t,x)\|_{q} &\leq (2-\tilde{\rho})^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}}\mathbf{M}(\alpha,\beta_{0},d,\gamma) \\ &= (2-\tilde{\rho})^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}}e^{\frac{2\tau\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}}\mathbf{M}(\alpha,\beta_{0},d,\gamma_{\tau}) = (q-\rho)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}}\mathbf{M}(\alpha,\beta_{0},d,\gamma_{\tau}) \end{aligned}$$

Observing that

$$T_{\tau}u^{\rho}(t,x) = \mathbb{E}_X \left[ \exp\left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta_0(X_{t-r}^x - y)W_{\tau}(dr, dy) - \frac{\rho}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^t |r - s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma_{\tau}(X_r - X_s)drds \right) \right],$$

the upper bound in Theorem 1.1 for any real number  $q \ge 2$  follows from the scaling property (1.13).

Finally, for the case  $\rho = 1$  under the condition (1.3), in which  $u^{\rho}(t, x)$  is the Skorohod solution to (1.2), we can apply the approach in [26] and obtain the upper bound for all real numbers  $p \ge 2$ .

## **6.1 Upper bound under the condition** (1.5)

In this subsection, we deal with the case  $\rho \in [0,1]$  under the condition (1.5). The proof will be split into four steps.

**Step 1.** In this step, we will reduce the study of *n*-th moment to the study of first moment. Recall that (2.6) and (2.7) imply

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} |r-s|^{-\beta_{0}} \gamma(X_{r}^{j}-X_{s}^{k}) dr ds$$
  
=  $C_{0}C(\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \left( \int_{0}^{t} |s-u|^{-\frac{\beta_{0}+1}{2}} K(x-X_{s}^{k}) ds \int_{0}^{t} |r-u|^{-\frac{\beta_{0}+1}{2}} K(x-X_{r}^{j}) dr \right) du dx.$   
(6.3)

Therefore, by the inequality  $(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j)^2 \leq n \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2$ , we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_0^t \int_0^t |r-s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r^j - X_s^k) dr ds - \rho \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \int_0^t |r-s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r^j - X_s^j) dr ds \\ &\leq (n-\rho) \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \int_0^t |r-s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r^j - X_s^j) dr ds. \end{split}$$

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Consequently, to obtain the upper bound in Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} t^{-\frac{2\alpha-\beta-\alpha\beta_0}{\alpha-\beta}} \log \mathbb{E}\left[ \exp\left(\frac{n-\rho}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \int_0^t |r-s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r^j - X_s^j) dr ds\right) \right] \\ \leq n(n-\rho)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}} \mathbf{M}(\alpha,\beta_0,d,\gamma).$$
(6.4)

By the scaling property (2.2), we see

$$\int_0^t \int_0^t |r-s|^{-\beta_0} \gamma(X_r^j - X_s^j) dr ds \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{1}{t_n} \frac{1}{n-\rho} \int_0^{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} \frac{\gamma(X_r^j - X_s^j)}{|t_n^{-1}(r-s)|^{\beta_0}} dr ds,$$

where  $t_n = t^{\frac{2\alpha-\beta-\alpha\beta_0}{\alpha-\beta}}(n-\rho)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}}$  is given in (5.2). Therefore, noting the scaling property (1.13) of  $\mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma)$ , (6.4) is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\gamma(X_r - X_s)}{|t^{-1}(r-s)|^{\beta_0}} dr ds \right) \right] \le \mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma).$$
(6.5)

Now, to obtain the upper bound, it suffices to prove (6.5). To this goal, we shall use the representations (2.6) and (2.7) for the covariance functions. But in these two representations, the integrals are over infinite domains. We shall approximate them by bounded, continuous, and locally supported functions, and this will enable us to apply Hahn-Banach theorem in Step 4.

**Step 2.** In this step, we will replace the temporal covariance function by a smooth function with compact support. Let the function  $\varrho : \mathbb{R}^+ \to [0,1]$  be a smooth function such that  $\varrho(u) = 1, u \in [0,1]$ ,  $\varrho(u) = 0$  for  $u \ge 3$ , and  $-1 \le \varrho'(u) \le 0$ . Define the following truncated functions

$$k_A(u) = |u|^{-\frac{1+\beta_0}{2}} \varrho(A^{-1}|u|), \ k_{A,a}(u) = |u|^{-\frac{1+\beta_0}{2}} \varrho(A^{-1}|u|)(1-\varrho(a^{-1}|u|)),$$
(6.6)

with A>0 being a large number and a>0 being a number close to zero. Then, by Hölder's inequality, we have for any  $\varepsilon>0$ 

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{1}{2t}\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{t}\frac{\gamma(X_{r}-X_{s})}{|t^{-1}(r-s)|^{\beta_{0}}}drds\right)\right] \\
=\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(C_{0}C(\gamma)\frac{1}{2t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}|t^{-1}(s-u)|^{-\frac{\beta_{0}+1}{2}}K(x-X_{s})ds\right)^{2}dudx\right)\right] \\
\leq \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left((1+\varepsilon)C_{0}C(\gamma)\frac{p}{2t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}k_{A,a}(t^{-1}(s-u))K(x-X_{s})ds\right)^{2}dudx\right)\right]\right)^{1/p} \\
\times \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left((1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon})C_{0}C(\gamma)\frac{q}{2t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\tilde{k}_{A,a}(t^{-1}(s-u))K(x-X_{s})ds\right)^{2}dudx\right)\right]\right)^{1/q}, \tag{6.7}$$

where

$$\tilde{k}_{A,a}(u) = |u|^{-\frac{1+\beta_0}{2}} - k_{A,a}(u).$$

Note that

$$\tilde{k}_{A,a}(u) = \left(|u|^{-\frac{1+\beta_0}{2}} - k_A(u)\right) + \left(k_A(u) - k_{A,a}(u)\right) 
\leq |u|^{-\frac{1+\beta_0}{2}} I_{[|u| \ge A]} + |u|^{-\frac{1+\beta_0}{2}} I_{[|u| \le 2a]} 
\leq A^{-\frac{\beta_0 - \beta'_0}{2}} |u|^{-\frac{\beta'_0 + 1}{2}} + (2a)^{\frac{\tilde{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{2}} |u|^{-\frac{\tilde{\beta}_0 + 1}{2}},$$
(6.8)

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Page 24/39

for  $0 < \beta'_0 < \beta_0 < \tilde{\beta}_0 < 1$ . We may choose  $\beta'_0$  and  $\tilde{\beta}_0$  such that  $(\alpha, \beta'_0, \beta)$  and  $(\alpha, \tilde{\beta}_0, \beta)$  satisfy the condition (1.5) if  $\rho \in [0, 1)$  or the condition (1.3) if  $\rho = 1$ .

Combining (2.6) and (6.8), for the second term in (6.7), we have

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( (1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}) C_0 C(\gamma) \frac{q}{2t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \left( \int_0^t \tilde{k}_{A,a} (t^{-1}(s-u)) K(x-X_s) ds \right)^2 du dx \right) \right] \\ &\leq \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( C(\varepsilon, q) \left[ A^{-(\beta_0 - \beta_0')} \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^t \int_0^t |r-s|^{-\beta_0'} \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds \right. \right. \\ &\left. + (2a)^{\tilde{\beta}_0 - \beta_0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^t \int_0^t |r-s|^{-\tilde{\beta}_0} \gamma(X_r - X_s) dr ds \right] \right) \right] \\ &\leq C \Big( \alpha, \beta, \varepsilon, q, \gamma(\cdot) \Big) \left( A^{-\frac{\alpha(\beta_0 - \beta_0')}{\alpha - \beta}} + (2a)^{\frac{\alpha(\tilde{\beta}_0 - \beta_0)}{\alpha - \beta}} \Big) \end{split}$$

$$(6.9)$$

where the last step follows from Hölder's inequality and (2.23). Therefore, for fixed  $(\varepsilon, q)$ , this term can be as small as we wish if we choose A sufficiently large and a sufficiently small. On the other hand, we can choose  $\varepsilon$  arbitrarily close to 0 and p arbitrarily close to 1. Consequently, to prove (6.5), it suffices to prove

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( C_0 C(\gamma) \frac{1}{2t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \left( \int_0^t k_{A,a} (t^{-1}(s-u)) K(x-X_s) ds \right)^2 du dx \right) \right]$$
  
$$\leq \mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma).$$
(6.10)

**Step 3.** In this step, we will replace the spatial covariance function by a smooth function with compact support. Similarly to the truncation for the temporal covariance function, for  $0 < b < B < \infty$ , we let

$$K_{B,b}(x) = K(x)\varrho(B^{-1}|x|)(1 - \varrho(b^{-1}|x|)),$$

where K(x) is given in (2.8). Then,  $0 \le K_{B,b}(x) \le K(x)$  and  $K_{B,b}(x) \to K(x)$  when  $B \to \infty$  and  $b \to 0$ . Now the left-hand side of (6.10) can be estimated in the similar way as in (6.7), i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(C_0C(\gamma)\frac{1}{2t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}\left(\int_0^t k_{A,a}(t^{-1}(s-u))K(x-X_s)ds\right)^2 dudx\right)\right]$$
  
$$\leq \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left((1+\varepsilon)C_0C(\gamma)\frac{p}{2t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}\left(\int_0^t k_{A,a}(t^{-1}(s-u))K_{B,b}(x-X_s)ds\right)^2 dudx\right)\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
  
$$\times \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left((1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon})C_0C(\gamma)\frac{q}{2t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}\left(\int_0^t k_{A,a}(t^{-1}(s-u))\tilde{K}_{B,b}(x-X_s)ds\right)^2 dudx\right)\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

where  $\tilde{K}_{B,b}(x) = K(x) - K_{B,b}(x)$ . Noting that  $k_{A,a}(u)$  is supported on [-2A, 2A] and is uniformly bounded (say, by L), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left((1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon})C_0C(\gamma)\frac{q}{2t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}\left(\int_0^t k_{A,a}(t^{-1}(s-u))\tilde{K}_{B,b}(x-X_s)ds\right)^2 dudx\right)\right]$$
$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left((1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon})C_0C(\gamma)L^2(4A+2)\frac{q}{2t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\int_0^t \tilde{K}_{B,b}(x-X_s)ds\right)^2 dx\right)\right].$$

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Page 25/39

Using that  $\frac{(a+b)^2}{t+s} \leq \frac{a^2}{t} + \frac{b^2}{s}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{t+s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_0^{t+s} \tilde{K}_{B,b}(x-X_r) dr \right)^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_0^t \tilde{K}_{B,b}(x-X_r) dr \right)^2 dx + \frac{1}{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_t^{t+s} \tilde{K}_{B,b}(x-X_r) dr \right)^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_0^t \tilde{K}_{B,b}(x-X_r) dr \right)^2 dx + \frac{1}{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_0^s \tilde{K}_{B,b}(x-(X_{t+r}-X_t)) dr \right)^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from a change of variable for s and the fact that the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is invariant under the translation  $x \to x + X_t$ . Hence, by the independent and stationary properties of the increments of Lévy processes, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{C}{t+s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_0^{t+s} \tilde{K}_{B,b}(x-X_r)dr\right)^2 dx\right)\right]$$
  
$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{C}{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_0^t \tilde{K}_{B,b}(x-X_r)dr\right)^2 dx\right)\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{C}{s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_0^s \tilde{K}_{B,b}(x-X_r)dr\right)^2 dx\right)\right]$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{C}{t+s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_0^{t+s} \tilde{K}_{B,b}(x-X_r) dr \right)^2 dx \right) \right]$$
  
$$\leq \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_0^1 \tilde{K}_{B,b}(x-X_r) dr \right)^2 dx \right) \right] \right)^t$$
  
$$= \log \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_0^1 \tilde{K}_{B,b}(x-X_r) dr \right)^2 dx \right) \right].$$
(6.11)

By Theorem 2.3 we have by Dalang's condition (1.3)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\theta C(\gamma)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_0^1 K(x-X_s)ds\right)^2 dx\right)\right]$$
$$=\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\theta\int_0^1\int_0^1 \gamma(X_r-X_s)drds\right)\right] < \infty$$

for any  $\theta > 0$ . Now letting  $B \to \infty$  and  $b \to 0$ , by the dominated convergence theorem we see that the term on the right-hand side of (6.11) goes to 0.

Now combining all the inequalities after (6.10), noting that we can choose  $\varepsilon$  arbitrarily close to 0, and p arbitrarily close to 1, we have that (6.10) can be reduced to

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( C_0 C(\gamma) \frac{1}{2t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \left( \int_0^t k_{A,a} (t^{-1}(s-u)) K_{B,b}(x-X_s) ds \right)^2 du dx \right) \right]$$
  
$$\leq \mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma).$$

**Step 4.** Summarizing the arguments in Step 2 and Step 3, we see that to obtain the upper bound in Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{\theta}{2t} C_0 C(\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \left[ \int_0^t k_{A,a} (t^{-1}(s-u)) K_{B,b}(x-X_s) ds \right]^2 du dx \right) \right] \\ \leq \theta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta}} \mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma).$$
(6.12)

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

In this final step, we will prove the above inequality. Fix positive constants A, a, B, b and choose arbitrarily  $M > 2 \max\{A, B\}$ .

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \left[ \int_{0}^{t} k_{A,a}(u-t^{-1}s)K_{B,b}(x-X_{s})ds \right]^{2} dudx$$

$$= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \int_{[0,M]^{d+1}} \left[ \int_{0}^{t} k_{A,a}(Mk+u-t^{-1}s)K_{B,b}(Mz+x-X_{s})ds \right]^{2} dudx$$

$$\leq \int_{[0,M]^{d+1}} \left[ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \int_{0}^{t} k_{A,a}(Mj+u-t^{-1}s)K_{B,b}(Mz+x-X_{s})ds \right]^{2} dudx$$

$$= \int_{[0,M]^{d+1}} \left[ \int_{0}^{t} \widetilde{k}_{M}(u-t^{-1}s)\widetilde{K}_{M}(x-X_{s})ds \right]^{2} dudx,$$
(6.13)

where

$$\widetilde{k}_M(u) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} k_{A,a}(Mj+u) \text{ and } \widetilde{K}_M(x) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} K_{B,b}(Mz+x)$$
 (6.14)

are *M*-periodic functions. Note that the summations in (6.14) are well-defined, since the supports of  $k_{A,a}(\cdot)$  and  $K_{B,b}(\cdot)$  are bounded domains. The process

$$\phi_t(u,x) := \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \widetilde{k}_M(u - t^{-1}s) \widetilde{K}_M(x - X_s) ds, \quad (u,x) \in [0,M]^{d+1},$$
(6.15)

can be considered as a process taking values in the Hilbert space  $L^2([0, M]^{d+1})$  with the norm denoted by  $\|\cdot\|$ . Since  $\tilde{k}_M$  and  $\tilde{K}_M$  are bounded, smooth functions with bounded derivatives, there is a constant C > 0, such that

$$\|\phi_t(\cdot, \cdot)\| \le C \text{ and } \|\phi_t(\cdot + u_1, \ \cdot + x_1) - \phi_t(\cdot + u_2, \ \cdot + x_2)\| \le C|(u_1, x_1) - (u_2, x_2)|$$

for all t and  $(u_1, x_1), (u_2, x_2) \in [0, M]^{d+1}$ . Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be the closure of the following set in  $L^2([0, M]^{d+1})$ :

$$\begin{split} \Big\{ f \in L^2([0,M]^{d+1}): \ \|f\| \leq C \text{ and } \|f(\cdot+u_1, \ \cdot+x_1) - f(\cdot+u_2, \ \cdot+x_2)\| \\ & \leq C|(u_1,x_1) - (u_2,x_2)| \text{ for } (u_1,x_1), (u_2,x_2) \in [0,M]^{d+1} \Big\}. \end{split}$$

Then,  $\phi_t$  defined in (6.15) belongs to K, and it follows from [19, Theorem IV8.21] that K is compact in  $L^2([0, M]^{d+1})$ .

Let  $\delta > 0$  be fixed. For any  $g \in \mathbb{K}$ , noting that the set of bounded and continuous functions are dense in  $L^2([0, M]^{d+1})$ , the Hahn-Banach theorem ([31]) implies that there is a bounded and continuous function  $f \in L^2([0, M]^{d+1})$  such that  $||g||^2 < -||f||^2 + 2\langle f, g \rangle + \delta$ . By the finite cover theorem for compact sets, one can find finitely many bounded and continuous functions  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  such that  $||g||^2 < \delta + \max_{1 \le i \le m} \{-||f_j||^2 + 2\langle f_i, g \rangle\}$  for all  $g \in \mathbb{K}$ . In particular, we have, noting that  $\phi_t \in \mathbb{K}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{1}{2}\theta t \|\phi_t\|^2}\right] \le e^{\frac{1}{2}\delta\theta t} \sum_{i=1}^m e^{-\frac{1}{2}\theta t \|f_i\|^2} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta t \langle f_i,\phi_t \rangle}\right].$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{1}{2}\theta t \|\phi_t\|^2}\right] \le \frac{1}{2}\delta + \max_{1 \le i \le m} \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\theta \|f_i\|^2 + \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta t \langle f_i, \phi_t \rangle}\right]\right\}.$$
(6.16)

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Notice that, for  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ ,

$$t\langle f_i, \phi_t \rangle = \int_0^t \left[ \int_{[0,M]^{d+1}} f_i(u,x) \widetilde{k}_M(u-t^{-1}s) \widetilde{K}_M(x-X_s) du dx \right] ds = \int_0^t \bar{f}_i\left(\frac{s}{t}, X_s\right) ds \,,$$

where

$$\bar{f}_i(s,x) = \int_{[0,M]^{d+1}} f_i(u,y) \widetilde{k}_M(u-s) \widetilde{K}_M(y-x) du dy \quad (s,x) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Since  $\widetilde{K}_M$  is a periodic function and  $\widetilde{K}_M(x-X_s) = \widetilde{K}_M(x-X_s^M)$ , we have that

$$t\langle f_i,\phi_t
angle = \int_0^t \bar{f}_i\left(rac{s}{t},X^M_s
ight) ds$$
 .

It is easy to check that  $\bar{f}_i$  satisfies the condition in Proposition 3.1. Hence,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta t \langle f_i, \phi_t \rangle}\right] = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha, d}^M} \left\{\theta \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}_M^d} \bar{f}_i(s, x) g^2(s, x) dx ds - \int_0^1 \mathcal{E}_{\alpha, M}(g(s, \cdot), g(s, \cdot)) ds\right\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}^{M}_{\alpha,d} = \left\{ g(s,\cdot) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{T}^{d}_{M}) : \|g(s,\cdot)\|_{\mathbb{T}^{d}_{M}} = 1, \forall s \in [0,1] \text{ and } \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha,M}(g(s,\cdot),g(s,\cdot))ds < \infty \right\}.$$

Notice that

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \bar{f}_{i}(s,x) g^{2}(s,x) dx ds 
= \int_{[0,M]^{d+1}} f_{i}(u,y) \bigg[ \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}_{M}} \tilde{K}_{M}(u-s) \tilde{K}_{M}(y-x) g^{2}(s,x) dx ds \bigg] du dy 
\leq \frac{1}{2} \|f_{i}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{[0,M]^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}_{M}} |u-s|^{-\frac{1+\beta_{0}}{2}} \tilde{K}_{M}(y-x) g^{2}(s,x) dx ds \right]^{2} du dy. \quad (6.17)$$

Since  $\delta$  in (6.16) can be arbitrarily small and M in (6.17) can be arbitrarily large, the desired inequality (6.12) follows from inequalities (6.13) – (6.17) and Lemma 7.3.

#### **6.2** When $\rho = 1$ under the condition (1.3)

In this subsection, we consider the Skorohod case, i.e.,  $\rho = 1$ , under the condition (1.3), by applying the methodology used in Section 6.1. However, under condition (1.3), there will be a technical issue in step 1, since the left-hand side of (6.5) is infinity if condition (1.5) is violated. To deal with this issue, we will first, do step 2 for *n*-th moments which reduces  $|s|^{-\beta_0}$  to a smooth function with compact support, and then, we do step 1 to reduce the *n*-th moment.

More precisely, as in Step 1 in Section 6.1, when  $\rho = 1$ , (6.1) is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1}{(n-1)t} \sum_{1 \le j < k \le n} \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\gamma(X_r^j - X_s^k)}{|t^{-1}(r-s)|^{\beta_0}} dr ds \right) \right] \le \mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma)$$
(6.18)

Recall that  $k_{A,a}(u)$  is defined in (6.6). Let

$$\psi_{A,a}(u) = C_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_{A,a}(u-v)k_{A,a}(v)dv$$

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

and

$$\tilde{\psi}_{A,a}(u) = |u|^{-\beta_0} - \psi_{A,a}(u)$$
.

Then, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{1}{(n-1)t}\sum_{1\leq j< k\leq n}\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{t}\frac{\gamma(X_{r}^{j}-X_{s}^{k})}{|t^{-1}(r-s)|^{\beta_{0}}}drds\right)\right]$$

$$\leq \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(p\frac{C_{0}C(\gamma)}{(n-1)t}\sum_{1\leq j< k\leq n}\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{t}\psi_{A,a}(t^{-1}(r-s))\gamma(X_{r}^{j}-X_{s}^{k})drds\right)\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\times \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(q\frac{C_{0}C(\gamma)}{(n-1)t}\sum_{1\leq j< k\leq n}\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{t}\tilde{\psi}_{A,a}(t^{-1}(r-s))\gamma(X_{r}^{j}-X_{s}^{k})drds\right)\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$
(6.19)

Therefore, using a similar argument which reduces (6.5) to (6.10), one can show that to prove (6.18), it is suffices to prove

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1}{(n-1)t} \sum_{1 \le j < k \le n} \int_0^t \int_0^t \psi_{A,a}(t^{-1}(r-s))\gamma(X_r^j - X_s^k) dr ds \right) \right] \le \mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma),$$
(6.20)

provided that, for any  $\lambda > 0$ 

$$\lim_{\substack{A \to \infty \\ a \to 0}} \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \lambda \int_0^t \int_0^t \tilde{\psi}_{A,a}(t^{-1}(r-s))\gamma(X_r^j - X_s^k) dr ds \right) \right] = 0.$$
(6.21)

Recalling that  $\tilde{k}_{A,a}(u) = |u|^{-\frac{1+\beta_0}{2}} - k_{A,a}(u)$ ,

-

$$\begin{aligned} |u|^{-\beta_{0}} - \psi_{A,a}(u) &= C_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u - v|^{-\frac{1+\beta_{0}}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{1+\beta_{0}}{2}} dv - C_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_{A,a}(u - v) k_{A,a}(v) dv \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{k}_{A,a}(u - v) |v|^{-\frac{1+\beta_{0}}{2}} dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_{A,a}(u - v) \tilde{k}_{A,a}(v) dv \right) \\ &\leq 2C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{k}_{A,a}(u - v) |v|^{-\frac{1+\beta_{0}}{2}} dv \\ &\leq 2C \left( A^{-\frac{\beta_{0} - \beta_{0}'}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u - v|^{-\frac{\beta_{0}' + 1}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{1+\beta_{0}}{2}} dv + (2a)^{\frac{\beta_{0} - \beta_{0}}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u - v|^{-\frac{\beta_{0}' + 1}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{1+\beta_{0}}{2}} dv \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < \beta'_0 < \beta_0 < 1$  and the last inequality follows from (6.8). Hence we have

$$\tilde{\psi}_{A,a}(u) = |u|^{-\beta_0} - \psi_{A,a}(u) \le C(\beta_0, \beta', \tilde{\beta}) \left( A^{-\frac{\beta_0 - \beta'_0}{2}} u^{-\frac{\beta_0 + \beta'_0}{2}} + (2a)^{\frac{\tilde{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{2}} u^{-\frac{\beta_0 + \tilde{\beta}_0}{2}} \right).$$
(6.22)

Therefore, (6.21) holds because of (6.22) and the second half of Proposition 2.8, and hence (6.18) now is reduced to (6.20).

By a similar argument used in Step 1, in order to show (6.18) that has been reduced to (6.20), it suffices to prove

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{t} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^t \int_0^t \psi_{A,a}(t^{-1}(r-s))\gamma(X_r - X_s)drds \right) \right] \\ \leq \mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma).$$
(6.23)

The left-hand side now is finite under condition (1.3) since  $\psi_{A,a}$  is a bounded function. Noting that (6.23) is identical to (6.10), we may prove it in the exact same way as in Step 3 and Step 4 in Subsection 6.1.

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

## 7 On the variational formula

#### **7.1** The finiteness of $M(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma)$

In this subsection, we will prove the finiteness of  $\mathbf{M}(\alpha, \beta_0, d, \gamma)$  defined in (1.12). Consider a general non-negative definite (generalized) function  $\gamma(x) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . By the Bochner-Schwartz Theorem, there exists a tempered measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\gamma$  is the Fourier transform of  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \gamma(x) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F} \varphi(x) \mu(dx) \quad \text{for all} \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

It follows that for  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(x-y) f(x) g(y) dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{g}(\xi)} \mu(d\xi).$$
(7.1)

Lemma 7.1. Under the Dalang's condition (4.4),

$$\sup_{g\in\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,d}}\left\{\theta\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\gamma(x-y)g^2(x)g^2(y)dxdy-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\xi|^{\alpha}|\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2d\xi\right\}<\infty,$$

for any  $\theta > 0$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,d}$  is given in (1.10)

*Proof.* It suffices to consider  $g \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,d} \cap \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , since  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,d}$  endowed with the norm

$$||g||^{2} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \gamma(x-y)g^{2}(x)g^{2}(y)dxdy\right)^{1/2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\xi|^{\alpha} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^{2}d\xi.$$

By (7.1) and noting that  $\|\mathcal{F}(g^2)(\cdot)\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(x-y) g^2(x) g^2(y) dx dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(g^2)(\xi)|^2 \mu(d\xi) \\ &\leq \mu([|\xi| \le N]) + \int_{[|\xi| > N]} |(\widehat{g} * \widehat{g})(\xi)|^2 |\xi|^\alpha \frac{\mu(d\xi)}{|\xi|^\alpha} \\ &\leq \mu([|\xi| \le N]) + \left\| (\widehat{g} * \widehat{g})(\cdot)|^2 |\cdot|^\alpha \right\|_\infty \int_{[|\xi| > N]} \frac{\mu(d\xi)}{|\xi|^\alpha}. \end{split}$$

Since  $\alpha \in (0,2]$  we see  $|\xi|^{\alpha/2} \le |\xi - \eta|^{\alpha/2} + |\eta|^{\alpha/2}$  for all  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Thus, we have

$$\left| (\widehat{g} * \widehat{g})(\xi) |\xi|^{\alpha/2} \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{g}|(\xi - \eta) |\widehat{g}|(\eta) \left( |\eta|^{\alpha/2} + |\xi - \eta|^{\alpha/2} \right) d\eta$$
$$\leq 2 \left( |\widehat{g}|(\cdot) * \left( |\widehat{g}|(\cdot)| \cdot |^{\alpha/2} \right) \right) (\xi).$$

By Young's inequality and Parseval's identity,

$$\left\| |\widehat{g}|(\cdot) * \left( |\widehat{g}|(\cdot)| \cdot |^{\alpha/2} \right) \right\|_{\infty}^2 \le \|\widehat{g}\|_2^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^\alpha |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^\alpha |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

Therefore, for any  $\theta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{split} &\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(x-y) g^2(x) g^2(y) dx dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^{\alpha} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \theta \mu([|\xi| \leq N]) + \left(\theta \int_{[|\xi| > N]} \frac{\mu(d\xi)}{|\xi|^{\alpha}} - 1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^{\alpha} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi. \end{split}$$

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Page 30/39

Since  $\mu(d\xi)$  is tempered and hence locally integrable,  $\mu([|\xi| \le N])$  is finite for any  $0 < N < \infty$ . On the other hand, the Dalang's condition (4.4) implies that  $\lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{[|\xi|>N]} \frac{\mu(d\xi)}{|\xi|^{\alpha}} = 0$ . Therefore, for any  $\theta > 0$ , one can always find N sufficiently large such that

$$\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(x-y) g^2(x) g^2(y) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^{\alpha} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \le \theta \mu([|\xi| \le N]) < \infty.$$

This concludes the proof.

**Lemma 7.2.** Let  $\gamma_0(u), u \in \mathbb{R}$  be a locally integrable function. Then, under the Dalang's condition (4.4),

$$\sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d}} \left\{ \theta \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \gamma_0(r-s) \gamma(x-y) g^2(s,x) g^2(r,y) dx dy dr ds - \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^\alpha |\widehat{g}(s,\xi)|^2 d\xi ds \right\} < \infty,$$

for any  $\theta > 0$ .

*Proof.* The result will be proven by using a similar argument as that in the proof [10, Lemma 5.2]. Similar as in Lemma 7.1. Consider  $g \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d} \cap \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ , and extend g(s,x) periodically in s from  $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d$  to  $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , still denoted by the same notation g(s,x). Then, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \gamma_{0}(r-s) \gamma_{0}(x-y) g^{2}(r,x) g^{2}(s,y) dx dy dr ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \gamma_{0}(r-s) \gamma(x-y) g^{2}(r,x) g^{2}(s,y) dx dy dr ds \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{1} \gamma_{0}(r) \int_{0}^{1-r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \gamma(x-y) g^{2}(r+s,x) g^{2}(s,y) dx dy ds dr \\ &\leq 2 \int_{0}^{1} |\gamma_{0}(r)| \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \gamma(x-y) g^{2}(r+s,x) g^{2}(s,y) dx dy ds dr. \end{split}$$

By (7.1), we can write

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \gamma(x-y)g^2(r+s,x)g^2(s,y)dxdy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\mathcal{F}g^2(r+s,\cdot)\right)(\xi)\overline{\left(\mathcal{F}g^2(s,\cdot)\right)(\xi)}\mu(d\xi) \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left|\left(\mathcal{F}g^2(r+s,\cdot)\right)(\xi)\right|^2\mu(d\xi)\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left|\left(\mathcal{F}g^2(s,\cdot)\right)(\xi)\right|^2\mu(d\xi)\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \gamma(x-y)g^2(r+s,x)g^2(r+s,y)dxdy\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \gamma(x-y)g^2(s,x)g^2(s,y)dxdy\right)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

Noting that g is periodic in time, we see by Hölder inequality,

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \gamma(x-y) g^{2}(r+s,x) g^{2}(s,y) dx dy ds \leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \gamma(x-y) g^{2}(s,x) g^{2}(s,y) dx dy ds.$$

Summarizing the above computations, we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \gamma_{0}(r-s)\gamma(x-y)g^{2}(r,x)g^{2}(s,y)dxdydrds$$
  
$$\leq 2 \int_{0}^{1} |\gamma_{0}(u)|du \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \gamma(x-y)g^{2}(s,x)g^{2}(s,y)dxdyds$$

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

http://www.imstat.org/ejp/

 $\square$ 

Hence,

$$\begin{split} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d}} & \left\{ \theta \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \gamma_{0}(r-s) \gamma(x-y) g^{2}(s,x) g^{2}(r,y) dx dy dr ds \\ & - \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\xi|^{\alpha} |\widehat{g}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi ds \right\} \\ & \leq \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d}} \left\{ 2\theta \int_{0}^{1} |\gamma_{0}(u)| du \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \gamma(x-y) g^{2}(s,x) g^{2}(s,y) dx dy ds \\ & - \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\xi|^{\alpha} |\widehat{g}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi ds \right\} \\ & = \int_{0}^{1} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d}} \left\{ 2\theta \int_{0}^{1} |\gamma_{0}(u)| du \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \gamma(x-y) g^{2}(s,x) g^{2}(s,y) dx dy dx \\ & - \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\xi|^{\alpha} |\widehat{g}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right\} ds \\ & = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,d}} \left\{ 2\theta \int_{0}^{1} |\gamma_{0}(u)| du \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \gamma(x-y) g^{2}(x) g^{2}(y) dx dy \\ & - \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\xi|^{\alpha} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right\} ds, \end{split}$$

where the variation on the right-hand side is finite by Lemma 7.1.

## 7.2 On the large-box approximation

Recall that in Section 6, a large-box approximation was employed to prove the upper bound. A key ingredient in the approximation argument is the following lemma, whose proof will be provided in this subsection.

Lemma 7.3. Let  $\widetilde{K}_M$  be defined by (6.14). Then

$$\limsup_{M \to \infty} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d}^{M}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} C_{0} C(\gamma) \int_{[0,M]^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{M}^{d}} |u-s|^{-\frac{1+\beta_{0}}{2}} \widetilde{K}_{M}(y-x) g^{2}(s,x) dx ds \right]^{2} du dy - \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha,M}(g(s,\cdot),g(s,\cdot)) ds \right\} \leq \mathbf{M}(\alpha,\beta_{0},d,\gamma) \,.$$
(7.2)

*Proof.* By [23, Lemma A.1], there exists a positive constant  $C_{\alpha,d}$ , depending on  $(\alpha, d)$  only, such that

$$|\xi|^{\alpha} = C_{\alpha,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1 - \cos(2\pi\xi \cdot y)}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} dy,$$

where  $C_{\alpha,d} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1 - \cos(\eta \cdot y)}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} dy$  for any  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $|\eta| = 2\pi$ . By Lemma 7.4, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(f,f) = \frac{C_{\alpha,d}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|^2}{|y - x|^{d + \alpha}} dy dx,$$
(7.3)

and for any M-periodic function h,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,M}(h,h) = \frac{C_{\alpha,d}}{2} \int_{[0,M]^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|h(y) - h(x)|^2}{|y - x|^{d + \alpha}} dy dx.$$
(7.4)

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

To prove (7.2), for any fixed *M*-periodic (in space) function  $g \in \mathcal{A}^M_{\alpha,d}$ , we shall construct a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d}$  such that  $f \equiv g$  on  $[0,1] \times [M^{1/2}, M - M^{1/2}]^d$  and the difference between g and f on  $[0,1] \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus [M^{1/2}, M - M^{1/2}]^d)$  is negligible in some suitable sense as M goes to infinity.

Denote

$$E_M := [0, M]^d \setminus [M^{1/2}, M - M^{1/2}]^d.$$
(7.5)

By Lemma 3.4 in [17], for fixed  $s \in [0, 1]$ , there is an  $a(s) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\int_{E_M} g^2(s, x + a(s)) dx \le 2dM^{-1/2}$$

We assume  $a \equiv 0$ , for otherwise we may replace  $g(s, \cdot)$  with  $g(s, a(s) + \cdot)$  without changing the value inside  $\{\}$  in (7.2). Therefore, without loss of generality, we assume for all  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\int_{E_M} g^2(s, x) dx \le 2dM^{-1/2}.$$
(7.6)

Define  $\varphi(x) = \phi(x_1) \cdots \phi(x_d), \quad x = (x_1, \cdots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , where

$$\phi(v) = \begin{cases} vM^{-1/2}, & 0 \le v \le M^{1/2}, \\ 1, & M^{1/2} \le v \le M - M^{1/2}, \\ M^{1/2} - vM^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & M - M^{1/2} \le v \le M, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and let

$$f(s,x) = g(s,x)\varphi(x)/\sqrt{G(s)},$$

with

$$G(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^2(s, y) \varphi^2(y) dy.$$

Then,

$$|\phi| \leq 1, |\phi'| \leq M^{-1/2}$$
 and hence  $|\varphi| \leq 1, |\nabla \varphi| \leq d^{1/2} M^{-1/2}$ 

Noting that

$$1 \ge G(s) = \int_{[0,M]^d} g^2(s,y)\varphi^2(y)dy \ge 1 - \int_{E_M} g^2(s,y)dy \ge 1 - 2dM^{-1/2},$$

we have

$$0 < 1 - 2dM^{-1/2} \le b_M := \inf_{s \in [0,1]} G(s) \le 1.$$
(7.7)

Firstly, we compare the second terms in the variations on both sides of (7.2), i.e., compare  $J_1 := \int_0^1 \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(f(s,\cdot), f(s,\cdot)) ds$  with  $J := \int_0^1 \mathcal{E}_{\alpha,M}(g(s,\cdot), g(s,\cdot)) ds$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |g(s,y)\varphi(y) - g(s,x)\varphi(x)|^2 &= |(g(s,y) - g(s,x))\varphi(y) + g(s,x)(\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))|^2 \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon)|g(s,y) - g(s,x)|^2\varphi^2(y) + (1+1/\varepsilon)g^2(s,x)|\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{|g(s,y)\varphi(y) - g(s,x)\varphi(x)|^{2}}{|y-x|^{d+\alpha}} dy dx$$

$$\leq (1+\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{|g(s,y) - g(s,x)|^{2}\varphi^{2}(y)}{|y-x|^{d+\alpha}} dy dx$$

$$+ (1+1/\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{g^{2}(s,x)|\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)|^{2}}{|y-x|^{d+\alpha}} dy dx.$$
(7.8)

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Page 33/39

Now we bound the above two integrals separately. For the first integral, it is easy to verify by (7.3) that

$$\frac{C_{\alpha,d}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|g(s,y) - g(s,x)|^2 \varphi^2(y)}{|y - x|^{d + \alpha}} dy dx \le \mathcal{E}_{\alpha,M}(g(s,\cdot),g(s,\cdot)).$$
(7.9)

For the second integral, we have first, for any  $\sigma \in (0,2)$ ,

$$\begin{split} g^{2}(s,x)|\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)|^{2} \\ &\leq g^{2}(s,x)|\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)|^{2}(I_{[0,M]^{d}}(x) + I_{[0,M]^{d}}(y)) \\ &= g^{2}(s,x)|\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)|^{2-\sigma}|\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)|^{\sigma}(I_{[0,M]^{d}}(x) + I_{[0,M]^{d}}(y)) \\ &\leq 2^{2-\sigma}d^{\sigma/2}M^{-\sigma/2}g^{2}(s,x)(I_{[0,M]^{d}}(x) + I_{[0,M]^{d}}(y))(|y-x|^{\sigma} \wedge |y-x|^{2}), \end{split}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\frac{C_{\alpha,d}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{g^{2}(s,x)|\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)|^{2}}{|y - x|^{d + \alpha}} dy dx \\
\leq C_{\alpha,d} 2^{2 - \sigma} d^{\sigma/2} M^{-\sigma/2} \int_{[0,M]^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{g^{2}(s,x)(|y - x|^{\sigma} \wedge |y - x|^{2})}{|y - x|^{d + \alpha}} dy dx \\
+ C_{\alpha,d} 2^{2 - \sigma} d^{\sigma/2} M^{-\sigma/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{[0,M]^{d}} \frac{g^{2}(s,x)(|y - x|^{\sigma} \wedge |y - x|^{2})}{|y - x|^{d + \alpha}} dy dx \\
= C_{\alpha,d} 2^{2 - \sigma} d^{\sigma/2} M^{-\sigma/2} \int_{[0,M]^{d}} g^{2}(s,x) dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{|y|^{\sigma} \wedge |y|^{2}}{|y|^{d + \alpha}} dy \\
+ C_{\alpha,d} 2^{2 - \sigma} d^{\sigma/2} M^{-\sigma/2} \int_{[0,M]^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{g^{2}(s,x + y)(|x|^{\sigma} \wedge |x|^{2})}{|x|^{d + \alpha}} dx dy \\
\leq C M^{-\sigma/2},$$
(7.10)

for some constant C depending only on  $(\alpha, d)$ , where in the last second step, the two integrals are finite for  $\alpha \in (\sigma, 2)$ .

Combining (7.3), (7.4), (7.8), (7.9) and (7.10), and recalling  $b_M$  given in (7.7), we have

$$b_M J_1 = b_M \int_0^1 \mathcal{E}_\alpha(f(s,\cdot), f(s,\cdot)) ds$$
  

$$\leq \int_0^1 G(s) \mathcal{E}_\alpha(f(s,\cdot), f(s,\cdot)) ds$$
  

$$\leq (1+\varepsilon) \int_0^1 \mathcal{E}_{\alpha,M}(g(s,\cdot), g(s,\cdot)) ds + C(1+1/\varepsilon) M^{-\sigma/2}$$
  

$$= (1+\varepsilon) J + C(1+1/\varepsilon) M^{-\sigma/2}.$$
(7.11)

Secondly, we estimate the first term inside {} in (7.2). Recall that  $K_{B,b}(\cdot)$  is supported on  $[-2B, 2B]^d$ , hence for any fixed  $y \in [0, M]^d$ ,  $K_{B,b}(y - \cdot)$  is supported on  $[-2B, M+2B]^d$ . Therefore, for  $y \in [0, M]^d$ ,

$$\int_{[0,M]^d} \widetilde{K}_M(y-x)g^2(s,x)dx = \int_{[0,M]^d} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} K_{B,b}(y-x+zM)g^2(s,x)dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_{B,b}(y-x)g^2(s,x)dx = \int_{[-2B,M+2B]^d} K_{B,b}(y-x)g^2(s,x)dx$$
(7.12)

where the second equality follows from the *M*-periodicity of  $g(s, \cdot)$ .

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Denote

$$\tilde{E}_M := [-2B, M+2B]^d \setminus [M^{1/2}, M-M^{1/2}]^d.$$
(7.13)

Then there exists a constant C depending only on d such that

$$\int_{\tilde{E}_M} g^2(s, x) dx \le C M^{-1/2}, \, \forall s \in [0, 1].$$
(7.14)

This is because of (7.6), the periodicity of  $g(s,\cdot)$  and the fact that there is a partition of  $[-2B, M+2B]^d \setminus [0, M]^d$  such that the number of parts in the partition is finite depending only on d and each part from this partition can be shifted by zM for some  $z\in\mathbb{Z}^d$  to become a subset of  $[0, M]^d \setminus [2B, M-2B]^d \subset [0, M]^d \setminus [M^{1/2}, M-M^{1/2}]^d$  when  $M > 4B^2$ .

Notice that

$$g^2(s,x) = G(s)f^2(s,x), \quad \forall x \in [M^{1/2}, M - M^{1/2}]^d = [-2B, M + 2B]^d \setminus \tilde{E}_M$$

where  $\tilde{E}_M$  is defined by (7.13). We can bound the integral in (7.2) as follows, noting (7.12),

$$\begin{split} I &:= \int_{[0,M]^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_0^1 \int_{[0,M]^d} |u-s|^{-\frac{1+\beta_0}{2}} \widetilde{K}_M(y-x)g^2(s,x)dxds \right]^2 dudy \\ &= \int_{[0,M]^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_0^1 \int_{[-2B,M+2B]^d} |u-s|^{-\frac{1+\beta_0}{2}} K_{B,b}(y-x)g^2(s,x)dxds \right]^2 dudy \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon) \int_{[0,M]^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_0^1 \int_{[-2B,M+2B]^d \setminus \widetilde{E}_M} |u-s|^{-\frac{1+\beta_0}{2}} K_{B,b}(y-x)g^2(s,x)dxds \right]^2 dudy \\ &+ (1+1/\varepsilon) \int_{[0,M]^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_0^1 \int_{\widetilde{E}_M} |u-s|^{-\frac{1+\beta_0}{2}} K_{B,b}(y-x)g^2(s,x)dxds \right]^2 dudy \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon) \max_{s \in [0,1]} G(s) \int_{[0,M]^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u-s|^{-\frac{1+\beta_0}{2}} K_{B,b}(y-x)g^2(s,x)dxds \right]^2 dudy \\ &+ (1+1/\varepsilon) \int_{[0,M]^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}_M} |u-s|^{-\frac{1+\beta_0}{2}} K_{B,b}(y-x)g^2(s,x)dxds \right]^2 dudy \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon) (C_0C(\gamma))^{-1/2} I_1 + (1+1/\varepsilon) I_2 \,, \end{split}$$
(7.15)

where

$$I_{1} := \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\gamma(x-y)}{|r-s|^{\beta_{0}}} f^{2}(s,x) f^{2}(r,y) dx dy dr ds$$
$$I_{2} := \int_{[0,M]^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tilde{E}_{M}} |u-s|^{-\frac{1+\beta_{0}}{2}} K_{B,b}(y-x) g^{2}(s,x) dx ds \right]^{2} du dy$$

We consider  $I_2$ . Note that the function  $K_{B,b}(\cdot)$  is uniformly bounded, say, by D. Then we EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

Page 35/39

have

$$\begin{split} I_{2} &= C_{0}^{-1} \int_{[0,M]^{d}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |r-s|^{-\beta_{0}} dr ds dy \int_{\tilde{E}_{M}} K_{B,b}(y-x_{1}) g^{2}(s,x_{1}) dx_{1} \\ &\int_{\tilde{E}_{M}} K_{B,b}(y-x_{2}) g^{2}(r,x_{2}) dx_{2} \\ &\leq C_{0}^{-1} \int_{[0,M]^{d}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |r-s|^{-\beta_{0}} dr ds dy \int_{\tilde{E}_{M}} K_{B,b}(y-x_{1}) g^{2}(s,x_{1}) dx_{1} \int_{\tilde{E}_{M}} Dg^{2}(r,x_{2}) dx_{2} \\ &\leq CC_{0}^{-1} M^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} K_{B,b}(y) dy \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |r-s|^{-\beta_{0}} dr ds \int_{\tilde{E}_{M}} g^{2}(s,x_{1}) dx_{1} \\ &\leq CC_{0}^{-1} M^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} K_{B,b}(y) dy (1-\beta_{0})^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} \left[ s^{1-\beta_{0}} + (1-s)^{1-\beta_{0}} \right] \int_{\tilde{E}_{M}} g^{2}(s,x_{1}) dx_{1} ds \\ &\leq CC_{0}^{-1} M^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} K_{B,b}(y) dy (1-\beta_{0})^{-1} (2-\beta_{0})^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\tilde{E}_{M}} g^{2}(s,x_{1}) dx_{1} ds \\ &\leq 2CC_{0}^{-1} M^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} K_{B,b}(y) dy (1-\beta_{0})^{-1} (2-\beta_{0})^{-1} (2dM^{-1/2}) \\ &= C \left( K_{B,b}(\cdot), d, \beta_{0} \right) M^{-1}, \end{split}$$
(7.16)

where the third step and the last second step follow from (7.14).

Finally, combing (7.11), (7.15) and (7.16), we can bound the quantity inside  $\{ \}$  in (7.2) as follows (recall that J and  $J_1$  are defined by (7.11) and  $b_M$  is given in (7.7))

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}C_0C(\gamma)I - J &\leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}I_1 + C(1+1/\varepsilon)M^{-1} - \frac{b_M}{1+\varepsilon}J_1 + C\frac{1+1/\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}M^{-\sigma/2} \\ &\leq \frac{b_M}{1+\varepsilon}\left\{\frac{(1+\varepsilon)^2}{2b_M}\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{\gamma(x-y)}{|r-s|^{\beta_0}}f^2(s,x)f^2(r,y)dxdydrds \\ &-\int_0^1\mathcal{E}_\alpha(f(s,\cdot),f(s,\cdot))ds\right\} + C(1+1/\varepsilon)M^{-1} + C\frac{1+1/\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}M^{-\sigma/2}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d}^{M}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} C_{0} C(\gamma) I - J \right\} \\ \leq & \frac{b_{M}}{1 + \varepsilon} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d}} \left\{ \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)^{2}}{2b_{M}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\gamma(x - y)}{|r - s|^{\beta_{0}}} f^{2}(s, x) f^{2}(r, y) dx dy dr ds \\ & - \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(f(s, \cdot), f(s, \cdot)) ds \right\} + C(1 + 1/\varepsilon) M^{-1} + C \frac{1 + 1/\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} M^{-\sigma/2} \\ = & \frac{b_{M}}{1 + \varepsilon} \left( \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)^{2}}{b_{M}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \beta}} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\gamma(x - y)}{|r - s|^{\beta_{0}}} f^{2}(s, x) f^{2}(r, y) dx dy dr ds \\ & - \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(f(s, \cdot), f(s, \cdot)) ds \right\} + C(1 + 1/\varepsilon) M^{-1} + C \frac{1 + 1/\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} M^{-\sigma/2}, \end{split}$$

where the last step follows from (1.13). Noting that  $\lim_{M \to \infty} b_M ~=~ 1,$  we have, by

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

choosing  $\varepsilon$  arbitrarily small,

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d}^{M}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} C_{0} C(\gamma) I - J \right\} \leq \sup_{f \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha,d}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\gamma(x-y)}{|r-s|^{\beta_{0}}} f^{2}(s,x) f^{2}(r,y) dx dy dr ds - \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(f(s,\cdot),f(s,\cdot)) ds \right\}.$$

Hence (7.2) is proved, provided  $\alpha \in (\sigma, 2)$ . Note that  $\sigma \in (0, 2)$  is arbitrary, therefore (7.2) holds for  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ .

The proof is concluded, noting that for the case  $\alpha = 2$ , (7.2) can be proved in a similar way as in [11, Lemma A.3].

Lemma 7.4. Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $h \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d_M)$ . Then,

$$2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(1 - \cos(2\pi\xi \cdot y)\right) |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x+y) - f(x)|^2 dx,$$
(7.17)

and

$$\frac{2}{M^d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left( 1 - \cos(2\pi k \cdot y) \right) |\widehat{h}(k)|^2 = \int_{[0,M]^d} |h(x + My) - h(x)|^2 dx.$$
(7.18)

*Proof.* We will prove (7.18) only, and (7.17) can be proved in the same spirit. Noting that  $1 - \cos(2\pi k \cdot y) = 2\sin^2(\pi k \cdot y)$ , we have

$$\frac{2}{M^d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left( 1 - \cos(2\pi k \cdot y) \right) |\hat{h}(k)|^2 = \frac{1}{M^d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |2\sin(\pi k \cdot y)\hat{h}(k)|^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{M^d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left| \left( e^{i\pi k \cdot y} - e^{-i\pi k \cdot y} \right) \hat{h}(k) \right|^2 = \int_{[0,M]^d} \left| h(x + \frac{My}{2}) - h(x - \frac{My}{2}) \right|^2 dx.$$

The last equality holds because of the Parseval's identity

$$\frac{1}{M^d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{g}(k)|^2 = \int_{[0,M]^d} |g(x)|^2 \, dx,$$

and the fact that for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and any *M*-periodic function *g*,

$$\begin{split} \widehat{g(\cdot+a)}(k) &= \int_{[0,M]^d} e^{-2\pi i k \cdot y/M} g(y+a) dy = \int_{[0,M]^d} e^{-2\pi i k \cdot (y+a)/M} g(y+a) dy \, e^{2\pi i k \cdot a/M} \\ &= \int_{[0,M]^d} e^{-2\pi i k \cdot y/M} g(y) dy \, e^{2\pi i k \cdot a/M} = \widehat{g}(k) e^{2\pi i k \cdot a/M}, \end{split}$$

where the third equality holds because  $e^{-2\pi i k \cdot y/M}g(y)$  is an *M*-periodic function in *y*.  $\Box$ 

## References

- Tom Alberts, Konstantin Khanin, and Jeremy Quastel, The continuum directed random polymer, J. Stat. Phys. 154 (2014), no. 1–2, 305–326. MR-3162542
- [2] Raluca M. Balan and Daniel Conus, A note on intermittency for the fractional heat equation, Statist. Probab. Lett. 95 (2014), 6–14. MR-3262944
- [3] Raluca M. Balan and Daniel Conus, Intermittency for the wave and heat equations with fractional noise in time, Ann. Probab. 44 (2016), no. 2, 1488–1534. MR-3474476
- [4] Richard Bass, Xia Chen, and Jay Rosen, Large deviations for Riesz potentials of additive processes, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 45 (2009), no. 3, 626–666. MR-2548497

EJP 23 (2018), paper 14.

- [5] Francesco Caravenna, Rongfeng Sun, and Nikos Zygouras, Polynomial chaos and scaling limits of disordered systems, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 19 (2017), no. 1, 1–65. MR-3584558
- [6] René A. Carmona and S. A. Molchanov, Parabolic Anderson problem and intermittency, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1994), no. 518, viii+125. MR-1185878
- [7] Le Chen, Guannan Hu, Yaozhong Hu, and Jingyu Huang, Space-time fractional diffusions in Gaussian noisy environment, Stochastics 89 (2017), no. 1, 171–206. MR-3574699
- [8] Xia Chen, Random walk intersections, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 157, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010, Large deviations and related topics. MR-2584458
- [9] Xia Chen, Precise intermittency for the parabolic Anderson equation with an (1 + 1)dimensional time-space white noise, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 51 (2015), no. 4, 1486–1499. MR-3414455
- [10] Xia Chen, Moment asymptotics for parabolic Anderson equation with fractional time-space noise: in Skorokhod regime, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 53 (2017), no. 2, 819–841. MR-3634276
- [11] Xia Chen, Yaozhong Hu, Jian Song, and Fei Xing, Exponential asymptotics for time-space Hamiltonians, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 51 (2015), no. 4, 1529–1561. MR-3414457
- [12] Xia Chen, Wenbo V. Li, and Jay Rosen, Large deviations for local times of stable processes and stable random walks in 1 dimension, Electron. J. Probab. 10 (2005), no. 16, 577–608. MR-2147318
- [13] Xia Chen and Jay Rosen, Large deviations and renormalization for Riesz potentials of stable intersection measures, Stochastic Process. Appl. 120 (2010), no. 9, 1837–1878. MR-2673977
- [14] Ivan Corwin, The Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation and universality class, Random Matrices Theory Appl. 1 (2012), no. 1, 1130001, 76. MR-2930377
- [15] Robert C. Dalang, Extending the martingale measure stochastic integral with applications to spatially homogeneous s.p.d.e.'s, Electron. J. Probab. 4 (1999), no. 6, 29 pp. MR-1684157
- [16] Amir Dembo and Ofer Zeitouni, Large deviations techniques and applications, second ed., Applications of Mathematics (New York), vol. 38, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998. MR-1619036
- [17] M. D. Donsker and S. R. S. Varadhan, Asymptotics for the Wiener sausage, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28 (1975), no. 4, 525–565. MR-0397901
- [18] M. D. Donsker and S. R. S. Varadhan, Asymptotics for the polaron, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 36 (1983), no. 4, 505–528. MR-709647
- [19] Nelson Dunford and Jacob T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. Part I*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1988, General theory, With the assistance of William G. Bade and Robert G. Bartle, Reprint of the 1958 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR-1009162
- [20] Richard P. Feynman, Statistical mechanics, Advanced Book Classics, Perseus Books, Advanced Book Program, Reading, MA, 1998, A set of lectures, Reprint of the 1972 original. MR-1634071
- [21] Yaozhong Hu and David Nualart, Stochastic heat equation driven by fractional noise and local time, Probab. Theory Related Fields 143 (2009), no. 1–2, 285–328. MR-2449130
- [22] Yaozhong Hu, David Nualart, and Jian Song, Feynman-Kac formula for heat equation driven by fractional white noise, Ann. Probab. 39 (2011), no. 1, 291–326. MR-2778803
- [23] Yaozhong Hu, David Nualart, and Fangjun Xu, Central limit theorem for an additive functional of the fractional Brownian motion, Ann. Probab. 42 (2014), no. 1, 168–203. MR-3161484
- [24] Maria Jolis, The Wiener integral with respect to second order processes with stationary increments, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 366 (2010), no. 2, 607–620. MR-2600506
- [25] Davar Khoshnevisan, Analysis of stochastic partial differential equations, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 119, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014. MR-3222416

- [26] Khoa Lê, A remark on a result of Xia Chen, Statist. Probab. Lett. 118 (2016), 124–126. MR-3531492
- [27] Chiranjib Mukherjee and S. R. S. Varadhan, Brownian occupation measures, compactness and large deviations, Ann. Probab. 44 (2016), no. 6, 3934–3964. MR-3572328
- [28] David Nualart, The Malliavin calculus and related topics, second ed., Probability and its Applications (New York), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. MR-2200233
- [29] Jian Song, Asymptotic behavior of the solution of heat equation driven by fractional white noise, Statist. Probab. Lett. 82 (2012), no. 3, 614–620. MR-2887479
- [30] Jian Song, On a class of stochastic partial differential equations, Stochastic Process. Appl. 127 (2017), no. 1, 37–79. MR-3575535
- [31] Kôsaku Yosida, Functional analysis, sixth ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 123, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980. MR-617913

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for the valuable comments that helped us improve this paper. The third author would like to thank the Department of Mathematics at Drexel University for the support during his visit.

# Electronic Journal of Probability Electronic Communications in Probability

# Advantages of publishing in EJP-ECP

- Very high standards
- Free for authors, free for readers
- Quick publication (no backlog)
- Secure publication (LOCKSS<sup>1</sup>)
- Easy interface (EJMS<sup>2</sup>)

# **Economical model of EJP-ECP**

- Non profit, sponsored by  $IMS^3$ ,  $BS^4$ , ProjectEuclid<sup>5</sup>
- Purely electronic

# Help keep the journal free and vigorous

- Donate to the IMS open access fund<sup>6</sup> (click here to donate!)
- Submit your best articles to EJP-ECP
- Choose EJP-ECP over for-profit journals

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>LOCKSS: Lots of Copies Keep Stuff Safe http://www.lockss.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>EJMS: Electronic Journal Management System http://www.vtex.lt/en/ejms.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>IMS: Institute of Mathematical Statistics http://www.imstat.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>BS: Bernoulli Society http://www.bernoulli-society.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Project Euclid: https://projecteuclid.org/

 $<sup>^{6}\</sup>mathrm{IMS}$  Open Access Fund: http://www.imstat.org/publications/open.htm