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#### Abstract

Convergence in Mallows distance is of particular interest when heavy-tailed distributions are considered. For $1 \leq \alpha<2$, it constitutes an alternative technique to derive Central Limit type theorems for non-Gaussian $\alpha$-stable laws. In this note, for properly stabilized martingale sums and sequences of $\phi$-mixing random variables, we establish Mallows convergence to stable laws. Sufficient conditions are presented in the setting of familiar Lindeberg-like conditions and extend earlier results for the independent case.


## 1 Introduction

For $\alpha>0$, the Mallows (1972) $\alpha$-distance between two cumulative distribution functions $F_{X}$ and $F_{Y}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\alpha}\left(F_{X}, F_{Y}\right)=\inf _{(X, Y)}\left(E\left(|X-Y|^{\alpha}\right)\right)^{1 / \alpha} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all random vectors $(X, Y)$ with marginal distributions $F_{X}$ and $F_{Y}$, respectively $X \sim F_{X}$ and $Y \sim F_{Y}$. The Mallows distance, also known as the Wasserstein metric, satisfies the metric relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\left(F_{X}, F_{Y}\right) \leq d_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\left(F_{X}, F_{Z}\right)+d_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\left(F_{Z}, F_{Y}\right), \quad 0<\alpha \leq 1, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\alpha}\left(F_{X}, F_{Y}\right) \leq d_{\alpha}\left(F_{X}, F_{Z}\right)+d_{\alpha}\left(F_{Z}, F_{Y}\right), \quad \alpha \geq 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The connection between convergence in Mallows distance and the convergence in distribution $(\xrightarrow{d}$ ) was established by Bickel and Freedman (1981): for $\alpha \geq 1$ and for distribution functions $F_{0}$ and $\left\{F_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying $\int|x|^{\alpha} d F_{j}(x)<\infty, j=$ $0,1,2, \ldots$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\alpha}\left(F_{n}, F_{0}\right) \rightarrow_{n}^{0} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad F_{n} \xrightarrow{d} F_{0} \quad \text { and }\left.\quad \int|x|^{\alpha} d F_{n}(x) \rightarrow \underset{n}{\rightarrow} \int x\right|^{\alpha} d F_{0}(x) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This fact was used by Johnson and Samworth (2005) to establish Central Limit type theorems for stable laws. And was further explored by Barbosa and
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Dorea (2009) to derive Lindeberg-like conditions for sequences of independent random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left\{\left|X_{j}-Y_{j}\right|^{\alpha} 1\left(\left|X_{j}-Y_{j}\right|>b n^{(2-\alpha) /(2 \alpha)}\right)\right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall b>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots$ are independent copies of an $\alpha$-stable random variable $Y$. More specifically, for $\alpha \geq 1$ and $S_{n}=X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}$, there exist stabilizing constants $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ such that $d_{\alpha}\left(F_{n}, F_{Y}\right) \rightarrow 0$, where $Y \sim F_{Y}$ and $\frac{S_{n}-c_{n}}{n^{1 / \alpha}} \sim F_{n}$.

In this note, for $1<\alpha<2$, we extend this result for a martingale setting and for mixing sequences of random variables $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
|P(A \cap B)-P(A) P(B)| \leq \phi(n) P(A) P(B) \quad \text { with } \phi(n) \downarrow 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \geq 1$, all $A \in \mathcal{F}_{k}=\sigma\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)$ and all $B \in \mathcal{F}_{k+n}^{\infty}=\sigma\left(X_{k+n}\right.$, $\left.X_{k+n+1}, \ldots\right)$. Theorems 1 and 2 exhibit Lindeberg-like conditions that assure the desired convergence.

## 2 Preliminaries and auxiliary results

First, we state some properties for stable distributions [see, e.g., Samorodnitsky and Taqqu (2000)]. For $0<\alpha \leq 2$, we say that $Y$ is an $\alpha$-stable random variable, or, equivalently possesses an $\alpha$-stable distribution, if for any $n \geq 2$, there are a positive number $a_{n}$ and a real number $d_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{1}+Y_{2}+\cdots+Y_{n} \stackrel{d}{=} a_{n} Y+d_{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ are independent copies of $Y$ and $\stackrel{d}{=}$ stands for equality in distribution.

Proposition 1. If $Y$ has an $\alpha$-stable distribution then:
(a) $E\left(|Y|^{\alpha^{\prime}}\right)<\infty$ for $0<\alpha^{\prime}<\alpha$ and in (7) we can take $a_{n}=n^{1 / \alpha}$.
(b) If $\alpha>1$ there exists a real number $\mu$ (shift parameter) such that $\mu=E Y$ and $d_{n}=\mu\left(n-n^{1 / \alpha}\right)$.

A key point to our proofs is the use of moment inequalities. In Proposition 2, we gather some needed moment inequalities that include Bahr-Essen's inequality for independent random variables and Burkholder's martingale inequality [see, e.g., de la Peña (1990) or Hall and Heyde (1980)].

Proposition 2. (a) For random variables $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots$ and $S_{n}=\xi_{1}+\cdots+\xi_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\{\left|S_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\right\} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left\{\left|\xi_{j}\right|^{\alpha}\right\}, \quad 0<\alpha \leq 1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\{\left|S_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\right\} \leq n^{\alpha-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left\{\left|\xi_{j}\right|^{\alpha}\right\}, \quad \alpha \geq 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) If, in addition, $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots$ are independent then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\{\left|S_{n}-E\left(S_{n}\right)\right|^{\alpha}\right\} \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left\{\left|\xi_{j}-E\left(\xi_{j}\right)\right|^{\alpha}\right\}, \quad 1<\alpha \leq 2 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) And, if $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ is a martingale then there exists a constant $C(\alpha)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\{\left|S_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\right\} \leq C(\alpha) E\left\{\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j}^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2}\right\}, \quad \alpha>1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we derive the corresponding inequality for $\phi$-mixing sequences.
Lemma 1. Let $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of $\phi$-mixing random variables satisfying (6) and assume that $E\left(\xi_{n}\right)=0$ and $\sup _{n} E\left(\left|\xi_{n}\right|\right) \leq M<\infty$. Then, for $\alpha>1$, there exists a constant $C(\alpha)>0$ such that for all $0<m_{n}<n, k_{n}=\left[n / m_{n}\right]$ and all $b_{n}>0$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left\{\left|S_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\right\} \leq C(\alpha) & \left\{m_{n}^{\alpha} b_{n}^{\alpha} k_{n}^{\alpha / 2}+\left(m_{n} k_{n} \phi\left(m_{n}\right) M\right)^{\alpha}\right. \\
& \left.+m_{n}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left[\left|\xi_{j}\right|^{\alpha} 1\left(\left|\xi_{j}\right|>b_{n}-\phi\left(m_{n}\right) M\right)\right]\right\} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. (a) Let $\mathcal{F}_{n}=\sigma\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ and let $l_{n}=n-k_{n} m_{n}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{i}(j)=\xi_{i m_{n}+j}-E\left(\xi_{i m_{n}+j} \mid \mathcal{F}_{(i-1) m_{n}+j}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}(j)=E\left(\xi_{i m_{n}+j} \mid \mathcal{F}_{(i-1) m_{n}+j}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\xi_{i m_{n}+j}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{i m_{n}+j}^{\infty}$-measurable we have from (6) and Roussas and Ioannides (1987),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{i}(j)\right| \leq \phi\left(m_{n}\right) E\left(\left|\xi_{i m_{n}+j}\right|\right) \leq \phi\left(m_{n}\right) M \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a.s.: almost surely).
(b) Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{n}} \xi_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{m_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}-1} U_{i}(j)+\sum_{j=1}^{m_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}-1} V_{i}(j)+\sum_{l=1}^{l_{n}} \xi_{k_{n} m_{n}+l} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha>1$, from (9) we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left|S_{n}\right|^{\alpha} & \leq 4^{\alpha-1}\left[E\left|A_{n}\right|^{\alpha}+E\left|B_{n}\right|^{\alpha}+E\left|C_{n}\right|^{\alpha}+E\left|D_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\right],  \tag{17}\\
E\left|A_{n}\right|^{\alpha} & \leq m_{n}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{n}} E\left|\xi_{j}\right|^{\alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad E\left|D_{n}\right|^{\alpha} \leq l_{n}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{l=1}^{l_{n}} E\left|\xi_{k_{n} m_{n}+l}\right|^{\alpha} . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

From (15) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left|C_{n}\right|^{\alpha} & \leq m_{n}^{\alpha-1}\left(k_{n}-1\right)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}-1} E\left|V_{i}(j)\right|^{\alpha} \\
& \leq\left(m_{n} k_{n} \phi\left(m_{n}\right) M\right)^{\alpha} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

(c) Fix $j$ and let $Z_{k}(j)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} U_{i}(j)$. Since $E\left(\left|\xi_{i m_{n}+j}\right|\right) \leq M$ we have $E\left(\left|U_{i}(j)\right|\right) \leq 2 M$ and $E\left(\left|Z_{k}(j)\right|\right)<\infty$. From (13) we have $E\left\{Z_{k+1}(j) \mid\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{F}_{(k-1) m_{n}+j}\right\}=Z_{k}(j)$ a.s. Thus $\left\{Z_{k}(j), \mathcal{F}_{k m_{n}+j}\right\}$ is a martingale. From inequality (11) there exists $C^{\prime}(\alpha)>0$ such that for $j=1, \ldots, m_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}-1} U_{i}(j)\right|^{\alpha} \\
& \quad \leq C^{\prime}(\alpha) E\left\{\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}-1} U_{i}^{2}(j)\right]^{\alpha / 2}\right\} \\
& \quad \leq C^{\prime}(\alpha) E\left\{\left[\left(k_{n}-1\right) b_{n}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}-1} U_{i}^{2}(j) 1\left(\left|U_{i}(j)\right|>b_{n}\right)\right]^{\alpha / 2}\right\} \\
& \quad \leq C^{\prime}(\alpha)\left[\left(k_{n}-1\right)^{\alpha / 2} b_{n}^{\alpha}+\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}-1} E\left\{\left|\xi_{i m_{n}+j}\right|^{\alpha} 1\left(\left|\xi_{i m_{n}+j}\right|>b_{n}-\phi\left(m_{n}\right) M\right)\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where for the last inequalities we have used (8), (13), (15) and the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1\left(\left|U_{i}(j)\right|>b_{n}\right) \leq 1\left(\left|\xi_{i m_{n}+j}\right|>b_{n}-\phi\left(m_{n}\right) M\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left|B_{n}\right|^{\alpha} \leq C^{\prime}(\alpha)\left[m_{n} k_{n}^{\alpha / 2} b_{n}^{\alpha}\right. \\
&  \tag{21}\\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
+m_{n}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}-1} E\left\{\left|\xi_{i m_{n}+j}\right|^{\alpha}\right. \\
\\
\left.\left.\quad \times 1\left(\left|\xi_{i m_{n}+j}\right|>b_{n}-\phi\left(m_{n}\right) M\right)\right\}\right] .
\end{array} .
\end{align*}
$$

(d) Using the inequality (18) and arguing as above we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left|A_{n}\right|^{\alpha} \leq m_{n}^{\alpha-1}\left[m_{n} b_{n}^{\alpha}+\sum_{j=1}^{m_{n}} E\left\{\left|\xi_{j}\right|^{\alpha} 1\left(\left|\xi_{j}\right|>b_{n}-\phi\left(m_{n}\right) M\right)\right\}\right] \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left|D_{n}\right|^{\alpha} \leq l_{n}^{\alpha-1}\left[l_{n} b_{n}^{\alpha}+\sum_{l=1}^{l_{n}} E\left\{\left|\xi_{k_{n} m_{n}+j}\right|^{\alpha} 1\left(\left|\xi_{k_{n} m_{n}+j}\right|>b_{n}-\phi\left(m_{n}\right) M\right)\right\}\right] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, from (17) and (19)-(23) we get (12) with $C(\alpha)=4^{\alpha-1} C^{\prime}(\alpha)$.

## 3 Martingale sums and mixing sequences

For $1<\alpha<2$ we seek conditions under which there exit constants $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{\alpha}\left(F_{n}, F_{Y}\right)=0, \quad \frac{S_{n}^{(X)}-c_{n}}{n^{1 / \alpha}} \sim F_{n} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{n}^{(X)}=X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}$ and $F_{Y}$ is an $\alpha$-stable distribution.
From (1) and Proposition 1 we can replace $F_{Y}$ by the distribution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{n}^{(Y)}-\left(n-n^{1 / \alpha}\right) E Y}{n^{1 / \alpha}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{n}^{(Y)}=Y_{1}+\cdots+Y_{n}$ and $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ are independent copies of $Y$. It follows that, by taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left(X_{j}-Y_{j}\right)-\left(n-n^{1 / \alpha}\right) E Y \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Mallows convergence (24) can be assured if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} E\left\{\left|S_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\right\}=\frac{1}{n} E\left\{\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\left(X_{j}-Y_{j}\right)-E\left(X_{j}-Y_{j}\right)\right]\right|^{\alpha}\right\} \underset{n}{\rightarrow} 0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the independence case Lindeberg-like condition will be considered.

Condition 1. Let $1<\alpha<2$ and let $Y$ be an $\alpha$-stable random variable. For $Y_{1}$, $Y_{2}, \ldots$ independent copies of $Y$ and $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ independent of $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left\{\left|X_{j}-Y_{j}\right|^{\alpha} 1\left(\left|X_{j}-Y_{j}\right|>b n^{(2-\alpha) /(2 \alpha)}\right)\right\}=0 \quad \forall b>0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1. Assume that Condition 1 holds and that $\left\{S_{n}^{(X)}, \mathcal{F}_{n}\right\}, \mathcal{F}_{n}=\sigma\left(X_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $X_{n}$ ), is a martingale. Then (24) holds with $c_{n}$ given by (26). Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{n}^{(X)}-c_{n}}{n^{1 / \alpha}} \xrightarrow{d} Y \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) First, note that it is enough to prove (27). The arguments above show that Mallows convergence (24) follows from (27). Also, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left|\left(X_{j}-Y_{j}\right)-E\left(X_{j}-Y_{j}\right)\right|^{\alpha} \leq 2^{\alpha} E\left|\left(X_{j}-Y_{j}\right)\right|^{\alpha} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that the pair $\left\{\left(X_{n}-E X_{n}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(Y_{n}-E Y_{n}\right)\right\}$ satisfies (28). And we may take $E\left(X_{j}-Y_{j}\right)=0$.
(b) Let $E\left(X_{j}-Y_{j}\right)=0$. Since $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is independent of $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ then for

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=S_{n}^{(X)}-S_{n}^{(Y)} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{G}_{n}=\sigma\left(\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have a martingale $\left\{S_{n}, \mathcal{G}_{n}\right\}$. Let $Z_{j}=X_{j}-Y_{j}$. From inequality (11) there exists $C(\alpha)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left|S_{n}\right|^{\alpha} & \leq C(\alpha) E\left\{\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} Z_{j}^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2}\right\} \\
& \leq C(\alpha) E\left\{\left[b^{2} n^{2 / \alpha}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} Z_{j}^{2} 1\left(\left|Z_{j}\right|>b n^{(2-\alpha) /(2 \alpha)}\right)\right]^{\alpha / 2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha / 2 \leq 1$ we have from (8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left|S_{n}\right|^{\alpha} \leq C(\alpha)\left[b^{\alpha} n+\sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left\{\left|Z_{j}\right|^{\alpha} 1\left(\left|Z_{j}\right|>b n^{(2-\alpha) /(2 \alpha)}\right)\right\}\right] \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we obtain (27) by letting $b \rightarrow 0$. To prove (29) we make use of (4). Note that $E\left|X_{n}\right|<\infty, E|Y|<\infty$ and $d_{1}\left(F_{n}, F_{Y}\right) \leq d_{\alpha}\left(F_{n}, F_{Y}\right)$. Since $d_{\alpha}\left(F_{n}, F_{Y}\right) \rightarrow 0$ result follows.

Example 1. This example illustrates that Condition 1 cannot be weakened even in the independent case. Let $Y$ be $\alpha$-stable, $1<\alpha<2$, and assume that $Y \stackrel{d}{=}-Y$ (symmetrical distribution). For $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots$ independent copies of $Y$ and $\theta=\frac{2-\alpha}{2 \alpha}$ define

$$
X_{j}=Y_{j}+j^{\theta} 1\left(Y_{j} \geq 0\right)-j^{\theta} 1\left(Y_{j}<0\right)
$$

Then, for $1 \leq j \leq n$ we have

$$
\left|X_{j}-Y_{j}\right|=j^{\theta} \leq n^{\delta} \quad \text { for } \delta>\frac{2-\alpha}{2 \alpha}
$$

We have (28) satisfied for $\delta>\frac{2-\alpha}{2 \alpha}$ in place of $\frac{2-\alpha}{2 \alpha}$. Since $Y$ is symmetrical, by Proposition 1, we can take $d_{n}=0$ and $Y_{1}+Y_{2}+\cdots+Y_{n} \stackrel{d}{=} n^{1 / \alpha} Y$. By (26) we have $c_{n}=0$. We will show that $\frac{S_{n}}{n^{1 / \alpha}} \xrightarrow{d} Y$ does not hold and hence $d_{\alpha}\left(F_{S_{n} / n^{1 / \alpha}}, F_{Y}\right) \xrightarrow[n]{\nrightarrow} 0$. To see this, let $\xi_{n}=X_{n}-Y_{n}$. Then $P\left(\xi_{n} \leq 0\right)=P\left(\xi_{n} \geq 0\right)=\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left\{1\left(\left|\xi_{j}\right|>n^{1 / \alpha}\right)\right\}=0
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2 / \alpha}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left\{\xi_{j}^{2} 1\left(\left|\xi_{j}\right| \leq n^{1 / \alpha}\right)\right\} \approx \frac{1}{2 \theta+1}\left(1-\frac{1}{n^{2 / \alpha}}\right) \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{n} 0
$$

By Theorem 5.2.3 from Chung (1974) we cannot have $\frac{\xi_{1}+\cdots+\xi_{n}}{n^{1 / \alpha}} \underset{n}{ } 0$ a.s. It follows that, $\frac{S_{n}}{n^{1 / \alpha}} \stackrel{d}{\nrightarrow} Y$.

Theorem 2. Assume that Condition 1 holds and that $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is a $\phi$-mixing sequence satisfying (6) with $\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{\gamma} \phi(n)<\infty \forall \gamma$. In addition, assume that $\sup _{n} E\left|X_{n}\right| \leq$ $M<\infty$ and that for some $\varepsilon>0$ and some $0<\delta<\frac{2-\alpha}{2 \alpha}$ we have satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{1-\varepsilon}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left\{\left|X_{j}-Y_{j}\right|^{\alpha} 1\left(\left|X_{j}-Y_{j}\right|>b n^{\delta}\right)\right\}=0 \quad \forall b>0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (24) and (29) hold.
Proof. (a) Since $\alpha>1$ we have $E\left(Y_{n}\right)<\infty$. From the independence of $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ we have for $\mathcal{F}_{n}=\sigma\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\{Y_{n+m}-E\left(Y_{n+m}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right\}=0 \quad \forall n, \forall m \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1 we may assume that $E\left(X_{j}-Y_{j}\right)=0$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|E\left\{X_{n+m}-Y_{n+m} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right\}\right| \\
& \quad=\left|E\left\{X_{n+m}-E\left(X_{n+m}\right)-\left[Y_{n+m}-E\left(Y_{n+m}\right)\right] \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right\}\right|  \tag{35}\\
& \quad=\left|E\left\{X_{n+m} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right\}\right| \leq \phi(m) M .
\end{align*}
$$

(b) From Lemma 1 and (35) we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{E\left|S_{n}\right|^{\alpha}}{n} \leq C(\alpha) & {\left[\frac{m_{n}^{\alpha} b_{n}^{\alpha} k_{n}^{\alpha / 2}}{n}+\frac{\left(m_{n} k_{n} \phi\left(m_{n}\right) M\right)^{\alpha}}{n}\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{m_{n}^{\alpha-1}}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left\{\left|X_{j}-Y_{j}\right|^{\alpha} 1\left(\left|X_{j}-Y_{j}\right|>b_{n}-\phi\left(m_{n}\right) M\right)\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $b_{n}=b n^{\delta}, m_{n}=\left[n^{\beta}\right] \simeq n^{\beta}$ and $k_{n}=\left[n / n^{\beta}\right] \simeq n^{1-\beta}$, where $\beta=\varepsilon \wedge$ $\left(2\left[\frac{2-\alpha}{2 \alpha}-\delta\right]\right)>0$. Since $\alpha\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\beta}{2}+\delta\right] \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m_{n}^{\alpha} b_{n}^{\alpha} k_{n}^{\alpha / 2}}{n}=\frac{b^{\alpha} n^{\alpha[1 / 2+\beta / 2+\delta]}}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, since $\sum_{k \geq 1} k^{(1 / \beta)(1-1 / \alpha)} \phi(k)<\infty$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(m_{n} k_{n} \phi\left(m_{n}\right) M\right)^{\alpha}}{n}=\left(m_{n}^{(1 / \beta)(1-1 / \alpha)} \phi\left(m_{n}\right) M\right)^{\alpha} \underset{n}{\rightarrow} 0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (33) and the facts

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b_{n}-\phi\left(m_{n}\right) M\right) \geq b n^{\delta} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{m_{n}^{\alpha-1}}{n} \leq \frac{m_{n}^{\beta}}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n^{1-\varepsilon}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m_{n}^{\alpha-1}}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left(\left|X_{j}-Y_{j}\right|^{\alpha} 1\left(\left|X_{j}-Y_{j}\right|>b n^{\delta}\right)\right) \underset{n}{\rightarrow} 0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, (24) and (29) follow.
Remark 1. (a) As shown in the proof of Theorem 1, the hypothesis of $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}$ being independent were used to establish

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left\{\left(X_{n+1}-E\left(X_{n+1}\right)\right)-\left(Y_{n+1}-E\left(Y_{n+1}\right)\right) \mid\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)\right\}  \tag{40}\\
& \quad=0 \quad \text { a.s. }
\end{align*}
$$

The independency hypothesis can be dropped if this is assumed.
(b) Similarly, for Theorem 2 the independence assumption was used to derive the bound (35). It can be replaced by a weaker one,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|E\left\{X_{n+m}-Y_{n+m} \mid\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)\right\}-E\left\{X_{n+m}-Y_{n+m}\right\}\right|  \tag{41}\\
& \quad \leq \phi(m) M \quad \text { a.s. }
\end{align*}
$$

(c) The condition $\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{\gamma} \phi(n)<\infty$ on the mixing fuction is satisfied for any geometric function $\phi(n)=\beta \rho^{n}$ with $0<\rho<1$. Also, any stationary ergodic Markov chains, $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$, satisfy mixing conditions of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
|P(A \cap B)-P(A) P(B)| \leq \phi(n) P(A) \quad \text { with } \phi(n) \downarrow 0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \geq 1$, all $A \in \mathcal{F}_{k}=\sigma\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)$, all $B \in \mathcal{F}_{k+n}^{\infty}=\sigma\left(X_{k+n}, X_{k+n+1}, \ldots\right)$ and $\phi(n)=\beta \rho^{n}$ for some $\beta>0$ and $0<\rho<1$ [cf. Roussas and Ioannides (1987)]. As of now, it is unclear whether similar moment bounds, as in Lemma 1, can be shown for Markov chains. For some applications, that includes estimation of ruin probability for risk processes with dependent claim sizes, we refer the reader to Ferreira (2009).
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